arXiv:cond-mat/9508029v1 9 Aug 1995

Table I. Finite-N values of $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)}(N)/J$, $\epsilon_{(1/2,-)}(N)/J$, $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)'}(N)/J$, and $\epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N)/J$ for $d=0.0$, of J'/J. Note that $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)'}(N)=\epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N)$ when $J'>0$ and $\epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N)=\epsilon_{(1/2,+)}(N)$ when $J'<0$.

(a) $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)}(N)/J$

J'/J	$N=5$	$N=7$	$N=9$	$N=11$	$N=13$	$N=$
−0.30	−6.054182	−8.848086	−11.640230	−14.433542	−17.228587	−20.0
−0.20	−5.974922	−8.771783	−11.565739	−14.360276	−17.156197	−19.9
−0.10	−5.899732	−8.699949	−11.495923	−14.291798	−17.088660	−19.8
0.00	−5.830213	−8.634532	−11.432932	−14.230359	−17.028266	−19.8
0.10	−5.963368	−8.759273	−11.552826	−14.347250	−17.143216	−19.9
0.20	−6.098600	−8.887590	−11.677328	−14.469455	−17.263959	−20.0
0.30	−6.235457	−9.018623	−11.805252	−14.595543	−17.388888	−20.1

(b) $\epsilon_{(1/2,-)}(N)/J$

J'/J	$N=5$	$N=7$	$N=9$	$N=11$	$N=13$	$N=$
−0.30	−5.309153	−8.328417	−11.246685	−14.117088	−16.962018	−19.7
−0.20	−5.295485	−8.315081	−11.232456	−14.101753	−16.945633	−19.7
−0.10	−5.286686	−8.306572	−11.223408	−14.092010	−16.935219	−19.7
0.00	−5.283567	−8.303576	−11.220229	−14.088587	−16.931557	−19.7
0.10	−5.286954	−8.306811	−11.223658	−14.092287	−16.935527	−19.7
0.20	−5.297593	−8.316962	−11.234436	−14.103943	−16.948071	−19.7
0.30	−5.316038	−8.334613	−11.253235	−14.124347	−16.970116	−19.8

(c) $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)'}(N)/J$

J'/J	$N=5$	$N=7$	$N=9$	$N=11$	$N=13$	$N=$
-0.30	-5.451354	-8.298314	-11.125222	-13.942842	-16.755529	-19.5
-0.20	-5.573010	-8.401564	-11.215359	-14.023132	-16.828188	-19.6
-0.10	-5.699777	-8.514645	-11.319475	-14.121061	-16.921741	-19.7
0.00	-5.830213	-8.634532	-11.432932	-14.230359	-17.028266	-19.8

(d) $\epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N)/J$

J'/J	$N=5$	$N=7$	$N=9$	$N=11$	$N=13$	$N=$
0.00	-5.830213	-8.634532	-11.432932	-14.230359	-17.028266	-19.82
0.10	-5.768795	-8.578704	-11.380467	-14.180017	-16.979284	-19.77
0.20	-5.719142	-8.537588	-11.344937	-14.148268	-16.950089	-19.75
0.30	-5.686463	-8.518738	-11.336204	-14.147241	-16.955021	-19.76

arXiv:cond-mat/9508029v1 9 Aug 1995

Table II. Estimated values of $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,-)}$, $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,+)'}$, and $\tilde{\Delta}_{(3/2,+)}$ for $d=0.0$ and $d=0.2$. Note that $\tilde{\Delta}_{(3/2,+)}=\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,+)'}$ when $d=0.0$.

J'/J	$d=0.0$		$d=0.2$		
	$\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,-)}$	$\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,+)'}$	$\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,-)}$	$\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,+)'}$	$\tilde{\Delta}_{(3/2,+)}$
−0.20	−0.2015	−0.3045	−0.1728	−0.2929	−0.2609
−0.15	−0.1560	−0.2337	−0.1379	−0.2307	−0.2056
−0.10	−0.1061	−0.1580	−0.0944	−0.1585	−0.1409
−0.08	−0.0855	−0.1269	−0.0756	−0.1277	−0.1134
−0.06	−0.0646	−0.0954	−0.0561	−0.0957	−0.0852
−0.04	−0.0435	−0.0637	−0.0361	−0.0623	
−0.02	−0.0223	−0.0319			
0.02	0.0202	0.0319			
0.03			0.0309		
0.04	0.0415	0.0636	0.0434	0.0607	0.0549
0.06	0.0626	0.0952	0.0659	0.0953	0.0836
0.08	0.0836	0.1265	0.0869	0.1290	0.1106
0.10	0.1044	0.1573	0.1072	0.1623	0.1365
0.15	0.1555	0.2314	0.1565	0.2434	0.1959
0.20	0.2048	0.2990	0.2036	0.3179	0.2444

arXiv:cond-mat/9508029v1 9 Aug 1995

Table III. Estimated values of the coefficients $a_{(M,P)}$ and $b_{(M,P)}$.

d	$a_{(1/2,-)}$	$b_{(1/2,-)}$	$a_{(1/2,+)'}$	$b_{(1/2,+)'}$	$a_{(3/2,+)}$	$b_{(3/2,+)}$
0.0	1.065	0.018	1.599	−0.063	1.599	−0.063
0.1	1.036	0.217	1.604	0.163	1.539	−0.290
0.2	1.026	0.418	1.618	0.293	1.426	−0.210
0.3	1.014	0.470	1.628	0.361	1.325	−0.117
0.4	0.990	0.436	1.627	0.467	1.230	−0.022

arXiv:cond-mat/9508029v1 9 Aug 1995

Table IV . Finite-N values of $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)}(N)/J$, $\epsilon_{(1/2,-)}(N)/J$, $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)'}(N)/J$, and $\epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N)/J$ for d=0.2, of J'/J.

