arXiv:astro-ph/9706089v1 10 Jun 1997

SELF-GENERATED MAGNETIC FIELDS IN GALACTIC COOLING FLOWS[1]

William G. Mathews[2] and Fabrizio Brighenti[3]
[2]University of California Observatories/Lick Observatory, Board of Studies in Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064
mathews@lick.ucsc.edu
[3]Dipartimento di Astronomia, Università di Bologna, via Zamboni 33, Bologna 40126, Italy
brighenti@astbo3.bo.astro.it

ABSTRACT

Interstellar magnetic fields in elliptical galaxies have their origin in stellar fields that accompany normal mass loss from an evolving population of old stars. It is likely that these seed fields are amplified by interstellar turbulence which in turn is driven by stellar mass loss and supernova events. Since the local turbulent velocity is likely to exceed the global velocity of the interstellar cooling flow, magnetic fields are expected to be disordered and this is indicated by numerous observations. Further amplification occurs as these tangled fields are compressed in the inward moving cooling flow of the interstellar gas. Near the centers of galactic cooling flows, where the gas radiates away most of its thermal and gravitational energy, magnetic stresses are expected to dominate. We study here the time-dependent growth of interstellar magnetic fields in elliptical galaxies and demonstrate that fields similar in strength to those observed can be generated solely from natural galactic processes.

Although interstellar turbulent velocities and therefore the equipartition field B_e are larger near the galactic center, the interstellar field throughout elliptical galaxies can be determined by the outermost turbulent regions in the interstellar gas. This occurs whenever the increase in the field strength due to compression in the galactic cooling flow exceeds the rate of field amplification by local turbulence, i.e. when $B > B_e$. The magnitude of the interstellar field depends on the most distant radius in the galactic ISM at which the turbulent dynamo process can successfully have amplified stellar seed fields. Because of the long hydrodynamic flow times in galactic cooling flows, currently observed magnetic fields may result from periods of turbulent field amplification that occurred in the outer galaxy in the distant past. In our most optimistic turbulent dynamo models in which field reconnection is ignored, tangled interstellar magnetic fields of $B \sim 1 - 10\ (r/10\ \mathrm{kpc})^{-1.2}\mu\mathrm{G}$ are typical; these are consistent with observed fields. However, the sensitivity of the galactic magnetic field to poorly known historical turbulent conditions in the outer galaxy complicates estimates of field strengths that can be produced internally. Internal fields in ellipticals may also result from ancient galactic mergers or from shear turbulence introduced at the boundary between the interstellar gas and ambient cluster gas.

Subject headings: galaxies: evolution – galaxies: magnetic field – galaxies: interstellar gas

1. INTRODUCTION

It is likely that gas observed in the central regions of elliptical galaxies is dominated by magnetic stresses. The chaotic, non-equilibrium appearance of dusty clouds of cool gas apparent in HST observations in the cores of many elliptical galaxies (e.g. van Dokkum & Franx 1995) is often ascribed to the effects of a recent merger. However, it may be more likely that the disorganized appearance of these clouds reflects the well known inability of magnetic fields to reach quiescent configurations in differentially rotating, gravitationally bound fluids. In addition it is plausible that strong magnetic forces in the gas that cools near the centers of elliptical galaxies may influence the initial mass function or other aspects of star formation associated with galactic cooling flows.

The interstellar medium in ellipticals and its magnetic field can be regarded as a result of cumulative stellar mass loss from an evolving population of old stars. Gas ejected from orbiting stars thermalizes a significant fraction of its kinetic energy and settles into a quasi-hydrostatic equilibrium with temperatures near the virial temperature of the galactic potential, $T_{vir} \sim 10^7$ K. The hot gas then loses energy by emitting the thermal X-rays that are observed and slowly sinks in the galactic potential where it is compressed and maintained approximately isothermal. Although these subsonic inflows are commonly referred to as galactic "cooling flows," the cooling occurs only in the central regions where radiative losses finally overwhelm compressional heating.

The hot interstellar medium in elliptical galaxies operates like a magnetic distillery, first amplifying fields introduced in stellar ejecta then concentrating this magnetic energy in high density gas near the center of the galactic cooling flow where intense radiative cooling occurs. Small fields contained in winds and planetary nebulae expelled from red giants are thought to be amplified further by turbulent motions in the interstellar medium (ISM). Even in the presence of turbulent amplification magnetic stresses at large galactic radii are expected to be small compared to the pressure in the hot interstellar gas. However, the interstellar gas compresses as it slowly flows toward the galactic center and the field strength must also grow by flux conservation $B \sim \rho^{2/3}$. The ratio of magnetic to (isothermal) gas pressure also increases with gas density, $B^2/\rho \sim \rho^{1/3}$. Finally, as gas cools near the central parts of the cooling flow, the thermal support of the gas drops precipitously and magnetic stresses are distilled out to support the remaining ionized gas against gravity and external gas pressure.

Soker & Sarazin (1990) discussed the spherical, steady state evolution of completely disordered, frozen-in fields in cluster-scale cooling flows. They chose the field strength to be 1 μG at a radius of 50 kpc. Within ~ 5 kpc in their models the magnetic pressure grew by compression in the cooling flow to be comparable to the gas pressure, but further amplification was not thought to be possible because of loss of field by reconnection. Since the cooling flow accelerates as the galactic center is approached, Soker & Sarazin noted that an initially random field would develop a large scale radial component. More recently Christodoulou & Sarazin (1996) computed the time-dependent evolution of large scale toroidal and axial fields in cluster cooling flows adapted to cylindrical geometry. Although $B^2/8\pi$ may be small relative to the local gas pressure, the origin of $\sim 1\mu$G fields assumed by Soker & Sarazin and Christodoulou & Sarazin at the start of their calculations must be understood. Primordial field production prior to galaxy formation results in much smaller fields ($\lesssim 10^{-15}$ G) (Rees 1987; Lesch & Chiba 1995). But the high metallicity in gas in clusters of galaxies implies that much of this gas was enriched and expelled by Type II supernovae out of galaxies in which interstellar field growth mechanisms were already available. Thus cluster-scale magnetic fields probably have their origin in fields generated in the ISM of cluster galaxies.

Our interest here is to explore the growth of interstellar magnetic fields in individual elliptical galaxies

in a self-consistent fashion beginning with small seed fields contained in stellar ejecta. We assume that stellar dynamos are efficient in producing fields in stellar envelopes. Stellar mass loss eventually transports these fields into the ISM where the field strength is greatly diminished by adiabatic expansion. However, recent theoretical work (Lesch & Bender 1990; Moss & Shukurov 1996) has suggested that some the kinetic and thermal energy that accompanies stellar mass loss processes in ellipticals can also drive turbulent motions in the ISM which in turn amplify the magnetic field to $\sim 1 - 10$ μG. In this paper we shall assume with these authors that relatively small interstellar magnetic fields can grow by this turbulent dynamo. We describe how large scale fields may develop from random fields, but we suppose for simplicity that most of the field remains random on small spatial scales ($\lesssim 0.1$ kpc say). The growth of magnetic forces in the ISM may ultimately be limited by field reconnection which we allow for in an approximate way.

Unfortunately, the physical processes that control the turbulent growth of galactic magnetic fields are poorly understood and controversial. In our Galaxy the mean field $\alpha - \Omega$ dynamo has been used to describe the amplification of small seed fields to equipartition with interstellar turbulence (Ruzmaikin, Shukurov, & Sokoloff 1988). Equipartition of magnetic and turbulent energy densities is in fact observed. Fields in spiral disks can grow both by turbulence and differential rotation. Enhancement of very small seed fields ($\ll 10^{-6}$ G) by the turbulent dynamo process is attractive because amplification by differential rotation in the Galactic disk is limited by the relatively few rotations (50 - 100 times) experienced by the Galaxy during its lifetime. However, several authors (Vainshtein & Cattaneo 1992; Kulsrud & Anderson 1992) have argued that the linear turbulent dynamo cannot amplify very small seed fields to equipartition values. Kulsrud & Anderson assert, for example, that the field strength can grow exponentially with time to equipartition only on small spatial scales where it is dissipated into heat while the mean field at intermediate and large spatial scales grows to saturation far below equipartition values. The (damping) reaction of the field on the background hydrodynamic turbulence is also considered. These authors claim that the failure of dynamo theory to account for fields of equipartition strength implies that Galactic fields must be primordial. But fields expected in proto-Galactic gas are likely to be much lower than those currently observed (Lesch & Chiba 1995; Beck et al. 1996). Field (1995) has reviewed this apparent difficulty with the mean field dynamo theory and suggests that a more appropriate application of the Kulsrud-Anderson formalism would be to study the *steady-state* distribution of spectral field components in response to a constant input of turbulent energy on large scales. But an extension of the theory to include non-linear terms may also be necessary. In their recent comprehensive review of galactic fields Beck et al. (1996) note the apparent inadequacy of the linear theory in addressing turbulent growth; a non-linear turbulent dynamo theory would include inverse cascade of small scale field energy to larger scales as well as non-linear aspects of small scale dissipation. Finally, Beck et al. (1996) note that fields observed in the Galactic ISM are not concentrated to small spatial scales as the linear turbulent dynamo theory would predict.

