Draft version November 23, 2013
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 6/22/04

arXiv:astro-ph/0608024v1 1 Aug 2006

A COMPARISON OF ULTRALUMINOUS X-RAY SOURCES IN NGC 1399 AND THE ANTENNAE GALAXIES (NGC 4038/4039)

Hua Feng and Philip Kaaret
Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Iowa, Van Allen Hall, Iowa City, IA 52242; hua-feng@uiowa.edu
Draft version November 23, 2013

ABSTRACT

The temporal and spectral properties of ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs, $L_{\rm X} > 2 \times 10^{39}$ ergs s^{-1}) and bright X-ray sources ($L_{\rm X} > 3 \times 10^{38}$ ergs s^{-1}) are examined and compared in two extremely different host environments: the old elliptical galaxy NGC 1399 and the young, starforming Antennae galaxies (NGC 4038/4039). ULXs in NGC 1399 show little variability on either long or short time scales. Only 1 of 8 ULXs and 10 of 63 bright sources in NGC 1399 are variable at a confidence level of 90%. On long timescales, the NGC 1399 sources are steadier than most Galactic black hole X-ray binaries, but similar to GRS 1915+105. The outburst duration of the NGC 1399 sources is about 20 yrs, again, similar to that of GRS 1915+105. The bright X-ray sources in NGC 1399 may be black hole X-ray binaries with giant star companions similar to GRS 1915+105. The brightest ULX (PSX-1) in NGC 1399 is coincident with a globular cluster, shows a hard spectrum with a photon index around 1.5, and has a nearly constant luminosity around 5×10^{39} erg s^{-1}. It may be an intermediate-mass black hole (IMBH) in a hard spectral state. In contrast to NGC 1399, the ULXs in the Antennae are all variable and a large fraction of the bright sources (9 of 15) are also variable. The variability and luminosity of ULXs in the Antennae suggest they are black hole high mass X-ray binaries accreting via Roche-lobe overflow. A flare with a duration of about 5 ks is found from Antennae X-42. The most luminous ULX, X-16, with a very hard spectrum ($\Gamma = 1.0\sim1.3$) and a luminosity which varies by a factor of 10, could be an IMBH candidate.

Subject headings: black hole physics — accretion, accretion disks — X-rays: binaries — X-rays: galaxies — galaxies: individual (NGC 1399, the Antennae (NGC 4038/4039))

1. INTRODUCTION

Ultraluminous X-ray sources are point-like X-ray sources in external galaxies with positions off the nucleus and apparent isotropic luminosities in excess of the Eddington luminosity of a $10M_{\odot}$ black hole ($\sim 2\times10^{39}$ ergs s^{-1}; for review see Fabbiano & White 2006; Miller & Colbert 2004). ULXs are often found in actively starforming galaxies, e.g. M82 (Kaaret et al. 2001) and the Antennae galaxies (Zezas et al. 2002a). There are statistically more ULXs in starburst galaxies compared to spiral galaxies (Kilgard et al. 2005). Kaaret et al. (2004) investigated three star burst galaxies and found X-ray sources were preferentially near star clusters but displaced with higher luminosity sources nearer to clusters. All these suggest that ULXs trace young stellar objects.

Some surveys have reported higher numbers of ULXs in elliptical galaxies than in spirals (Colbert & Ptak 2002), but the elliptical galaxies suffer more contamination from background sources (Lopez-Corredoira & Gutierrez 2006). Irwin et al. (2003) found that bright X-ray sources in elliptical galaxies have spectra consistent with Galactic X-ray binaries in the high state, but that the number of sources in the ULX range is consistent with the number of expected background sources. However, some elliptical galaxies contain ULXs associated with globular clusters, e.g. NGC 1399 (Angelini et al. 2001) and NGC 720 (Jeltema et al. 2003), which strongly suggests an association with the elliptical galaxy.

NGC 1399 and the Antennae are cases, respectively, of an elliptical galaxy and a starforming galaxy that host a large number of ULXs. Angelini et al. (2001) compared *Chandra* and *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) images and found 70% of low mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) in NGC 1399 were associated with globular clusters (GCs); the GC associated sources are brighter than field sources on average. The X-ray source population in NGC 1399 may be unusual because a recent survey of LMXBs in six elliptical galaxies shows that, in general, there is no significant difference in luminosity between GC associated and field LMXBs (Kim et al. 2005). Several ULXs in NGC 1399 are detected but their natures are still unclear; they could be IMBHs or multiple LMXBs (Angelini et al. 2001). X-ray sources in the Antennae have been well studied along with a series of observations from *Chandra* (Fabbiano et al. 2001; Zezas et al. 2002a). Comparison with optical images shows that the Antennae X-ray sources are predominately associated with young star clusters (Zezas et al. 2002b). The Antennae ULXs have spectra consistent with ULXs in nearby galaxies and are not supernova remnants because they are variable and lack radio emission (Zezas et al. 2002b). Zezas & Fabbiano (2002) argued that IMBHs could account for a few of the ULXs in the Antennae but not most of them, which were more likely to be supercritical accreting stellar mass X-ray binaries due to their displacement from young star clusters (Zezas et al. 2002a). The ULX X-37 in the Antennae has been identified as a background quasar (Clark et al. 2005).

