Kondo effect versus Jahn-Teller effect: A new class of quantum impurity problems

Alexander O. Gogolin
Institut Laue-Langevin, B.P.156 38042 Grenoble, Cedex 9, France
and
Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kosygina str. 2, Moscow, 117940 Russia
(December 21, 2013)

Impurity ions with orbitally degenerate ground electronic configuration embedded in metals are subjects to both the Kondo effect and the Jahn-Teller instability. While increasing the Jahn-Teller coupling, one passes, from the region of parameters where the perturbation theory is applicable, through a complicated intermediate region, to a region where phonons, localized electrons and conduction electrons form a coherent state.

arXiv:cond-mat/9504028v2 12 Apr 1995

In the course of time, the Kondo model, originally proposed in an attempt to account for a resistance minimum in magnetic alloys, became a kind of reference model in condensed matter theory, revealing nontrivial infrared properties of metals. It is the simplest, single channel, version of the Kondo model that has required decades for its theoretical understanding serving as a laboratory for applying different methods [1]. Along with this development, though somewhat delaying, efforts to devise realistic models describing magnetic impurities in metals were taken. These investigations date from the discussion of an impurity ion d-level degeneracy in the original Anderson paper [2] and (after [3] and a group theoretical analysis of [4]) they led to the paper [5] where a class of models, now known as multi channel Kondo models, has been sorted out and an attention to a peculiar ("non Fermi liquid") low energy behaviour of these models was drawn. Bethe ansatz solutions for multi channel Kondo models were shortly reported [6]. During last few years, numerous studies of the unconventional low energy features have been carried out. They include large k (k - number of channels) expansion [7], conformal field theory [8] and bosonization [9] approaches. Despite this essential success in studying the models, their relevance to concrete impurity problems has not been explored in detail, basically remaining on the level of Ref. [5]. A remarkable exception to this is given by comprehensive investigations of two-level systems in metals whose low energy behavior was conjectured to be described by the two channel Kondo model [10]; the conjecture being recently confirmed by point contact spectroscopy experiments [11].

One of the main sources of motivations for studying multi-channel Kondo models is provided by unusual temperature (magnetic field) dependence of various physical quantities in heavy fermion compounds [12]. This issue has been raised by Cox for the case of Uranium compounds [13] and, recently, for some Cerium compounds [14]. At least for dilute systems, when coherence effects can be neglected, single impurity properties are likely to be dominants (though, even for dense compounds, there are considerable empirical evidences favouring local physics). Fortunately, new (and puzzling) experimental data on dilute U impurities in MPd_3 (with M being Y, Sc, La, etc.) became recently available [15]. Fermi level tunning [16] and ionic radii [15] arguments evidence U being in U^{4+} ionic state. The $J = 4$ Hund's rule ground state is split by the cubic crystal field into Γ_1, Γ_3, Γ_4, and Γ_5 states. Admitting that the point charge model of Ref. [17] is oversimplified, one may assume [13,15] the Γ_3 nonmagnetic doublet being the ground state (in agreement with neutron scattering data [18] though the latter experiments are performed on rather heavily doped samples). That would lead to a two channel Kondo model explaining part of the data [15] - the logarithmic low temperature specific heat and the magnetic susceptibility behaviour [19] but not the linearly (in temperature) saturating resistivity which remains to be reconciled. This line of arguments concerns purely electronic properties disregarding lattice degrees of freedom. An important point is, however, that an orbitally degenerate ground state of the impurity ion realizes classical conditions for the Jahn-Teller instability [20]. Crucial difference with the standard situation is that local phonon modes interact here not only with localized electrons but also with those from conduction band (coupled, in turn, to the degenerate local electronic configuration). Apart from some brief comments (e.g., in Ref. [22]), this interesting problem has been overlooked in the literature (and poorly understood).