In view of these difficulties and possible shortcomings of mean field dynamo theory, we adopt here a simplified model that allows turbulent growth of mean interstellar fields in elliptical galaxies. We are encouraged to take this deliberately optimistic approach by analogy with equipartition fields observed in the ISM of our own Galaxy and by the arguments of Moss & Shukurov (1996) and others that turbulent dynamos are likely to be effective in elliptical galaxies. By assuming the validity of turbulent dynamos, we can estimate the (maximum) field strengths expected in the ISM of elliptical galaxies from internal processes alone; no *ad hoc* initial galactic field is required.

We have been motivated to study the evolution of magnetic fields in ellipticals by our recent work on the nature of cooling flows in slowly rotating ellipticals (Brighenti & Mathews 1996). We showed there that a small rotation of the stellar component, similar to those observed in most large ellipticals ($\sim 50 - 100$ km

s^{-1}), causes the interstellar gas to cool onto a very large disk comparable in size to the half-light radius. Most of the new gas reaching the disk arrives at its outer edge so that the cooling disk slowly grows with the age of the galaxy. As hot gas approaches the relatively high density regions near the outer edge of the cooling disk, its thermal X-ray image resembles a bright disk or torus in the equatorial plane. Near the equatorial plane the gas spins up to the circular velocity which is much larger ($\sim 400 - 500$ km s^{-1}) than that of the stellar system. We estimated that a small, dynamically insignificant magnetic field would amplify by a factor of $\gtrsim 5$ in the differentially rotating flow as hot gas approaches the edge of the cooling disk. We speculated that the corresponding increase in magnetic forces, by $\gtrsim 25$, might be sufficient to limit the maximum compression and X-ray visibility of the hot torus just beyond the edge of the cooling disk. If interstellar magnetic fields are sufficiently large, the X-ray images of rotating ellipticals may appear to be more circular than those predicted by Brighenti & Mathews (1966). This type of magnetic influence on the X-ray image may be necessary to account for the apparent circularity of *Einstein* and ROSAT images of several bright ellipticals in the Virgo cluster. Alternatively, the less flattened X-ray appearance of these galaxies could simply result from a fortuitous orientation of galactic rotation axes along the line of sight. In any case, the growth and evolution of magnetic fields in spherical, non-rotating galaxies which we discuss here is a necessary first step toward a more complete study of field amplification and X-ray morphology in slowly rotating ellipticals.

Our approach to field amplification is similar to that of Moss and Shukurov (1996) who describe the growth of magnetic fields in the turbulent interstellar environment energized by supernova explosions and the orbital energy of stellar ejecta. We extend the discussion of Moss and Shukurov to consider how the field is further increased (i) by compression as the cooling flow approaches the galactic core and (ii) by the greater interstellar turbulence expected in past times when the stellar mass loss and supernova rates were both larger. Our calculation is necessarily approximate since many theoretical aspects of the turbulent dynamo process and field reconnection are based on dimensional arguments. Our models are exploratory, not definitive.

We begin with a brief review of magnetic fields observed in elliptical galaxies then proceed to the description of our time-dependent models and the resulting magnetic field distributions.

2. OBSERVATIONAL EVIDENCE FOR MAGNETIC FIELDS IN ELLIPTICALS

The common signposts for the presence of magnetic fields in spiral galaxies – polarization of aligned dust grains, polarized emission from relativistic electrons and Zeeman splitting – are not available in ellipticals due in part to the relative absence of dust (Tsai & Mathews 1996a; b), the infrequency of supernova explosions and the absence of distributed cold HI gas. By far the best means of detecting fields in ellipticals is through the differential Faraday depolarization of radio sources by fields within the hot, X-ray emitting cooling flow gas which lies along the line of sight. In most FR II-type double radio sources the jet that powers the radio lobes is visible at radio frequencies on only one side. Laing (1988) first noted that the radio polarization was systematically higher on the jet-side which is also the near side, assuming that radiation from the jet is made more visible by relativistic Doppler beaming. If near and far lobes are otherwise identical, the depolarization of the more distant lobe must arise in the galactic ISM, not within the lobes or in a shell of gas adjacent to the radio lobe. The body of evidence supporting this correlation has grown considerably, both for lobes in large ellipticals and in small clusters of galaxies (Garrington et al. 1988; Garrington, Conway, & Leahy 1991; Garrington & Conway 1991). The depolarization asymmetry

is particularly strong for projected lobe separations of galactic dimension, $\lesssim 100$ kpc. By comparing the relative Faraday depolarization of two lobes it is possible to determine the mean product of the electron density and net line of sight field strength to the center of the galaxy. A typical value is $\langle nB_{\|} \rangle \approx 10^{-3}$ cm^{-3} μG, implying $B \sim 1 - 10$ μG for plasma densities characteristic of the hot interstellar gas. These values are based on models in which the magnetic field is uniform in many small cells which are randomly oriented along the line of sight through the hot ISM (Burn 1966). Randomly directed fields are also implied by the patterns of differential radiofrequency Faraday rotation observed at high spatial resolution across the face of extended radio lobes or regions (e.g. Strom & Jägers 1988; Owen, Eilek, & Keel, 1990; Clarke, Burns, & Norman 1992). Magnetic fields even larger than those indicated above may be present if they are tangled on spatial scales much less than the radio resolution limit. Finally, Greenfield, Roberts, & Burke (1985) observed differential radio polarization in two gravitationally-lensed images of a distant quasar which they attribute to magnetic fields in the hot ISM of the intervening CD galaxy lens.

We conclude that the hot gas in elliptical galaxies that participates in galactic scale cooling flows contains magnetic fields that are comparable to those in spirals and that a significant component of this field is spatially disordered.

3. MAGNETIC COOLING FLOWS

The equations that describe the evolution of hydromagnetic cooling flows in elliptical galaxies are the usual conservation equations modified with appropriate source terms:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \rho \mathbf{v} = \alpha \rho_*, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\rho \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} \right] = -\nabla P + \frac{1}{4\pi}(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} - \rho \nabla \Phi - \alpha \rho_* (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_*), \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\rho \left[\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\varepsilon \right] = \frac{P}{\rho} \left[\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\rho \right] - \frac{\rho^2 f L(T)}{m_p^2}$$
$$+ \alpha \rho_* \left[\varepsilon_o - \frac{P}{\rho} - \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_*|^2 \right] + \frac{B^2}{8\pi \tau_{rec}}. \tag{3.3}$$

The galactic potential Φ is appropriate for a stellar density distribution $\rho_*(r)$ and a dark matter halo. Except for the magnetic force term in the equation of motion (3.2) and heating due to reconnection of magnetic fields, the last term in equation (3.3), these equations are identical to those used by Brighenti and Mathews (1996).

In the absence of plasma resistivity and field sources, the magnetic field evolves according to the usual frozen-in condition:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}). \tag{3.4}$$

However, for applications to the interstellar medium of elliptical galaxies, it is necessary to include source terms due to fields ejected by evolving stars, turbulent growth, and the loss of field due to reconnection. These modifications will be developed in the following sections.

Although the non-magnetic source terms in equations (3.1) - (3.3) have been described in detail by Brighenti and Mathews (1996), for completeness we shall briefly review them again here. New gas is

introduced into the interstellar medium by mass ejection from normally evolving stars formed in a single burst at time $t = 0$. The specific rate of mass loss is proportional to the local stellar density $\alpha_*\rho_*$ (gm cm^{-3} s^{-1}) where

$$\alpha_*(t) = \alpha(t_n)(t/t_n)^{-1.3} \tag{3.5}$$

(Mathews 1989). Here $t_n = 15$ Gyr represents the present time and $\alpha(t_n) = 5.4 \times 10^{-20}$ s^{-1}, valid for a wide variety of initial mass functions (Mathews 1989). On average this new gas appears in the galaxy at zero velocity relative to the net local stellar velocity $\mathbf{v}_*$ which is non-zero only for rotating galaxies. The negative source term in equation (3.2) represents the momentum drag on the local gas flow $\mathbf{v}$ as new gas created with velocity $\mathbf{v}_*$ is accelerated to the local flow velocity $\mathbf{v}$.

