arXiv:cond-mat/0109147v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 7 Sep 2001

Highly Degenerate Canted Spin Structure in Bilayer Manganite $La_{1.1}Sr_{1.9}Mn_2O_7$

M. Kubota,* Y. Oohara, and H. Yoshizawa
Neutron Scattering Laboratory, I. S. S. P., University of Tokyo, Tokai, Ibaraki, 319-1106, Japan

H. Fujioka and K. Hirota
CREST, Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai, 980-8578, Japan

Y. Moritomo
PRESTO and CIRSE, Nagoya University, Nagoya, 464-8601, Japan

Y. Endoh
Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

(December 2, 2013)

We have examined magnetic ordering of the two-dimensional (2D) bilayer manganite $La_{1.1}Sr_{1.9}Mn_2O_7$ with neutron diffraction technique. Due to the two-dimensionality, there appears intense 2D ridge scattering along the tetragonal $(00l)$ direction, and the analyses of such scattering leads to a conclusion that the low temperature spin structure is a canted antiferromagnetic ordering.

It is well known that an appropriate hole doping in a manganite, *e.g.* $La_{1-x}Ca_xMnO_3$, turns an antiferromagnetic (AFM) insulator to a ferromagnetic (FM) metal. In a very early study by Wollan and Koehler, on the other hand, it was already pointed out that an AFM ordering survives in the metallic FM phase.[1] de Gennes successfully demonstrated that the coexistence of the ferromagnetism and the antiferromagnetism can be interpreted as a formation of a canted spin structure through the competition between the superexchange AFM interaction and the FM double-exchange interaction.[2] Based on the NMR study, however, Allodi *et al.* recently claimed that the coexistence should be interpreted as a phase separation of the FM metal phase and the AFM insulator phase.[3] Theoretical studies by Moreo *et al.* suggest that the phase separation is favored against the canted spin structure as a ground state of the hole-doped manganite.[4] Since then, a number of researches were carried out to explore whether the phase separation really exists in a hole-doped manganite system.

$La_{2-2x}Sr_{1+2x}Mn_2O_7$ is known as one of colossal magnetoresistance (CMR) manganites. In particular, the $x = 0.40$ sample exhibits a dramatic decrease of the resistivity by applying a magnetic field just above T_C, and the phase separation picture is invoked as an origin of such behavior. $La_{2-2x}Sr_{1+2x}Mn_2O_7$ is a two-dimensional (2D) bilayered manganite with a tetragonal body- centered structure.[5,6] Between $x = 0.4$ and $x = 0.48$, it exhibits two magnetically ordered phases; the AFM insulator for $T_C \leq T \leq T_N$, and the FM metal below T_C.[7,8] Based on the neutron scattering experiments, the low T spin structure is reported to be a canted AFM ordering. Due to the 2D layered structure, an overall spin structure consists of units of ferromagnetically ordered c-planes; the two FM layers couple ferromagnetically within a bilayer, while such FM bilayer units couple antiferromagnetically.[9,10] What was actually observed in our previous neutron diffraction studies is the coexistence of the AFM as well as FM Bragg intensities. Conceptually, however, such observations allow a possibility of the phase separation because it is also compatible with diffraction data. In fact, Moreo *et al.* argued that such a coexistence of FM and AFM Bragg reflections should be considered as evidence of the phase separation and suggested that the existence of the FM metallic clusters in the A-type AFM phase is the origin of the CMR in this compound.[11] On the other hand, Maezono and Nagaosa suggested that the two-dimensionality of the $La_{2-2x}Sr_{1+2x}Mn_2O_7$ system allows a possibility of a canted spin structure.[12]

In the present work, we shall demonstrate that, by taking an advantage of the 2D nature of the $La_{2-2x}Sr_{1+2x}Mn_2O_7$ system, it is possible to distinguish the canted AFM ordering from the phase separation by a diffraction study. We have observed magnetic diffuse signals in the $x = 0.45$ sample in the paramagnetic (PM) phase, an A-type AFM phase and the low T phase by neutron diffraction technique. From the analyses of the diffuse scattering, more precisely the 2D ridge scattering, we demonstrate that the low T spin structure is, indeed, a canted spin structure.

The sample studied is the same with that used in our series of the previous studies.[9,10,13] Neutron scattering measurements were carried out with the ISSP triple-axis spectrometer GPTAS installed at the 4G experimental port of JRR-3M in JAERI (Tokai). We used neutrons with $k_i = 3.826$ Å^{-1} and employed the collimation of 40'-40'-40' in the double-axis mode. A monochromatic beam was obtained by the (002) reflection from pyrolytic graphite. To remove higher order neutrons, we used a pyrolytic graphite filter. The sample was placed in an Al

can with the [010] axis vertical to observe the magnetic signal in the (h 0 l) scattering plane, and it was cooled with a closed cycle helium gas refrigerator down to 10 K.