The most interesting ULXs are potential IMBHs. NGC 1399 and the Antennae are two extremely different host environments for ULXs. The comprehensive connection between ULXs and young stellar objects makes ULXs in

TABLE 1
Chandra Observations of NGC 1399 and the Antennae.

Galaxy	index	obs. ID	exposure (ks)	Instrument	obs. date
NGC 1399	(a)	320	3.5	ACIS-I/NONE	1999 Oct 18
	(b)	319	55.9	ACIS-S/NONE	2000 Jan 18
	(c)	239	3.6	ACIS-I/NONE	2000 Jan 19
	(d)	49898	13.1	ACIS-S/HETG	2000 Jun 15
	(e)	240	43.5	ACIS-S/HETG	2000 Jun 16
	(f)	2389	14.7	ACIS-S/HETG	2001 May 08
	(g)	4172	44.5	ACIS-I/NONE	2003 May 26
The Antennae	(i)	315	72.2	ACIS-S/NONE	1999 Dec 01
	(ii)	3040	69.0	ACIS-S/NONE	2001 Dec 29
	(iii)	3043	67.1	ACIS-S/NONE	2002 Apr 18
	(iv)	3042	67.3	ACIS-S/NONE	2002 May 31
	(v)	3044	36.5	ACIS-S/NONE	2002 Jul 10
	(vi)	3718	34.7	ACIS-S/NONE	2002 Jul 13
	(vii)	3041	72.9	ACIS-S/NONE	2002 Nov 22

NGC 1399 mysterious. A comparison of ULXs between these two system may shed light on their natures. We analyzed *Chandra* archival data for both galaxies (§ 2) in order to measure their long-term and short-term time variability (§ 2.1) and spectral variation (§ 2.2). We discuss the results and the implications in § 3. We adopt the distance to NGC 1399 as 20.5 Mpc (Merritt & Ferrarese 2001) and the distance to the Antennae as 13.8 Mpc (Saviane et al. 2004). This new distance to the Antennae is obtained by measurement of the red giant branch tip and appears accurate to within 2 Mpc, while the older distance was estimated from the recession velocity. The new distance is more likely to be accurate. We note that this revised distance to the Antennae has reduced the Antennae source luminosities by a factor of 4 relative to previous X-ray studies.

2. CHANDRA OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Chandra has made seven observations of NGC 1399 during 1999-2003 and seven observations of the Antennae during 1999-2002. A study of some of the Antennae observations has previously been made (Fabbiano et al 2003). The observations are listed in Table 1, in which we assigned letters to index observations of NGC 1399 and Roman numerals to observations of the Antennae. Only data from the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) were used in this paper. Observations (a), (c), (g) were made with the ACIS-I and (b), (d), (e), (f) were from the ACIS-S but with the High Energy Transmission Grating Spectrometer (HETGS) applied on (d), (e) and (f). Observations from (i) to (vii) were all made with the ACIS-S detector.

Level 2 events files were reduced from level 1 data using CIAO 3.3 with CALDB 3.2.0. We created images and exposure maps for each observation and searched for point-like sources with the `wavdetect` command. Exposure maps were produced by assuming a source spectrum of power-law form with a photon index of 1.5 (Angelini et al. 2001; Zezas et al. 2002a) and a Galactic absorption along the line of sight of 1.34×10^{20} cm^2 for NGC 1399 and 3.83×10^{20} cm^2 for the Antennae (Dickey & Lockman 1990). A power-law spectrum and a disk blackbody spectrum have similar luminosities in the 0.3–10 keV band, but will be quite different in the energy range up to 100 keV. Since our data are only effective below 10 kev, an approximation with a single power-law spectrum is adequate. In NGC 1399, we selected only sources that were located at least 20 arcsec off the nucleus and within the D25 circle (6.9 arcmin in diameter from the RC3 catalog). In the Antennae, we selected only sources in the active starforming region, which is bounded by a 1.4 arcmin radius circle.

Since the absolute astrometry accuracy of Chandra is 0.6 arcsec[1], which is worse than the angular resolution, a correction for the aspect is necessary between observations before the same region could be applied in different observations for source selection. For NGC 1399, we selected all detected sources ($> 3\sigma$) in the region of interest as defined above in different observations. Then we took observation (b) as a reference and searched in other observations for matched sources that were located within 1 arcsec of the selected source in (b). A pointing offset was calculated by averaging the offsets of all matched sources for each observation. A similar correction was applied to observations of the Antennae with observation (i) used as the reference.

2.1. *X-ray Source Time Variability*

To examine source variability between observations, luminosity-luminosity (L-L) diagrams are presented for both galaxies. First, we selected two observations and generated source lists using `wavdetect`. Then we matched sources and found their luminosities in both observations. For an unmatched source in the list, we placed the same elliptical region in the other observation and calculated the net counts by subtracting the background level, estimated with `wavdetect`, from the total counts encircled by the ellipse. Then we derived the luminosity from the net counts normalized with the exposure map and the galaxy distance.

