arXiv:cond-mat/0309564v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 24 Sep 2003

Light Scattering by Low Lying Quasiparticle Excitations in the Fractional Quantum Hall Regime

C.F. Hirjibehedin,[1,2] Irene Dujovne,[3,2] A. Pinczuk,[1,2,3] B.S. Dennis,[2] L.N. Pfeiffer,[2] and K.W. West[2]

[1]*Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027*
[2]*Bell Labs, Lucent Technologies, Murray Hill, NJ 07974*
[3]*Department of Appl. Physics and Appl. Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027*

(Dated: October 29, 2013)

Low lying excitations of electron liquids in the fractional quantum Hall (FQH) regime are studied by resonant inelastic light scattering methods. We present here results from charge and spin excitations of FQH states in the lowest spin-split Landau levels that are of current interest. In the range of filling factors $2/5 \geq \nu \geq 1/3$, we find evidence that low energy quasiparticle excitations can be interpreted with spin-split composite fermion quasi-Landau levels. At FQH states around $\nu = 3/2$, we find well-defined excitations at 4/3 and 8/5 that are consistent with a spin-unpolarized population of quasi-Landau levels.

PACS numbers: 73.20.Mf, 73.43.Lp
Keywords: fractional quantum Hall effect, spin excitations, inelastic light scattering

The fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) occurs at low temperatures in two dimensional (2D) electron systems of very low disorder that are embedded in large perpendicular magnetic fields. Condensation of 2D electron systems into quantum fluids is among the striking manifestations of fundamental interactions in two dimensions. The major sequences of the FQHE occur at electron Landau level (LL) filling factors given by $\nu = p/(\phi p \pm 1)$, where p is an integer that enumerates members of a particular sequence and ϕ is an even integer that labels different sequences.

Excitations of quasiparticles above the FQHE ground state are described as modes of energy ω that have wavevector dispersions $\omega(q)$. Fig. 1 shows schematic renditions of low lying quasiparticle excitations at $\nu = 1/3$. Panel (a) is for the charge modes in which there are no changes in spin orientation. The mode at large wavevector ($q \rightarrow \infty$), which represents a neutral quasiparticle-quasihole pair at large separation [1], is understood as the energy obtained in activated magnetotransport. The other salient features of the mode dispersions are the




FIG. 1: Schematic representation of the wavevector dispersions of low lying excitations in the FQHE regime. (a) Charge modes. (b) Spin wave modes. The wavevector is represented in units of $1/l_0$.




FIG. 2: Schematic representation of lowest spin-split energy levels of CF quasiparticles. Numbers and black arrows indicate the CF-LL index and spin orientation. (a) $\nu = 1/3$, $p = 1$. (b) $\nu = 2/5$, $p = 2$. Grey arrows represent the transitions in low lying collective excitations. In CM transitions there is only change in level index. In SW transitions there is only change in spin orientation. In SF transitions level index and spin orientation change.

$q \rightarrow 0$ limit and the minima in the dispersion at finite wavevector $q \sim 1/l_0$, where $l_0 = (\hbar c/eB)^{1/2}$ is the magnetic length. The minima, called magnetorotons or simply rotons, are the consequences of excitonic binding interactions between the quasiparticles [1, 2, 3]. Panel (b) of Fig. 1 describes the dispersion of spin wave excitations in which the only change is the orientation of quasiparticle spin [4, 5, 6, 7]. Here the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit is at the bare Zeeman energy E_z and the large wavevector limit ($q \rightarrow \infty$) is shifted by the interaction energy required to reverse a spin orientation $E^{\uparrow\downarrow}$.

In the composite fermion (CF) picture ϕ vortices of the many-body wavefunction are attached to each electron to construct CF quasiparticles [8, 9]. Within this framework vortex attachment takes into account Coulomb interactions and p is the CF filling factor. CF quasiparticles have spin-split LLs characteristic of charged fermions with spin 1/2. Chern-Simons gauge fields in-

corporate electron interactions so that CFs in the lowest electron LL ($\nu \leq 1$) experience effective magnetic fields $B^* = B - B_{1/\phi} = \pm B/(\phi p \pm 1)$, where B is the perpendicular component of the external field [10, 11, 12]. Spin-split CF-LLs are shown schematically in Fig. 2 for $\nu = 1/3$ in panel (a) and $\nu = 2/5$ in panel (b). The spacing between lowest CF levels with same spin is described as a cyclotron frequency [7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]

$$\omega_{CF} = \frac{eB^*}{cm_{CF}} \tag{1}$$

and m_{CF} is a CF effective mass. In the context of dispersive collective excitations the large wavevector limit ($q \rightarrow \infty$) of the lowest charge excitations is at energy ω_{CF}.

