TTP96–16
atom-ph/9605005
Mai 1996

arXiv:atom-ph/9605005v1 22 May 1996

# HYPERFINE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN ELECTRONS

Ruth Häckl and Hartmut Pilkuhn

*Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik,*
*Universität Karlsruhe,*
*Postfach 6980,*
*D–76228 Karlsruhe, Germany*

Internet : Ruth.Haeckl@physik.uni-karlsruhe.de
hp@ttpux2.physik.uni-karlsruhe.de

# Abstract

The relativistic Breit Hamiltonian between electrons is transformed into an effective vector potential $\mathbf{A}_i$ for the $i$.th electron, $\mathbf{A}_i$ having the structure of a recoil–corrected hyperfine operator. Apart from a small three–body operator, the Dirac–Breit equation is now easier applied to relativistic magnetic properties of complex systems.


Relativistic $n$–electron systems of atoms or molecules are quite precisely described by the Dirac–Breit equation [1]. In addition to the ordinary Dirac–Coulomb Hamiltonian, the equation contains the Breit Hamiltonian [2]

$$H_B = \sum_{i<j} B_{ij}\,, \qquad B_{ij} = -e^2(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j + \alpha_{ir}\alpha_{jr})/2r_{ij}\,, \tag{1}$$

where the $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ are Dirac matrices and $\alpha_{ir}$ and $\alpha_{jr}$ are the components of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j$ along the direction $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ of $\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$. $H_B$ contributes to the electronic fine structure. The term hyperfine interaction is normally reserved for the interaction with the nuclear spin [3].

Breit operators are somewhat inconvenient. Firstly, $H_B$ is the only operator in the Dirac–Breit equation which exchanges large and small components of two electrons simultaneously. Secondly, the use of $H_B$ beyond first–order perturbation theory requires complicated *improved versions* of $B_{ij}$ [4]. This is so because $B_{ij}^2$ is too large.

In this letter we present a transformation which removes both inconveniences. One of the new operators produced by the transformation is complicated but should be negligible. Without it, the Dirac–Breit equation for $n$ electrons of total energy $E$ with a total potential $V = \sum V(\mathbf{r}_i) + \sum_{i<j} e^2/r_{ij}$ becomes

$$\Big[E - V - mc^2\sum\beta_i - \sum\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i(c\mathbf{p}_i + e\mathbf{A}_{0i} + e\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{hf},i})\Big]\,\Psi = 0\,. \tag{2}$$

Here $\mathbf{A}_{0i}$ is the vector potential produced by spinless electrons,

$$\mathbf{A}_{0i} = -\frac{e}{4mc}\sum_{j\neq i} r_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{p}_j + \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}p_{jr}) \tag{3}$$

and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{hf},i}$ is the vector potential of the electron spins

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{hf},i} = -\frac{e}{4mc}\nabla_i \times \sum_{j\neq i}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j/r_{ij}\,. \tag{4}$$

This is nothing but the ordinary hyperfine interaction with spinor particles $j$ of $g$–factors $g_j = 2$ and masses $m_j$, for the special case $m_i = m_j = m$. If one is only interested in spin–polarized systems, $\mathbf{A}_{0i}$ may also be neglected.

The operator $\mathbf{p}_i = -i\hbar\nabla_i$ is the canonical momentum. The momentum which enters the kinetic energy is $\boldsymbol{\pi}_i = \mathbf{p}_i + e\mathbf{A}_i/c$. One may therefore say that the Breit operator has become part of the kinetic energy. This form could be useful e.g. for relativistic density

functional theories, in which the **A** appearing in the Kohn–Sham equations represents more than an external magnetic field [5].

For the derivation of (2) from the Dirac–Breit equation it is convenient to decompose $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \gamma_5 \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, where the $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ are Pauli matrices; $\gamma_5$ exchanges large and small components. The $B_{ij}$ of (1) becomes

$$B_{ij} = -\gamma_{5i}\,\gamma_{5j}\,b_{ij}\ , \qquad b_{ij} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j + \sigma_{ir}\sigma_{jr})e^2/2r_{ij}\,. \tag{5}$$

