arXiv:cond-mat/0701700v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 29 Jan 2007

Comment on "Nonexistence of "Spin Transverse Force" for a Relativistic Electron" by Wlodek Zawadzki (cond-mat/0701387)

Shun-Qing Shen

Department of Physics, and Center for Theoretical and Computational Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

(Dated: January 19, 2007)

This is a reply to W. Zawadzki's paper (arXiv: cond-mat/0701378) on non-exietence of spin transverse force for a relativistic electron. The force was first proposed by the present author that the spin current will experience a transverse force in an electric field as a relativistic quantum mechanical effect, and in semiconductor with Rahsba spin-orbit coupling. Zawadzki's approach is based on an incorrect relation between the velocity and canonical momentum, and his conclusion is not true.

PACS numbers: 85.75.-d, 72.20.My, 71.10.Ca

Spin transverse force was proposed by the present author for electron spin moving in an electric field in non-relativistic quantum mechanical limit or in semiconductor with spin-orbit coupling.[1] The force direction is perpendicular to the electric field and its amplitude is proportional to spin current with polarization along the field. Some physical effects such as the spin and anomalous Hall effect can be attributed to this force.[2] In his comment,[3] W. Zawadzki claimed that the force does not exist, and is an artefact resulting from an approximate form of the employed Hamiltonian. He reproduced a standard approach in the textbook on relativistic quantum mechanics, but cited an incorrect relation between the canonical momentum operator and the velocity. Thus his statement is not correct.

The complete Dirac Hamiltonian in the electric and magnetic field reads

$$H = c\alpha \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}) + \beta m_0 c^2 + V \tag{1}$$

where V and $\mathbf{A}$ are the scalar and vector potential for electromagnetic field, and α and β are the Dirac matrices. m_0 is the rest mass of electron, and c is the speed of light. Zawadzki's starting point is based on the following equation,

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}) = e(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}), \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the electric and magnetic field, respectively, and $\mathbf{v} = c\alpha$ the velocity operators with eigenvalues $\pm c$. One can find a detailed derivation in Ref. [4] Though it has, at least, the form of the Lorentz force, its expectation may not be useful because $\mathbf{v}$ contains the so-called *Zitterbewegung* even for a free electron. It is clear that there is no explicit equation of motion to set up any relation between the velocity operator, $\mathbf{v} = c\alpha$ and the operator $\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}$ in relativistic quantum mechanics. The most relevant operator to the classical force is the expectation value of the acceleration $d\mathbf{v}/dt$ in classic limit. However, Zawadzki simply took $\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A} = m(v)\mathbf{v}$,[3] where he claimed that $m(v)$ is the Lorentz mass. Even in the absence of any electromagnetic potential, $\mathbf{p} = -i\hbar\nabla$ and is not proportional to the velocity $c\alpha$. For instance in a plane wave, $\mathbf{p}$ is a good quantum number, but the plane wave may not be one of the four eigenstates for α. Thus even if the equality were valid $m(v)$ must be an operator, not a coefficient. Unfortunately, the velocity operator has only two eigenvalues $\pm c$, and the Lorentz mass $m(v) = m_0/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ becomes divergent when the velocity reaches at the speed of light. Thus the relation is not correct, and all his comments become groundless.

Indeed, the spin transverse force in Ref. [1] is derived in the non-relativistic limit. In this approach, the four component Dirac equation is reduced to the two component Pauli equation with several additional terms. It is inevitable to introduce the spin-orbit coupling $\frac{e\hbar}{4m^2c^2}\sigma \cdot [E \times (\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A})]$ in the effective Hamiltonian, which term indeed does not appear in the Dirac equation explicitly and can be regarded as quantum interference between the electron and positron states, or majority- and minority component of Dirac wave function. In the Pauli equation, all quantities are well-defined and self-contained, and the relation between the velocity $d\mathbf{x}/dt$ and the operator $\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}$ is explicit by introducing an additional spin gauge field. In this limit the electron mass is of course m_0, not the Lorentz mass as Zawadzki claimed. The relativistic correction has been included in the effective Hamiltonian. Higher-order terms missed in my derivation has slight revision on the final results. The effective Hamiltonian with spin-orbit coupling for electron has been working very well in condensed matter physics, and all relevant parameters can be determined experimentally. We have no reason to drop this type of the effective Hamiltonian in solid. The spin transverse force clearly originates from the spin-orbit coupling, and is applicable to describe the two-component wave function for electron. For a single spin the force may be hard to be detected experimentally. However, the force is believed to be measurable once the spin current circulates in

semiconductors, not high energy physics because the energy gap between the conduction band and valence band in semiconductors is much smaller than the gap between the electron and positron. Theoretically, the contribution from the minor component of the Dirac wave functions has been already reflected in the term of spin-orbit coupling. If insisting on starting from the so-called complete Dirac Hamiltonian for semiconductors, we believe that it will have a quite different description for electrons in semiconductors. No matter whether it is applicable or not it is still practical to apply the theory of electronic band structure to explore the physical properties in semiconductor.

Finally I would like to point pout that the concept of force is of classical not quantum mechanics. It has physical meaning only when it was related to physical observables to quantum system. For example, the spin dependent force was first realized in the Stern-Gerlach experiment even before the discovery of electron spin, which is proportional to $\sigma \cdot \bigtriangledown \mathbf{B}$. To summarize, Zawadzki's statement is based on an incorrect relation between the velocity and the operator $\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}$. He mixed the physical quantities in four- and two-component Hilbert space. Thus his statement is not true.

[1] S. Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 187203 (2005).

[2] J. Li, L. B. Hu, and S. Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 241305 (2005); B. Zhou, L. Ren and S. Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 165303 (2006).

[3] W. Zawadzki, cond-mat/0701387.

[4] W. Greiner, Relativistic Quantum Mechanics 3rd Edition, Page 121, (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2000)