This letter is intended to give a qualitative physical discussion of that complicated interplay of the Kondo- and the Jahn-Teller effects realized by orbitally degenerate ions in metals where the three ingredients of the system (the local electronic configuration, the conduction electrons, and the local phonon modes) are tied together. The main trends are explored whereas detailed calculations (anyway not allowed by the format of the letter) will be presented elsewhere [21].

The problem exists for any orbitally degenerate ionic state (Γ_3, Γ_4, Γ_5 and Γ_8 for the cubic point group), magnetic or not. Low energy properties are of interest, so the temperature is understood to be small as compared to the crystal field splitting energy (on the scale of $100K$

for $U - MPd_3$ if estimated from the specific heat Schottky anomaly [15]). Generally, the Hamiltonian of the problem can be written in the form:

$$H = H_{el} + H_{ph} + H_{el-ph} + H_K + H_{JT}, \tag{1}$$

where H_{el} describes conduction electrons, H_{ph} accounts for the lattice, H_{el-ph} represents conventional electron-phonon coupling, and H_K and H_{JT} stand respectively for the Kondo- and the Jahn-Teller couplings to be specified shortly.

As an example the cubic point group and the Γ_3 state is considered in this letter. The Γ_3 representation is spanned by localized electronic wave functions transforming as $|\theta\rangle \propto 3z^2 - r^2$ and $|\varepsilon\rangle \propto x^2 - y^2$. $[\Gamma_3^2] = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3$ and the operators (again spanning Γ_3), which describe mixing of $|\theta\rangle$ and $|\varepsilon\rangle$ due to an interaction with the environment, are: $U_\theta = |\varepsilon\rangle\langle\varepsilon| - |\theta\rangle\langle\theta|$ and $U_\varepsilon = |\theta\rangle\langle\varepsilon| + |\varepsilon\rangle\langle\theta|$. [Additionally there is $U^2 = i(|\theta\rangle\langle\varepsilon| - |\varepsilon\rangle\langle\theta|)$ operator belonging to $\{\Gamma_3^2\} = \Gamma_2$ representation.] These are essentially Pauli matrices, so the Γ_3 doublet may be thought of as a pseudospin (not transforming, of course, as a true spin under spatial rotations).

For the Kondo coupling, one may therefore write:

$$H_K = U_\theta S_\theta + U_\varepsilon S_\varepsilon + U^2 S^2, \tag{2}$$

where S_θ and S_ε are such combinations of the operators describing conduction electrons scattering from the impurity ion which span the Γ_3 representation [for (2) to be invariant]. Due to symmetry arguments, those scattering operators, spanning in general some representation Γ_α, can be constructed as:

$$S_p^\alpha = \sum_{\hat{\mathbf{k}},\hat{\mathbf{k}}'} \sum_{\beta q,\gamma r} J^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(\hat{\mathbf{k}},\hat{\mathbf{k}}') \langle \alpha p || \beta q, \gamma r\rangle c^\dagger_{\hat{\mathbf{k}},\beta q} c_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}',\gamma r}. \tag{3}$$

Here the operators $c_{\hat{\mathbf{k}},\gamma r}$ are defined in a standard way as appropriate linear combinations of operators $c_{\mathbf{k}}$ belonging to the star of the momentum vector $\mathbf{k}$; $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ numbers all the stars, indexes α, β and γ denote irreducible representations (p, q and r standing for degenerate states spanning these representations), $\langle \alpha p || \beta q, \gamma r\rangle$ are corresponding Clebsh-Gordan coefficients, and $J^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(\hat{\mathbf{k}},\hat{\mathbf{k}}')$ are the coupling constants. It should be sad that the expression (3) contains, strictly speaking, infinite number of orbital channels. Yet only those few channels which have the largest coupling constants survive the scaling, the other ones tend to die out in the course of the renormalization group transformations [5,9,10]. It is this tendency which makes the problem tractable. The determination of relevant channels is a complicated problem which requires a detailed analysis of the concrete impurity structure and which presently is far from being clear. For the case of the (nonmagnetic) Γ_3 doublet, $S_{\theta,\varepsilon}$ is a sum of time-reversal partners, so that the number of orbital channels has to be doubled. Hence, in the simplest case, one arrives at the two channel model, as proposed in [13].