Let us start from the FM spin correlations within MnO_2 layers (the ab plane). Figure 1(a) is the profiles measured along the (100) direction. The peak observed at $h = 0$ indicated that, reflecting the two-dimensionality of the system, there is a well-developed FM spin correlations in each MnO_2 layer even in the PM phase at 288 K, and it further develops with lowering T. In Fig. 1(b) are shown the profiles which gives information on the spin correlations perpendicular to the MnO_2 bilayers measured along the (0 0 l) line at 288 K in the PM phase, at 144 K in the intermediate A-type AFM phase, and at 20 K in the low T canted AFM phase, respectively. Due to the very good two- dimensionality of the spin system, there is practically no correlation between bilayers in the PM phase. The AFM Bragg reflections are observed at $Q = (0\ 0\ 2n+1)$ at 144 K in the intermediate A-type AFM phase, while at 20K in the canted AFM phase, FM as well as AFM Bragg reflections are observed at $Q = (0\ 0\ 2n)$ and $(0\ 0\ 2n+1)$, respectively. In addition, distinct sinusoidal modulations are observed along the (0 0 l) line in the intermediate A-type AFM as well as low T canted AFM phases, reflecting the bilayer nature of the $La_{2-2x}Sr_{1+2x}Mn_2O_7$ system. It should be noted, however, that the periodicity of the modulation in two phases is quite different.

Through the careful examination of the profiles depicted in Fig. 1(b), one can easily find that the sinusoidal modulation at 144 K in the AFM phase is proportional to $-\cos(2\pi z_1 l)$, where $z_1 c$ is the distance between the MnO_2 intra-bilayers. This means that within each bilayers, the MnO_2 layers are *antiferromagnetically* coupled as depicted in Fig. 2(a). By contrast, the sinusoidal modulation at 20 K in the canted AFM phase is proportional to $\cos(2\pi z_2 l)$, where $z_2 c$ is the distance between the *inter-bilayers* depicted in Fig. 2(b). This behavior is unexpected because one expects that the two-dimensionality of this system favors the strong AFM coupling between MnO_2 layers within a bilayer-unit, while loose correlation between antiferromagnetically coupled bilayer-units. The $\cos(2\pi z_2 l)$ modulation undoubtedly indicates that the 'AFM block' in Fig. 2(a) is not effective, and instead the 'FM coupling' between the bilayer-units shown in Fig. 2(b) controls the spin correlation perpendicular to the MnO_2 layers in the low T canted AFM phase.

The well-developed FM spin correlation throughout all T (Fig. 1(a)) makes the analysis of the spin correlations along the (0 0 l) line considerably simple. It allows us to define a thermal average of the spin direction within each layer, and the spins in the j-th layer may be expressed as,

$$S_j^+ = \langle S \rangle \exp \imath\phi_j, \tag{1}$$

where $S_j^+ = S_j^x + iS_j^y$, and ϕ_j stands for the spin direction of the spins in the j-th layer relative to the x axis.




FIG. 1. (a) (0 0 l) scan at 288 K, 144 K and 20 K. The grey line is proportional to $-\cos(2\pi z_1 l) + const.$ and the solid line to $\cos(2\pi z_2 l) + const.$, where they are corrected by the Lorentz factor. (b) (h 0 1.6) scan at 288 K, 144 K and 20 K.

With these assumptions, the analysis of the spin structure is reduced to a one- dimensional problem, and the scattering profile along the (0 0 l) line may be expressed by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = N_c r_0^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} g \langle S \rangle f(k)\right)^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^2 \times \textstyle\sum_{i,j} \langle \exp\left(\imath(\phi_i - \phi_j)\right)\rangle \exp\left(2\pi \imath l z_{ij}\right) \delta(h)\delta(k) + \cdots. \tag{2}$$

where parameters have their usual meaning,[14] N_c is the number of Mn site in an FM c-plane and $z_{ij}c$ is the distance between the i-th and j-th FM c-plane. The term $\langle \exp\left(\imath(\phi_i - \phi_j)\right)\rangle$ denotes an angle correlation function.