(a) $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)}(N)/J$

J'/J	$N=5$	$N=7$	$N=9$	$N=11$	$N=13$	$N=$
−0.30	−5.475582	−8.012057	−10.546742	−13.082394	−15.619570	−18.1
−0.20	−5.394812	−7.933029	−10.468686	−13.004973	−15.542612	−18.0
−0.10	−5.320835	−7.860148	−10.396151	−12.932553	−15.470260	−18.0
0.00	−5.275712	−7.814208	−10.348532	−12.882930	−15.418570	−17.9
0.10	−5.346869	−7.886106	−10.423171	−12.961113	−15.500510	−18.0
0.20	−5.471736	−8.006562	−10.541781	−13.078873	−15.617811	−18.1
0.30	−5.604929	−8.134761	−10.667516	−13.203229	−15.741312	−18.2

(b) $\epsilon_{(1/2,-)}(N)/J$

J'/J	$N=5$	$N=7$	$N=9$	$N=11$	$N=13$	$N=$
−0.30	−4.652876	−7.417147	−10.081405	−12.698384	−15.290182	−17.8
−0.20	−4.639113	−7.403702	−10.067030	−12.682847	−15.273516	−17.8
−0.10	−4.630245	−7.395117	−10.057884	−12.672970	−15.262916	−17.8
0.00	−4.627100	−7.392093	−10.054669	−12.669498	−15.259185	−17.8
0.10	−4.630519	−7.395361	−10.058140	−12.673254	−15.263234	−17.8
0.20	−4.641266	−7.405623	−10.069054	−12.685093	−15.276033	−17.8
0.30	−4.659906	−7.423472	−10.088095	−12.705821	−15.298533	−17.8

(c) $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)'}(N)/J$

J'/J	$N=5$	$N=7$	$N=9$	$N=11$	$N=13$	$N=$
-0.30	-4.813371	-7.412625	-9.991555	-12.560630	-15.124107	-17.6
-0.20	-4.931077	-7.511422	-10.076178	-12.633803	-15.187583	-17.7
-0.10	-5.050468	-7.617882	-10.174052	-12.725463	-15.274475	-17.8
0.00	-5.149004	-7.709056	-10.261722	-12.811685	-15.360390	-17.9
0.10	-5.139953	-7.693920	-10.240734	-12.785313	-15.329197	-17.8
0.20	-5.087907	-7.643786	-10.191231	-12.736204	-15.280593	-17.8
0.30	-5.042013	-7.604356	-10.155913	-12.704125	-15.251613	-17.7

(d) $\epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N)/J$

J'/J	$N=5$	$N=7$	$N=9$	$N=11$	$N=13$	$N=$
-0.30	-5.355288	-7.901662	-10.446271	-12.991136	-15.536428	-18.0
-0.20	-5.280562	-7.830554	-10.377293	-12.923541	-15.469803	-18.0
-0.10	-5.211118	-7.765469	-10.314753	-12.862610	-15.409957	-17.9
0.00	-5.149004	-7.709056	-10.261722	-12.811685	-15.360390	-17.9
0.10	-5.097223	-7.665435	-10.223245	-12.776551	-15.327447	-17.8
0.20	-5.059993	-7.640602	-10.206973	-12.766389	-15.321712	-17.8
0.30	-5.042625	-7.641730	-10.221625	-12.791271	-15.354531	-17.9

arXiv:cond-mat/9508029v1 9 Aug 1995

Numerical Study of the Spin-1 Antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Chain with a Spin-1/2 Impurity

Takashi TONEGAWA[‡] and Makoto KABURAGI[1,‡‡]

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Kobe University, Rokkodai, Kobe 657

[1]*Department of Informatics, Faculty of Cross-Cultural Studies, Kobe University, Tsurukabuto, Nada, Kobe 657*

(Received May 11, 1995)

Low-lying excited states as well as the ground state of the spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with a spin-1/2 impurity are studied by means of a method of numerical diagonalization. The isotropic nearest-neighbor exchange coupling plus the uniaxial single-ion-type anisotropy energy is assumed for the host-system Hamiltonian. The energy differences between the ground state and the low-lying excited states, which appear in the Haldane gap, are estimated in the thermodynamic limit. The results are used to analyze the electron-spin-resonance experimental data on $Ni(C_2H_8N_2)_2NO_2(ClO_4)$, abbreviated NENP, containing a small amount of spin-1/2 Cu^{2+} impurities. It is found that in this system, the impurity-host $\left(Cu^{2+}\text{-}Ni^{2+}\right)$ coupling is ferromagnetic and its magnitude is about 5 % of the magnitude of the host-host $\left(Ni^{2+}\text{-}Ni^{2+}\right)$ coupling.