The main sequence of the FQHE corresponds to $\phi = 2$. In this sequence there are p fully occupied CF-LLs, as shown for $p = 1$ and $p = 2$ in Fig. 2. The quasiparticle excitations shown in Fig. 1 are constructed from the neutral quasiparticle-quasihole pairs of the transitions shown in panel (a) of Fig. 2. The CM transitions are for charge modes and the SW transitions for spin wave excitations.

Given that vortex attachment incorporates effects of Coulomb interactions through Chern-Simons gauge fields, CFs have residual interactions that are much weaker than those among electrons. Features of wavevector dispersions of CF excitations such as rotons and many-body spin reversal energies can be regarded as manifestations of residual interactions among CF quasiparticles.

The low lying excitations of CF quasiparticles, with typical energies below 1meV, are being studied by resonant inelastic light scattering methods [18, 19, 20, 21]. In this paper we consider recent light scattering studies of low lying quasiparticle excitations at filling factors in the range $2/5 \geq \nu \geq 1/3$ [19, 20]. We also consider results obtained in FQHE liquids in higher electron LLs at filling factors in the range $2 > \nu > 1$.

The main features of dispersions of low lying quasiparticle excitations of spin and charge collective modes in the FQHE were reported in resonant inelastic light scattering experiments [22, 23, 24]. More recent light scattering results at filling factors 1/3 and 2/5 have determined the energies of rotons at $q \sim 1/l_0$ and of large wavevector ($q \rightarrow \infty$) excitations of CFs [18, 19, 20]. The light scattering results for spin and charge modes, quantitatively explained within CF theory [7, 18, 19, 20, 25], suggest that the structure of CF-LLs and residual interactions can be explored by light scattering measurements of low lying collective excitations. The residual interactions that manifest in light scattering experiments considered here could lead to formation of higher order quantum liquids such as those probed in recent magnetotransport experiments [26].




FIG. 3: A schematic of the experimental setup and measurement configuration. The inset shows the backscattering geometry.

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND METHODOLOGY

The high quality 2D electron system studied here is formed in an asymmetrically doped, 330Å-wide GaAs single quantum well (SQW). The sample density is $n = 5.6 \times 10^{10}\text{cm}^{-2}$ and the electron mobility is $\mu \gtrsim 7 \times 10^6\text{cm}^2/\text{Vsec}$ at $T = 300\text{mK}$. As shown in Fig. 3, samples are mounted on the cold finger of a dilution refrigerator with a base temperature of 50mK that is inserted into the cold bore of a 17T superconducting magnet. The samples are mounted with the normal to the surface at an angle θ to the magnetic field B_T, making the component of the field perpendicular to the 2D electron layer $B = B_T cos\theta$.

As shown in the schematic in Fig. 3, light scattering measurements are performed through windows for direct optical access to the sample. The energy of the linearly polarized incident photons ω_L is tuned close to the fundamental optical transitions of the GaAs well with either a diode or dye laser. The power density is kept below 10^{-4}W/cm^2. The samples are measured in a backscattering geometry, making an angle θ between the incident/scattered photons and the normal to the sample surface. The wavevector transferred from the photons to the 2D system is $k = k_L^{||} - k_S^{||} = (2\omega_L/c)sin\theta$, where $k_L^{||}$ and $k_S^{||}$ are the components of the incident and scattered photon wavevectors parallel to the 2D plane and the difference between ω_L and the scattered photon energy ω_S is small. For typical measurement geometries, $\theta < 60^\circ$ so that $k \leq 1.5 \times 10^5 cm^{-1} \ll 1/l_0$. Conservation of energy in the inelastic scattering processes is expressed as $\omega(q) = \pm(\omega_L - \omega_S)$, where q is the wavevector of the excitation and $+$ $(-)$ corresponds to the Stokes (anti-Stokes) process.

