

Mapping of the Calogero-Sutherland Model to the Gaussian Model

A. M. Tsvelik

February 1, 2008

*Department of Physics, University of Oxford, 1 Keble Road,
Oxford, OX1 3NP, UK*

Abstract

The density-density correlation function of the Calogero-Sutherland model is represented as a Fourier transformation of the correlation function of bosonic exponents in the Gaussian model on a curved manifold.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d, 71.10.+x

The Calogero-Sutherland model (CSM) describes a system of N nonrelativistic spinless particles interacting with inverse-square potential. The Hamiltonian has the following form

$$H = - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{2\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{d^2(x_i - x_j)} \quad (1)$$

where $d(x) = |(L/\pi) \sin[\pi x/L]|$ and L is the systems size.

At the special values $\lambda = 1/2, 1$ and 2 , the CSM describes statistics of eigenvalues of random matrices belonging to one of the three Dyson's ensembles. Recently these special cases were intensely studied in connection with the theory of universal spectral correlations in random systems [1].

The spectrum of CSM was obtained by the so-called Asymptotic Bethe Ansatz [2] and turns out to be very simple due to the fact that CSM has a trivial two-particle scattering phase equal to $\phi(k_1, k_2) = \pi(\lambda - 1)\text{sign}(k_1 - k_2)$. As a consequence the CSM can be thought of as an ideal gas of excitations obeying the fractional exclusion principle formulated in Ref.[3].

It is not surprising that a theory with such a simple S-matrix has particularly simple correlation functions. The exact expression for the density-density

correlation function originally conjectured by Haldane [4] has been rigorously derived later using the method of Jack polynomials [5]. It was established that for rational $\lambda = p/q$ this function has the following form:

$$\langle 0 | \rho(x, \tau) \rho(0, 0) | 0 \rangle = C \prod_{i=1}^q \left(\int_0^\infty dx_i \right) \prod_{j=1}^p \left(\int_{-\lambda}^0 dy_j \right) Q^2 \cos Q x e^{-E\tau} F(p, q, \lambda | \{x_i, y_j\}) \quad (2)$$

$$Q = 2\pi\rho \left(\sum_{i=1}^q x_i - \lambda^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^p y_j \right),$$

$$E = (2\pi\rho)^2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^q \epsilon(x_i) - \lambda^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^p \epsilon(y_j) \right] \quad (3)$$

where $\rho = N/L$ is the average density of particles, $\epsilon(z) = z(z + \lambda)$ is the particles dispersion law, C is the normalization factor whose explicit form can be found in Ref.[5] and the function F is given by

$$F(p, q, \lambda | \{x_i, y_j\}) = \prod_{i=1}^q [\epsilon(x_i)]^{\lambda-1} \prod_{a=1}^p [\epsilon(y_a)]^{\lambda-1} \frac{[\prod_{i<j} (x_i - x_j)^2]^\lambda [\prod_{a<b} (y_a - y_b)^2]^{1/\lambda}}{\prod_{i,a} (x_i - y_a)^2} \quad (4)$$

The main result of this Letter is the observation that the function $F(p, q, \lambda | \{x_i, y_j\})$ in Eq. (4) can be represented as the multipoint correlation function of the bosonic exponents of the chiral Gaussian model calculated on some curved manifold. The latter model has the following action:

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_A d\tau dx \partial_x \phi [i\partial_\tau \phi + \partial_x \phi] \quad (5)$$

where A is an area of two dimensional plane where the field ϕ is defined.

Thus the entire correlation function in real space is represented as a sort of Fourier transformation of the correlation function of the free field theory defined in *momentum space*. Such representations are known in the theory of integrable models where they are called “momentum space bosonization” [6]. A similar approach to the CSM was taken by Khveshchenko[7]. He, however, expressed the CSM form-factors in terms of correlation functions of an interacting bosonic model.

A remarkable property of the action (5) is that the correlation functions of bosonic exponents are holomorphic, i. e. they depend only on the variable $z = \tau + ix$. In particular, the two-point correlation function *on the infinite plane* is equal to

$$\langle e^{i\beta\phi(z_1)} e^{-i\beta\phi(z_2)} \rangle = (z_{12})^{-2\beta^2} \quad (6)$$

A multi-point correlation function *on the infinite plane* is given by

$$F(z_1\beta_1, \dots z_N, \beta_N) \equiv \langle e^{i\beta_1\phi(1)} \dots e^{i\beta_N\phi(N)} \rangle = \left[\prod_{i < j} (z_{ij})^{2\beta_i\beta_j} \right] \delta_{\sum \beta_i, 0} \quad (7)$$

Suppose now that transformation $z(w)$ maps the area A onto infinite plane. Then correlation functions on the area A can be obtained from the correlation function on infinite plane by the following transformation:

$$F(w_1\beta_1, \dots w_N, \beta_N)_A = F[z(w_1)\beta_1, \dots z(w_N)\beta_N] \prod_i \left(\frac{dz}{dw_i} \right)^{\beta_i^2} \quad (8)$$