There are three long observations for NGC 1399: (b), (e), and (g). The L-L diagram between observation (b) and (e) is presented on the top panel of Fig. 1 and that between (b) and (g) is on the bottom panel of Fig. 1. A lower threshold of 3×10^{38} erg s^{-1} is placed to exclude

[1] radius of the 90% uncertainty circle, see `http://cxc.harvard.edu/cal/ASPECT/celmon/`




FIG. 1.— The 0.3-10 keV L-L diagrams for X-ray sources above 3×10^{38} ergs s^{-1} in NGC 1399 between observations (b) and (e) (top), and (b) and (g) (bottom). The dotted line indicates the lower threshold in luminosity, and the dashed lines enclose a region without variability considering a systematic error of $\sqrt{2}$.




FIG. 2.— The 0.3-10 keV L-L diagrams for X-ray sources above 3×10^{38} erg/s in the Antennae between observation (i) and all others. The dotted line indicates the lower threshold in luminosity, and the dashed lines enclose a region without variability considering a systematic error of $\sqrt{2}$.

most neutron stars as well as faint sources. If the source is undetected with a significance of 2σ, a 2σ upper limit is shown. Fig. 2 shows L-L diagrams for the Antennae sources between observation (i) and all others.

We emphasize that we calculate luminosity from counting rate assuming a fixed spectrum. Our quoted luminosities are the counting rate multiplied by a roughly constant factor (the factor is not exactly constant because different instrument modes were used for NGC 1399 and the detector response changed slowly for the Antennae). Because we are investigating the variability of individual sources, our comparisons of luminosities is very similar to a comparison of counting rates. If the assumed spectra form for a given source is incorrect, then the luminosities will be incorrect, but all luminosities for any individual source would be shifted by the same factor (if the spectral shape of an individual source varies between observations, then that would be evidence for variability). We choose to present luminosities instead of count rates, because the former are easier to compare with measurements of other sources.

We note that an estimate of the true luminosity depends on the spectral form assumed and the energy band used. The bolometric luminosity of the sources is likely larger than our quoted values, since emission is likely present both above and below the 0.3-10 keV band. To determine the magnitude of the uncertainty on the luminosity in our chosen energy band, we fit each source that has enough photons with an absorbed power-law and measured its intrinsic luminosity (the photon index and column density are allowed to vary; see details in Section 2.2). Then we fit the spectrum and obtained another estimate of the luminosity by fixing the absorption to the Galactic value and the photon index to 1.5. The ratio between these two luminosities varies between 0.6$\sim$1.2 for bright X-ray sources in NGC 1399 and 1$\sim$2 in the Antennae. Therefore, a bilateral systematic error of $\sqrt{2}$ is derived. We also checked with XSPEC that the systematic error of $\sqrt{2}$ could cover spectral changes from $\Gamma = 1.5-3$ for a single power-law spectrum, $kT = 1.5-3$ keV for a single disk blackbody spectrum, or a reasonable combination of them; the column density was fixed at the Galactic value for NGC 1399 but was varied from the Galactic value to 10^{21} cm^{-2} for the Antennae. That means this systematic error is valid for black hole binaries at most spectral states that have been observed in our Galaxy. The systematic error is shown as two dashed lines in the L-L diagram. Sources lying between the two dashed lines are considered constant within the accuracy of our measurement.

Lightcurves for the ULXs, which we define as having a luminosity in 0.3-10 keV band above 2×10^{39} ergs s^{-1} in at least one observation, and for the six most variable sources are shown in Fig. 3 for NGC 1399 and in Fig. 4 for the Antennae. The variability is defined as the maximum ratio in luminosities, which could be a number with a standard error if the lowest luminosity is detected or a 90% lower bound if the lowest luminosity is an upper limit. The luminosities in the lightcurves are derived slightly differently than those in the L-L diagram. First we generate a complete source list, which is based on detected sources in the reference observation ((b) or (i)), as well as sources only detected in other observations. We place the same circular region for a given source in all observations and calculate the luminosity. This difference in technique causes a mild difference with the luminosities in the L-L diagrams but is consistent within the errors. There are 8 ULXs found in NGC 1399, PSX-1, PSX-2, PSX-4, PSX-7, PSX-3, PSX-21, PSX-25 and PSX-6 (names are from Humphrey et al. 2003), sorted by the maximum luminosity. Among them, PSX-1, PSX-2, PSX-25 and PSX-6 are found to be associated with GCs. The GC identifications are from the *HST* image in Angelini et al. (2001) and the source list of Dirsch et al. (2003). There are 6 ULXs detected in the Antennae, X-16, X-11, X-44, X-42, X-37 and X-29 (names are from Zezas et al. 2002a), sorted in the same way. We note that the Antennae X-37 has been identified as a background quasar (Clark et al. 2005) and X-29 is the nucleus of NGC 4039 (Zezas et al. 2002b). We excluded these two ULXs as well as the nucleus of NGC 4038 (X-25) from all analysis in our paper.