It is straightfoward to derive an effective scattering cross-section which describes the l dependence of the magnetic scattering observed along the 2D ridge on the (0 0 l) line shown in Fig. 1(b). Suppose that the antiferromagnetic interaction J_1 forms the AFM block depicted in Fig. 2(a), we can evaluate the angle correlation between the $n+k$– and the n–th AFM block as,

$$\langle \exp\left(\imath(\phi_{n+k} - \phi_n)\right)\rangle = (-r)^k. \tag{3}$$

Here, ϕ_n stands for the spin direction of the lower layer of the n– th AFM block and r is the coherence parameter; $r = 1$ for the long range ordering while $r = 0$ when there is no correlation between the bilayers. By using this correlation function, the sinusoidally modulated diffuse scattering in the intermediate AFM phase can be expressed by,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = 2N r_0^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} g \langle S \rangle f(k)\right)^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^2 \{1 - \cos(2\pi l z_1)\}$$

$$\times \frac{1-r^2}{1+2r\cos(\pi l)+r^2}\delta(h)\delta(k)+\cdots, \quad (4)$$

where N is the number of Mn site in the sample. For the perfect correlation between bilayer blocks, we note that this formula is reduced to the following equation, which describes the AFM Bragg reflections observed at $(0\ 0\ 2n+1)$ in the AFM phase (Fig. 1(a)),

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = 4Nr_0^2\left(\frac{1}{2}g\langle S\rangle f(k)\right)^2 \frac{(2\pi)^3}{a^2c}\left\{2\sin(\pi l z_1)\right\}^2 \times \sum_n \delta\left(l-(2n+1)\right)\delta(h)\delta(k)+\cdots. \quad (5)$$

Next, we discuss the cross-section for the unusual modulation observed in the low T canted AFM phase. Suppose that the interaction J_1' makes a canting angle θ between the adjacent spins on two layers within a bilayer and the ferromagnetic interaction J_2 forms FM blocks as shown in Fig. 2(b) . Then, the spin canting angle between the n–th and $(n+1)$–th FM blocks is expressed as,

$$\phi_{n+1}-\phi_n = \pm\theta. \quad (6)$$

Note that the spin direction can turn either $+\theta$ or $-\theta$ when going to adjacent bilayer FM blocks. Namely, the ground state generated by J_1' and J_2 includes a high degeneracy of the turn angle of the spin direction. The long range order of a canted spin-structure can be established by introducing the ferromagnetic-interaction of the third-nearest exchange interaction J_3 depicted in Fig. 2(b), which lifts the degeneracy of the ground state as discussed by de Gennes.[2]




FIG. 2. (a): Antiferromagnetic coupling within bilayer block J_1 and J_2 denotes the intra-bilayer and inter-bilayer exchange interactions. (b): Spin canting within bilayer block units by J_1' and ferromagnetic coupling between bilayer blocks by J_2. J_3 denotes the exchange interaction between every other bilayer-units.

For this one-dimensional canted spin structure, we can calculate the angle correlation function $\langle\exp(\imath(\phi_{n+k}-\phi_n))\rangle$ from the analogy of the calculation for the magnetization in the one-dimensional Ising spin system as,[15]

$$\langle\exp(\imath(\phi_{n+k}-\phi_n))\rangle = \left\langle \exp\left(\imath\sum_{j=n}^{k-1}\phi_{j+1}-\phi_j\right)\right\rangle = A_+r_+^k + A_-r_-^k, \quad (7)$$

where A_+, r_+, A_- and r_- are given by the canting angle θ and the coherence parameter p as,

$$A_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{(1-p)\cos\theta}{2\sqrt{(p\cos\theta)^2-2p+1}}, \quad (8)$$

$$r_\pm = p\cos\theta \pm \sqrt{(p\cos\theta)^2-2p+1}. \quad (9)$$

Here, the coherence parameter p denotes the coherence between the FM blocks; $p=0$ for the long-range helical order while $p=\frac{1}{2}$ for a random sequence of the canting angles (the degenerate ground state).

By using these formula for the correlation function, we obtain the following cross-section for the diffuse scattering in the low T canted AFM structure,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = 2Nr_0^2\left(\frac{1}{2}g\langle S\rangle f(k)\right)^2\left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^2\left\{2\cos(\pi l z_2)\right\}^2 \times\left[\frac{A_+(1-r_+^2)}{1-2r_+\cos(\pi l)+r_+^2}+\frac{A_-(1-r_-^2)}{1-2r_-\cos(\pi l)+r_-^2}\right] \times\delta(h)\delta(k)+\cdots. \quad (10)$$