Equation (3.3) for the conservation of specific thermal energy $\varepsilon = 3k_BT/2\mu m_p$ (m_p is the proton mass) contains a negative term representing optically thin radiative losses by thermal emission as computed by Raymond, Cox & Smith (1976): $n_e n_H L(T)/\rho = f\rho L(T)/m_p^2$ ergs s^{-1} gm^{-1} where f is related to the mean molecular weight by $f = (2+\mu)(4-3\mu)/25\mu^2$; we shall assume $\mu = 0.5$. Additional source terms in equation (3.3) describe the heating of the gas by the thermal and kinetic energy of stellar ejecta, including supernova explosions. After new gas enters the flow with mean velocity $\mathbf{v}_*$ it is accelerated to the local gas velocity, dissipating energy at a rate $\alpha_*\rho_*|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v}_*|^2/2$ per unit volume. The dissipational heating resulting from random stellar motions and supernova explosions is represented by a mass-weighted characteristic temperature for the new gas,

$$T_o = (\alpha_* T_* + \alpha_{sn} T_{sn})/\alpha \tag{3.6}$$

where $\alpha = \alpha_* + \alpha_{sn} \approx \alpha_*$ and $\varepsilon_o = 3k_BT_o/2\mu m_p$ is the corresponding specific thermal energy. The term P/ρ in the source term of equation (3.3) represents the work done by the stellar ejecta on the local ambient gas as it expands from an initially dense cloud toward pressure equilibrium with the interstellar gas.

The solar or subsolar iron abundance observed in the interstellar medium of ellipticals indicates that the (Type Ia) supernova rate is low and that it has been low in recent Gyrs (Loewenstein and Mathews 1991; Loewenstein et al. 1994). Observations of the current supernova rate in elliptical galaxies also suggest rather low values, ~ 0.1 SNu (van den Bergh, McClure, & Evans 1987; Cappelaro et al. 1993) where 1 SNu corresponds to one supernova per 10^{10} L_B every 100 years. The past rate of supernova explosions is even more uncertain, but it is generally assumed that the rate was higher when the galaxy was younger. We shall assume a power law time dependence of the form

$$\alpha_{sn}T_{sn} = 2.13 \times 10^{-8} \text{ SNu } (E_{sn}/10^{51}\text{ergs}) h^{-1.7} L_B^{-0.35} (t/t_n)^{-1/2} \quad \text{Ks}^{-1} \tag{3.7}$$

where L_B is in units of the solar value $L_{\odot B} = 4.98 \times 10^{32}$ ergs s^{-1} and the reduced Hubble constant is assumed to be $h = H/100 = 0.75$. The SNu parameter defined by equation (3.7) cannot be compared directly with observed values since other uncertain parameters (such as E_{sn}) also appear in this same equation. Recent calculations of spherical cooling flows (Mathews 1997) have shown that global thermal instabilities or "galactic drips" can occur in isolated ellipticals if the supernova rate parameter SNu $\lesssim 0.05$. Therefore we shall assume SNu $= 0.066$ and $E_{sn} = 1$ here although the iron production may still be somewhat larger than observed (Mathews 1997). The time variation for $\alpha_{sn}T_{sn} \propto t^{-1/2}$ is similar to that adopted by Ciotti *et al.* (1991) but less steep.

4. MAGNETIC COOLING FLOWS IN SPHERICAL GEOMETRY

4.1. Galactic Model

We choose a King model for the stellar density

$$\rho_*(r) = \rho_{o*}[1 + (r/r_{c*})^2]^{-3/2}$$

where r_{c*} is the stellar core radius. Most of the gravitational potential arises from a dark halo having an approximately isothermal distribution:

$$\rho_h(r) = \rho_{oh}[1 + (r/r_{ch})^2]^{-1}.$$

Parameters describing the spherical galaxy model listed in Table 1 have been chosen so that the galaxy lies on the fundamental plane (see Tsai & Mathews 1996a and Brighenti & Mathews 1996 for details). The stellar temperature $T_*(r) = (\mu m_p/3k)\sigma_*^2$ can be found by solving the equation of stellar hydrodynamics in spherical symmetry (Binney & Tremaine 1987; Mathews 1988). For this purpose we assume a velocity ellipsoid of the form

$$\frac{\langle v_r^2\rangle - \langle v_{tr}^2\rangle}{\langle v_r^2\rangle} = \left(\frac{r}{r_t}\right)^q$$

where $\langle v_{tr}^2\rangle$ and $\langle v_r^2\rangle$ are the transverse and radial stellar velocity dispersions respectively. We choose $q = 2$, but the evolution of the ISM is not sensitive to the value of q over a wide range $1 \lesssim q \lesssim 3$.

4.2. Spherical Magnetic Cooling Flows

In spherical symmetry, the magnetic field can have radial and tangential components, $\mathbf{B} = (B_r, B_t)$ and equation (3.4) becomes

$$\frac{d\log B_r^2}{dt} = -\frac{4u}{r} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\frac{d\log B_t^2}{dt} = -\frac{2u}{r}\left(1 + \frac{\partial \log u}{\partial \log r}\right) \tag{4.2}$$

where d/dt is the Lagrangian or comoving derivative and $u(r) < 0$ is the radial velocity of the cooling flow. The (source-free) equation of continuity has a similar form:

$$\frac{d\log \rho}{dt} = -\frac{u}{r}\left(2 + \frac{\partial \log u}{\partial \log r}\right). \tag{4.3}$$

Dividing equations (4.1) and (4.2) by (4.3), we find

$$\frac{d\log B_r^2}{d\log \rho} = \frac{4}{(2+\gamma)} \equiv \gamma_r \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\frac{d\log B_t^2}{d\log \rho} = \frac{2(1+\gamma)}{(2+\gamma)} \equiv \gamma_t \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{\partial \log u}{\partial \log r}. \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore each component of the field evolves with the local gas density as a power law having an exponent that depends on the structure the local velocity field as characterized by the exponent γ. In general γ can be a function of galactic radius.

For example in a homologous, Hubble-type flow, $u \propto r/t$ and ρ is spatially uniform. In this case $\gamma = 1$, $\gamma_r = \gamma_t = 4/3$ so the total magnetic field

$$B^2 = B_r^2 + B_t^2 \tag{4.7}$$

evolves according to

$$\frac{dB^2}{dt} = \frac{4}{3}\frac{B^2}{\rho}\frac{d\rho}{dt}. \tag{4.8}$$

In typical galactic cooling flows the gas velocity slowly accelerates toward the galactic center, $|u| \propto 1/r^p$ where $0 \lesssim p \lesssim 1$. For this range of velocity fields the radial component B_r grows faster than B_t toward the (high density) center of the cooling flow (e.g. $B_r^2 \propto \rho^4$, $B_t^2 \sim$ *constant* for $p = 0$; $B_r^2 \propto \rho^2$, $B_t^2 \propto \rho$ for $p = 1$). As a result of this unequal growth, an initial field random on small scales in non-rotating ellipticals will develop radial fields having large scale coherence as the flow approaches the galactic core. Differential shear in slowly rotating ellipticals is expected to convert disordered fields into coherently toroidal configurations (Brighenti & Mathews 1996). In either case, however, reconnection may be expected to occur in regions of random field; when such disordered fields are stretched by the global flow, reconnection could still be important since field lines in adjacent flux tubes will tend to be antiparallel.

The fraction of the field contained in random and coherent (but antiparallel) components in galactic cooling flows can be estimated by comparing the bulk flow velocity u and the mean turbulent velocity v_t; both velocities are subsonic. Whenever B^2 is less than the equipartion field B_e^2 and $u \lesssim v_t$ we expect that the field geometry will be continuously randomized by local turbulence; should $u \gtrsim v_t$ the field will be stretched with the fluid and develop large scale coherence. The ratio of characteristic timescales for turbulence and bulk flow $t_{turb}/t_{flow} = u/v_t$ can be used to define a parameter

$$\delta(r) = 1 - \exp(-u/v_t)$$

that increases with the development of large scale fields. Here $\delta \to 0$ or $\to 1$ in strong or weak turbulence respectively. In general we may imagine that the individual field components have a (source-free) evolution described by

$$\frac{dB_r^2}{dt} = [\delta\gamma_r + (1-\delta)\frac{4}{3}]B_r^2\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{d\rho}{dt}$$

and

$$\frac{dB_t^2}{dt} = [\delta\gamma_t + (1-\delta)\frac{4}{3}]B_t^2\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{d\rho}{dt}.$$

When continuous randomization obtains, $\delta \approx 0$ implies $B^2 \propto \rho^{4/3}$ and equation (4.8) holds; in the following discussion we shall assume this limit, i.e. the field is randomized on timescales short compared to the (quite long) flow time in the interstellar medium. The validity of this assumption can be estimated by evaluating $\delta(r)$ from the computed cooling flow solutions.