KEYWORDS:
spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain, spin-1/2 impurity, method of numerical diagonalization, spin-1/2 degrees of freedom, NENP:Cu^{2+} system

‡ tonegawa@icluna.kobe-u.ac.jp
‡‡ kabu@icluna.kobe-u.ac.jp

§1. Introduction

In the past years a good deal of work has been devoted to the study of the ground and low-lying excited states of the spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain. This is mainly motivated by Haldane's prediction[1] that the ground state of the integer-spin case, in contrast to that of the half-integer-spin case, is a massive state characterized by a finite energy gap in the excitation spectrum and by an exponential decay of the two-spin correlation functions.[2] In particular, there has recently been considerable interest in the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at the edges of the chain with open boundary conditions. The spin-1/2 degrees of freedom are well described by the valence-bond-solid (VBS) picture,[3] and lead to the fourfold degeneracy of the ground state in the limit of infinite lattice since the effective coupling between them vanishes in this limit. This degeneracy has been originally found in the so-called AKLT model[3] with open boundary conditions, which is an exactly solvable model including biquadratic as well as bilinear exchange interactions. Later, Kennedy[4] has shown that the ground state of the usual open Heisenberg chain with only bilinear interactions has the same property. The present authors and Harada[5] have investigated the low-lying states of the Heisenberg chain with an impurity bond, which plays a mediating role between the open and periodic chains, in terms of domain-wall excitations. They have shown that a coupling between the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at the edges via the antiferromagnetic impurity bond brings about the massive triplet mode in the Haldane gap and that this massive mode merges the bottom of the energy continuum in the limit of the periodic chain. Recently, an appearance of the massive mode in the Haldane gap has also been discussed by Sϕrensen and Affleck.[6]

Hagiwara, Katsumata, Affleck, Halperin, and Renard[7-9] have performed the electron-spin-resonance (ESR) experiment on $Ni(C_2H_8N_2)_2NO_2(ClO_4)$, abbreviated NENP, containing a small amount of spin-1/2 Cu^{2+} impurities, and have given the first experimental evidence for the existence of the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at the sites neighboring the impurity spin. They have successfully analyzed their experimental results by using the phenomenological Hamiltonian based on the VBS picture,[3] being composed of three spin-1/2 spins, one of which is the Cu^{2+} spin and the other two are the spins corresponding to the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom. However, they have not discussed the origin of the anisotropy of effective exchange interactions between the former and latter spins, which they have assumed in their analysis. The spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at the edges of the open spin-1 antiferromagnetic chain have also been observed in NENP doped with nonmagnetic ions such as Zn^{2+}, Cd^{2+}, and Hg^{2+},[10,11] and also even in 'pure' NENP in which one may find finite magnetic chains broken from infinite chains by, for example, crystal defects.[12] It has recently been shown[13] that the low-temperature behavior of the heat capacities and susceptibilities in the NENP:Cu^{2+} system is well described by Hagiwara *et al.*'s phenomenological Hamiltonian.

On the other hand, using a quantum Monte Carlo method, Miyashita and Yamamoto[14] have studied the open spin-1 antiferromagnetic chain. They have calculated the magnetic moment at each site for the lowest energy state within the $M_{\rm oc}=1$ subspace, $M_{\rm oc}$ being the z-component of the total spin for the open chain. According to their results, the one half of the total magnetization $M_{\rm oc}=1$ is localized in each edge of the chain, and the magnitude of the magnetic moment, which takes a maximum value at each edge, decays exponentially towards the center of the chain with

the decay constant of about 6 lattice spacings. Similar results have also been obtained by White[15] by the density-matrix renormalization-group method. Very recently, Yamamoto and Miyashita[16] have extended their calculation[14] to the case of the presence of the uniaxial single-ion-type anisotropy to show that the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom appear also in this case, as far as the magnitude of the anisotropy is small enough for the ground state to be the Haldane state.[1]

In recent papers[17,18] the present authors have analytically investigated the equivalence between the above-mentioned Hagiwara *et al.*'s phenomenological Hamiltonian and more realistic Hamiltonians for the NENP:Cu^{2+} system. Then, they have clearly shown that the single-ion-type anisotropy terms in the latter Hamiltonians play an essential role in explaining the anisotropy of the effective exchange interactions in the former Hamiltonian. This result also confirms the concept of the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at the edges of the open spin-1 chain.[19]

In the present paper, we aim at discussing quantitatively the properties of the low-lying excited states as well as the ground state of the spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with a spin-1/2 impurity. We express the Hamiltonian describing this system as