For all the X-ray sources in NGC 1399 and the Antennae, we calculated their variability defined as described above. We defined the variability function for a population as the number of sources with a maximum variability larger than a certain value. The variability functions for all bright sources ($L_{\rm X} > 3 \times 10^{38}$ ergs s^{-1} in 0.3-10 keV) are shown in the top panel of Fig. 5. The fraction of bright sources which are variable (with a confidence level of 90% above the systematic error of $\sqrt{2}$) is 10/63 for NGC 1399 and 9/15 for the Antennae. The fraction of ULXs which are variable is 1/8 for NGC 1399 and 4/4 for the Antennae. For NGC 1399, the only variable ULX is PSX-21. The color of the optical counterpart ($C - R = 0.69$, Washington C and Kron R, Dirsch et al. (2003)) for this source is inconsistent with that of a globular cluster, but the color may be affected by a defect in the R-band image (T. Richtler private communication). Of the other 9 variable sources, only two have optical counterparts within $1''$. Therefore, the majority of the variable sources are not associated with globular clusters. This is in sharp contrast to the fact that 70% of all sources in NGC 1399 are associated with globular clusters (Angelini et al. 2001).

The detection threshold in all observations is well below our ULX luminosity threshold. However, the detection thresholds in the shortest observations of NGC 1399 is close to our luminosity cutoff of 3×10^{38} ergs s^{-1} for bright sources. We examined two long observations spaced by similar periods, i.e., (b) and (g) for NGC 1399 and (i) and (vii) for the Antennae, to check if the difference in variability between NGC 1399 and the Antennae still persists when only observations with detection thresholds well below our luminosity cutoff are used. We found that 7 of the 63 bright sources in NGC 1399 and 4 of the 15 bright sources in the Antennae are variable. This is consistent with the conclusions that bright X-ray sources in NGC 1399 are less variable than those in the Antennae.

To compare with the variability function of X-ray binaries with known characteristics, we selected 14 Galactic black hole LMXBs[2] and 25 neutron star LMXBs[3] and

[2] GRO J0422+32, GRO J1655−40, GRS 1009−45, GS 1124−684, GS 2000+250, GS 2023+338, GX 339−4, V4641 Sgr, X0620−003, X1543−475, X1705−250, XTE J1118+480,




FIG. 3.— Lightcurves of all ULXs (top) and 6 most variable sources (bottom) in NGC 1399. The labels indicate the maximum change in luminosities, which is a number with a standard error or a 90% upper limit. The dates for observations (c) and (e) are shifted to 30 days later for clarity.




FIG. 4.— Lightcurves of all ULXs (top) and 6 most variable sources (bottom) in the Antennae. The labels indicate the maximum change in luminosities, which is a number with a standard error or a 90% upper limit. The date for observation (vi) is shifted to 30 days later for clarity.




FIG. 5.— The X-ray variability function of bright sources ($L_{\rm max} > 3 \times 10^{38}$ ergs s^{-1}) in NGC 1399 and the Antennae (top). Their normalized variability functions are shown in the bottom panel to compare with variability functions of 14 black hole LMXBs, 25 neutron star LMXBs, the black hole LMXB GRS 1915+105 and the neutron star LMXB, 4U 1820−30, in a Galactic GC.

calculated their variability functions using data from the All Sky Monitor (ASM) onboard the *Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer* (*RXTE*). For each source, we got one variability value by sampling the ASM one day average lightcurve spaced in the same way as those seven *Chandra* observations of NGC 1399. Then we shifted the first date to 10 days later and got another value until there were 100 values. The variability of the source was derived from an average of these 100 values and then the variability functions were obtained, respectively, for sources of black hole and neutron star LMXBs. We sampled the ASM data spaced in the same way as the Antennae observations and the results looked similar. We also examined the variability of two individual Galactic sources: GRS 1915+105 and 4U 1820−30. The Galactic black hole binary GRS 1915+105 has a luminosity in the ULX range and is unusual in that it has been a persistent X-ray emitter for 14 years (Done et al. 2004). It is also the most massive stellar mass black hole that has been found so far. The bright neutron star LMXB 4U 1820−30 (=X1820−303) residing in the Galactic GC NGC 6624 has a similar environment with sources in NGC 1399 and is an ultracompact binary, though its luminosity is low relative to the sources considered here. The variability function for each of these sources was obtained from 100 ASM flux measurements. The normalized variability functions of selected black hole and neutron star LMXBs, GRS 1915+105, 4U 1820−30, NGC 1399 and the Antennae are shown together in the bottom panel of Fig. 5.

To estimate the typical outburst duration of sources in NGC 1399, we used the two deepest observations of NGC 1399. These are (b) and (g) and are separated by $\Delta T = 3.35$ yrs. If a source was detected in both observations, we assume the source lasted in its outburst state for ΔT. We found 60 bright sources and 8 ULXs had at least one detection in either (b) or (g) ,and among them 50 bright sources and 7 ULXs had two. Assuming a fixed outburst duration $T_{\rm B}$ for X-ray sources in NGC 1399, we estimated that $T_{\rm B} = \Delta T/(1-\eta)$, where η was the fraction of twice detected sources among sources with at least one detection. We thus derived $T_{\rm B} = 20.1$ yrs for bright sources and $T_{\rm B} = 26.8$ yrs for ULXs.

To search for short-term variability for every X-ray source in each observation, we used the K-S test to examine if the 0.3-10 keV X-ray count rate is constant. No source in NGC 1399 shows any short-term variability above a significance level of 3σ. However, four Antennae X-ray sources, including two ULXs, are variable with a significance level above 3σ. A 3.8σ probability of non-




FIG. 6.— Lightcurves for the Antennae X-16 in the observation (v) and X-42 in the observation (vii) and the PSD for X-42. The top panel shows lightcurves in 1-7 keV band binned with 20 ks. The bottom panel presents the PSD in the 1-7 keV band calculated from a 512-point FFT logarithmically binned by a factor of 1.2. The dotted line indicates the Poisson level, and points below which are plotted with 2σ upper limits.

