Magnetic Resonance in the Spin-Peierls compound α'-NaV_2O_5

S. Schmidt, W. Palme, B. Lüthi
Physikalisches Institut, Universität Frankfurt, Robert Mayer Str. 2-4, D-60054 Frankfurt

M.Weiden, R.Hauptmann and C.Geibel
Technische Physik, TH Darmstadt, Hochschulstr.8, D-64289 Darmstadt.

(October 24, 1997)

We present results from magnetic resonance measurements for 75-350 GHz in α'-NaV_2O_5. The temperature dependence of the integrated intensity indicates that we observe transitions in the excited state. A quantitative description gives resonances in the triplet state at high symmetry points of the excitation spectrum of this Spin-Peierls compound. This energy has the same temperature dependence as the Spin-Peierls gap. Similarities and differences with the other inorganic compound $CuGeO_3$ are discussed.

arXiv:cond-mat/9710318v1 29 Oct 1997

Recently the compound α'- NaV_2O_5 has been identified as another inorganic Spin-Peierls compound[1,2] besides $CuGeO_3$. X-ray and neutron scattering on polycrystalline material[2], NMR[3] and single crystalline susceptibility measurements[4] have been performed on this material. It exhibits a phase transition at $T_{SP} = 35\ K$. Below T_{SP} a dimerization is observed in a superlattice reflection which shows a strong temperature dependence. In addition a gap energy from inelastic neutron scattering of about 10 meV was deduced from polycrystalline data. Since magnetic chains (V^{4+}) along the b-axis of this orthorhombic compound are surrounded by nonmagnetic ones (V^{5+}) we expect a smaller interchain exchange than in $CuGeO_3$.

In this communication we report on magnetic resonance experiments in the frequency range 75-350 GHz for a single crystal specimen of α'-NaV_2O_5. We show that we can interpret our data with resonances in the excited triplet state quantitatively for $T < T_{SP}$ with a singlet-triplet model which reduces to a doublet model for $T > T_{SP}$.

We used a crystal from the same batch as in ref. 4. It consists of a thin platelet with the chain axis b in the plane of the platelet. The magnetic field was perpendicular to this plane, parallel to the c-axis. We used Gunn-oscillators and IMPATT-diodes to generate the mm-wave radiation, together with frequency multipliers[5]. For our wide frequency range we perform transmission experiments using oversized waveguides. Since the resonance has a weak absorption strength we used a field modulation technique. We measured the resonance in Faraday geometry using a superconducting magnet with a field range up to 14 T.

In fig. 1 we show the field dependence of the measured resonance frequencies from 75 to 330 GHz together with a display of the signals in the inset for $T = 70$ K. The linear field dependence gives a g-factor of $g_c = 1.936 \pm 0.002$ below 2 as expected for a $V^{4+}(3d^1)$-ion. The g-factor is constant within 0.1 % in the temperature region investigated of 20 – 100 K.

From the twice integrated signals of fig. 1 we obtain the absorption strength as a function of temperature. This is exhibited in fig. 2 for a typical selected frequency of 134 GHz. A very strong increase in the dimerized phase is noted, starting from about 20 K and it reaches a maximum at about T_{SP} whereas a much weaker temperature dependence is observed in the disordered high temperature region. These results permit a detailed analysis which could not be done before in $CuGeO_3$ because of the smaller T_{SP} and the much faster decrease of the spin gap just below T_{SP} in the latter substance.

Below T_{SP} we assume $\Delta q = 0$ transitions within an excited triplet state separated from the ground state by a temperature dependent gap $\Delta(T)$. We take the temperature dependence of the gap energy $\Delta(T)$ for $T < T_{SP}$ into account. We take either a molecular field expression $\Delta(T) = \Delta_0(1 - T/T_{SP})^{1/2}$ for the spin gap or we use the temperature dependence from the experimentally determined superlattice reflection intensity I [2]. If the Spin-Peierls physics is strictly one-dimensional we expect $\Delta \sim \delta$ or $\sim \delta^{2/3}$ with δ the lattice distortion. The latter dependence is an exact result[6]. Since the intensity of the superlattice distortion would go like $I \sim \delta^2$ we expect $\Delta \sim I^{1/2}$ or $\sim I^{1/3}$. For the integrated absorption we get

$$A(T) \sim [\exp \frac{-\Delta + g\mu B}{k_B T} - \exp \frac{-\Delta - g\mu B}{k_B T}] \ / \ Z \quad (1)$$

where Z is the partition-function involving the singlet and triplet states. For $T > T_{SP}$ the gap $\Delta = 0$ and eq. (1) leads to excitations within the spin 1/2 doublet.

The full lines in fig. 2 are a fit to the experiment with the parameters $\Delta(T = 0) = 85$ K (20 K and the corresponding magnetic field (4.9 T) for the frequency of 134 GHz. The curves are scaled to the experiment at 35 K because of the unknown matrix element and other geometric factors. A very good agreement with the measurements was obtained for $T < T_{SP}$ only for $\Delta \sim I$ (full line). The other two curves $\sim I^{1/3}$ and $(1-T/T_{SP})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as well as $\sim I^{1/2}$ (not shown) give poor fits. This could mean that this substance is not so typically one-dimensional as one usually assumes. Also fits of eq. (1) with a temperature independent gap give a poor agreement with the experiment. Similar results were ob-

tained for 220 and 288 GHz where again a fit with $\Delta \sim I$ gave the best agreement.

For $T > T_{SP}$ the energy spectrum for large fields is rather complicated[7]. For magnetic fields $B << B_{ex}$ our description should be correct however. The agreement of the curve based on eq. (1) with the experiment is good also for $T > T_{SP}$.