The Jahn-Teller coupling is represented by:

$$H_{JT} = g_{JT}\left(U_\theta Q_\theta + U_\varepsilon Q_\varepsilon\right), \tag{4}$$

where $(Q_\theta, Q_\varepsilon)$ is a local phonon mode transforming as Γ_3. The relevant phonons are understood to be optical ones and their dispersion is neglected (it is not expected to qualitatively modify the results). In general there is also a non-linear coupling (along with phonons anharmonicity).

In order to proceed, it is instructive to formally "integrate out" electronic degrees of freedom. This can be done in a standard way with the following result for the effective imaginary time action for the phonon mode:

$$S\{Q\} = S^{(0)}\{Q\} - \int_0^{g_{JT}} dg \int_0^\beta d\tau \left[M_\theta^{(g)}(\tau) Q_\theta(\tau) + M_\varepsilon^{(g)}(\tau) Q_\varepsilon(\tau)\right], \tag{5}$$

where $S^{(0)}\{Q\}$ is the free action, β is the inverse temperature, and

$$M^{(g)}_{\theta,\varepsilon}(\tau) = -\langle U_{\theta,\varepsilon}(\tau)\rangle_{g_{JT}=g}$$

is the exact non-linear dynamic "magnetization" of the Γ_3 pseudospin. Eq.(5) contains both the electronic adiabatic potential for $Q_{\theta,\varepsilon}$ and a retardation term originating from the long time relaxation of low energy electronic excitations.

Consider the adiabatic potential first. It arises from the static magnetization (i.e. calculated for τ-independent $Q_{\theta,\varepsilon}$). As it is evident from the structure of (2) and (4), $M^{(g)}_{\theta,\varepsilon} = (Q_{\theta,\varepsilon}/Q) M(gQ)$ with $Q^2 = Q_\theta^2 + Q_\varepsilon^2$, M being the transverse magnetization. Though an explicit analytic expression for M is generally lacking, in limiting cases it can easily be determined. From essentially free pseudospin magnetization in high fields (temperatures) it changes to a well known logarithmic behaviour in low fields (temperatures). Concerning the cross over, a set of numerical data for a spin isotropic case [23] and analytic formulae at the Emery-Kivelson line [9] are available. The electronic part of the adiabatic potential adds a negative contribution to the elastic energy $(1/2)\mu\omega_0^2 Q^2$, where μ is the mass and ω_0- the bare frequency for the Q-mode. Hence the equilibrium position $Q = 0$ may become unstable and shift to a finite $Q = Q_0$. By differentiating the adiabatic potential in Q one arrives at the following equation for Q_0:

$$\mu\omega_0^2 Q_0 = g_{JT} M(g_{JT} Q_0). \tag{6}$$

It is worth noticing that, since the magnetization should be a monotonic function of the field, this equation can have only one nontrivial ($Q_0 \neq 0$) solution that appears

at temperatures below T_{JT} which is determined by the following condition:

$$\mu\omega_0^2 = g_{JT}^2\chi(T_{JT}), \tag{7}$$

where χ is the linear susceptibility. If the Kondo coupling (2) is disregarded [as well as the electron-phonon coupling in (1)] then a conventional Jahn-Teller effect takes place and T_{JT} is related to the energy gain due to the zero-temperature lattice distortion $W_{JT} = g_{JT}^2/2\mu\omega_0^2$ (actually $T_{JT} = 2W_{JT}$). In the case when this energy is larger then the characteristic Kondo energy scale, T_K, the Kondo coupling (even if kept finite) does not essentially influence the formation of the lattice distortion.

One therefore arrives at the following conclusion: the relative importance of the Kondo- and the Jahn-Teller couplings is determined by the interplay of the parameters W_{JT} and T_K.