Scattered light is dispersed by a Spex 1404 double Czerny-Turner spectrometer operating in additive mode with holographic master gratings that reduce the stray




FIG. 4: (a) Resonant inelastic light scattering spectra at $\nu = 1/3$ for different ω_L. (b) Dispersion curves for the charge mode and the spin wave. The SW_0 excitation is found at the bare Zeeman energy (Larmor's theorem) [1] E_z. The value of $E^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ is obtained from Refs. [19, 20].

light. Photons are detected with CCDs with 15 or 20μm pixels. The combined resolution of the system with entrance slits on the spectrometer set to 30μm is 16μeV. The response of the spectrometer is linearly polarized, so that spectra can be taken with the linear polarization of the incident photons parallel (polarized) or perpendicular (depolarized) to the detected scattered photons' polarization. Excitation modes with changes in the spin degree of freedom tend to be stronger in depolarized spectra, while modes in the charge degree of freedom tend to be stronger in polarized spectra.

EXCITATIONS AT $\nu = 1/3$

Low energy excitations at $\nu = 1/3$ include charge modes, where the CF-LL quantum number changes and spin modes where the spin degree of freedom is modified. At $\nu = 1/3$ only the lowest CF-LL is populated and the lowest energy excitations correspond to $|0\uparrow> \rightarrow |1\uparrow>$ and $|0\uparrow> \rightarrow |0\downarrow>$ CF-LL transitions for the CM and SW respectively, as shown in Fig. 2.

Figure 4(a) shows resonant inelastic light scattering spectra at $\nu = 1/3$ for different values of ω_L. When ω_L is close to the fundamental optical transitions of the 2D system, the intensity of the inelastic light scattering is increased dramatically [22, 23, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33]. As seen in Fig. 4(a), the various excitations observed at 1/3 have different resonance conditions. For many of these modes, resonances occur when ω_L or ω_S overlap transitions seen in photoluminescence [33] that are assigned to recombination of negatively charged excitons [34, 35, 36, 37]. A significant feature of the spectra in Fig. 4(a) is the absence of luminescence. This is characteristic of spectra excited with ω_L that overlap the lowest optical excitation of the GaAs quantum well [33].

The dispersion curves for the CM [25] and the SW [5] excitations are displayed in Fig. 4(b). The calculations have been scaled by a factor of 0.6 to account for the effects of the finite width of the 2D layer [18, 21, 38, 39]. The main features of the dispersions are at critical points that occur at $q \rightarrow 0$, at the $q \rightarrow \infty$ limit, and at the roton minimum at $q \sim 1/l_0 \sim 10^6 cm^{-1}$. It is clear that the spectra in panel (a) display the features of the critical points of the wavevector dispersions of the excitation modes that have wavevectors $q >> k \sim 10^5 cm^{-1}$. The results in Fig. 4 indicate a massive breakdown of wavevector conservation in the resonant inelastic light scattering spectra.

In a translationally invariant system conservation of momentum is equivalent to conservation of wavevector. Because the wavevector transferred to the 2D system by the photons is $k \ll 1/l_0$, light scattering in a translationally invariant system, where $q = k$, can only access excitations with small wavevectors $q \ll 1/l_0$. The original light scattering experiments in the FQHE regime were able to access the $q \rightarrow 0$ low energy CM and SW excitations [22], labelled Δ_0 and SW_0 respectively in Fig. 4(a).

Breakdown of wavevector conservation allows inelastic light scattering to access the energies of rotons and of large wavevector ($q \rightarrow \infty$) excitations of the CM and SW excitations [18, 20]. The peaks labelled Δ_R and Δ_∞ in Fig. 4(a) have been assigned to the roton and to $q \rightarrow \infty$ CM excitations [18, 23, 40]. The mode labelled SW_∞ has been assigned to the $q \rightarrow \infty$ spin wave excitation [20]. The SW_∞ energy is $E_z^* = E_z + E^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ where $E^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ represents the spin reversal energy due to interactions among quasiparticles [4, 5, 6, 19, 20, 41, 42]. The value of $E^{\uparrow\downarrow} = 0.054 e^2/\epsilon l_0$ obtained from the SW_∞ energy is consistent with that obtained from the energies of spin flip excitations ($|1\uparrow> \rightarrow |0\downarrow>$) for filling factors $\nu \gtrsim 1/3$ with small populations of the $|1\uparrow>$ CF-LL [19, 20].