XTE J1550−564, XTE J1859+226

[3] Cir X-1, Cyg X-2, GS 1826−238, GX 13+1, GX 17+2, GX 3+1, GX 340+0, GX 349+2, GX 354−0, GX 5−1, GX 9+1, GX 9+9, LMC X-2, Sco X-1, Ser X-1, X0614+091, X1254−690, X1543−624, X1608−522, X1705−440, X1735−444, X1812−121, X1820−303, X1822−000, X2127+119

TABLE 2
Spectral parameters with 90% errors of PSX-2 and PSX-4 in NGC 1399

source	model	$n_{\rm H}$ (cm^{-2})	kT (keV) or Γ	χ^2/dof
PSX-2	diskbb	$1.3^{+0.7}_{-0.0} \times 10^{20}$	$0.40^{+0.02}_{-0.05}$	30.6/29
	powerlaw	$6.1^{+1.4}_{-1.2} \times 10^{20}$	$2.7^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	37.7/29
PSX-4	diskbb	$1.3^{+1.1}_{-0.0} \times 10^{20}$	$0.42^{+0.03}_{-0.07}$	18.7/26
	powerlaw	$4.2^{+1.6}_{-1.5} \times 10^{20}$	$2.3^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	20.2/26

constant emission is shown for the Antennae X-16 in observation (v), and an 8.0σ level for X-42 in observation (vii). The total number of trials, equal to the number of detected sources multiplied by the number of observations is 105. Taking into account the number of trials, the variability from X-16 is significant at the 98.7% level, while the variability from X-42 is highly significant with a chance probability of occurrence of 4.1×10^{-7}. We examined the light curves in several bands and found that the variability is most pronounced in the 1-7 keV band; there is no significant variability below 1 keV. The 1-7 keV lightcurves for X-16 and X-42 and the power spectrum density (PSD) for X-42 are shown in Fig. 6. Strong variability at a time scale around 20 ks in X-42 is explicit. The PSD looks like a flat form that breaks at 4×10^{-4} Hz, which is similar to the break PSDs of Galactic black holes. However, the power spectrum is dominated by a single flare with a duration of about 5 ks about halfway through the observation. Therefore, it would be imprudent to over interpret the power spectrum and attempt to derive a mass for the compact object. We note that no significant power is detected in any other observation.

2.2. *X-ray Spectra for ULXs*

We examined all the X-ray spectra for ULXs in both galaxies with XSPEC 11.3.1. All sources in NGC 1399 and the Antennae could be reasonably described by an absorbed power-law, whereas some sources in the Antennae may show line features but with low significance (see Zezas et al. (2002a)). Two sources (PSX-2 and PSX-4) in NGC 1399 present an alternative favored fitting with a multicolor disk (MCD) blackbody (`diskbb` in XSPEC) model (see Fig. 7). All fittings adopt an absorption with solar abundance (`wabs` in XSPEC) as a free parameter but setting the lower bound to the Galactic value.

Fitting parameters of sources PSX-2 and PSX-4 are shown in Table 2. The best fitted 0.3-10 keV luminosity versus photon index in every observation that contains enough photons are plotted in Fig. 8 for NGC 1399 ULXs and Fig. 9 for the Antennae ULXs. The best fitted column densities for ULXs in NGC 1399 are consistent within 3σ with the Galactic value, except for PSX-2 in observation (b) which differs by nearly 6σ. The best fitted column densities for ULXs in the Antennae are shown on each plot panel in Fig. 9. All photon indices and luminosities in Fig. 8 & 9 are obtained by fitting a single power-law model with absorption.

In Fig. 8, a weak correlation between the luminosity and the power-law photon index is found for all ULXs except PSX-1 in NGC 1399 with a correlation coefficient




Fig. 7.— X-ray spectra of the source PSX-2 (top) and PSX-4 (bottom) in NGC 1399 from observation (b) fitted by a `diskbb` spectrum. The referred disk temperatures are 0.40 keV and 0.42 keV, respectively for PSX-2 and PSX-4. However, both data sets can also be fitted by a power-law model with a higher absorption. See Table 2 for all fitting parameters.

of 0.66 and a probability of 3.7% for a coincidence from uncorrelated data. This correlation does not exist in all ULXs in the Antennae, but in observations for individual sources X-11, X-44 and X-42, the correlation coefficients with chance probabilities in brackets are 0.61(14.6%), −0.57(17.5%) and −0.66(10.6%), respectively. These correlations are weak and more observations are needed to expand the samples.

3. DISCUSSION

The most dramatic difference between NGC 1399 and the Antennae is that the ULXs as well as the bright X-ray sources in the elliptical galaxy are less variable. In Fig. 1, only a couple sources show apparent variability in the L-L diagram of NGC 1399 between (b)-(e) or (b)-(g) observations. In Fig. 2, the number of sources in the Antennae with significant variability is obviously larger than that in NGC 1399. This is verified by lightcurves of ULXs and the variability functions of bright sources.