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\rm oc} + \mathcal{H}' \,, \tag{1a}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm oc} = J\left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} \vec{S}_\ell \cdot \vec{S}_{\ell+1} + d\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \left(S_\ell^z\right)^2 \right\} \qquad (J>0)\,, \tag{1b}$$

$$\mathcal{H}' = J'\left(\vec{s}_0 \cdot \vec{S}_1 + \vec{s}_0 \cdot \vec{S}_N\right), \tag{1c}$$

where $\vec{s}_0$ is the spin-1/2 operator of the impurity (Cu^{2+}) spin; $\vec{S}_\ell$ is the spin-1 operator of the host (Ni^{2+}) spin; N is the number of host spins; J and J' are, respectively, the host-host and impurity-host exchange constants; d

is the uniaxial single-ion-type anisotropy constant in the host system. Thus, $\mathcal{H}_{\rm oc}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$ represent, respectively, the Hamiltonian for the host system with open boundary conditions and that for the impurity-host exchange coupling. It is noted that the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ represents a special (isotropic exchange coupling) case of the Hamiltonian which we have discussed in ref. 17 as the realistic one for the NENP:Cu^{2+} system.

For the above purpose we employ a method of numerical diagonalization by the Lanczös technique. We calculate, for various values of d and J'/J, the energies of the ground and low-lying excited states for finite-$N(=5,\ 7,\ \cdots,\ 17)$ systems. Then, we extrapolate these finite-N results to the limit of $N \to \infty$. Using the results of the extrapolation, we analyze the ESR experimental data on the NENP:Cu^{2+} system[8,9] to determine the sign and magnitude of the impurity-host exchange constant J' in this system.

In the next section (§2) the results of the numerical calculation are presented and discussed. The analysis of the experimental data is made in §3. Section 4 is devoted to a summary. In the Appendix, the expressions for the energies of the low-lying states of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ which we have obtained in ref. 17 are summarized. We use these in the discussions in §2.

§2. Results of the Numerical Calculation

In order to calculate the energies of the ground and low-lying excited states of the system, we first diagonalize numerically, by means of the Lanczös technique, the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ for finite $N(=5,\ 7,\ \cdots,\ 17)$ systems within the subspace determined by the value $M = s_0^z + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} S_\ell^z$. In the diagonalization we employ the computer program package KOBEPACK/I Version 1.0 coded by one of the present authors (M. K.). (The algorithm used in this program package is discussed in ref. 20.) Then, we extrapolate the finite-N results to the limit of $N \to \infty$. As shown later, the finite-N results up to $N = 17$ are sufficient to estimate satisfactorily well the limiting $(N \to \infty)$ values of the energies at least for the parameter region which we consider.

In the present numerical calculation, we choose the following five values for d: $d = 0.0$, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4. For these d's, the ground state of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\rm oc}$ is the Haldane state.[21] We consider only the ground state and the low-lying excited states which appear in the Haldane gap. As can be seen from the analytical result obtained in ref. 17, these states are those with the lowest three energy eigenvalues within the $M = 1/2$ subspace and that with the lowest energy eigenvalue within the $M = 3/2$ subspace. In the following the four eigenvalues for a given value of N are represented by $\epsilon_{(M,P)}(N)$, where the different states are denoted by $(M,\ P)$ with $P(=+,\ -)$ which stands for the parity with respect to reflection around the impurity spin; we have two different $(1/2,\ +)$ states and the prime is added to the state with the larger eigenvalue to distinguish between these two states.

According to our calculation for $N = 5,\ 7,\ \cdots,\ 17$, the $(1/2, +)$ state is always the ground state of the finite chain. We define the energy difference

between this state and the other three states as

$$\Delta_{(M,P)}(N) = \epsilon_{(M,P)}(N) - \epsilon_{(1/2,+)}(N)\,, \tag{2}$$

where $(M,\,P) = (1/2,-)$, $(1/2,+)'$, and $(3/2,+)$. Estimating the limiting value $\Delta_{(M,P)}(\infty)$ of $\Delta_{(M,P)}(N)$, we make a least-squares fit of $\Delta_{(M,P)}(5)$, $\Delta_{(M,P)}(7)$, $\cdots$, $\Delta_{(M,P)}(17)$ to the following formula:

$$\Delta_{(M,P)}(N) = \Delta_{(M,P)}(\infty) + A\exp(-N/\xi)\,N^{-p}\,, \tag{3}$$

where A, ξ, and p are constants which take different values depending on the three $(M,\,P)$ states. This procedure leads to the fruitful limiting results when $0.02 \lesssim |J'/J| \lesssim 0.20$ for $d = 0.0$ and when $0.04 \lesssim |J'/J| \lesssim 0.20$ for $d > 0.0$.

Using $\Delta_{(M,P)}(\infty)$, we also define, for convenience, the following quantities:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,-)} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{(1/2,-)}(\infty) & \text{for } J' > 0\,, \\ \Delta_{(1/2,-)}(\infty) - \Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(\infty) & \text{for } J' < 0\,, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,+)'} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(\infty) & \text{for } J' > 0\,, \\ -\Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(\infty) & \text{for } J' < 0\,. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{(3/2,+)} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{(3/2,+)}(\infty) & \text{for } J' > 0\,, \\ \Delta_{(3/2,+)}(\infty) - \Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(\infty) & \text{for } J' < 0\,, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

It should be noted that $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,-)}$, $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,+)'}$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_{(3/2,+)}$ are the quantities which correspond, respectively, to $\Delta_{\rm s}(\pm 1/2,\,1/2)$, $\Delta_{\rm t}(\pm 1/2,\,3/2)$, and $\Delta_{\rm t}(\pm 3/2,\,3/2)$ discussed in the Appendix.