4.3. Seed Fields, Turbulent Growth and Reconnection

While the flux-freezing condition (4.8) describes the evolution of a completely disordered field, additional source and sink terms must be included to account for the origin, turbulent growth and loss of the field:

$$\frac{dB^2}{dt} = \frac{4}{3}\frac{B^2}{\rho}\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \frac{B^2}{\tau_{turb}}\theta(B^2 - B_e^2) - \frac{B^2}{\tau_{rec}} + \frac{\alpha\rho_*}{\rho}\left(\frac{B_*^2}{\rho_*^{4/3}}\right)\rho^{4/3} + \varpi_m\frac{3}{2}8\pi P\delta(t - t_m). \tag{4.9}$$

The second term on the right represents the growth of the field due to turbulent amplification; the unit step function [$\theta(x) = 1$ $x < 0$; $\theta(x) = 0$ $x > 0$] ensures turbulent growth only when $B^2 < B_e^2 = 4\pi\rho v_t^2$. This term deals in a schematic fashion with a subject of considerable complexity. Normally the turbulent growth of the field would be represented using the (linear) mean field dynamo equation (see Beck et al. 1996 and references therein), however in view of the difficulties described earlier in generating equipartition with the mean field equation, we prefer the simplified approach in equation (4.9). The third term on the right accounts for the loss of field by reconnection. The last two terms on the right represent original seed fields from stellar mass loss and galactic events at time t_m which create non-stellar turbulence in the interstellar gas. These terms are discussed in more detail in the following.

4.3.1. Seed Fields

Aside from the possibility of primordial fields which are very small in any case (Beck et al. 1996) the most credible source of magnetic field in the interstellar medium are the fields created by dynamos in stellar interiors which are expelled into the ISM when red giant stars lose their envelopes. The relevant magnetic fields are not those observed in stellar photospheres or chromospheres, but the mean fields B_* that are likely to exist throughout (convective) red giant envelopes before ejection from the star. Guided by the magnetic flux observed in white dwarfs, Moss and Shukurov (1996) adopted $b_* \equiv B_*^2/\rho_*^{4/3} \approx 2 \times 10^6$ in cgs units as a typical value, corresponding to $B_* \approx 10^7$ G and $\rho_* \approx 5 \times 10^5$ gm cm^{-3}; we adopt this same value here. After the stellar envelope has expanded to the local density of interstellar gas $n = \rho/m_p$ conserving magnetic flux, the seed field will be very small: $B_s = b_*^{1/2}\rho^{2/3} \approx 2 \times 10^{-15}(n/10^{-3})^{2/3}$ G.

An additional source of seed fields may arise from strong turbulent mixing following a significant merger of another galaxy with the elliptical at time t_m or after some other global environmental disturbance. Following such an event, we assume that the entire ISM becomes strongly turbulent, amplifying any seed fields until the magnetic energy density is some fraction ϖ_m of the thermal energy density in the gas. Such a hypothetical turbulent event is represented schematically in equation (4.9) by a magnetic energy density proportional to the local gas pressure, $B_m^2/8\pi \approx \varpi_m(3P/2)$ imposed suddenly at time t_m.

4.3.2. Turbulent Growth of Field

The growth of magnetic flux by plasma turbulence in the interstellar medium of elliptical galaxies has been discussed in detail by Moss and Shukurov (1996); we shall adopt many of their suggestions here. The

two principal internal sources of interstellar turbulence are the mixing of gas ejected from orbiting stars and the creation and buoyant evolution of (Type Ia) supernova remnants (Mathews 1990). We assume that the random vortical motion associated with these sources amplifies the interstellar magnetic field. The energy density in the field is expected to grow until it becomes comparable with that in the turbulence and then saturates at that value. The equipartition field is defined by

$$\frac{B_e^2}{8\pi} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\rho v_t^2 \equiv \varpi\frac{3}{2}P = \varpi\frac{3}{2}\rho c_s^2. \tag{4.10}$$

The turbulent energy density is written as a fraction $\varpi(r)$ of the local thermal energy density (c_s is the isothermal sound speed and v_t is an rms speed characterizing local turbulent motion). Normally, we expect $\varpi \ll 1$ and therefore $B_e^2/8\pi \ll 3P/2$. Ideally, a separate dynamical equation should be introduced to describe the creation, transfer and evolution of turbulent energy density. However, we avoid these complications here by assuming that the turbulent energy density is generally small ($\varpi < 1$) and that the principal catalytic role of turbulent energy is to convert sources of interstellar energy into magnetic energy density. In addition we shall assume for simplicity that the turbulence generated by stellar ejecta and supernovae is homogeneous and isotropic; this (somewhat optimistic) assumption is discussed by Moss and Shukurov (1996).

The turbulent field is produced by a superposition of kinetic energy created by stellar ejecta and supernova remnants. The stellar contribution to the local turbulent energy density is given by the product of the rate of energy production by mass ejection from evolving (red giant) stars $\alpha_*\rho_*(3kT_*/2\mu m_p)$ (ergs cm^{-3} s^{-1}), the fraction ϵ_t of this energy that goes into turbulence, and the characteristic eddy turnover time $\tau = \ell_*/v_t$:

$$\frac{1}{2}\rho v_{*t}^2 = \alpha_*\rho_*\epsilon_t\frac{3kT_*}{2\mu m_p}\frac{\ell_*}{v_{*t}} \equiv \varpi_*(r)\frac{3}{2}\rho c_s^2. \tag{4.11}$$

The characteristic rms turbulent velocity is $v_{*t} = (3\varpi_*)^{1/2}c_s$ although the full range of turbulent velocities must extend up to the local stellar velocities.. The typical eddy size ℓ_* should be comparable to the dimension of a stellar envelope (or planetary nebula) after it has expanded to pressure equilibrium (at $T \approx 10^4$K) with the local interstellar gas. For this purpose (following Moss & Shukurov 1996) we use the estimate of this length scale determined by Mathews (1990), $\ell_* \approx 4.7\times10^9\rho^{-1/3}$ cm, where ρ is the local interstellar density. We assume that the turbulent mixing time is the eddy turnover time of the largest eddies, ℓ_*/v_{*t}; this is conservative since the turnover rate is faster for smaller eddies. Equation (4.11) can be solved at each galactic radius for the stellar equipartition parameter

$$\varpi_*(r) = \frac{1}{3c_s^2}\left(3\ell_*c_*^2\epsilon_t\frac{\alpha_*\rho_*}{\rho}\right)^{2/3} \tag{4.12}$$

where $c_*^2 = kT_*/\mu m_p$. For the elliptical described in Table 1 $T_* \approx 2.95\times10^6$ K (for $10^{0.5} \lesssim r_{kpc} \lesssim 10^{1.75}$) and $\rho_* \approx 4.35\times10^{-22}r_{kpc}^{-3}$ gm cm^{-3}. Field-free cooling flows in this galaxy result in gas density and temperature distributions given by $\rho \approx 1.05\times10^{-25}r_{kpc}^{-1.8}$ gm cm^{-3} and $T \approx 8.91\times10^6 r_{kpc}^{-0.25}$ K at time $t = 15$ Gyr. These relations result in a stellar equipartition parameter $\varpi_* \approx 1.07\times10^{-4}r_{kpc}^{-0.15}\epsilon_t^{2/3}(t/t_n)^{-0.87}$ valid for $10^{0.5} \lesssim r_{kpc} \lesssim 10^{1.75}$. The corresponding turbulent velocity induced by stellar ejecta, $v_{*t} \approx 6.9\epsilon_t^{1/3}r_{kpc}^{-0.20}(t/t_n)^{-0.43}$ km s^{-1}, is indeed very subsonic ($c_s \approx 385r_{kpc}^{-0.13}$ km s^{-1}). The turnover time for the largest eddies is $\tau_* = \ell_*/v_{*t} \approx 4.6\times10^4\epsilon_t^{-1/2}r_{kpc}^{0.8}(t/t_n)^{-0.43}$ years.