The variability functions of Galactic LMXBs show that most black holes are highly variable, with the notable exception of GRS 1915+105, whereas bright neutron stars are less variable. The normalized variability function (bottom panel of Fig. 5) presents the bright sources in NGC 1399 as similar to 4U 1820−30, a little less variable than the group of neutron star LMXBs and GRS 1915+105, and far less variable than the group of black hole LMXBs. ULXs in NGC 1399 are even less variable than other bright sources, with 7 of 8 showing constant flux and the other having little variability. None of the

bright X-ray sources or ULXs in NGC 1399 show short-term variability with a significance level of 3σ in any individual observation. The lack of variability should provide a strong constraint on the nature of these sources.




FIG. 8.— 0.3-10 keV luminosity vs. power-law photon index (Γ) for the 8 ULXs in NGC 1399. All points in the plot come from observations that have enough photons for a spectral fitting. PSX-1, PSX-2, PSX-4 and PSX-7 have two points from observations (b) and (g), and rest sources have one from the observation (b). The absorption in the fitting is set as a free parameter but with the lower bound to the Galactic value (1.34×10^{20} cm^{-2}). The best fitted $n_{\rm H}$ are all close or equal to the Galactic value (less than 3σ except PSX-2 in observation (b), which is nearly 6σ).

The long term variability also allow us to estimate the outburst duration of X-ray sources in NGC 1399. We found that the outburst duration is around 20 yrs for both bright X-ray sources and ULXs.

A natural way to produce low variability would be if the bright X-ray sources in NGC 1399 consist of multiple unresolved LMXBs. However, a large number of sources is required. From the ASM data, we estimated that neutron star LMXBs are above 10% of their maximum flux 80% of the time, while black holes are above their 10% of their maximum flux only 15% of time (the estimate for black holes is an upper bound because several of our selected black hole systems have had only one outburst during the lifetime of RXTE). Using the average luminosity of 4U 1820−30 of $\sim 5 \times 10^{37}\,{\rm erg\,s^{-1}}$, about 8 neutron star binaries would be needed to produce the least luminous of our bright sources and 50 would be needed to produce the least luminous ULX. Large numbers of neutron stars are known to be present in some globular clusters in our galaxy, e.g. there are around 50 in the Galactic GC NGC 6266 (Pooley et al. 2003), but only very rarely does a cluster contain more than one bright X-ray source. It is very puzzling to understand how individual clusters in NGC 1399 could contain so many bright X-ray sources. Though the luminosity of black holes in the accreting phase is, generally, several times larger than that of neutron stars, the smaller duty cycle partially offsets the increased luminosity and comparable numbers of black hole binaries are needed to reach the same luminosity.

Perhaps, the most promising interpretation of the NGC 1399 bright sources is that they are are individual black holes of a type similar to GRS 1915+105. As noted above, GRS 1915+105 has been a persistent X-ray source since its discovery 14 years ago (Castro-Tirado et al. 1994) and has reached luminosities in the ULX range. The variability function of GRS 1915+105 is similar to




FIG. 9.— 0.3-10 keV luminosity vs. power-law photon index (Γ) for the 4 ULXs in the Antennae in seven observations. The absorption in the fitting is set as a free parameter but with the lower bound to the Galactic value (3.83×10^{20} cm^{-2}). The best fitted $n_{\rm H}$ values are consistent in all observations and the weighted average number is shown for each source.

that of the NGC 1399 bright sources. The companion

star in GRS 1915+105 is a K or M giant (Greiner et al. 2001). The time scale for the evolution of such a binary system to the mass-transferring phase is roughly 6 Gyr (Belczynski & Bulik 2002). Allowing for different companion star masses, similar mass transferring binaries could currently be present in either the old ($\sim$ 11 Gyr) or young ($\sim$ 2 Gyr) globular clusters in NGC 1399 (Forbes et al. 2001). King et al. (2002) has previously suggested that the ULXs in ellipticals may be similar to GRS 1915+105. Piro & Bildsten (2002) suggested that the bright X-ray sources in ellipticals are X-ray binaries with Roche-lobe filling giant companions with mass transfer driven by nuclear evolution of the companion. They predicted outburst durations similar to what we find for NGC 1399. For the sources associated with globular clusters, another possibility is that the companion stars are initially isolated stars which are captured by the black hole after evolving to the giant phase. The capture cross-section is enhanced for stars in the giant phase.

However, GRS 1915+105 shows strong variability on short time scales, which we do not observe from the bright X-ray sources in NGC 1399. The sensitivity of Chandra to short timescale variability is limited due to the low counting rate, but our detection of short timescale variability from sources in the Antennae (with comparable counting rates) indicates that similar flares would have been detected from the NGC 1399 sources. The short timescale variability of sources in NGC 1399 should be investigated in more detail with new observations.

