arXiv:cond-mat/9702233v1 [cond-mat.soft] 26 Feb 1997

A Dynamical Mean Field Theory for the Study of Surface Diffusion Constants

T. Hjelt [a,1], I. Vattulainen [a,b], J. Merikoski [a,b,c], T. Ala-Nissila [a,b,d], and S. C. Ying [b]

[a] *Helsinki Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 9 (Siltavuorenpenger 20 C), FIN–00014 University of Helsinki, Finland*

[b] *Department of Physics, Box 1843, Brown University, Providence, R.I. 02912, U.S.A.*

[c] *Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, FIN–40351 Jyväskylä, Finland*

[d] *Laboratory of Physics, Tampere University of Technology, P.O. Box 692, FIN–33101 Tampere, Finland*

We present a combined analytical and numerical approach based on the Mori projection operator formalism and Monte Carlo simulations to study surface diffusion within the lattice-gas model. In the present theory, the average jump rate and the susceptibility factor appearing are evaluated through Monte Carlo simulations, while the memory functions are approximated by the known results for a Langmuir gas model. This leads to a dynamical mean field theory (DMF) for collective diffusion, while approximate correlation effects beyond DMF are included for tracer diffusion. We apply our formalism to three very different strongly interacting systems and compare the results of the new approach with those of usual Monte Carlo simulations. We find that the combined approach works very well for collective diffusion, whereas for tracer diffusion the influence of interactions on the memory effects is more prominent.

Key words: Computer simulations, Surface diffusion

[1] Corresponding author. E-mail: thjelt@rock.helsinki.fi.

1 Introduction

Most of the existing theoretical investigations of surface diffusion [1–8] are for cases where the interparticle interactions do not play an important role. Approximations for strongly interacting systems have only been developed for some special cases [9–18], but a general understanding of the interaction effects is still lacking. At finite coverages, there are two different diffusion constants. The tracer diffusion coefficient D_T is directly related to the motion of a tagged particle as observed in STM and field ion measurements, while the collective diffusion coefficient D_C describes the macroscopic density fluctuations as measured in field emission and optical grating experiments [19]. Already in 1981, Reed and Ehrlich [10] tried to relate D_C also to the individual adparticle motion. They proposed that D_C can be expressed as a product of a thermodynamical factor and an effective jump rate of adparticles. However, there was no rigorous theoretical basis for this decomposition, in which the effect of dynamical correlations (memory effects) is neglected [20]. These memory effects originate from the fact that a backward jump of a diffusing particle is more likely than jumps to other sites.

The purpose of this Letter is to present a new method for studying surface diffusion within the lattice gas model [21]. It is based on a combination of an analytic approach and Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. The only approximation involved in the new approach is that the memory effects are approximated

by the known results for the Langmuir gas model [22]. In the Langmuir gas, there are no direct interactions, but the double occupancy of lattice sites is excluded. It turns out that our result for D_C is exactly of the form proposed in Ref. [10]. We apply the theory to three different physical systems: O/W(110) [23], adlayer on a stepped substrate [24], and flexible, chainlike molecules on a flat substrate [18]. We find that the predictions of the theory for collective diffusion compare very well with full MC simulations, thus justifying the validity of the Reed-Ehrlich description [10]. Moreover, the new method is computationally very efficient. For tracer diffusion, however, we find the effect of interactions on the memory effects to be more pronounced.

2 Theoretical description of surface diffusion

As a starting point for studying collective diffusion, we focus on the density-density autocorrelation function $S(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|,t) = \langle \delta n(\mathbf{r},t)\delta n(\mathbf{r}',0)\rangle$, where $\delta n(\mathbf{r},t) = n(\mathbf{r},t) - \langle n(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle$ with an occupation variable $n(\mathbf{r},t) = 0,1$ at a lattice site $\mathbf{r}$ at time t. The corresponding Laplace-Fourier transform is denoted by $S(\mathbf{q},z)$. Using the Mori projection operator formalism [25], it can be shown that [15]

$$S(\mathbf{q},z) = \frac{\chi(\mathbf{q})}{z - b(\mathbf{q})\chi(\mathbf{q})^{-1} + M(\mathbf{q},z)}, \tag{1}$$