Breakdown of wavevector conservation in resonant inelastic light scattering is attributed to the loss of full translation symmetry in the presence of very weak residual disorder. The breakdown is particularly effective for spectra excited under strongly resonant conditions. Here the higher order terms in a perturbation theory description of light scattering that directly incorporate wavevector relaxation processes are greatly enhanced [43]. Quantum Hall systems of high perfection display extremely sharp optical resonances of widths $< 0.2meV$ [33], as in Fig. 4. In such systems, light scattering processes that

break wavevector conservation can be extremely strong. For strongly resonant conditions, light scattering can easily access excitation modes with wavevectors far beyond k [18, 20, 23, 29, 40].

Under conditions of conservation of wavevector, the intensity of light scattering is proportional to the dynamic structure factor $S(q = k, \omega)$ [44]. Phenomenologically, the light scattering intensity with breakdown of wavevector conservation can be represented by a dynamical structure factor defined as [45]

$$S(k, \omega; \alpha) \sim \int dQ f(k, Q; \alpha) S(Q, \omega) \qquad (2)$$

where α is a parameter (or set of parameters) associated with the diverse mechanisms that contribute to breakdown of wavevector conservation and $f(k, Q; \alpha)$ is a function that couples structure factors at different wavevectors. Within this framework, $S(k, \omega; \alpha)$ and therefore the intensity of the scattered light contains contributions from modes with wavevectors which are well beyond the $q = k$ limit.

Given the importance of breakdown of wavevector conservation in resonant inelastic light scattering processes in the integer and fractional quantum Hall regimes it is useful to conjecture about possible mechanisms that contribute to α. We may envision two classes of processes. One class arises from processes that contribute to inhomogeneous broadening and lifetimes of optical transitions of the GaAs quantum wells. Fluctuations in quantum well widths typically contribute to such processes. Another important class of contributions is that arising from wavevector relaxation processes responsible for the increase in magnetoresistance that signals the onset of dissipation in the quantum liquid with increasing temperature.

STATES WITH $2/5 \geq \nu \geq 1/3$ AND $\nu \leq 1/3$

The main FQH states linked to CFs that bind two vortices ($\phi = 2$) form the sequence $\nu = p/(2p \pm 1)$, where, as mentioned above, p represents the CF filling factor and is an integer at the main FQHE states. As shown in Fig. 2, at $\nu = 1/3$ there is only one CF-LL populated while at $\nu = 2/5$ the lowest two levels are full. Inelastic light scattering measurements of low lying excitations of the electron liquids in the full range of filling factors $2/5 \geq \nu \geq 1/3$ enable the study of quasiparticles in states with partial population of one CF-LL ($2 \geq p \geq 1$). These experiments uncover low lying excitations at all magnetic fields within this range [19]. There has been recent interest in the region between major fractions such as $2/5 > \nu > 1/3$ where newly observed FQH states occur at values such as $p = 1 + 1/3$ and $p = 1 + 2/3$ [26, 46, 47, 48]. Such liquids may be described by attaching an even number of fluxes to CFs, resulting in quasiparticles with $\phi = 4$.




FIG. 5: Depolarized inelastic light scattering spectra at filling factors $\nu = 0.388$ (thin line) and 0.375 (thick line) near $\nu = 2/5$. Vertical solid arrows show the positions of roton density of states as presented in Ref. [19]. The gray arrow marks the position of the maximum of the peak at $\nu = 0.375$.

Figure 5 shows light scattering spectra of low lying excitations ($\omega \lesssim 0.3$meV) measured at $\nu \lesssim 2/5$. The spectrum at $\nu = 0.388$ shows two structures. The one labelled (SW_0) is at $E_z = g\mu_B B_T$. At lower energies, there is a broader structure labelled SF^- that is assigned to an excitation based on transitions similar to SF transitions shown in Fig. 2(b) [19, 20]. The mode involves simultaneous changes in the CF-LL quantum number and spin orientation [7]. In Refs. [19, 20] it is shown that SF^- evolves from a deep roton minimum of SF excitations at $\nu = 2/5$. As the filling factor is reduced the SF^- evolves into the well-defined peak shown in Fig. 5 for $\nu = 0.375$. At larger magnetic fields $\nu \gtrsim 1/3$ a narrow peak (SF^+) appears below the SW_0 (not shown in Fig. 5). This peak disappears at $\nu = 1/3$.