Bildsten & Deloye (2004) has suggested that the bright X-ray sources in NGC 1399 may be neutron stars accreting from white dwarf companions in ultracompact binaries, similar to 4U 1820−30. The variability of 4U 1820−30 is similar to the NGC 1399 bright sources. Hence, neutron star ultracompact binaries may account for some or all of the bright sources if mildly super-Eddington (apparent) luminosities can be achieved. However, the short lifetimes expected for the high accretion rates required given the very low-mass companions ($0.04 - 0.08 M_{\odot}$) make it unlikely that the ULXs in NGC 1399 are neutron star ultracompact binaries. Another possible interpretation of the bright sources is that they are neutron-star binaries similar to the neutron-star X-ray binaries known as Z sources. Z sources are persistent with variability similar to that seen from the NGC 1399 sources. Again, mildly super-Eddington (apparent) luminosities would be required to produce the dimmest of the bright sources and such objects are unlikely to explain the ULXs. Interestingly, Webbink, Rappaport, & Savonije (1983) suggested that the Z sources have giant star companions with mass loss driven by nuclear evolution. This would make the systems the neutron star analogs of black hole/giant star binaries such as GRS 1915+105.

The three most luminous X-ray sources in NGC 1399 are interesting. The brightest one, PSX-1, has a hard spectrum ($\Gamma = 1.2 \sim 1.5$), little variability ($L_{\rm max}/L_{\rm min} = 2.2 \pm 0.8$), and a high luminosity around 5×10^{39} ergs s^{-1}. The spectrum indicates the source is not in its high/soft state ($\Gamma = 2.1 - 4.8$) but at the hard extreme of the low/hard state ($\Gamma = 1.5 - 2.1$) (McClintock & Remillard 2006). The low variability indicates that the source is probably not relativistically beamed (Körding et al. 2002), because relativistically beamed sources are expected to be highly variable. This source is associated with a bright GC with $C - R = 2.12$, $m_R = 21.02$ (Dirsch et al. 2003), which means it is unlikely to be a background AGN. A huge number of sources would be required to explain the emission as a collection of neutron star or black hole X-ray binaries. If the source is an IMBH in the low state, its practically constant luminosity is reasonable if compared to the long-term behavior of M82 X-1, which varies in luminosity by a factor of 2-3 (Kaaret et al. 2006a,b) and shows a hard spectrum with a power-law index $\Gamma \approx 1.6$ (Kaaret et al. 2006b).

NGC 1399 PSX-2 and PSX-4 display typical behaviors of black holes in the high/soft state. PSX-2 is associated with a bright GC ($C - R = 2.24$, $m_R = 21.36$) but PSX-4 is not. The plausible cool disk ($kT \approx 0.4$ keV) emission is consistent with the soft spectral index ($\Gamma \approx 2.5$). Their low variability ($L_{\rm max}/L_{\rm min} = 2.5 \pm 0.7$ for PSX-2 and 1.5 ± 0.6 for PSX-4) is suggestive of some Galactic black holes in the high state, but no Galactic source has been found to remain in the high state for four years (McClintock & Remillard 2006). The cool disk has been a useful probe in finding IMBH candidates (Colbert & Mushotzky 1999; Kaaret et al. 2003; Miller et al. 2004; Feng & Kaaret 2005). However, their disk temperatures are somewhat higher than most IMBH candidates, and their luminosities ($2 \sim 3 \times 10^{39}$ ergs s^{-1}) are also around the lower bound of those candidates (Miller et al. 2004; Feng & Kaaret 2005). These sources do not have high mass companion stars to produce geometrically beamed emission as suggested by King et al. (2001). Therefore, it could be possible that these two sources are $10\sim100 M_{\odot}$ black holes emitting at the high state if the disk components are true. One possible way to determine the reality of the disk emission is an accurate measurement of the column density, since these two sources, in particular PSX-2, show significantly higher intrinsic absorption if modeled by a single power-law spectrum.

The bright X-ray sources in the Antennae are variable. This suggests that they are black hole binaries and accreting from massive stars to produce unstable disks (Kalogera et al. 2004). They are not as variable as black hole LMXBs (Fig. 5). Considering the variability together with their high luminosity, we suggest they are HMXBs accreting via Roche-lobe overflow. There is no disk emission found in any of the 4 ULXs.

The most luminous source, X-16, manifests a very hard spectra ($\Gamma = 1.0 - 1.3$) and has strong variability with a change of luminosity of $\sim$10 (Fig. 9). The source could be an IMBH with a mass of a few hundred to thousand $M_{\odot}$ emitting at the hard state. The X-ray behavior is also consistent with a relativistically beamed jet pointing at us, but Zezas et al. (2002b) pointed out that there is no radio emission found from any ULX in the Antennae. It is interesting that none of the ULXs shows the very soft spectrum normally seen in ULXs in nearby galaxies (Feng & Kaaret 2005). We note that X-37 was reported to have a soft component in its spectrum, but has been subsequently identified as a background AGN. X-11 and X-42 are likely to be in the hard state ($\Gamma \leq 2$), X-44 looks like it is between the hard and soft states ($\Gamma \sim 2$). A plausible correlation ($r = 0.61$) is found in X-11 between

luminosity and Γ, which shows similar behaviors as stellar mass black holes, but we should be cautious because of the weak correlation coefficient. The X-ray properties of these three sources are consistent with HMXBs, but whether they are IMBHs or $\sim 20 M_{\odot}$ black holes emitting in near or super Eddington luminosities is still unclear.

We thank Tom Richtler for providing us the GC list in NGC 1399 and giving instructions on the GC identification and an anonymous referee for comments which improved our interpretation of the results. HF thanks Zach Prieskorn for helping correct the English in the paper. We acknowledge support from NASA grants HST-GO-10001 and Chandra grant GO4-5086A. PK acknowledges support from a University of Iowa Faculty Scholar Award.