The value of $\Delta(T = 0)$ cited above is the one quoted in literature of 85 K for the SP gap[4] and therefore slightly lower than the gap quoted from inelastic neutron scattering on polycrystalline material[2]. Since the considered excited triplet can be at any point in k-space with large enough density of states (preferably at symmetry points) and since there is appreciable dispersion of the magnetic excitations because of the large J and T_{SP} our value of the gap of 85 K is quite reasonable[8]. We expect the gap for the one-dimensional case to occur at $k_{SP} = (0, p, 0)$. One has to await measurements of the full dispersion spectra of magnetic excitations in order to place our triplet state in k-space.

In fig. 3 we show the peak to peak line widths for two resonances (134 and 220 GHz). The line width is very small compared to similar compounds like $CuGeO_3$[9] or spin 1 systems. It is typically 40 G wide. This means that in this spin chain there are very few relaxation channels. In addition the line width has a very weak temperature dependence. If the line width arises from the spin fluctuations[10] the high magnetic field suppresses these fluctuations and the absence of any appreciable anisotropy and exchange anisotropy for the spin chain reduces the line width further.

We also tried to measure ground state excitations by using a far-infrared laser. In $CuGeO_3$ such excitations have been found[11] and they were interpreted as ground state excitations using a staggered field concept[8] similar to the $S = 1$ compounds NENP, NINO[12]. We did not find any ground state excitations in NaV_2O_5 so far. We hope to detect them with larger single crystals.

Unlike for $CuGeO_3$ we could so far only determine the uniform phase U and the dimerized phase D but not a possible incommensurate phase I. This I-phase would possibly occur for magnetic fields in excess of 16 T, the limit of our field at present. The absorption strength has a very strong temperature dependence for $T < T_{SP}$ mirroring the strong temperature dependence of the gap energy and the large value of the gap. The intensity goes practically to 0 for $T < 20$ K with the exception of 288 GHz. This is in contrast to the magnetic susceptibility where a large Van Vleck type term is found at low temperatures. Comparing the line widths of the two Spin-Peierls compounds, $CuGeO_3$ and α'-NaV_2O_5, we find for the latter a much weaker temperature dependence indicating probably the more isolated spin chains.

After completion of this work we got notice of similar investigations on α'-NaV_2O_5 done at 36.2 GHz[13] and 9.2 GHz[14]. These authors interpret their data by the susceptibility formula of Bulaevskii[15] with a temperature independent gap, which is strictly correct only for DC susceptibility measurements at $T << T_{SP}$. The data of these authors, especially for T close to T_{SP}, we can fit nicely also with equation (1) using again the temperature dependent gap used for our results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported by the DFG through SFB 252 and by BMBF. We thank U. Löw, M. Sieling and G. Uhrig for fruitful discussions.

[1] M.Isobe, Y.Ueda, *J.Phys.Soc.Jp.* **65**, 1178 (1996).
[2] Y.Fujii et al., *J.Phys.Soc.Jp.* **65**, 326 (1997).
[3] T.Ohama et al., *J.Phys.Soc.Jp.* **66**, 545 (1997).
[4] M.Weiden et al., *Z.Phys.*B**103**,1(1997).
[5] W.Palme et al., *Z.Phys.*B**92**,1(1993).
[6] M.C.Cross and D.S.Fisher, *Phys.Rev.B***19**,402(1979). E.Pytte, Phys.-Rev.B 10,4637(1974)
[7] G.Müller et al. *Phys.Rev.B***24**,1429(1981).
[8] see the corresponding discussion in the case of $CuGeO_3$ by G.Uhrig, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **79**, 163 (1997).
[9] S.Oseroff et al., *J.Appl.Phys.* **75**, 6819 (1994); H.Ohta et al., *J.Phys.-Soc. Jp.* **63**, 2870 (1994)
[10] for a review on line width in EPR see e.g. K.Kawasaki in: *Phase transitions and critical phenomena*, Vol.5A, ed. by C.Domb and M.S.Green, Academic Press 1976.
[11] T.M.Brill et al. *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **73**,1545(1994).
[12] H.Shiba et al *J.Magn.Magn.Mat.* **140-144**, 1590 (1995) and ref. in it.
[13] A. N. Vasil'ev et al., *Phys.Rev.B***56**, 5065 (1997).
[14] M. Lohmann et al. to be published
[15] Bulaevskii, L. N., *Sov. Phys.–solid state* **11**, 921 (1969)

FIG. 1. Field dependence of the resonance frequencies from 75 to 330 GHz. The g-factor is 1.936 for $B \parallel$ c-axis. In the inset the derivative signals are shown for $T = 70$ K for DPPH (for field calibration) and α'-$NaV_2O_5$for 288 GHz.

FIG. 2. Absorption strength versus temperature for a resonance line at 134 GHz. The full and the dashed lines are a fit to equation (1) with the gap energy scaled as described in the text, the dotted curve is a fit using molecular field theory. In the inset we show the singlet-triplet model.

FIG. 3. Line width of the resonances as a function of temperature for various frequencies: 134 and 220 GHz.

Fig. 1


α´-NaV2O5
g=1,936
frequency [GHz]
B[T]
0
50
100
150
200
250
300
350
0
2
4
6
8
10
12
DPPH
α´-NaV2O5
T = 70K
deriv. int. [a.u.]
B[T]
6
4
2
0
-2
-4
-6
10,1
10,2
10,3
10,4
10,5
10,6
10,7
10,8

Fig. 2


α´-NaV2O5
134 GHz
integrated absorption [a.u.]
T[K]
0,00
0,02
0,04
0,06
0,08
0,10
0
20
40
60
80
100
Δ(T)
hν
hν
B

Fig. 3


α´-NaV2O5
134 GHz
220 GHz
line width [mT]
T[K]
0
2
4
6
8
10
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90