Figure 6 summarizes the energies of the low lying spin excitations measured in the range $2/5 \geq \nu \geq 1/3$. The mode energies shown include the SW_0 at E_z, the SF^-, and the SF^+. The SF^- mode displays rapid softening to very low energies and then its energy remains constant until it disappears. It is noteworthy that the energy of the SF^- mode does not display a marked magnetic field dependence in the region in which the filling factor of the second CF-LL, given by $p - 1$ varies between 2/3 ($p = 5/3$) and 1/3 ($p = 4/3$). In this region, where higher order FQH fluids have been observed [26], the SF^- mode occurs as a well defined peak with energy independent of




FIG. 6: Energies of the low energy modes in the filling factor range $2/5 \geq \nu \geq 1/3$ (after [19]). The modes represented are the SW_0, SF^+, and SF^- described in the text. Two values are presented for SF^- modes: the squares are determined from the roton density of states [19]; the gray area covers the energy range of the maxima of the SF^- peak. The dotted line is a fit of the SW_0 energy with $E_z = g\mu_B B_T$ and $g = 0.44$.




FIG. 7: Inelastic light scattering spectra at various filling factors between $2 > \nu > 1$. The spectra at FQHE states $\nu = 4/3$ and $\nu = 8/5$ are highlighted. The upper inset shows the temperature dependence of the mode at $\nu = 4/3$ and the lower inset shows transport data identifying various FQHE states.

B. The higher order FQH states that occur at $p-1 = 2/3$ and $p-1 = 1/3$ are the subject of great current interest [26, 46, 47, 48]. It is possible that the marked changes in lineshapes observed in the SF^- spectra shown in Fig. 5 could be related to the appearance of these higher order FQH liquids.

A remarkably rich set of phenomena also exists beyond the primary sequence of FQHE states in the lowest LL. For $\nu < 1/3$, additional FQHE sequences are found for $\nu = p/(\phi p \pm 1)$ with $\phi = 4, 6, 8$ [49]. There has been much recent interest in the crossover region between different FQHE sequences, both near $\nu = 1/3$ [21] and 1/5 [49, 50]. Beyond 1/5, the system is thought to condense into a Wigner solid [50], which can undergo a melting transition to FQHE states [49].

Light scattering studies of quantum liquids with $\nu \leq 1/3$ is in its infancy. Recent results display evidence of a surprising coexistence between lower energy $\phi = 4$ excitations and higher energy $\phi = 2$ modes [21]. These experiments also show that the crossover from $\phi = 2$ to $\phi = 4$ liquids that happens at $\nu = 1/3$ is associated with the remarkable emergence of low lying excitations with energies $\omega < 0.2$meV.

FQHE STATES AROUND $\nu = 3/2$

At lower B, FQHE states are found around $\nu = 3/2$. These states are also thought to have a CF-LL structure. Evidence of crossings of these levels is seen in angular-dependent magnetotransport [51, 52, 53, 54]. These states are characterized by low lying excitations, making light scattering an ideal probe of their structures.

We have initiated light scattering studies of low lying excitations of the 2D system in higher electron LLs with experiments carried out in the filling factor range $2 > \nu > 1$. Figure 7 shows light scattering spectra from different filling factors around $\nu = 3/2$. Strong excitations are seen at FQHE states 4/3 and 8/5. Modes are seen only near these two FQHE states and are not observed at other FQHE states that have been seen in previous transport data [51, 52, 53, 54], consistent with magnetotransport shown in the lower inset of Fig. 7. These excitations are sharp (< 0.2meV wide) and have resonance enhancement profiles that are relatively narrow (< 0.4meV wide, not shown). As seen in the upper inset of Fig. 7, the 4/3 state has a very strong temperature dependence. As the temperature is raised from 63mK, the low energy tail increases in intensity until the mode disappears above 300mK.

On the basis of particle-hole symmetry, the FQH states around $\nu = 3/2$ are described in terms of hole states in a filled spin-split LL with hole filling factor $\nu_H = 2 - \nu$. Within the CF framework, the CF quasiparticles fill quasi-LLs that are spaced by an effective cyclotron energy ω_{CF} with $B^* = 3(B - B_{3/2})$, where $B_{3/2}$ is the value of B at $\nu = 3/2$, with spin-split partners spaced by an effective Zeeman energy $E_z^* = g^*\mu_B B_T$, where g^* is an

enhanced Lande factor including many-body corrections to the spin flip energy [53]. The angular dependent disappearance of minima at various FQHE states [51, 52] is interpreted as an energy level crossing occurring when $E_z^* = j\omega_{CF}$ for integer j [53, 54].