In a similar manner Type Ia supernovae feed energy into the interstellar medium at a rate $\alpha_*\rho_*(\alpha_{sn}T_{sn}/\alpha_*)(3k/2\mu m_p)$ (ergs cm^{-3} cm^{-1}) and a fraction ϵ_t of this is assumed to go into turbulent

motions. The eddy mixing time is ℓ_{sn}/v_{snt} where $v_{snt} = (3\varpi_{sn})^{1/2} c_s$. For supernova-driven turbulence the (largest) eddy dimensions are comparable to the size of the hot bubble formed in the interstellar medium following each supernova event, $\ell_{sn} = 3.6 \times 10^{11} \rho^{-1/3}$ cm, based on the blast wave calculations of Mathews (1990). Combining these expressions, the equipartition parameter for supernova induced turbulence is

$$\varpi_{sn}(r) = \frac{1}{3} \left(\epsilon_t \frac{\rho_*}{\rho} \frac{3k}{\mu m_p} \frac{\alpha_{sn} T_{sn} \ell_{sn}}{c_s^3} \right)^{2/3} . \tag{4.13}$$

For the bright elliptical shown in Table 1 we find $\varpi_{sn}(r) \approx 1.11 \times 10^{-3}\ \epsilon_t^{2/3}\ (\mathrm{SNu}/0.01)^{2/3} (t/t_n)^{-1/3} r_{kpc}^{-0.15}$ (valid for $10^{0.5} \lesssim r_{kpc} \lesssim 10^{1.75}$), which is somewhat larger than the stellar contribution to turbulence for a given ϵ_t. The corresponding rms turbulent velocity and turbulent mixing time are $v_{snt} \approx 22.2 \epsilon_t^{2/3} r_{kpc}^{-0.20} (t/t_n)^{-1/6} (\mathrm{SNu}/0.01)^{1/3}$ km s^{-1} and $\tau_* = \ell_*/v{*}t \approx 1.1 \times 10^6 \epsilon_t^{-1/3} r_{kpc}^{0.80} (t/t_n)^{1/6} (\mathrm{SNu}/0.01)^{-1/3}$ years.

The combined turbulent mixing time required in equation (4.9) is found from

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{turb}} = \frac{v_{*t}}{\ell_*} + \frac{v_{snt}}{\ell_{sn}} \tag{4.14}$$

and the total equipartition field is given by equation (4.10) with $\varpi(r) = \varpi_*(r) + \varpi_{sn}(r)$. Obviously the introduction of turbulent energy by supernovae occurs at the location of these events, not in a spatially smooth manner as represented by the turbulent source term in equation (4.9). Nevertheless we shall assume that the turbulence is driven in a smooth, homogeneous manner. The applicability of this assumption is discussed by Moss & Shukurov (1996).

In addition to using stellar processes to produce vortical turbulence, Moss and Shukurov (1996) also invoke "acoustic turbulence," a general field of compressional (sound) waves or noise associated with stellar mass loss and (particularly) supernova explosions. Although the coupling of this acoustical energy density to the usual vortical turbulence is non-linear and inefficient, Moss and Shukurov claim that acoustical wave energy contributes substantially to the turbulent growth of the magnetic field and that these waves ultimately steepen into shocks. However, in the absence of reasonably strong magnetic fields, a condition that may obtain at early times, viscosity and thermal conductivity in the hot interstellar medium are expected to damp acoustic waves within a wavelength of their source. Compression waves should damp before they steepen into shocks. For example, damping due to plasma viscosity μ exponentially reduces the flux of plane parallel sound waves of frequency $\omega = 2\pi c_s/\ell$ propagating in the x-direction by e^{-x/ℓ_μ}, where

$$\ell_\mu \approx \frac{\rho c_s^3}{\mu \omega^2} = 6 \times 10^{15}\ \ell_{pc}^2 \left(\frac{n}{10^{-2} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}} \right) \left(\frac{T}{10^7 \mathrm{K}} \right)^{-1/2} \quad \mathrm{cm}$$

(e.g. Zel'dovich and Raizer 1966). Damping by thermal conductivity κ is comparable. Evidently acoustic waves generated by either stellar mass loss or supernova events are strongly damped and thermalized within a single wavelength of their point of origin in the interstellar medium. Both μ and κ may be reduced by the presence of a magnetic field, but a reduction factor of $\sim 10^7$ would be required for acoustic damping to be negligible, requiring the presence of significant magnetic fields at early times. In view of this complication we do not consider turbulent field generation by the acoustical wave field here.

4.3.3. Field Reconnection

The expected dominance of random magnetic fields in elliptical galaxies implies that the occurrence of counter-directed nearby fields should be common. In such regions thin boundary layers are created where the finite resistivity converts field energy to other forms of energy: thermal, bulk kinetic energy, accelerated particles, etc. (Soker & Sarazin 1990; Lesch & Bender 1990; Jafelice & Friaca 1996). The physics of field reconnection in the hot ISM of ellipticals galaxies is discussed in some detail by Jafelice and Friaca where many earlier references are cited. From dimensional and physical arguments, the flow into the reconnection regions is expected to occur at some fraction of the local Alfven velocity v_a. We shall follow the simple representation used by these authors for the field reconnection time scale in equation (4.9):

$$\tau_{rec} = \frac{\ell_{rec}}{v_a} = \frac{\ell_{rec}(4\pi\rho)^{1/2}}{B}. \tag{4.15}$$

Here ℓ_{rec} is a single parameter that describes the reconnection efficiency. In addition we shall assume with these authors that all of the field energy lost by reconnection is entirely converted to thermal energy; so a term $B^2/8\pi\tau_{rec}$ must appear in the thermal energy equation (3.3). The principal parameters related to the turbulent dynamo are ϵ_t and l_{rec} both of which are very uncertain.

4.4. Spherical Cooling Flow Equations

Summarizing the previous results, the equations describing the time-dependent evolution of spherical cooling flows containing a self-generated, disordered magnetic field are:

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial(r^2\rho u)}{\partial r} = \alpha\rho_*, \tag{4.16}$$

$$\rho\frac{du}{dt} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{12\pi r}\frac{\partial(rB^2)}{\partial r} - \rho\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial r} - \alpha\rho_* u, \tag{4.17}$$

$$\rho\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = \frac{P}{\rho}\frac{d\rho}{dt} - \frac{\rho^2 f L(T)}{m_p^2} + \alpha\rho_*\left[\varepsilon_{ot} - \frac{P}{\rho} - \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}u^2\right] + \frac{B^2}{8\pi\tau_{rec}}. \tag{4.18}$$

The source of thermal energy for the gas is determined by

$$\varepsilon_{ot} = \varepsilon_o[1 - \epsilon_t\theta(B^2 - B_e^2)]$$

which allows a fraction $(1-\epsilon_t)$ of the energy from stellar processes to be thermalized when $B < B_e$. When $B > B_e$ all the stellar energy is assumed to heat the gas either by direct heating or by eventual dissipation of the turbulent component. The magnetic field evolves according to equation (3.9) which we write as

$$\frac{dB^2}{dt} = \frac{4}{3}\frac{B^2}{\rho}\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \left(\frac{dB^2}{dt}\right)_i - \frac{B^2}{\tau_{rec}} + \frac{\alpha\rho_*}{\rho}\left(\frac{B_*^2}{\rho_*^{4/3}}\right)\rho^{4/3} + \varpi_m\frac{3}{2}8\pi P\delta(t - t_m). \tag{4.19}$$

We consider two simple representations ($i = 1, 2$) for the turbulent growth of the magnetic field:

$$\left(\frac{dB^2}{dt}\right)_1 = \frac{B^2}{\tau_{turb}}\theta(B^2 - B_e^2) \qquad \text{Case I} \tag{4.20a}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{dB^2}{dt}\right)_2 = 8\pi\alpha\rho_*\varepsilon_o\epsilon_t\theta(B^2 - B_e^2). \qquad \text{Case II} \tag{4.20b}$$

For Case I the magnetic field can increase only on the time scale τ_{turb} that characterizes turbulent growth. The step function $\theta(B^2 - B_e^2)$ guarantees that the field cannot grow beyond equipartition. In Case I some part of the power density $\alpha\rho_*\epsilon_t\varepsilon_o$ is allocated to increase the field strength and the rest is assumed to maintain the local turbulent energy density.