2.1 The case of $d = 0.0$

Let us first discuss the case of $d = 0.0$. Our calculation shows that in this case, $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)'}(N) = \epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N)$ $\big($i.e., $\Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(N) = \Delta_{(3/2,+)}(N)\big)$ holds when $J' > 0$ and $\epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N) = \epsilon_{(1/2,+)}(N)$ $\big($i.e., $\Delta_{(3/2,+)}(N) = 0\big)$ holds when

$J'<0$. Thus, the ground state in the $J'<0$ case is a quartet, in contrast to the fact that the ground state in the $J'>0$ case is a doublet.

Tables I(a), I(b), I(c) and I(d) tabulate the finite-N values of $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)}(N)$, $\epsilon_{(1/2,-)}(N)$, $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)'}(N)$, and $\epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N)$, calculated for various values of J'/J. The values of these energies for $N=17$ are plotted as functions of J'/J in Fig. 1. We plot the finite-N values of $\Delta_{(1/2,-)}(N)$ and $\Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(N)$ in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), where the limiting values of these quantities estimated by the procedure discussed above are also shown.

The estimated values of $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,-)}$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,+)'}\big(=\tilde{\Delta}_{(3/2,+)}\big)$ are listed in Table II, and are plotted versus J'/J in Fig. 3. In order to obtain an analytical expression for $\tilde{\Delta}_{(M,P)}$ in terms of J'/J, we have made a least-squares fit of these estimated values to a cubic function

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{(M,P)}/J = a_{(M,P)}(J'/J) + b_{(M,P)}(J'/J)^2 + c_{(M,P)}(J'/J)^3 \qquad (7)$$

with the numerical coefficients $a_{(M,P)}$, $b_{(M,P)}$, and $c_{(M,P)}$. The results, together with the value $\Delta_{\rm HG}=0.4105J$ [22,23] of the Haldane gap, which is defined as the energy difference between the bottom of the energy continuum and the ground state and is not affected by the presence of an impurity spin,[5] are also shown in Fig. 3. This figure should be compared with Fig. 2(b) in ref. 17. The coefficients $a_{(M,P)}$ and $b_{(M,P)}$ thus determined numerically are presented in Table III. As is shown in the Appendix, our analytical study[17] has led to the results, $a_{(1/2,-)}=4/3$ and $a_{(1/2,+)'}=2$, which are about 5/4 times as large as the numerical values. As far as the ratio $a_{(1/2,-)}/a_{(1/2,+)'}$ is concerned, however, we have a good agreement between the analytical and numerical results. Very recently, Sϕrensen and Affleck[6] have obtained the results that $a_{(1/2,-)}=\alpha$ and $a_{(1/2,+)'}=3\alpha/2$ with $\alpha\simeq 1.0640$. These are in excellent agreement with our numerical results.

2.2 The case of $d > 0.0$

As mentioned above, we have carried out in this case the numerical calculation for $d = 0.1$, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4. In Tables IV(a), IV(b), IV(c) and IV(a) we list the finite-N values of $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)}(N)$, $\epsilon_{(1/2,-)}(N)$, $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)'}(N)$, and $\epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N)$ for $d = 0.2$, calculated for representative values of J'/J. The values of these energies for $N = 17$ are plotted as functions of J'/J in Fig. 4. The finite-N values of $\Delta_{(1/2,-)}(N)$, $\Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(N)$, and $\Delta_{(3/2,+)}(N)$ for $d = 0.2$ as well as the limiting values of these quantities estimated by the above procedure are plotted in Figs. 5(a), 5(b) and 5(c).

The estimated values of $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,-)}$, $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,+)'}$, and $\tilde{\Delta}_{(3/2,+)}$ for the above-mentioned values of d are tabulated in Table II, and those for $d = 0.2$ are plotted versus J'/J in Fig. 6. In the present case, we have also obtained the analytical expression for $\tilde{\Delta}_{(M,P)}$ by the same method as that used in the case of $d = 0.0$, and the results for the coefficients $a_{(M,P)}$ and $b_{(M,P)}$ are listed in Table III. As we will discuss in §3, the Haldane gap $\Delta_{\rm HG}$ for $d = 0.2$ is given by $\Delta_{\rm HG} = 0.30J$. This value of $\Delta_{\rm HG}$ as well as the analytical expression for $\tilde{\Delta}_{(M,P)}$ obtained for $d = 0.2$ is shown in Fig. 6. This figure should be compared with Fig. 2(a) in ref. 17.