We focus our attention on $\nu = 4/3$, which being equivalent to a 2/3 state of holes has two CF-LLs populated. For small values of B_T, the system is thought to be unpolarized, with the lowest $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ states equally populated. In a non-interacting picture, the lowest energy excitation is from $|\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\uparrow\rangle$ and has an energy $\Delta_{4/3} = \omega_{CF} - E_z^*$. Using this as the form for the activation gap, Du et al. [54] find $m_{CF} = 0.43m_0$ and $g^* \cong 0.76$, where m_0 is the free electron mass. Assuming that m_{CF} and $(g^* - g)$ scale with $e^2/\epsilon l_0$, we deduce $m_{CF} = 0.30m_0$ and $g^* = 0.66$ for our sample. Using these values, we find $\Delta_{4/3} = 0.15$meV to be in agreement with the peak in the excitation spectrum seen at 4/3 in Fig. 7.

As seen in the upper inset to Fig. 7, the mode at 4/3 disappears above 300mK. Similar behavior is also seen for the mode at 8/5. The temperature dependence is much more sensitive than the energy of $\Delta_{4/3}$. The 4/3 mode in Fig. 7 also shows significant intensity below 0.1meV, suggesting the existence of a lower energy roton. This lower energy roton may determine the temperature dependence of the state. Because of the relatively low density, it is difficult to resolve the various features of the excitations described in Fig. 1. Further work in higher density samples may allow us to map the critical points in the dispersions of various modes of the FQHE states around $\nu = 3/2$ as has been done for the region between $2/5 \geq \nu \geq 1/3$ [18, 19, 20] and $\nu \leq 1/3$ [21].

It is surprising that clear excitation modes are not seen at the FQHE state of $\nu = 5/3$. This is consistent with transport measurements in our sample that indicate that 5/3 is not as well-defined as the FQHE state at 8/5, as seen in the lower inset of Fig. 7. Previous reports have indicated that the 5/3 state is more robust than 8/5 [51, 52, 53, 55, 56]. Recent results, however, have indicated that the 8/5 state is stronger than the 5/3 state in very high quality samples at low densities [57].

SUMMARY

Inelastic light scattering accesses the low lying quasiparticle charge and spin excitations at and between fractional quantum Hall states. Breakdown of wavevector conservation allows light scattering to access energies of bound and unbound quasiparticle-quasihole pairs and provides a measure of residual quasiparticle interactions. In the filling factor range $2/5 \geq \nu \geq 1/3$ we find evidence that CF quasiparticles have an electron-like LL scheme. Anomalies in the magnetic field dependence of the SF excitations may be due to the presence of higher order ($\phi = 4$) CFs. In higher LLs, we find excitations at 4/3 and 8/5 that are consistent with a spin-unpolarized population of quasi-LLs. Future work will explore higher order FQH states and the even denominator FQH states in higher LLs.

We wish to thank R. L. Willett for transport measurements. This work was supported in part by the Nanoscale Science and Engineering Initiative of the National Science Foundation under NSF Award Number CHE-0117752 and by a research grant of the W. M. Keck Foundation.

[1] C. Kallin and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 5655 (1984).
[2] F. D. M. Haldane and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 237 (1985).
[3] S. M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, and P. M. Platzman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 581 (1985).
[4] J. Longo and C. Kallin, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 4429 (1993).
[5] T. Nakajima and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3568 (1994).
[6] T. Chakraborty, Adv. Phys. **49**, 959 (2000).
[7] S. S. Mandal and J. K. Jain, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 201310 (2001).
[8] J. K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 199 (1989).
[9] O. Heinonen, ed., *Composite fermions: a unified view of the quantum Hall regime* (World Scientific, 1998).
[10] A. Lopez and E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 5246 (1991).
[11] V. Kalmeyer and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 9889 (1992).
[12] B. I. Halperin, P. A. Lee, and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 7312 (1993).
[13] A. Lopez and E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 7080 (1993).
[14] R. Du, H. Stormer, D. Tsui, L. Pfeiffer, and K. West, Phys. Rev. Lett **70**, 2944 (1993).
[15] K. Park and J. K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4237 (1998).
[16] G. Murthy, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 13702 (1999).
[17] M. Onoda, T. Mizusaki, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 235315 (2001).
[18] M. Kang, A. Pinczuk, B. S. Dennis, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2637 (2001).
[19] I. Dujovne, A. Pinczuk, M. Kang, B. S. Dennis, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett **90**, 036803 (2003).
[20] I. Dujovne, C. Hirjibehedin, A. Pinczuk, M. Kang, B. Dennis, L. Pfeiffer, and K. West, Solid State Comm. **127**, 109 (2003).
[21] C. F. Hirjibehedin, A. Pinczuk, B. S. Dennis, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, cond-mat/0306152 (2003).
[22] A. Pinczuk, B. S. Dennis, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3983 (1993).
[23] H. D. M. Davies, J. C. Harris, J. F. Ryan, and A. J. Turberfield, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 4095 (1997).
[24] M. Kang, A. Pinczuk, B. S. Dennis, M. A. Eriksson, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 546 (2000).
[25] V. W. Scarola, K. Park, and J. K. Jain, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 13064 (2000).
[26] W. Pan, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W.