When the FM correlation between the next-nearest blocks is well developed, this equation is reduced to the following cross-section which describes the AFM and FM Bragg reflections observed in the low T canted AFM phase,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = 4Nr_0^2\left(\frac{1}{2}g\langle S\rangle f(k)\right)^2\frac{(2\pi)^3}{a^2c}\left\{2\cos(\pi l z_2)\right\}^2 \times\sum_n\left[\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2\delta(l-2n)+\left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2\delta\left(l-(2n+1)\right)\right] \times\delta(h)\delta(k)+\cdots. \quad (11)$$

With using the structural parameters $\theta = 63.7^\circ$, $z_1 = 0.194$ and $z_2 = 0.306$ which are obtained in our previous studies,[9,10] and with the magnetic form factor of Mn^{3+},[16] the l dependences of the diffuse scattering observed in the intermediate AFM phase and the low T canted AFM phase shown in Fig. 1(b) were fitted to the equations derived above with the instrumental function convoluted. Since most of the structural parameters are known, only one parameter is left to be determined: the coherence parameter r in Eq. (4) for the intermediate AFM phase, and p in Eq.(10) for the low T canted AFM phase, respectively. Figure 3 shows the observed data and the calculated intensity with parameters, $r=0.10$ and $p=0.38$, respectively. It is gratifying that the sinusoidal-shape with the precise periodicity of a diffuse signal is well reproduced by these models for both phases. An important consequence of the present analyses is that the sinusoidal modulation of diffuse scattering in the intermediate A-type AFM state is characterized by the coupling of the ferromagnetically ordered bilayers within bilayer- units shown in Fig. 2(b), whereas that

in the low T canted AFM state is consistently described by taking account of the high degeneracy of the canted spin structure. We conclude that the $\cos(2\pi z_2 l)$ modulation in the low T phase provides strong evidence of the existence of the canted spin structure in the low T phase.




FIG. 3. Observed intensity vs. calculated intensity at (a) 144 K and (b) 20 K.

In conclusion, we have observed the diffuse magnetic signals in $La_{1.1}Sr_{1.9}Mn_2O_7$ for three representative phases. The l dependences of the 2D ridge scattering observed along the $(00l)$ line at three phases are characterized, respectively, by the two dimensionality of the spin correlation in the PM phase, by a coupling within bilayer-units for those in the intermediate AFM phase, and by the high degeneracy which is inherent to the canted-spin ordering for the low T canted AFM phase. The present results demonstrate that the "de Gennes" canted AFM spin structure is formed in $La_{2-2x}Sr_{1+2x}Mn_2O_7$.

* Present address: Photon Factory, KEK, Tsukuba, 305-0801, Japan

[1] E. O. Wollan and W. C. Koehler, Phys. Rev **100**, 545 (1955).
[2] P. G. de Gennes, Phys. Rev **118**, 141 (1960).
[3] G. Allodi, R. De Renzi, G. Guidi, F. Licci, and M. W. Pieper, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 6036 (1997).
[4] A. Moreo, S. Yunoki, and E. Dagotto, Science **283**, 2034 (1999).
[5] Y. Moritomo, Y. Tomioka, A. Asamitsu, Y. Tokura, and Y. Matsui, Nature **380**, 141 (1996).
[6] J. F. Mitchell, D. N. Argyriou, J. D. Jorgensen, D. G. Hinks, C. D. Potter, and S. D. Bader, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 63 (1997).
[7] M. Kubota, H. Fujioka, K. Ohoyama, K. Hirota, Y. Moritomo, H. Yoshizawa, and Y. Endoh, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **60**, 1161 (1999).
[8] M. Kubota, H. Yoshizawa, Y. Moritomo, H. Fujioka, K. Hirota, and Y. Endoh, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **68**, 2202 (1999).
[9] K. Hirota, Y. Moritomo, H. Fujioka, M. Kubota, H. Yoshizawa, and Y. Endoh, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **67**, 3380 (1998).
[10] M. Kubota, H. Fujioka, K. Hirota, K. Ohoyama, Y. Moritomo, H. Yoshizawa, and Y. Endoh, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **69**, 1606 (2000).
[11] A. Moreo, S. Yunoki, and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 2773 (1999).
[12] R. Maezono and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 1825 (2000).
[13] M. Kubota, Y. Oohara, H. Yoshizawa, H. Fujioka, K. Shimizu, K. Hirota, Y. Moritomo, and Y. Endoh, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **69**, 1986 (2000).
[14] S. W. Lovesey, *Theory of Neutron Scattering from Condensed Matter*, 2nd ed. (Claredon Press, Oxford, 1987), Vol. 2, p. 43.
[15] D. C. Mattis, *The Theory of Magnetism II* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1985), p. 102.
[16] P. J. Brown, in *International Table for Crystallography*, edited by A. J. C. Wilson (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992), Vol. C, p. 391.