§3. Analysis of the Experimental Data

We now turn to the analysis of the ESR experimental data on the NENP:Cu^{2+} system.[8,9] First we discuss the value of d for NENP. Golinelli, Jolicœur, and Lacaze[24] have numerically analyzed the splitting of the triplet state, which lies at the bottom of the energy continuum in the case of the periodic chain, into singlet and doublet states due to the uniaxial single-ion-type anisotropy term $d\sum_{\ell=1}^{N}(S_\ell^z)^2$. According to their result, the excitation energy $\Delta_{\rm s}$ of the singlet state measured from the singlet ground-state energy and the corresponding excitation energy $\Delta_{\rm d}$ of the doublet state are given by

$$\Delta_{\rm s} = \bigl(0.41 + 1.41d\bigr)J\,, \tag{8}$$

$$\Delta_{\rm d} = \bigl(0.41 - 0.57d\bigr)J\,, \tag{9}$$

for $0.0 \le d \lesssim 0.3$. Golinelli *et al.*[24] have compared the inelastic-neutron-scattering (INS) experimental results[25] $\Delta_{\rm s}=2.5\,$meV and $\Delta_{\rm d}=1.15\,$meV [26] on NENP with eqs. (8) and (9) to obtain

$$J = 3.75\,{\rm meV} = 43.5\,{\rm K} \qquad \text{and} \qquad d = 0.18\,. \tag{10}$$

On the other hand, if we use the results of magnetization measurements,[27] $\Delta_{\rm s}=27.5\,$K and $\Delta_{\rm d}=11.4\,$K,[28] we obtain

$$J = 39.1\,{\rm K} \qquad \text{and} \qquad d = 0.21\,. \tag{11}$$

Thus, we adopt here $d=0.2$ as the value of d for NENP.

Hagiwara *et al.*'s ESR experimental results[8,9] show that the ground state and the first, second, and third excited states in the NENP:Cu^{2+} system are the $M = \pm 1/2$, $\pm 3/2$, $\pm 1/2$, and $\pm 1/2$ states, respectively.

From this together with our analytical results[17] (see Fig. 2 in ref. 17 and eqs. (A·1), (A·2), (A·3) and (A·4) in the Appendix), we can conclude that J' is ferromagnetic ($J'<0$). The energy separations between the three excited states and the ground state are $\Delta_1^{\rm exp}=5.7$GHz, $\Delta_2^{\rm exp}=25.8$GHz, and $\Delta_3^{\rm exp}=71.7$GHz. These correspond, respectively, to $\Delta_{(3/2,+)}(\infty)$, $\Delta_{(1/2,-)}(\infty)$, and $\Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(\infty)$. From Table III and eqs. (4-6) we have, for $-1\ll J'/J<0$,

$$\Delta_{(3/2,+)}(\infty)/J=-0.192\,(J'/J)-0.503\,(J'/J)^2\,, \tag{12}$$

$$\Delta_{(1/2,-)}(\infty)/J=-0.592\,(J'/J)+0.125\,(J'/J)^2\,, \tag{13}$$

$$\Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(\infty)/J=-1.618\,(J'/J)-0.293\,(J'/J)^2\,. \tag{14}$$

On the other hand, the Haldane gap $\Delta_{\rm HG}$ for finite d is given by $\Delta_{\rm d}$, since it should be defined as the energy difference between the bottom of the energy continuum and the ground state.[5] Thus, $\Delta_{\rm HG}=0.30J$ for $d=0.2$, while its experimental value we use here is $\Delta_{\rm HG}^{\rm exp}=1.15\,{\rm meV}=278\,{\rm GHz}$.[25]

We determine the value of J'/J from

$$\Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(\infty):\Delta_{\rm HG}=\Delta_3^{\rm exp}:\Delta_{\rm HG}^{\rm exp}\,, \tag{15}$$

which gives

$$J'/J=-0.048\,. \tag{16}$$

Then, we have

$$\Delta_{(3/2,+)}(\infty):\Delta_{(1/2,-)}(\infty):\Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(\infty):\Delta_{\rm HG} =0.03:0.10:0.26:1.00\,, \tag{17}$$

and this ratio is in very good agreement with

$$\Delta_1^{\rm exp}:\Delta_2^{\rm exp}:\Delta_3^{\rm exp}:\Delta_{\rm HG}^{\rm exp}=0.02:0.09:0.26:1.00\,. \tag{18}$$

§4. Summary

We have investigated the ground and low-lying excited states of the spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with a spin-1/2 impurity, the Hamiltonian of which is given by eqs. (1a-c). Employing the computer program package KOBEPACK/I Version 1.0,[20] we have calculated, for various values of d and J'/J, the energies of these states for finite-N($= 5, 7, \cdots, 17$) systems. We have extrapolated to the limit of $N \to \infty$ the finite-N results for the energy difference between the ground state and the low-lying excited states, assuming the formula given by eq. (3), to estimate the values in this limit. Using these limiting results, we have analyzed Hagiwara *et al.*'s ESR experimental data on the NENP:Cu^{2+} system.[8,9] We have found that in this system, the magnitude of the impurity-host $\left(Cu^{2+}\text{-}Ni^{2+}\right)$ exchange constant is 0.048 times as large as that of the host-host $\left(Ni^{2+}\text{-}Ni^{2+}\right)$ exchange constant, the former being ferromagnetic.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Drs. K. Katsumata and M. Hagiwara for valuable discussions. This work has been supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas, "Computational Physics as a New Frontier in Condensed Matter Research", from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