As $\gamma_5^2 = 1$, one finds $B_{ij}^2 = b_{ij}^2$, which is unacceptably large [3]. The improved versions of $B_{ij}$ [4] give much smaller $B_{ij}^2$. In the following we simply keep $B_{ij}$ (5) but put $B_{ij}^2 = 0$ by hand [6]. We also abbreviate

$$E - V = c\pi^0, \qquad \sum \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i \mathbf{p}_i = P \tag{6}$$

which gives the original Dirac–Breit equation the compact form

$$(\pi^0 - mc \sum \beta_i - P - H_B/c)\Psi_{DB} = 0\,. \tag{7}$$

The main step in the derivation of (2) from (7) has been done in 1990 for the special case of two–electron atoms [7]. One defines an operator $Z$ which satisfies

$$\{\pi^0 - mc \sum \beta_i\,, Z\} = H_{\rm B}/c\,, \tag{8}$$

sets $\Psi_{DB} = (1+Z)\Psi$, and multiplies (7) by $(1+Z)$. As the solution $Z$ of (8) is proportional to $B_{ij}$, one neglects terms of order $Z^2$ and $Z\,B_{ij}$, thus obtaining

$$(\pi^0 - mc \sum \beta_i - P - \{P, Z\}\,)\Psi = 0\,. \tag{9}$$

Remembering $\{\beta, \gamma_5\} = 0$, one finds the following solution of (8):

$$Z = \sum_{i<j} {\rm B}_{ij}/2(c\pi^0 - mc^2 \sum_k{}'' \beta_k)\,. \tag{10}$$

The $''$ on the last sum means omission of terms with $k = i$ or $j$. For systems with only two electrons, the denominator of $Z$ is $2c\pi^0$. For $n > 2$ electrons, $Z$ is complicated, but when $\{P, Z\}$ in (9) is small, we may approximate $\beta_k = 1$ and also $\pi^0 = nmc$, such that the whole bracket is simply $2mc^2$.

As $Z$ contains two factors $\gamma_5$ and $P$ contains one, $\{P, Z\}$ contains a triple sum with three such factors. When the $\gamma_5$ from $P$ is $\gamma_{5i}$ or $\gamma_{5j}$, the relation $\gamma_5^2 = 1$ reduces the three factors $\gamma_5$ to one. The remaining terms will be called $\{P, Z\}_3$:

$$\{P, Z\} = -\sum_{i\neq j}\ \{\mathbf{p}_j \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j\,, b_{ij}\}\,\gamma_{5i}/4mc^2 + \{P, Z\}_3\,, \tag{11}$$

$$\{P, Z\}_3 = -\sum_{i\neq j\neq k} b_{ij}\,\mathbf{p}_k\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k\,\gamma_{5i}\,\gamma_{5j}\,\gamma_{5k}/2mc^2. \tag{12}$$

$\{P, Z\}_3$ is now a three–body operator which is small on account of its three factors $\gamma_5$ which exchange large and small components. Hopefully, it may be neglected in applications.

It remains to evaluate the anticommutators $\{\mathbf{p}_j\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j, b_{ij}\}$ in (11):

$$\{\mathbf{p}_j\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j, b_{ij}\} = e^2 r_{ij}^{-1}[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\mathbf{p}_j + \sigma_{ir}\, p_{jr} + (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j)_r\, /r_{ij}]\,. \tag{13}$$

The last operator in the square bracket produces $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{hf},i}$ by means of the identity $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j)_r/r^2 = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j/r)$. This completes our derivation of equation (2). It is worth mentioning that the combination $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i\, e\mathbf{A}_{0i}$ is the Breit interaction of the $i$.th electron with spinless particles. It appears also for nuclei, spinless or not, with $2m$ replaced by $m_i + m_j$ in (3), and with $-e$ replaced by $Z_j e$. For a single nucleus $j = N$, one may use $\mathbf{p}_N = -\sum_{i'} \mathbf{p}_{i'}$ to remove the $\mathbf{p}_i$–contribution in $\mathbf{A}_{0i}$ by a small shift of the distance $r_{iN}$, $r_{iN} = r_i + Ze^2/2(m + m_N)c^2$ [6].

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work has been supported by the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.*

# REFERENCES


[1] Grant I P and Quiney H M 1988 *Adv. Atom. Mol. Phys.* **23** 37

[2] Breit G 1929 *Phys. Rev.* **34** 553; 1930 *Phys. Rev* **36** 383

[3] Bethe H A Salpeter E E 1957 *Quantum Mechanics of One– and Two–Electron Atoms* (Berlin: Springer)

[4] Lindroth E and Mårtensson–Pendrill A–M 1989 *Phys. Rev.* **39 A** 3794

[5] Rajagopal A K 1980 *Adv. Chem. Phys* **41** 59; Vignale G and Rasolt M 1992 *Phys. Rev.* **46B** 10232

[6] Malvetti M and Pilkuhn H 1994 *Phys. Rep.* C **248** 1

[7] Malvetti M and Pilkuhn H 1990 *J. Phys. B* **23** 3469; Malvetti M 1990 thesis (Karlsruhe)