arXiv:funct-an/9508002v2 17 Apr 1996

THE GENERAL ANALYTIC SOLUTION OF A FUNCTIONAL EQUATION OF ADDITION TYPE

H. W. Braden
Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
The University of Edinburgh,
Edinburgh, UK.
e-mail: hwb@ed.ac.uk
V. M. Buchstaber
National Scientific and Research Institute of
Physico-Technical and Radio-Technical Measurement,
VNIIFTRI, Mendeleevo, Moscow Region
141570, Russia
e-mail: buchstab@tomogr.msk.su

`funct-an/9508002`

December 31, 2013

Abstract

The general analytic solution to the functional equation

$$\phi_1(x+y) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_2(x) & \phi_2(y) \\ \phi_3(x) & \phi_3(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_4(x) & \phi_4(y) \\ \phi_5(x) & \phi_5(y) \end{vmatrix}}$$

is characterised. Up to the action of the symmetry group, this is described in terms of Weierstrass elliptic functions. We illustrate our theory by applying it to the classical addition theorems of the Jacobi elliptic functions and the functional equations

$$\phi_1(x+y) = \phi_4(x)\phi_5(y) + \phi_4(y)\phi_5(x)$$

and

$$\Psi_1(x+y) = \Psi_2(x+y)\phi_2(x)\phi_3(y) + \Psi_3(x+y)\phi_4(x)\phi_5(y).$$

1 Introduction

The purpose of this article is to describe the general analytic solution to the functional equation

$$\phi_1(x+y) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_2(x) & \phi_2(y) \\ \phi_3(x) & \phi_3(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_4(x) & \phi_4(y) \\ \phi_5(x) & \phi_5(y) \end{vmatrix}}. \tag{1}$$

Although this equation appears to depend on five a priori unknown functions we shall show that (1) is invariant under a large group of symmetries $\mathcal{G}$ and that each orbit has a solution of a particularly nice form, expressible in terms elliptic functions:

Theorem 1 *The general analytic solution to the functional equation (1) is, up to a $\mathcal{G}$ action given by (10-13), of the form*

$$\phi_1(x) = \frac{\Phi(x;\nu_1)}{\Phi(x;\nu_2)}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}\phi_2(x)\\ \phi_3(x)\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\Phi(x;\nu_1)\\ \Phi(x;\nu_1)'\end{pmatrix} \quad \textit{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix}\phi_4(x)\\ \phi_5(x)\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\Phi(x;\nu_2)\\ \Phi(x;\nu_2)'\end{pmatrix}.$$

Here

$$\Phi(x;\nu) \equiv \frac{\sigma(\nu-x)}{\sigma(\nu)\sigma(x)}\, e^{\zeta(\nu)x} \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma(x) = \sigma(x|\omega,\omega')$ and $\zeta(x) = \frac{\sigma(x)'}{\sigma(x)}$ are the Weierstrass sigma and zeta functions.

The group $\mathcal{G}$ of symmetries of (1) will be described further below. Our proof is constructive and indeed yields more:

Theorem 2 *Let x_0 be a generic point for (1). Then (for $k = 1, 2$) we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_y \ln \left| \begin{matrix} \phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(y+x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(y+x_0) \end{matrix} \right|_{y=0} &= \zeta(\nu_k) - \zeta(x) - \zeta(\nu_k - x) - \lambda_k \\ &= -\frac{1}{x} - \lambda_k + \sum_{l=0} F_l \frac{x^{l+1}}{(l+1)!} \end{aligned}$$

and the Laurent expansion determines the parameters g_1, g_2 (which are the same for both $k = 1, 2$) characterising the elliptic functions of (2) by

$$g_2 = \frac{5}{3}\left(F_2 + 6F_0^2\right), \qquad g_3 = 6F_0^3 - F_1^2 + \frac{5}{3}F_0F_2,$$

and the parameters ν_k via $F_0 = -\wp(\nu_k)$. Further, we have

$$\phi_1(x+2x_0) = \phi_1(2x_0)\, e^{(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)x}\, \frac{\Phi(x;\nu_1)}{\Phi(x;\nu_2)}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{2k}(x+x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{e^{-\lambda_k x}}{f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} \phi'_{2k}(x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0) \\ \phi'_{2k+1}(x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda_k & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(x;\nu_k) \\ \Phi'(x;\nu_k) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here the function

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_k x}}{\Phi(x;\nu_k)} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \phi'_{2k}(x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0) \\ \phi'_{2k+1}(x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0) \end{vmatrix}}$$

is in fact the same for $k = 1, 2$.

The term 'generic' will be defined below and we will give more expressions for the quantities appearing in the theorem.

One merit of writing (1) in this general form is that several different functional equations may now be seen as different points on a $\mathcal{G}$-orbit of (1). Thus for example

$$\phi_1(x+y) = \phi_1(x)\phi_1(y) \tag{3}$$

$$\phi_1(x+y) = \phi_4(x)\phi_5(y) + \phi_4(y)\phi_5(x) \tag{4}$$

$$A(x+y)[B(x) - B(y)] = A(x)A'(y) - A(y)A'(x). \tag{5}$$

are particular[1] examples of (1). The functional equation for the exponential (3) corresponds to $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ in our solution and the exponential comes wholly from $\mathcal{G}$. Particular cases of (4) have been studied in [8] and we shall determine (see Lemma 4) the general solution to (4) as an application of our work.

More interesting is equation (5) which has been studied by various authors with assumptions of even/oddness on the functions appearing [12, 18, 19] or assumptions on the nature of B [16]. The general solution [4, 5] $A(x) = \Phi(x;\nu)$ now corresponds to to the limit $\nu_2 \to 0$ together with a $\mathcal{G}$ action. This will be illustrated later.