Appendix

In ref. 17 we have calculated the energies of four low-lying states of $\mathcal{H}$, confining ourselves to the Haldane region where the ground state of $\mathcal{H}_{\rm oc}$ is the Haldane state, and treating $\mathcal{H}'$ as a small perturbation $\big(|J'|/J \ll 1\big)$.[29] In the calculation, we have employed, as the unperturbed wave functions, the fourfold-degenerate ground state wave functions of $\mathcal{H}_{\rm oc}$ obtained in our previous paper,[5] which are expressed in the matrix-product form.[30,31] The calculated energies, measured from the ground state energy $E_{\rm oc}^{(0)}$ $\big($see eq. (7) in ref. 17$\big)$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\rm oc}$, are given by

$$\varepsilon_{\rm t}\left(\pm\frac{3}{2},\,\frac{3}{2}\right) = J'\,\frac{4-d}{6}\,, \tag{A$\cdot$1}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\rm t}\left(\pm\frac{1}{2},\,\frac{3}{2}\right) = J'\,\frac{4-d}{12}\left\{\sqrt{1+16\,\frac{2+d}{4-d}}-1\right\}, \tag{A$\cdot$2}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\rm t}\left(\pm\frac{1}{2},\,\frac{1}{2}\right) = -J'\,\frac{4-d}{12}\left\{\sqrt{1+16\,\frac{2+d}{4-d}}+1\right\}, \tag{A$\cdot$3}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\rm s}\left(\pm\frac{1}{2},\,\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0 \tag{A$\cdot$4}$$

in the Haldane region $4 > d > -2$. Here, we have denoted the energy of the state belonging to the subspace determined by M as $\varepsilon_{\rm r}(M,\,S)$ (r = s, t), where S represents the magnitude of the total spin of the corresponding state in the isotropic $(d = 0)$ case, and the subscripts s and t show that the energies are associated with the singlet and triplet states for $\mathcal{H}_{\rm oc}$, respectively. If we choose the origin of the energies $E_{\rm oc}^{(0)} + \varepsilon_{\rm t}(\pm 1/2,\,1/2)$ and define $\Delta_{\rm r}(M,\,S)$ (r = s, t) as

$$\Delta_{\rm r}(M,\,S) = \varepsilon_{\rm r}(M,\,S) - \varepsilon_{\rm t}\left(\pm\frac{1}{2},\,\frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{A$\cdot$5}$$

then $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,-)}$, $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,+)'}$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_{(3/2,+)}$ correspond, respectively, to $\Delta_{\rm s}(\pm 1/2,\,1/2)$, $\Delta_{\rm t}(\pm 1/2,\,3/2)$, and $\Delta_{\rm t}(\pm 3/2,\,3/2)$.

References

1) F. D. M. Haldane: Phys. Lett. **93A** (1983) 464; Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983) 1153.
2) For reviews see, I. Affleck: J. Phys. Condens. Matter **1** (1989) 3047; M. Takahashi and T. Sakai: *Computational Physics as a New Frontier in Condensed Matter Research*, ed. H. Takayama, M. Tsukada, H. Shiba, F. Yonezawa, M. Imada and Y. Okabe (Physical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1995), to be published.
3) I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb and H. Tasaki: Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1987) 799; Commun. Math. Phys. **115** (1988) 477.
4) T. Kennedy: J. Phys. Condens. Matter **2** (1990) 5737.
5) M. Kaburagi, I. Harada and T. Tonegawa: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **62** (1993) 1848.
6) E. S. Sϕrensen and I. Affleck: Phys. Rev. B **51** (1995) 16115.
7) M. Hagiwara, K. Katsumata, I. Affleck, B. I. Halperin and J. P. Renard: Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** (1990) 3181.
8) M. Hagiwara: Dr. Thesis, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, 1992
9) K. Katsumata: *Proc. Int. Conf. on Magnetism, Warsaw, 1994,* J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **140-144** (1995) 1595.
10) S. H. Glarum, S. Geschwind, K. M. Lee, M. L. Kaplan and J. Michel: Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991) 1614.
11) Y. Ajiro: private communication.
12) M. Hagiwara and K. Katsumata: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **61** (1992) 1481.
13) T. C. Kobayashi, H. Honda, A. Koda and K. Amaya: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **64** (1995) 2609.
14) S. Miyashita and S. Yamamoto: Phys. Rev. B **48** (1993) 913.

15) S. R. White: Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 2863; Phys. Rev. B **48** (1993) 10345.

16) S. Yamamoto and S. Miyashita: Phys. Rev. B **50** (1994) 6277.

17) M. Kaburagi and T. Tonegawa: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **63** (1994) 420.

18) M. Kaburagi and T. Tonegawa: *Proc. 4th Int. Symp. Research in High Magnetic Fields, Nijmegen, 1994,* Physica B **211** (1995) 193.