where $b(\mathbf{q})$ contains microscopic jump rate information, $\chi(\mathbf{q})$ is the thermodynamical susceptibility, and the memory function $M(\mathbf{q},z)$ contains the dy-

namical correlations. The collective diffusion constant can be obtained from the correlation function $S(\mathbf{q}, z)$ by examining its pole in the limits $\mathbf{q} \to 0$ and $z \to 0$ [13,15]. In the limit $\mathbf{q} \to 0$, $b(\mathbf{q}) \sim N \sum_\alpha \Gamma_\alpha q_\alpha^2 \ell_\alpha^2$, where N is the number of adparticles in a system, Γ_α is the average jump rate and ℓ_α is the jump length along the direction $\alpha = x, y$. Also $\chi_0 = \lim_{q\to 0} \chi(\mathbf{q})/N = \langle(\delta N)^2\rangle/\langle N\rangle$ is just the compressibility of the adsorbate overlayer. Note that when the memory function M is left out, this constitutes a dynamical mean field theory (DMF) for the collective diffusion constant.

Our novel combined approach consists of evaluating the average jump rate Γ_α and the compressibility χ_0 not through further analytic approximations [15], but rather by direct MC simulations. Γ_α is directly obtained from the success ratio of individual particle jumps in the canonical ensemble, while χ_0 is more conveniently evaluated within the grand canonical ensemble. This procedure is easily implemented for arbitrary interaction strengths and transition algorithms [21] within the lattice gas model. For the memory function M, we follow Ferrando et al. [13,15] and approximate it by the known expression for the Langmuir gas model. Thus the effect of direct interparticle interactions on M is not taken into account. In the Langmuir gas model the memory function for collective diffusion is exactly zero [22,26], and our approach corresponds to the DMF approximation for D_C. Eq. (1) then leads to an expression for D_C exactly of the form first proposed by Reed and Ehrlich:

$$D_{C,\alpha\alpha}^{\text{appr}} = \frac{\ell_\alpha^2}{4} \frac{\Gamma_\alpha}{\chi_0} \; . \tag{2}$$

For tracer diffusion, a similar analysis can be performed for the self-correlation function $S_s(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|,t) = \langle \delta n_s(\mathbf{r},t)\delta n_s(\mathbf{r}',0)\rangle$ with $\delta n_s(\mathbf{r},t) = n_s(\mathbf{r},t) - \langle n_s(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle$, where the tracer occupation number at $\mathbf{r}$ at time t, $n_s(\mathbf{r},t) = \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}(t))$, now refers to a tagged particle at $\mathbf{R}(t)$. In the expression analogous to Eq. (1), the jump factor $b(\mathbf{q})$ remains the same, $\chi(\mathbf{q})$ is replaced by unity and the memory function $M_s(\mathbf{q},z)$ differs from the corresponding one for collective diffusion. Again, we approximate the correlation effects resulting from $M_s(\mathbf{q},z)$ by the known expression for the Langmuir model. The resulting expression for D_T becomes [13]

$$D_{T,\alpha\alpha}^{\mathrm{appr}} = \frac{\ell_\alpha^2}{4} f(\theta)\Gamma_\alpha \ , \tag{3}$$

where $f(\theta)$ is a known correlation factor [1–3] that depends only on the coverage θ and the geometry of the lattice. To summarize, our approach yields a DMF result for the collective diffusion constant D_C. For the tracer diffusion constant D_T, approximate correlation effects beyond the DMF are included.

3 Results for model systems

We now apply our formalism to study diffusion for three different systems based on lattice-gas models. The first system is O/W(110) [23,27]. We study this system at a coverage of $\theta = 0.45$ over a wide range of temperatures. At low temperatures, the system is in the ordered p(2×1) phase, while for

$T > T_c$ it is disordered. Details of the model and MC simulations of the diffusion coefficients are in Ref. [23]. In Fig. 1(a), we show the results for collective diffusion in an Arrhenius plot. The agreement between the present DMF result and the direct MC data is remarkably good. Furthermore, DMF works rather well in the strongly interacting region, i.e. in the ordered phase and even close to T_c, the difference being always less than 15%. For tracer diffusion shown in Fig. 1(b), on the other hand, the discrepancy between the MC data and the DMF corrected by the approximate correlation factor is more significant, and becomes most prominent in the ordered phase where the interaction effects are important.