Comparing Eqs.(7) and (10) with Eqs.(2) and (4) we conclude that Eq.(2) can be written in the following form:

$$C \prod_{i=1}^q \left(\int_{C_1} dw_i^+ \right) \prod_{j=1}^p \left(\int_{C_2} dw_j^- \right) Q^2 \cos Qx e^{-E\tau} \langle \prod_{i=1}^q e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}\phi(w_i^+)} \prod_{j=1}^p e^{-i\phi(w_j^-)/\sqrt{\lambda}} \rangle_A \quad (9)$$

where the transformation which maps area A onto infinite plane is determined by the equation

$$\frac{dz}{dw} = \epsilon(z) \quad (10)$$

that is

$$z = \frac{\lambda}{e^{-\lambda w} - 1} \quad (11)$$

Here we remark that Eq.(10) for general $\epsilon(z)$ describes a general relationship between rapidities w and momenta z in integrable theories of “magnets” and therefore is likely to have a universal status. In our case the transformation (11) maps the contours of integration C_1, C_2 on the original contours. It follows from (11) that C_1 coincides with the negative part of the real axis and C_2 runs from minus to plus infinity parallel to the real axis: $\Im m w^- = \pi/\lambda$.

Notice that the requirement $\lambda = p/q$ follows naturally from the “electroneutrality” condition $\sum_i \beta_i = 0$ (see Eq.(7)).

Thus we have established a one-to-one correspondence between the correlation function of the CSM and the correlation function of the theory of free bosonic field. We can use this equivalence to construct generalized CS models. As an example we shall consider the model in which momentum space bosonization is described by the theory with the action

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_A d\tau dx \sum_{a=1}^{M-1} \partial_x \phi_a [i\partial_\tau \phi_a + \partial_x \phi_a] \quad (12)$$

with bosonic exponents defined as

$$O_{+,i}(z) = e^{i\lambda^{1/2}\vec{\beta}_i\vec{\phi}(z)}, \quad O_{-,j} = e^{i\lambda^{-1/2}\vec{\gamma}_j\vec{\phi}(z)} \quad (13)$$

where $(\vec{\beta}_i\vec{\gamma}_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\vec{\beta}_i$ are the simple root vectors of A_{M-1} defined by $(\vec{\beta}_i\vec{\beta}_j) = 2\delta_{ij} - (\delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j+1})$. Since individual root vectors are not parallel and only the sums of all vectors $\vec{\beta}$ and $\vec{\gamma}$ are, the electroneutrality condition for the multi-point correlation function of particle O_+ - and hole operators O_- is fulfilled only if the correlation function contains products of all colours. That is we have $\lambda = (M-1)p/2q$ (extra 2 factor comes from the different definition of $\vec{\beta}_i$ for $M=2$ and $M>2$). The correlation function is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \prod_{i=1}^{q(M-1)} O_{+,i}(z_i^+) \prod_{a=1}^{p(M-1)} O_{-,a}(z_a^-) \rangle = \\ & \frac{\prod_{i<j} [(z_i^+ - z_j^+)^2]^{\lambda\vec{\beta}_i\vec{\beta}_j} \prod_{a<b} [(z_a^- - z_b^-)^2]^{\lambda^{-1}\vec{\gamma}_a\vec{\gamma}_b}}{\prod_{a,i} (z_i^+ - z_a^-)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It is not equal to zero provided

$$\lambda q \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \vec{\beta}_i = p \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \vec{\gamma}_j \quad (15)$$

or equivalently $\lambda = (M-1)p/2q$. To get the correlation function in real space one should perform the conformal transformation (11) and substitute the result into Eq.(9).

Another set of generalized CS models can be obtained from the chiral Gaussian models introduced by Haldane to describe edge state in Fractional Quantum Hall effect[8]:

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_A d\tau dx \partial_x \phi_a [K_{ab}^{-1} i\partial_\tau \phi_b + \partial_x \phi_a] \quad (16)$$

where the matrix K is described in the original publication.

We are grateful to B. L. Altshuler and B. D. Simons for the inspirational discussions and interest in the work. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY94-07194.

References

- [1] B. D. Simons, P. A. Lee and B. L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 4122 (1993).

- [2] B. Sutherland, in *Lecture Notes in Physics*, (Springer Verlag, Berlin 1985) v. 242.
- [3] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** , 937 (1991).
- [4] F. D. M. Haldane, in *Proceedings of the 16th Tanigushi Symposium*, Japan, 1993, eds. A. Okiji and N. Kawakami (Springer-Verlag, 1994).
- [5] Z. N. C. Ha, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1574 (1994); J. A. Minahan and A. P. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. B**50**, 4236 (1994); F. Lesage, V. Pasquier and D. Serban, preprint 1994; P. J. Forrester, Lett. Mod. Phys. B (1994).
- [6] A. Le Clair, Nucl. Phys. B**415**, 734 (1994); D. Bernard and A. Le Clair, Nucl. Phys. B**426**, 534 (1994); S. Lukyanov, preprint RU-93-30.
- [7] D. V. Khveshchenko, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B**9**, 1639 (1995).
- [8] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** , 2090 (1995).