19) For a review see, T. Tonegawa and M. Kaburagi: *Computational Physics as a New Frontier in Condensed Matter Research*, ed. H. Takayama, M. Tsukada, H. Shiba, F. Yonezawa, M. Imada and Y. Okabe (Physical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1995), to be published.

20) M. Kaburagi, T. Tonegawa and T. Nishino: *Computational Approaches in Condensed Matter Physics*, Springer Proc. Phys., ed. S. Miyashita, M. Imada and H. Takayama (Springer, Berlin, 1992) p. 179.

21) R. Botet, R. Jullien and M. Kolb: Phys. Rev. B **28** (1983) 3914.

22) S. R. White and D. A. Huse: Phys. Rev. B **48** (1993) 3844.

23) O. Golinelli, Th. Jolicœur and R. Lacaze: Phys. Rev. B **50** (1994) 3037.

24) O. Golinelli, Th. Jolicœur and R. Lacaze: Phys. Rev. B **45** (1992) 9798.

25) J. P. Renard, M. Verdaguer, L. P. Regnault, W. A. C. Erkelens, J. Rossat-Mignod and W. G. Stirling: Europhys. Lett. **3** (1987) 945; J. P. Renard, M. Verdaguer, L. P. Regnault, W. A. C. Erkelens, J. Rossat-Mignod, J. Ribas, W. G. Stirling and C. Vettier: J. Appl. Phys. **63** (1988) 3538.

26) Accurate INS measurements[25] reveal the small splitting of the doublet state into two states with the excitation energies 1.05 meV and 1.25 meV, which means that there exists in NENP the orthorhombic single-ion-type anisotropy in addition to the uniaxial single-ion-type anisotropy. Golinelli *et al.*[24] have used as $\Delta_{\rm d}$ the arithmetic mean of

these excitation energies.

27) T. Takeuchi, M. Ono, H. Hori, T. Yosida, A. Yamagishi and D. Date: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **61** (1992) 3255.

28) Magnetization measurements[27] also show the small splitting of the doublet state into two states, the excitation energies of which are 10.1 K and 12.7 K. We use as $\Delta_{\rm d}$ the arithmetic mean of these excitation energies.

29) In ref. 17, we have discussed a more general case where both the host-host and the impurity-hose exchange coupling are anisotropic.

30) A. Klümper, A. Schadschneider and J. Zittartz: J. Phys. A **24** (1991) L955; Z. Phys. B **87** (1992) 281.

31) M. Fannes, B. Nachtergaele and R. F. Werner: Europhys. Lett. **10** (1989) 633; Commun. Math. Phys. **144** (1992) 443.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Plots versus J'/J of $\epsilon_{(M,P)}(17)$ for $d = 0.0$. The open circles show the numerical results for $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)}(N)$, the crosses show those for $\epsilon_{(1/2,-)}(N)$, the open triangles show those for $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)'}(N)$, and the solid circles show those for $\epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N)$. The solid lines are the guide to the eye.

Fig. 2. Plots versus J'/J of $\Delta_{(M,P)}(N)$ for $d = 0.0$: (a) $\Delta_{(1/2,-)}(N)$ and (b) $\Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(N)$. The open circles show the numerical results for finite-N systems; $N = 5, 7, \cdots, 17$ from the topmost circle to the lowest circle for each value of J'/J. The solid lines are the guide to the eye. The solid squares show the limiting ($N \to \infty$) results estimated by the procedure discussed in the test.

Fig. 3. Plots versus J'/J of $\tilde{\Delta}_{(M,P)}(N)$ for $d = 0.0$. The crosses show the estimated values of $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,-)}$ and the open triangles show those of $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,+)'}\big(= \tilde{\Delta}_{(3/2,+)}\big)$. The solid lines are least-squares fits to eq. (7). The dashed line represents the bottom of the energy continuum.

Fig. 4. Plots versus J'/J of $\epsilon_{(M,P)}(17)$ for $d = 0.2$. The open circles show the numerical results for $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)}(N)$, the crosses show those for $\epsilon_{(1/2,-)}(N)$, the open triangles show those for $\epsilon_{(1/2,+)'}(N)$, and the solid circles show those for $\epsilon_{(3/2,+)}(N)$. The solid lines are the guide to the eye.

Fig. 5. Plots versus J'/J of $\Delta_{(M,P)}(N)$ for $d = 0.2$: (a) $\Delta_{(1/2,-)}(N)$, (b) $\Delta_{(1/2,+)'}(N)$, and (c) $\Delta_{(3/2,+)}(N)$. The open circles show the numerical results for finite-N systems; $N = 5, 7, \cdots, 17$ from the topmost

circle to the lowest circle for each value of J'/J. The solid lines are the guide to the eye. The solid squares show the limiting ($N \to \infty$) results estimated by the procedure discussed in the test.

Fig. 6. Plots versus J'/J of $\tilde{\Delta}_{(M,P)}(N)$ for $d = 0.2$. The crosses show the estimated values of $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,-)}$, the open triangles show those of $\tilde{\Delta}_{(1/2,+)'}$, and the solid circles show those of $\tilde{\Delta}_{(3/2,+)}$. The solid lines are least-squares fits to eq. (7). The dashed line represents the bottom of the energy continuum.