Assume that

$$W_{JT} \ll T_K \tag{8}$$

(i.e. the Jahn-Teller coupling is weak). Then one can expect $T_{JT} \ll T_K$ so that the low temperature susceptibility $\chi(T) = (1/2\pi T_K)\ln(T_K/T)$ may be used to estimate

$$T_{JT} = T_K \exp\left\{-2\pi\mu\omega_0^2 T_K/g_{JT}^2\right\}. \tag{9}$$

At this temperature a nonzero Q_0 appears first, then it increases with lowering the temperature reaching $T = 0$ value which, since a magnetic field scales as $\sqrt{T}$, is estimated as

$$Q_0 = \frac{T_K}{g_{JT}}\sqrt{\frac{T_{JT}}{T_K}}. \tag{10}$$

The energy gain $\tilde{W}_{JT}$ due to this lattice distortion differs from the bare W_{JT}; it is determined by the energy scale (9): $\tilde{W}_{JT} = T_{JT}/4\pi$. It should be emphasized that for the Jahn-Teller effect to be fairly developed, the lattice distortion (10) should exceed the characteristic scale for zero-point fluctuations around the new minimum:

$$\sqrt{\langle(\Delta Q)^2\rangle} \ll Q_0; \tag{11}$$

otherwise the Jahn-Teller coupling does not lead to any significant effects (does not really cause a distortion) and can be treated by perturbation theory [24].

Theoretically, the case when both inequalities (8) and (11) are fulfilled is probably the most interesting one - because of its dynamics. The system can tunnel between different equivalent minima in the $Q = Q_0$ manifold. Beyond the adiabatic approximation, each tunneling event causes long relaxation process involving an adjustment of the electronic state (the "Kondo cloud") to a new phonons configuration. This is somewhat similar to what was proposed by Yu and Anderson [25] for $A15$ compounds, though here the situation is much more complicated since a dynamic response of the two channel Kondo system to a rotating (or jumping by $2\pi/3$ depending on the importance of warping terms) magnetic field comes into play [21]. One should mention that, since the lattice distortion arises from a competition of two quadratic in Q energies (elastic and electronic parts of the adiabatic potential) the resulting minimum is going to be rather flat so that the inequality (11) leads to an exponential constraint on the mass of the Q-mode:

$$\mu \gg g_{JT}^2/T_K T_{JT}. \tag{12}$$

Turning now to the case of strong Jahn-Teller coupling, opposite to (8), one observes that if the lattice distortion is standardly given by $Q_0 = g_{JT}^2/\mu\omega_0^2$ then $g_{JT}Q_0$ is much larger then T_K. So one deals with a high field susceptibility when the pseudospin behaves as if it is free. Hence the Kondo interaction (2) is irrelevant. As it is well known [20], the degeneracy of the $Q_0^2 = Q_\varepsilon^2 + Q_\theta^2$ minima manifold is lifted by phonons anharmonicity and non-linear couplings (warping). [Notice that the inequality (11) is still to be satisfied.] Resultingly, three equivalent minima are formed at the positions $(0,1)$, $(\sqrt{3}/2, 1/2)$, $(-\sqrt{3}/2, 1/2)$ or at the positions $(1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$, $(0,-1)$, $(-1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$ depending on the details of the warping potential. With each of these minima a state of the system described by a product of the phonon and the pseudospin wave functions is associated. The three degenerate states will be denoted as $|1\rangle$,$|2\rangle$, and $|3\rangle$. As a matter of fact, the overall cubic symmetry of the total Hamiltonian (1) can not be violated. This is reflected by tunneling rates for tunneling of the system between the states $|i\rangle$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ being finite. In the conventional theory of the Jahn-Teller effect [20,26,27], a diagonalization of the tunneling Hamiltonian leads to "vibronic states": the ground doublet $\tilde{\Gamma}_3$, spanned (in the lowest approximation in the tunneling rate) by the wave functions $|\tilde{\varepsilon}\rangle = [2|1\rangle - |2\rangle - |3\rangle]/\sqrt{6}$ and $|\tilde{\theta}\rangle = [|2\rangle - |3\rangle]/\sqrt{2}$, and the excited singlet with the wave function $|\tilde{\Gamma}_1\rangle = [|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle]/\sqrt{3}$ separated from the ground doublet by an energy ΔE [of the order of $W_{JT}\exp(-3W_{JT}/2\omega_0)$].