The second system we consider is an adsorbate layer on a substrate with equally spaced straight steps. In addition to repulsive nearest-neighbor interactions between the adsorbates, this model includes an extra binding energy at step edges, an extra barrier for climbing over step edges, and enhanced diffusion along step edges. A detailed description of the model and the formalism [28] is given in Ref. [24]. In Fig. 2 we compare the theoretical results for collective and tracer diffusion with the direct MC simulations at a temperature comparable to the adsorbate interactions and the various substrate-induced activation barriers. For collective diffusion, the DMF gives again a very good description. For tracer diffusion, with the chosen parametrization [24], the component along the direction *perpendicular* to the steps is still described rather well by the approximate theory. For the component along the direction

parallel to step edges, the approximate theory fails already at relatively low coverages. This can be explained by the increased concentration at step edges due to the extra binding energy there, which leads to nontrivial memory effects when tracer particles have to make detours via terraces to pass each other.

The last system we consider, polymer chain molecules adsorbed on a flat substrate, is the most complex one. The chains are modelled by the two-dimensional fluctuating-bond model [29], in which the polymer segments occupy single sites on a lattice and occupation of nearest and next nearest neighbor sites is excluded. There are no direct interactions between the polymers in the model. However, an effective entropic repulsive interaction exists between the chains. A more detailed description of the model can be found in Ref. [18]. In Fig. 3(a), we show a comparison for the diffusion constants calculated from both the present theory and the full MC simulation as a function of concentration θ [30]. Even for this complex system, there is good agreement between the two approaches for the collective diffusion. Again, for the tracer diffusion whose results are presented in Fig. 3(b), the discrepancy is significant, and the results from the two methods differ even qualitatively.

4 Discussion and conclusions

The three model examples above clearly illustrate that in the case of collective diffusion, dynamical correlations between successive jumps of individual par-

ticles cancel out to a large degree even for a strongly interacting system, and the dynamical mean field theory (DMF) works well. For tracer diffusion, the memory effects depend more strongly on the interaction between the adparticles and the approximate correlation effects from the Langmuir gas model do not provide an adequate description.

The success of the DMF for D_C has provided us with a better understanding of collective diffusion as well as a practical tool for its evaluation. From the theoretical viewpoint, D_C in the DMF description is related precisely to an average jump rate of the individual adparticles, thus justifying the phenomenological assumption of Reed and Ehrlich. It provides a conceptual link between the individual particle jump rates, such as those measured in STM and field ion microscope experiments, and macroscopic density fluctuation measurements like field emission and optical grating studies. On the practical side, the calculation of the DMF description, including the average jump rate and the compressibility of the adparticles, is much easier to evaluate numerically compared with a direct simulation of D_C. Our preliminary results for the three model cases indicate that this speedup is typically of the order of $25 - 100$, the method being most efficient for cases where large system sizes or unit cells are needed.

To conclude, our combined method provides a powerful tool for studying realistic models of complex systems. At present, we are employing the DMF formalism to study polymer chain molecules with direct interactions between

them and surfaces with high concentration of imperfections such as steps, kinks and traps.

Acknowledgements

T. H. thanks the Academy of Finland, the Jenny and Antti Wihuri Foundation, and the Magnus Ehrnrooth Foundation for support. I. V. thanks the Neste Co. Foundation, the Jenny and Antti Wihuri Foundation, and the Finnish Academy of Sciences for support. J. M. is supported by the Academy of Finland and the Finnish Cultural Foundation. This research has also been partially supported by a grant from the office of Naval Research (S. C. Y. and J. M.). Finally, computing resources of the University of Helsinki, Brown University, and the University of Jyväskylä are gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] K. Nakazato and K. Kitahara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **64**, 2261 (1980).

[2] R. A. Tahir-Kheli and R. J. Elliott, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 844 (1983).

[3] D. K. Chaturvedi, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **17**, L449 (1984).

[4] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984).

[5] J. W. Haus and K. W. Kehr, Phys. Rep. **150**, 263 (1987).

[6] P. Hänggi, P. Talkner, and M. Borkovec, Rev. Mod. Phys. **62**, 251 (1990).

[7] T. Ala-Nissila and S. C. Ying, Prog. Surf. Sci. **39**, 227 (1992).