Baldwin, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 016801 (2003).
[27] E. Burstein, A. Pinczuk, and S. Buchner, *Physics of Semiconductors 1978* (Institute of Physics, London, 1979).
[28] E. Burstein, A. Pinczuk, and D. L. Millis, Surf. Sci. **98**, 451 (1980).
[29] A. Pinczuk, J. P. Valladares, D. Heiman, A. C. Gossard, J. H. English, C. W. Tu, L. Pfeiffer, and K. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2701 (1988).
[30] A. Pinczuk, B. S. Dennis, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. West, and E. Burstein, Phil. Mag. B **70**, 429 (1994).
[31] P. M. Platzman and S. He, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 13674 (1994).
[32] S. Das Sarma and D.-W. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 816 (1999).
[33] C. F. Hirjibehedin, I. Dujovne, I. Bar-Joseph, A. Pinczuk, B. S. Dennis, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, cond-mat/0307220, to be published in Solid State Comm. (2003).
[34] K. Kheng, R. T. Cox, M. Y. dÁubigne, F. Bassani, K. Saminadayar, and S. Tatarenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1752 (1993).
[35] A. J. Shields, M. Pepper, M. Y. Simmons, and D. A. Ritchie, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 7841 (1995).
[36] A. Wojs, J. J. Quinn, and P. Hawrylak, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 4630 (2000).
[37] G. Yusa, H. Shtrikman, and I. Bar-Joseph, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 216402 (2001).
[38] F. C. Zhang and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **33**, 2903 (1986).
[39] K. Park and J. K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4200 (1998).
[40] A. Pinczuk, B. S. Dennis, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Physica B **249**, 40 (1998).
[41] A. Lopez and E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 4347 (1995).
[42] S. S. Mandal and J. K. Jain, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 125310 (2001).
[43] A. A. Gogolin and E. I. Rashba, Solid State Comm. **19**, 1177 (1976).
[44] G. Abstreiter, M. Cardona, and A. Pinczuk, *Light Scattering in Solids IV* (Springer-Verlag, 1984), vol. 54 of *Topics Appl. Phys.*
[45] I. K. Marmorkos and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 13396 (1992).
[46] I. Szlufarska, A. Wojs, and J. J. Quinn, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 165318 (2001).
[47] V. W. Scarola, J. K. Jain, and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. Lett **88**, 216804 (2002).
[48] C.-C. Chang, S. S. Mandal, and J. K. Jain, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 121305 (2003).
[49] W. Pan, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. Baldwin, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 176802 (2002).
[50] H. W. Jiang, R. L. Willett, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 633 (1990).
[51] R. G. Clark, S. R. Haynes, A. M. Suckling, J. R. Mallett, P. A. Wright, J. J. Harris, and C. T. Foxon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1536 (1989).
[52] J. P. Eisenstein, H. L. Stormer, L. Pfieffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett **62**, 1540 (1989).
[53] R. R. Du, A. S. Yeh, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfieffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett **75**, 3926 (1995).
[54] R. R. Du, A. S. Yeh, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfieffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 7351 (1997).
[55] R. Willett, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, A. C. Gossard, and J. H. English, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 8476 (1988).
[56] T. Sajoto, Y. W. Suen, L. W. Engel, M. B. Santos, and M. Shayegan, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 8449 (1990).
[57] J. Zhu, Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University (2002).