Alternatively, the Case II option allows stellar and supernova energy to convert directly to either magnetic or thermal energy density, depending on the value of $\theta(B^2 - B_e^2)$. The turbulent energy density, which still can be regarded as an intermediate stage, is not explicitly considered. The field grows at a rate $8\pi\alpha\rho_*\epsilon_t\varepsilon_o$ until it reaches local equipartition then growth suddenly saturates. When equations (4.18) and (4.19) are added in the Case II approximation, the total energy is explicitly conserved either as thermal or magnetic energy. In the following we consider solutions to both sets of equations, Cases I and II.

5. Cooling Flow Models

We have computed evolving magnetic cooling flows in the galaxy described in Table 1 using a Lagrangian code. The primary parameters that define each flow are SNu, ϵ_t and ℓ_{rec}. Our cooling flow solutions are not sensitive to the value of the seed field parameter $b_* = B_*^2/\rho_*^{4/3}$ since for all cases considered the exponential growth of field in the turbulent dynamo is rapid compared to the local gas flow time ($\sim r/u$) in the ISM. The parameters ϖ_m and t_m (see eqn. 4.19) can be used to simulate the global turbulence expected following a galactic merger or some other short-lived source of turbulence. Each calculation is begun at time $t = 1$ Gyr when it is assumed that the galactic winds (driven by Type II supernovae) have reversed to become cooling flows (David *et al.* 1990; 1991). Interstellar turbulence is turned on at a later time ($t = 2$ Gyr) to avoid numerical interference that may occur if the gas and the magnetic field are initiated at the same time. The calculations are stopped at 15 Gyr which we regard as the present time. Our elliptical galaxy is assumed to be perfectly isolated apart from the environmental influences represented by the parameters ϖ_m and t_m.

In Figure 1 we compare several cooling flow models at time $t = 15$ Gyr. The non-magnetic flow shown in Figure 1a-c resembles spherical cooling flows that have been discussed in detail elsewhere (e.g. Brighenti & Mathews 1996; Mathews 1997). The parameters used for this non-magnetic cooling flow are: $\epsilon_t = 1$ and SNu = 0.066. Some numerical irregularity is visible in Figures 1a and 1b for $\log r \lesssim 2.5$ because of the smaller number of computational zones in the galactic core. Most of this numerical noise arises when central zones are removed as they cool below $T = 10^4$ K. We recognize that the x-ray surface brightness $\Sigma_x(r)$ corresponding to our model in Figure 1a-c decreases somewhat more steeply with galactic radius than that typically observed (Trinchieri, Fabbiano, & Canizares 1986). The traditional correction for this discrepancy has been to remove gas from the flow, assuming that stars or some other invisible objects are formed from the mass that "drops out" (e.g. Stewart et al. 1987; White & Sarazin 1987; Thomas et al. 1987). Our models would adjust in a similar manner if mass were removed. We have not included drop out in our calculations for several reasons: (i) we wish to illustrate the possible dynamic influence of magnetic forces without additional uncertain complications, (ii) in those (perhaps unlikely) situations when the magnetic field is strong, its influence on the solution (and $\Sigma_x(r)$) is very similar to mass drop-out, and (iii)

thermal instabilities, often invoked to justify mass drop out, are generally arrested at a very early stage in the presence of modest magnetic fields.

The corresponding Case I magnetic cooling flow evolution with parameters $\epsilon_t = 0.5$ SNu $= 0.066$, $\ell_{rec} = 3 \times 10^{30}$ cm, and $\varpi_m = 0$ is illustrated by the solid lines in Figure 1d-f. Our choice of a large ℓ_{rec} effectively shuts down the reconnection process, maximizing the computed field strength. Even though half of the energy from stellar sources is available to drive the turbulent dynamo, the gas density, temperature and pressure are all essentially unchanged from the field-free cooling flow (Figure 1a-c). The magnetic pressure $B^2/8\pi$ (long dashed line in Fig. 1f) is never more than a few percent of the gas pressure although it is somewhat larger at small galactic radii. But the field strength indicated in Figure 1f (long-dashed curve) is not small, reaching 1 μG at $r = 7$ kpc and 10 μG at 1 kpc. Of course these fields are upper limits because we assume that the dynamo is efficient and our choice of large ℓ_{rec} effectively deactivates field reconnection. We find that the results in Figure 1f are essentially unchanged as long as $\ell_{rec} \gtrsim 10^{25}$ cm. The magnetic field throughout the galaxy slowly decreases with ℓ_{rec}; in Figure 1f we show that the field is reduced only by a factor of ~ 2.5 (short-dashed line) when $\ell_{rec} = 10^{23}$ cm. Unfortunately the physical significance of this is unlear. The reconnection process, if it is important, is expected to occur throughout the flow in very thin boundary layers separating regions of adjacent antiparallel fields. Such a physically complex process cannot be adequately modeled with the single parameter ℓ_{rec}. Also illustrated in Figure 1f (dotted line) is the magnetic pressure at $t = 15$ Gyr that results when ϵ_t is increased to 0.8 with the other parameters unchanged (SNu $= 0.066$, $\ell_{rec} = 3 \times 10^{30}$ cm, and $\varpi_m = 0$). The field is slightly larger in this case because of the somewhat greater turbulent energy that existed early in the cooling flow evolution.

We have also computed cooling flow solutions with the same parameters but using the Case II equations. We find that the results are essentially identical to those of the Case I solutions. As explained below, the insensitivity to the specific representation (Equation 4.20a or b) for the turbulent growth of the field arises because for either Case the field grows to equipartition early in the calculation in a time short compared to the local flow time ($\sim r/u$).

Of particular interest for understanding the amplification of disordered fields in galactic cooling flows are the radial dependences of the thermal, magnetic and turbulent energy densities. Using the approximate power law variations discussed in §4.3.2, the thermal energy density should vary as $\epsilon_{therm} \propto \rho T \propto r^{-2.05}$. For turbulence driven by either stars or Type Ia supernovae, the turbulent energy density varies as $\epsilon_{turb} = \rho v_t^2/2 \propto \rho \varpi c_s^2 \propto r^{-2.20}$ and the equipartition field must vary in the same manner, $B_e^2 \propto r^{-2.20}$. However, the field compression that accompanies the cooling flow causes a slightly steeper variation, $\epsilon_{mag} \propto B^2 \propto \rho^{4/3} \propto r^{-2.40}$.

Two important conclusions follow from these spatial dependencies: (1) the increase in the magnetic field strength toward the galactic center can be dominated by compression in the cooling flow, not local turbulence, and, if so, (2) the magnitude of the interstellar field depends entirely on the most distant radius in the galactic ISM where the turbulent dynamo process amplified stellar seed fields at some previous time.

In Figure 2a we plot the spatial variation of the thermal, magnetic and turbulent energy densities for the standard magnetic cooling flow solution (shown in Figure 1d-f with solid lines) at times $t = 12$ and 15 Gyr; these contours are essentially identical for Case I and Case II equations. The slopes of the various energy densities (in the linear parts of the log-log contours) are in excellent agreement with the approximate variations estimated above. At either 12 or 15 Gyrs ϵ_{mag} is almost 10 times larger than ϵ_{turb} throughout most of the galactic volume. As expected from the previous estimate, the local turbulent energy density plays no explicit role in field amplification since $B^2 > B_e^2$. This excess of the field above the local

equipartition value occurs because the field at $t = 15$ Gyr is a relic of a historical field which developed when the turbulence was larger. At an early time in the evolution of this cooling flow equipartition ($\epsilon_{mag} \approx \epsilon_{turb}$) was reached in time $\sim \tau_{turb}$ throughout the galaxy, but as the calculation proceeded the turbulent energy decreased ($\epsilon_{turb} \propto \rho v_{tsn}^2 \propto t^{-1/3}$ as expected from the scaling relations in §4.3.2 when $v_{tsn}^2 \gtrsim v_{t*}^2$). The super-equipartition field is maintained and amplified by compression in the cooling flow. Since $\epsilon_{turb}/\epsilon_{mag}$ increases with radius, the current galactic field is most sensitive to past turbulent conditions in the outermost parts of the galactic flow.

