

Wang and Plerou Reply: In the preceding article [1], Tanaka and Machida (TM) comment on the bare values of the coupling constants in an effective field theory derived for the transport properties in the multilayer quantum Hall systems [2]. In Ref. [2], the field theory was derived by bosonization of a quantum quasi-1d fermionic theory using the nonabelian chiral anomaly. The latter arises following a finite chiral transformation of Dirac fermions, and is given by the corresponding Fujikawa Jacobian [3]. It was first pointed out by Roskies and Schaposnik [4], in the context of the Schwinger model, that a chiral rotation with a finite angle should be carried out by a sequence of infinitesimal rotations to produce the correct coupling constants in the anomalous terms. As correctly noted by TM, this was overlooked in Ref. [2]. It is, however, obvious that the precise values of the bare coupling constants are *totally irrelevant* for the analysis of the theory in Ref. [2], as the latter is based on the fixed point values of the field theory in two and three dimensions.

TM then argue in their comment [1] that the Fujikawa Jacobian becomes zero and thus no anomaly arises when a one parameter family of infinitesimal chiral transformations is used to carry out the finite chiral rotation in the nonabelian case considered in Ref. [2]. This claim is *incorrect*. Below, we will show explicitly that the correct chiral anomaly associated with the non-invariance of the fermion functional integral measure is obtained by the transformations used in Ref. [2]. We further prove that the chiral transformation suggested by TM is equivalent to the combined unitary and chiral rotations used in Ref. [2].

To make connection to the comment, we will consider the case of vanishing interlayer tunneling. The fermionic quantum theory becomes that of a $U(2n)$ Hubbard chain at half-filling. For small hopping alternations $\delta t \ll t$, Eq. (5) in Ref. [2] can be written in terms of the Dirac spinors $\Psi^T = (\psi_R, \psi_L)$, and $\bar{\Psi} = (\bar{\psi}_R, \bar{\psi}_L)\gamma_0$,

$$S = \text{Tr} \bar{\Psi} (\mathbf{I} \otimes \partial + m Q e^{-2iQ\Delta\theta\gamma^5}) \Psi. \quad (1)$$

Here, $Q = u\Lambda u^\dagger$ with $u \in U(2n)$ and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_n & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix}$, $\partial = \gamma_\mu \partial_\mu$ with γ_0 and γ_1 given by the Pauli matrices τ_x and τ_y , $\gamma^5 = i\gamma_0\gamma_1$, $m = -\Delta_0$, and $\Delta\theta = \delta t/\Delta_0$.

As in Ref. [2], we make a unitary transformation $\Psi \rightarrow u\Psi$, $\bar{\Psi} \rightarrow \bar{\Psi}u^\dagger$ in Eq. (1), leading to,

$$S = \text{Tr} \bar{\Psi} (\mathbf{I} \otimes \partial + i\mathcal{A} + m\Lambda e^{-2i\Lambda\Delta\theta\gamma^5}) \Psi, \quad (2)$$

where the gauge field $\mathcal{A} = -iu^\dagger \partial u$. We now carry out the sequence of infinitesimal chiral transformations parametrized by the parameter $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\Psi \rightarrow U_5(t)\Psi, \quad \bar{\Psi} \rightarrow \bar{\Psi}U_5(t), \quad (3)$$

with $U_5(t) = \exp(it\Lambda\phi\gamma^5)$ and $\phi = (\Delta\theta + \pi/4)$. The transformed action is $S = \text{Tr} \bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}_t \Psi + \ln \mathcal{J}_F$ where $\mathcal{J}_F$ is the corresponding Jacobian and

$$\mathcal{D}_t = \mathbf{I} \otimes \partial + iU_5(t)\mathcal{A}U_5(t) - im\gamma^5 e^{2i\Lambda\phi(t-1)\gamma^5}. \quad (4)$$

The finite chiral rotation in Eq. (6) of Ref. [2] is built up by iterating Eq. (3). The chiral anomaly arises from the accumulated Jacobian of the transformations and is given by [5],

$$\ln \mathcal{J}_F = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dt (i\phi\Lambda\gamma^5) \mathcal{D}_t^2, \quad (5)$$

where the operator $\mathcal{D}_t$ is given in Eq. (4). Eq. (5) can be evaluated straightforwardly. Using the identity, $\text{Tr} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \Lambda \partial_\mu A_\nu = (i/4) \text{Tr} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} Q \partial_\mu Q \partial_\nu Q$, it leads to the topological term in the transformed action,

$$S_\theta = \frac{\sigma_{xy}^0}{8} \text{Tr} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} Q \partial_\mu Q \partial_\nu Q, \quad (6)$$

where the bare coupling $\sigma_{xy}^0 = \frac{1}{2\pi}(\pi + 4\Delta\theta + \sin 4\Delta\theta)$ is the *same* as the one obtained using nonabelian bosonization and in the comment [1].

TM used a seemingly different nonabelian chiral transformation, $U'_5(t) = \exp(itQ\phi\gamma^5)$, to directly bosonize Eq. (1). This leads to the transformed action $S' = \text{Tr} \bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}'_t \Psi + \ln \mathcal{J}'_F$ with

$$\mathcal{D}'_t = \mathbf{I} \otimes \partial + iU'_5(t)\partial U'_5(t) - im\gamma^5 e^{2iQ\phi(t-1)\gamma^5}, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\ln \mathcal{J}'_F = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dt (i\phi Q \gamma^5) (\mathcal{D}'_t)^2. \quad (8)$$

We now prove that this is equivalent to the method used in Ref. [2] as described above. Notice that $U'_5(t) = uU_5(t)u^\dagger$. It is straightforward to show that $\mathcal{D}'_t = u\mathcal{D}_t u^\dagger$. As a result, the nonabelian chiral anomaly given by the Jacobians in Eq. (5) and Eq. (8) are identical, *i.e.* $\ln \mathcal{J}_F = \ln \mathcal{J}'_F$. Specifically,

$$\ln \mathcal{J}_F = \ln \mathcal{J}'_F = \frac{\sigma_{xx}^0}{8} \text{Tr} \partial_\mu Q \partial_\mu Q + \frac{\sigma_{xy}^0}{8} \text{Tr} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} Q \partial_\mu Q \partial_\nu Q,$$

where $\sigma_{xx}^0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cos^2 2\Delta\theta$, and $\sigma_{xy}^0 = \frac{1}{2\pi}(\pi + 4\Delta\theta + \sin 4\Delta\theta)$ is the same as the one given in Eq. (6).

Ziqiang Wang and Vasiliki Plerou
Department of Physics
Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02167

-
- [1] A. Tanaka and M. Machida, preceeding comment.
 - [2] Z. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4002 (1997).
 - [3] K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 2848 (1980).
 - [4] R. Roskies and F. A. Schaposnik, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 558 (1981).
 - [5] R. E. Gamboa Saravi, F. A. Schaposnik, and J. E. Solomin, Nucl. Phys. B **185**, 239 (1981).