It needs to be realized that in the case of an impurity ion in a metal, the distorted configurations strongly interact with conduction electrons. This interaction has nothing to do with the Kondo coupling (2) - it is caused by the conventional electron-phonon interaction present in (1). Indeed, **(i)** because of the latter interaction, the conduction electrons experience different scattering potentials when the impurity complex is in different distorted configurations. Hence the screening effect. One may expect the corresponding dimensionless coupling constant to be of the order of unity (if the same is true for the bulk electron-phonon coupling). The role of the screening is to renormalize (decrease) the energy splitting ΔE. Additionally, **(ii)** the electron density fluctuations modify tunneling barriers between the configurations $|i\rangle$. This gives

rise to assisted tunneling processes (analogously to the case of two-level systems [10]). These processes, though in several orders of magnitude smaller then the screening interaction, lead to a nontrivial scaling making the problem formally similar to the Kondo effect. As a result, one is dealing with a "Jahn-Teller-Kondo" phenomenon when the local electronic configuration, the lattice distortions and the conduction electrons form a coherent state. The coupling is of the form $\sum_{ij} \hat{V}_{ij}|i\rangle\langle j|$, where $\hat{V}_{ij}$ are the electron scattering operators of appropriate symmetry [21]. The conduction electrons can never be disregarded. The present formulation gives rise to several low energy scales [28]. At temperatures $\Delta E \ll T \ll W_{JT}$ the corresponding model resembles a very anisotropic pseudospin-one model which critical behaviour is to be studied [29]. At the lowest temperatures ($T \ll \Delta E$) one again expects a two channel behaviour (within the vibronic doublet) which can however not be further quenched.

To conclude, the problem of the interplay of the Kondo- and the Jahn-Teller effects is formulated. Parameters regions where the system exhibits qualitatively different properties are determined. The Γ_3 ground doublet is discussed as an example though the considerations can also be applied, with minor adjustments [30], to other degenerate representations. I believe that various ideas presented here deserve further investigations.

I am thankful to Daniel Cox for helpful discussions and comments.

[1] K.G. Wilson, Rev. Mod. Phys. **47**, 773 (1975); P.W. Anderson, G. Yuval and D.R. Hamann, Phys. Rev. B **1**, 4664 (1970); P. Nozières, J. Low Temp. Phys. **17**, 31 (1974); N. Andrei, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 379 (1980); P.B. Wiegmann, JETP Lett. **31**, 364 (1980).

[2] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **124**, 41 (1961).

[3] B. Goqblin and J.R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. **185**, 847 (1969).

[4] L.L. Hirst, Adv. Phys. **27**, 231 (1978).

[5] P. Nozières and A. Blandin, J. Phys. (Paris) **41**, 193 (1980).

[6] N. Andrei and C. Destri, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 364 (1984); A.M. Tsvelik and P.B. Wiegmann, Z. Phys. B **54**, 201 (1984).

[7] J. Gan, N. Andrei and P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 686 (1993); D.L. Cox and A.E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1613 (1993).

[8] A.M. Tsvelik, J. Phys. C **2**, 2833 (1990); I. Affleck and A.W.W. Ludwig, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 641 (1991).

[9] V.J. Emery and S. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 10812 (1992); D.G. Clarke, T. Giamarchi and B.I. Shraiman, *ibid.* **48**, 7070 (1993); A.M. Sengupta and A. Georges, *ibid.* **49**, 10020 (1994); M. Fabrizio and A.O. Gogolin, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 17732 (1994); M. Fabrizio, A.O. Gogolin, and P. Nozières, to appear in Phys. Rev. B. For a discussion establishing a link between this approach and Bethe-ansatz methods see A.M. Tsvelik, cond-mat/9502081.