In this regard we note the small decrease in ϵ_{mag} in Figure 2a that occurs near the outer boundary r_t of the galaxy between 12 and 15 Gyrs. In this part of the flow the gas density drops slightly during this time interval due to the (losing) competition between the decreasing rate that gas is introduced by evolving stars and the depletion of gas by global inflow toward the galactic core. As ρ decreases, the magnetic field must also decrease ($B^2 \propto \rho^{4/3}$). Some additional loss of local field near r_t may occur because the local field is being diluted by new gas that only contains the very small seed field yet turbulent amplification is not possible until B^2 drops below the equipartition value. Figure 2b shows how the frozen-in field has been advected inward toward the galactic center, creating and maintaining the expected slope $B^2 \propto \rho^{4/3}$ throughout the interstellar medium. This also illustrates how the field throughout the X-ray bright central parts of the ISM depends critically on the historical turbulent growth in very distant regions. In view of the relationship between the global field and past turbulence near the outer edge of the galaxy, it might be thought that the growth of the field would be sensitive to the outer boundary conditions in the flow, but this is not the case. For example we repeated the standard calculation shown in Figure 1d-f (solid lines) with an open boundary condition at $r = r_t$ which allows gas to flow out beyond the galaxy into the surrounding vacuum. After $t = 15$ Gyrs the flow variables and the field strength distribution within the galaxy were essentially identical to those shown in Figure 1d-f. Evidently the gas density and pressure near $r \lesssim r_t$ is maintained by local stellar mass loss, not by the small amount of gas that flows out of the galaxy when an open boundary is allowed.

Finally, we have performed some calculations with non-zero ϖ_m to simulate an event, such as a galactic merger, that introduces global turbulence throughout the ISM at some time t_m in the past. In Figure 1g-i we illustrate the magnetic cooling flow at time $t = 15$ Gyr following a disturbance in the the interstellar gas that occurred at $t_m = 11$ Gyr when the turbulent energy density was raised to one fourth of the thermal energy density ($\varpi_m = 0.25$) throughout the galaxy. As a result of this event, the magnetic energy density at 15 Gyr (Fig. 1i) is ~ 100 times larger than it would have been otherwise (Fig. 1f). This illustrates that present day magnetic fields in elliptical galaxies can be significantly increased by past events that stirred up the outer parts of the interstellar gas and which expended energies that are small compared to the total binding energy of the interstellar gas. For the cooling flow in Figure 1g-i the magnetic energy density $\varpi_m 3P/2 = 3P/8$ is imposed impulsively at time t_m and subsequent growth of $B^2/8\pi$ is due to compression in the global flow. By time $t = 15$ Gyr Figure 1i shows that magnetic pressure dominates the flow dynamics within about 5 kpc of the galactic center while the gas density remains monotonic, implying that the magnetic core does not become buoyant. However, the magnetic stresses are sufficient to slow down the local flow in $r \lesssim 5$ kpc allowing an accumulation of gas near this radius where some radiative cooling and mass deposition occurs. This is reminisent of the "galactic drip" phenomenon in which gas in non-magnetic cooling flows can cool far from the galactic center (Mathews 1997), but the increase in gas density and thermal instability shown in Figure 1i has a purely magnetic origin. When ϖ_m is lowered to 0.15, $B^2/8\pi \approx P$ occurs at a smaller radius, $r \approx 1$ kpc, and radiative cooling beyond the galactic core no longer occurs.

Some caution must be exercised in interpreting the non-stellar turbulent growth imposed at t_m in terms of a galactic merger. For galactic mergers in which the galactic mass is increased by a relatively small factor, ~ 10 percent, Mathews and Brighenti (1997) have shown that enough energy can be released by Type II supernovae from newly created stars to remove most or all of the preexisting galactic interstellar gas. Such an energy release obviously depends on the assumed initial mass function for the newly formed stars. We do not consider these complications here.

6. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this study of the evolution of interstellar magnetic fields in elliptical galaxies we have shown that the observed fields can be understood as a natural result of internal evolutionary processes: stellar seed fields are first amplified by a turbulent dynamo then by compression in the galactic cooling flow. We have assumed that stellar dynamos occur in virtually all stars and that these stars supply tiny seed fields to the ISM as they undergo mass loss during normal red giant evolution. Our optimism concerning the efficacy of the turbulent dynamo mechanism and the relative inefficacy of field reconnection have been essential in obtaining central field strengths comparable to those observed, $\sim 1 - 10$ μG.

Our models extend a modified version of the turbulent dynamo theory proposed by Moss and Shukurov (1996) to include the important effects of compressional field amplification in the global galactic cooling flow and the evolutionary relationship between currently observed fields and those created by galactic turbulence many Gyrs ago. As the field advects from the outer regions of the galaxy to the galactic core the field compresses by $\gtrsim 10^3$ and the magnetic energy density increases by $\gtrsim 10^6$. In our models the magnetic energy density exceeds the local turbulent energy density ($B^2 > B_e^2$) throughout most of the interstellar gas so local turbulence plays little or no role in field amplification. When $B^2 > B_e^2$ the field may quench some of the turbulent activity although we have not allowed for this feedback in our models; it is also unclear whether the random character of the field (on scales of ℓ_* or ℓ_{sn}) can be fully maintained in this limit. For most of the magnetic cooling flows described here we have assumed that half of the stellar energy goes (at least initially) into turbulence ($\epsilon_t = 0.5$). Although the ratio ϵ_t of turbulent energy to immediately thermalized energy resulting from stellar mass loss processes is uncertain, our results suggest that the resulting field strength does not depend strongly on ϵ_t.

How sensitive are our results, particularly the condition $B^2 > B_e^2$, to the specific galaxy model we have adopted, a simple King stellar distribution with an isothermal dark halo? To address this question it is useful to express the radial variation of the magnetic and turbulent energy densities in terms of the gas and stellar densities. For either stellar or supernova turbulence we find $\epsilon_{turb} = \rho v_t^2/2 \propto \rho^{1/9}\rho_*^{2/3}$ and $\epsilon_{mag} \propto B^2 \propto \rho^{4/3}$. Therefore the ratio of these energy densities $\epsilon_{mag}/\epsilon_{turb} \propto \rho^{11/9}\rho_*^{-2/3}$. Clearly the radial variation of the gas density depends on both the stellar and dark matter density profiles, so the interpretation of this last relation is complex. To make further progress, suppose that we adopt the point of view that the X-ray and optical surface brightness profiles are the same, i.e. $\Sigma_* \propto \Sigma_x$. Canizares, Fabbiano, & Trinchieri (1987) found this to be the case for three bright ellipticals in Virgo, but more recent ROSAT observations show some deviations from exact proportionality. However, if $\Sigma_* \propto \Sigma_x$ then $\rho^2 \propto \rho_*$ and $\epsilon_{mag}/\epsilon_{turb} \propto \rho^{-1/18}$ so that ϵ_{mag} *increases* very slowly relative to ϵ_{turb} with increasing galactic radius, opposite to the variation shown in Figure 2a. We may expect, therefore, that the ratio $\epsilon_{mag}/\epsilon_{turb}$ could either slowly increase or decrease with galactic radius, depending on the details of the galactic model. However, regardless of these details it is clear that the interstellar magnetic field can increase toward the

galactic center *no slower* than $B^2 \propto \rho^{4/3}$ as shown in Figure 2b. Finally we note that in our models the $\epsilon_{mag}/\epsilon_{turb}$ increases with the cooling inflow most strongly in the outermost parts of the galaxy where the power law scaling breaks down and where the observational uncertainty is greatest.

If interstellar fields in elliptical galaxies have their origins in the seed fields of stellar ejecta, the physical scale d of regions of Faraday coherence may be smaller than previously supposed (Burn 1966; Garrington & Conway 1991). Regions in the hot interstellar gas having masses comparable to those of stellar envelopes, 0.4 $M_\odot$, have diameters of only $d \approx 30(n/10 \times 10^{-3})^{-1/3}$ pc. However, Faraday-coherent regions of parallel field lines will be even smaller because of the violent instabilities that accompany stellar mass loss. Since the observed Faraday depolarization parameter is proportional to $\langle nB_\parallel \rangle (dr_t)^{1/2}$, the field implied by any observation will be larger as d is reduced. This difficulty is not alleviated by large scale fields that can develop in (non-Hubble) spherical or differentially rotating non-spherical cooling flows, since such an "aligned" field will still be counter-directed on small mass scales. The scale of field coherence d can increase if reconnection is efficient, but this would also decrease the overall magnetic energy density. Clearly, more attention must be given to the likelihood of field reconnection in cooling flow environments.

Our main conclusions are:

(1) Self-generated interstellar magnetic fields in elliptical galaxies can be comparable to those suggested in Faraday depolarization studies provided (i) the turbulent dynamo process can amplify the mean field to equipartition with the turbulent energy density and (ii) field reconnection is not dominant throughout most of the ISM.

(2) Using our galactic model, a significant additional amplification of the overall field occurs by compression in the galactic cooling flow; the magnetic energy density is increased by factors of $\gtrsim 10^6$.

(3) Throughout most of the galactic volume the field energy density can exceed local equipartition with the turbulent energy density.