REFERENCES

Angelini, L., Loewenstein, M., & Mushotzky, R. F. 2001, ApJ, 557, L35
Belczynski, K. & Bulik, T. 2002, ApJ, 574, L147
Bildsten, L., & Deloye, C. J. 2004, ApJ, 607, L119
Castro-Tirado, A.J., Brandt, S., Lund, N., Lapshov, I., Sunyaev, R.A., Shlyapnikov, A.A., Guziy, S., Pavlenko, E.P. 1994, ApJS, 92, 469
Clark, D. M. et al. 2005, ApJ, 631, L109
Colbert, E. J. M., & Ptak, A. F. 2002, ApJS, 143, 25
Colbert, E. J. M., & Mushotzky, R. F. 1999, ApJ, 519, 89
Dickey, J. M., & Lockman, F. J. 1990, ARA&A, 28, 215
Dirsch, B., Richtler, T., Geisler, D., Forte, J. C., Bassino, L. P., & Gieren, W. P. 2003, AJ, 125, 1908
Done, C., Wardziński, G., & Gierliński, M. 2004, MNRAS, 349, 393
Fabbiano, G., & White, N. E. 2006, Compact Stellar X-ray Sources in Normal Galaxies, eds. W. H. G. Lewin and M. van der Klis (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), astro-ph/0307077
Fabbiano, G., Zezas, A., Murray, S. S. 2001, ApJ, 554, 1035
Fabbiano, G., Zezas, A., King, A. R., Ponman, T. J., Rots, A., & Schweizer, F. 2003, ApJ, 584, L5
Feng, H., & Kaaret, P. 2005, ApJ, 633, 1052
Forbes, D. A., Beasley, M. A., Brodie, J. P., & Kissler-Patig, M. 2001, ApJ, 563, L143
Greiner, J., Cuby, J. G., McCaughrean, M. J., Castro-Tirado, A. J., & Mennickent, R. E. 2001, A&A, 373, L37
Humphrey, P. J., Fabbiano, G., Elvis, M., Church, M. J., & Bałucińska-Church, M. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 134
Irwin, J. A., Athey, A. E., & Bregman, J. N. 2003, ApJ, 587, 356
Jeltema, T. E., Canizares, C. R., Buote, D. A., Garmire, G. P. 2003, ApJ, 585, 756
Kaaret, P., Prestwich, A. H., Zezas, A., Murray, S. S., Kim, D.-W., Kilgard, R. E., Schlegel, E. M., Ward, M. J. 2001, MNRAS, 321, L29
Kaaret, P., Corbel, S., Prestwich, A. H., & Zezas, A. 2003, Science, 299, 365
Kaaret, P., Alonso-Herrero, A., Gallagher, J. S., Fabbiano, G., Zezas, A., & Rieke, M. J. 2004, MNRAS, 348, L28
Kaaret, P., Simet, M. G., & Lang, C. C. 2006, Science, 311, 491
Kaaret, P., Simet, M. G., & Lang, C. C. 2006, submitted to ApJ
Kalogera, V., Henninger, M., Ivanova, N., & King, A. R. 2004, ApJ, 603, L41
Kilgard, R. E. et al. 2005, ApJ, 159, 214
Kim, E., Kim, D.-W., Fabbiano, G., Lee, M. G., Park, H. S, Geisler, D., & Dirsch, B. 2005, astro-ph/0510817
King, A. R., Davies, M. B., Ward, M. J., Fabbiano, G., & Elvis, M. 2001, ApJ, 552, L109
King, A. R. 2002, MNRAS, 335, L13
King, A. R. 2006, in Compact Stellar X-ray Sources in Normal Galaxies, eds. W. H. G. Lewin and M. van der Klis (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), astro-ph/0301118
Körding, E., Falcke, H., & Markoff, S. 2002, A&A, 382, L13
Lopez-Corredoira, M. & Gutierrez, C.M. 2006, A&A in press, astro-ph/0602537
McClintock, J. E., & Remillard, R. A. 2006, in Compact Stellar X-ray Sources in Normal Galaxies, eds. W.H.G. Lewin and M. van der Klis (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), astro-ph/0306213
Merritt, D., & Ferrarese, L. 2001, MNRAS, 320, L30
Miller, M. C. & Colbert, E. J. M. 2004, Int. J. Mod. Phys., 13, 1, astro-ph/0308402
Miller, J. M., Fabian, A. C., & Miller M. C. 2004, ApJ, 614, L117
Piro, A. L., & Bildsten, L. 2002, ApJ, 571, L103
Pooley, D. et al. 2003, ApJ, 591, L131
Saviane, I., Hibbard, J. E., & Rich, R. M. 2004, AJ, 127, 660
Webbink, R.F., Rappaport, S., Savonije, G.J. 1983, ApJ, 270, 678
Zezas, A., Fabbiano, G. 2002, ApJ, 577, 726
Zezas, A., Fabbiano, G., Rots, A.H., Murray, S.S. 2002a, ApJS, 142, 239
Zezas, A., Fabbiano, G., Rots, A. H., Murray, S. S. 2002b, ApJ, 577, 710