[8] L. Y. Chen and S. C. Ying, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 13838 (1994).

[9] G. E. Murch and R. J. Thorn, Phil. Mag. A **40**, 477 (1979).

[10] D. A. Reed and G. Ehrlich, Surf. Sci. **102**, 588 (1981).

[11] G. Mazenko, J. R. Banavar, and R. Gomer, Surf. Sci. **107**, 459 (1981).

[12] R. Granek and A. Nitzan, J. Chem. Phys. **92**, 1329 (1990).

[13] R. Ferrando and E. Scalas, Surf. Sci. **281**, 178 (1993).

[14] A. V. Myshlyavtsev and V. P. Zhdanov, Surf. Sci. **291**, 145 (1993).

[15] M. Torri, R. Ferrando, E. Scalas, and G. P. Brivio, Surf. Sci. **307-309**, 565 (1994).

[16] T. Wichmann and K. W. Kehr, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **7**, 717 (1995).

[17] A. Danani, R. Ferrando, E. Scalas, M. Torri, and G. P. Brivio, Chem. Phys. Lett. **236**, 533 (1995).

[18] T. Ala-Nissila, S. Herminghaus, T. Hjelt, and P. Leiderer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4003 (1996).

[19] Proceedings of "Surface Diffusion: Atomistic and Collective Processes" (1996). To appear in "NATO ASI Series B: Physics" series.

[20] R. Ferrando, E. Scalas, and M. Torri, Phys. Lett. A **186**, 415 (1994).

[21] K. Kehr, in *Applications of the Monte Carlo Method in Statistical Physics*, edited by K. Binder (Springer, Berlin, 1984).

[22] R. Gomer, Rep. Prog. Phys. **53**, 917 (1990).

[23] I. Vattulainen, J. Merikoski, T. Ala-Nissila, and S. C. Ying, Surf. Sci. **366**, L697 (1996).

[24] J. Merikoski and S. C. Ying, `cond-mat@babbage.sissa.it` No. 9605128 (1996), and to be published.

[25] H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. **34**, 399 (1965).

[26] R. Kutner, Phys. Lett. A **81**, 239 (1981).

[27] D. Sahu, S. C. Ying, and J. M. Kosterlitz, in *The Structure of Surfaces II*, edited by J. F. van der Veen and M. A. van Hove (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988) p. 470.

[28] For the adlayer on a stepped substrate, the local concentration and jump rates vary across the terrace so that occupation variables $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ have several components and b and χ in Eq. (1) become matrix quantities [24]. However, it is still possible to interpret the emerging terms in the framework of Eqs. (2) and (3).

[29] I. Carmesin and K. Kremer, Macromolecules **21**, 2819 (1988).

[30] For the flexible bond model, diffusion becomes isotropic and Γ can be estimated as the average success ratio of individual monomer jumps. The effective jump length ℓ has been estimated from the zero coverage limit.

Fig. 1. Results for (a) D_C and (b) D_T as a typical Arrhenius plot in the O/W(110) system at $\theta = 0.45$. The results of conventional MC simulations along the two principal directions x and y are shown by open symbols, while the corresponding results of the approximate theory are presented by lines. The critical temperature of the order-disorder phase transition is denoted by T_c. The error bars of the MC results are roughly of the size of the symbols for D_C and very small for D_T.

Fig. 2. Diffusion constants (a) D_C and (b) D_T as a function of concentration θ for diffusion in direction perpendicular to the steps (x direction) and parallel to the steps (y direction) in a model for a submonolayer of interacting adsorbates on a stepped substrate. The MC results are denoted by the open symbols, the approximate theory is shown by lines, and all data for D_{yy} has been scaled by a factor of 0.02. The error bars of the MC results are roughly of the size of the symbols for D_C and very small for D_T.

Fig. 3. Results for (a) D_C and (b) D_T as a function of the concentration θ in the model polymer system studied. The MC results for diffusion coefficients are given by squares, while the corresponding approximate descriptions are shown by lines.



Figure 1(a) by T. Hjelt et al.



Figure 1(b) by T. Hjelt et al.



Figure 2(a) by T. Hjelt et al.



Figure 2(b) by T. Hjelt et al.



Figure 3(a) by T. Hjelt et al.



Figure 3(b) by T. Hjelt et al.