(4) The exact value of the field in ellipticals is sensitive to interstellar turbulence at large distances from the galactic center and at distant times in the past. For this reason we may expect some variability in field strengths among elliptical galaxies, depending on the environmental history of the outer galaxy.

(5) In our spherical cooling flow models the magnetic energy density or pressure in self-generated cooling flows ($\varpi_m = 0$) never dominates the galactic gas pressure (nor becomes buoyant) so we do not expect the X-ray images to be strongly influenced by the field. In more realistic rotating cooling flows, however, the magnetic pressure resulting from differential rotation may decrease the X-ray brightness near the cooling flow disk (Brighenti & Mathews 1996).

(6) If the interaction of the elliptical with ambient gas or nearby galaxies stimulates strong turbulence in the galactic ISM, the resulting field strength may be greatly enhanced many Gys afterward.

We are pleased to acknowledge comments from Niel Turner and support from a UCSC Faculty Research Grant and NASA grant NAG 5-3060.

References

Balbus, S. A. 1991, ApJ, 372, 25
Beck, R., Brandenburg, A, Moss, D., Shukurov, A., & Sokoloff, D. 1996, *Ann. Rev. Astron. & Astrophys.*, 34, 155
Binney, J. & Tremaine, S. 1987, Galactic Dynamics (Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press), 195
Brighenti, F. & Mathews, W. G. 1996, ApJ, 470, 747
Burn, B. J. 1966, MNRAS, 133, 67
Canizares, C., Fabbiano, G., & Trinchieri, G. 1987, ApJ, 312, 503
Cappelaro, E., Turatto, M., Benetti, S., Tsvetkov D.Yu., Bartunov, O. S., & Makarova I. N., 1993, A&A, 273, 383
Christodoulou, D. M. & Sarazin, C. L. 1996, ApJ, 463, 80
Clarke, D. A., Burns, J, O., & Norman, M. L. 1992, ApJ, 395, 444
David L. P., Forman, W., & Jones, C. 1990, ApJ, 359, 29
David L. P., Forman, W., & Jones, C. 1990, ApJ, 369, 121
Donnelly, R. H., Faber, S. M., & O'Connell, R. M. 1990, ApJ, 354, 52
Field, G. B. 1995, in *The Physics of the Interstellar Medium*, ASP Conf. Series vol. 80, 1
Garrington, S. T., Conway, R. G. & Leahy, J. P., 1991, MNRAS, 250, 171
Garrington, S. T. & Conway, R. G., 1991, MNRAS, 250, 198
Garrington, S. T., Leahy, J. P., Conway, R. G. & Laing, R. A., 1988, Nature, 331, 147
Greenfield, P. E., Roberts, D. H., & Burke, 1984, ApJ, 293, 370
Jafelice, L. C. & Friaca, A. C. S. 1996, MNRAS, 280, 438
Kim, Dong-Woo, & Fabbiano, G. 1995, ApJ, 441, 182
Kulsrud, R. M. & Anderson, S. W. 1992, ApJ, 396, 606
Laing, R. A., 1988, Nature, 331, 149
Lesch, H. & Bender, R. 1990, A&A 233, 417
Lesch, H. & Chiba, M. 1995, A&A, 297, 305
Mathews, W. G. 1988, AJ, 95, 1047
Mathews, W. G. 1990, ApJ, 354, 468
Mathews, W. G. 1997, AJ, (in press)
Mathews, W. G. & Brighenti, F. 1997, in *The Nature of Elliptical Galaxies, Proc. of the Second Stromlo Symposium*, Eds. M. Arnaboldi, G. S. Da Costa & P Saha, (San Francisco: ASP), A.S.P. Conf. Ser. (in press)
Moss, D. & Shukurov, A. 1996, MNRAS, 279, 229
Owen, F.N., Eilek, J. A. & Keel, W. C., 1990, ApJ, 362, 449
Rees, M. 1987, QJRAS, 28, 197
Ruzmaikin, A. A., Shukurov, A. M. & Sokoloff, D. D. 1988, *Magnetic Fields of Galaxies*, (Dordrecht: Kluwer)
Soker, N. & Sarazin, C. L. 1990, ApJ, 348, 73
Stewart, G. C., Canizares, C. R., Fabian, A. C., & Nulsen, P. E. J. 1987, ApJ, 278, 536
Strom, R. G. & Jägers, 1988, A&A, 194, 79
Thomas, P. A., Fabian, A. C., & Nulsen, P. E. J. 1987, MNRAS, 228, 973
Trinchieri, G., Fabbiano, G, & Canizares, C. R. 1986, ApJ, 310, 637
Tsai, J. C. & Mathews, W. G. 1996a, ApJ, 448, 84
Tsai, J. C. & Mathews, W. G. 1996b, ApJ, 468, 571

Vainshtein, S. I. & Cattaneo, F. 1992, ApJ, 393, 165
van den Bergh, S., McClure, R. D., & Evans, R. 1987, ApJ, 323, 44
van Dokkum, P. G. & Franx, M. 1995, AJ, 110, 2027
White, R. E. III, & Sarazin, C. L. 1987, ApJ, 318, 612
Zel'dovich, Ya. B., & Raizer, Yu. P. 1966, Physics of shock waves and High-Temperature Hydrodynamic Phenomena, (New York: Academic Press), 74

Table 1. GALACTIC PARAMETERS FOR ELLIPTICAL GALAXY

Parameter	Value
r_{c*}	311.59 pc
r_e[a]	5.088 kpc
r_{ch}	6.22 kpc
r_t	113.1 kpc
ρ_{*o}	1.438×10^{-20} gm cm^{-3}
ρ_{ho}	5.46×10^{-24} gm cm^{-3}
M_{*t}	4.52×10^{11} $M_\odot$
M_{ht}	9 M_{*t}
L_B	$4.95 \times 10^{10} L_{B\odot}$
M_{*t}/L_B	9.14
σ_*[b]	351 km s^{-1}
$\log L_x$[c]	40.0

[a]Effective radius.


[b]Characteristic velocity dispersion in stellar core, $\sigma_* = (4\pi G \rho_{*o} r_{c*}^2/9)^{1/2}$.


[c]For 0.5 - 4.5 keV based on correlation of Donnelly, Faber & O'Connell (1990); more recent L_x values are higher (Kim & Fabbiano 1996).

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Three vertical arrays of figures show cooling flow conditions in the interstellar gas after evolving to $t = 15$ Gyr. Solid lines in each plot refer to the gas density, temperature or pressure corresponding to these parameters: (a-c), nonmagnetic cooling flow with $\epsilon_t = 0.5$ and SNu = 0.066; (d-f), magnetic cooling flow with $\epsilon_t = 0.5$, SNu = 0.066, $\ell_{rec} = 3 \times 10^{30}$ and $\varpi_m = 0$; (g-i), magnetic cooling flow with $\epsilon_t = 0.5$, SNu = 0.066, $\ell_{rec} = 3 \times 10^{30}$, $\varpi_m = 0.25$ and $t_m = 11$ Gyr. Long-dashed lines in the density plots show the variation of the (unnormalized) stellar density. Long-dashed lines in the temperature plots show the variation of stellar temperature. Long-dashed lines in the pressure plots show the magnetic pressure. Plot (f) also shows the magnetic pressure with $\epsilon_t = 0.8$ (dotted line) and with some field reconnection $\ell_{rec} = 10^{23}$ (short-dashed line). See text for further details.

Figure 2: (a) Variation of energy densities with galactic radius at $t = 12$ Gyr (*thick lines*) and $t = 15$ Gyr (*thin lines*): ϵ_{therm} (*solid lines*), ϵ_{mag} (*dotted lines*), and ϵ_{turb} (*dash-dotted lines*). (b) Variation of magnetic energy density with gas density at $t = 12$ Gyr (*dashed line*) and $t = 15$ Gyr (*solid line*). The flow parameters are: $\epsilon_t = 0.5$, SNu = 0.066, $\ell_{rec} = 3 \times 10^{30}$ and $\varpi_m = 0$.


B = 0
B ≠ 0
B ≠ 0, t_m
log n (cm^-3)
log T
log pressure
log r (pc)
log r (pc)
log r (pc)
a
b
c
d
e
f
g
h
i
2
0
-2
-4
-6
9
8
7
6
5
-10
-12
-14
-16
2
3
4
5


log r (pc)
2
3
4
5
-8
-10
-12
-14
-16
-18
a
ϵ_{therm} ϵ_{mag} ϵ_{turb} (erg/cm^3)
b
log B^2/8π
-10
-12
-14
-16
-6
-4
-2
0
log density (cm^{-3})