[10] A. Zawadowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 211 (1980); A. Muramatsu and F. Guinea, *ibid.* **57**, 2337 (1986); G. Zaránd and A. Zawadowski, *ibid.* **72**, 542 (1994).

[11] D.C. Ralph and R.A. Buhrman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2118 (1992); D.C. Ralph, A.W.W. Ludwig, Jan von Delft and R.A. Buhrman, *ibid.* **72**, 1064 (1994).

[12] See, e.g., F. Steglich, C. Geibel, K. Gloos, G. Olesch, C. Schrank, C. Wassilew, A. Loidl, A. Krimmel, and G.R. Stewart, J. Low Temp. Phys. **95**, 3 (1994).

[13] D.L. Cox, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1240 (1987). For experimental data see C.L. Seaman, M.B. Maple, B.W.Lee, S. Ghamaty, M.S. Torikachvili, J.-S. Kang, L.Z. Lui, J.W. Allen, and D.L. Cox, *ibid.* **67**, 2882 (1991), but also B. Andraka and A.M. Tsvelik, *ibid.* **67**, 2886 (1991).

[14] B. Andraka, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 3589 (1994); Tae-Suk Kim and D.L. Cox, cond-mat/9412024.

[15] M.B. Maple, C.L. Seaman, D.A. Gajewski, Y. Dalichaouch, V.B. Barreta, M.C. de Andrade, H.A. Mook, H.G. Lukefahr, O.O. Bernal, and D.E. MacLaughlin, J. Low. Temp. Phys. **95**, 225 (1994).

[16] J.W. Allen, L.Z. Liu, R.O. Anderson, C.L. Seaman, M.B. Maple, Y. Dalichaouch, J.-S. Kang, M.S. Torikachvili and M.L. de la Torre, Phys. B **186-188**, 309 (1993).

[17] K.R. Lea, M.J.M. Leask, and W.P. Wolf, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **23**, 1381 (1962).

[18] H.A. Mook, C.L. Seaman, M.B. Maple, M.A. Lopez de la Torre, D.L. Cox, and M.Makivic, Phys. B **186-188**, 341 (1993).

[19] D.L. Cox and M. Makivic, Phys. B **199-200**, 391 (1994).

[20] A. Abraham and B. Bleaney, *Electron paramagnetic resonance of transition ions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1970.

[21] A.O. Gogolin, unpublished.

[22] D.L. Cox, Phys. C **153**, 1642 (1988).

[23] D. Sacramento and P. Schlottmann, Phys. Lett. A **142**, 245 (1989).

[24] Yet it will introduce g-factors, modifying the coupling of the Γ_3 doublet with a strain field, etc.

[25] C.C. Yu and P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. B **29**, 6165 (1984).

[26] I.B. Bersuker, Z. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **43**, 1315 (1962) [Sov. Phys. JETP **16**, 933 (1963)]; Z. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **44**, 1239 (1963) [Sov. Phys. JETP **17**, 836 (1963)].

[27] F.S. Ham, Phys. Rev. **166**, 307 (1968).

[28] So, for $U - MPd_3$ systems, the experimental distinction of the $M = La$ case from $M = (Y, Sc)$ cases (see [15]) may be related to the larger Fermi level density of states for the La compounds [as follows from band structure calculations by C. König, Z. Phys. B **50**, 33 (1983)] that in turn effects the balance of the Kondo- and the Jahn-Teller couplings.

[29] Entirely different but also involving lattice degrees of freedom impurity models have been discussed by H. Capellmann and A.S. Ioselevich (to appear in Phys. Rev. B).

[30] These will mainly concern the nature and the low energy behaviour of the pseudospin magnetization.