Finally, when $\phi_1(x) = \alpha(x)$, $\phi_2(x) = \alpha(x)\tau(x)$, $\phi_4(x) = \tau(x)$, $\phi_3(x) = \phi'_2(x)$ and $\phi_5(x) = \phi'_4(x)$ we obtain the functional equation

$$\alpha(x+y) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y) + \tau(x)\tau(y)\psi(x+y). \tag{6}$$

[1] These correspond to

(a) $\phi_2(x) = \phi_1(x)\phi_4(x)$ and $\phi_3(x) = \phi_1(x)\phi_5(x)$,

(b) $\phi_2(x) = \phi_4^2(x)$ and $\phi_3(x) = \phi_5^2(x)$,

(c) $\phi_1(x) = \phi_2(x) = A(x)$, $\phi_3(x) = A'(x)$, $\phi_4(x) = B(x)$ and $\phi_5(x) = 1$.

The function $\psi(x)$ will be described in more detail in the sequel. This equation was studied by Bruschi and Calogero [4] and will be used in our analysis.

Let us remark that both (4) and (6) may be viewed as limiting cases of the functional equation

$$\Psi_1(x+y) = \Psi_2(x+y)\phi_2(x)\phi_3(y) + \Psi_3(x+y)\phi_4(x)\phi_5(y), \tag{7}$$

which *a priori* depends on seven unknown functions. Later we shall show how (1) may be used to solve this.

It remains to place (1) in some form of context. The last decade has seen a remarkable confluence of ideas from completely integrable systems, geometry, field theory and functional equations that is still being assimilated. To make some of these matters concrete let us consider how such functional equations arise in the context of integrable systems of particles on the line. A pair of matrices L, M such that $\dot{L} = [L, M]$ is known as a Lax Pair; this is a zero curvature condition. Starting with an ansatz for the matrices L and M one seeks restrictions necessary to obtain equations of motion of some desired form. These restrictions typically involve the study of functional equations. The paradigm for this approach is the Calogero-Moser [11] system. Beginning with the ansatz (for $n \times n$ matrices)

$$L_{jk} = p_j\delta_{jk} + g\,(1-\delta_{jk})A(q_j - q_k), \quad M_{jk} = g\,[\delta_{jk}\sum_{l\neq j} B(q_j - q_l) - (1-\delta_{jk})C(q_j - q_k)]$$

one finds $\dot{L} = [L, M]$ yields the equations of motion for the Hamiltonian system ($n \geq 3$)

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\sum_j p_j^2 + g^2 \sum_{j<k} U(q_j - q_k) \qquad U(x) = A(x)A(-x) + \text{constant}$$

provided $C(x) = -A'(x)$, and that $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ satisfy the functional equation (5). With this ansatz and assuming $B(x)$ even[2] Calogero [12] found $A(x)$ to be given by (2). In this case the corresponding potential is the Weierstrass $\wp$-function: $A(x)A(-x) = \wp(\nu) - \wp(x)$. The functional equation (6) is associated with a different ansatz and yields the relativistic Calogero-Moser systems [3, 21]. Similarly (1) arises from a more general ansatz [2] associated with equations of motion of the form

$$\ddot{q}_j = \sum_{k\neq j} (a + b\dot{q}_j)(a + b\dot{q}_k)V_{jk}(q_j - q_k),$$

[2] This assumption can in fact be removed [2].

which combines both relativistic ($b \neq 0$) and nonrelativistic ($b = 0$) systems together with potentials that can vary between particle pairs. This unifies, for example, Calogero-Moser and Toda systems [20, 21, 22]. The relativistic examples yield the functional equation (1) while the nonrelativistic situation involves the functional equation

$$\phi_6(x+y) = \phi_1(x+y)(\phi_4(x) - \phi_5(y)) + \begin{vmatrix} \phi_2(x) & \phi_3(y) \\ \phi_2'(x) & \phi_3'(y) \end{vmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

The general analytic solution to (8) has yet to be determined although particular solutions are known. We remark that (1), and after suitably symmetrizing (8), are particular cases of the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \phi_{3i}(x+y) \begin{vmatrix} \phi_{3i+1}(x) & \phi_{3i+1}(y) \\ \phi_{3i+2}(x) & \phi_{3i+2}(y) \end{vmatrix} = 0 \tag{9}$$

with $N = 1$ in the former case and $N = 2$ in the latter. When $\phi_{3i+2} = \phi_{3i+1}'$ Buchstaber and Krichever have discussed (9) in connection with functional equations satisfied by Baker-Akhiezer functions [10].

Lax pairs are but one way in which functional equations are associated with integrable systems and we mention [7, 13, 9, 14] for others. There also appears a close connection between these functional equations and the elliptic genera associated with the string inspired Witten index [15, 17]. Krichever for example used the functional equation (5) in his proof of the 'rigidity' property of elliptic genera [17] and it also appears when discussing rational and pole solutions of the KP and KdV equations [16, 1]. We feel this connection between functional equations and completely integrable systems is part of a broader and less well understood aspect of the subject that deserves further attention.

An outline of the paper is as follows. First we will discuss the group of symmetries of (1). These will be used in the proof of theorem 1. Before turning to the proof we show in section 3 how the indicated solution does indeed satisfy (1), using this as a vehicle to recall some of the properties of elliptic functions that we will need throughout. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of theorem 1 and section 5 to that of theorem 2. Several applications of our theorems including the general analytic solution to (4) and a discussion of (7) are then given in section 6. An appendix is given containing various elliptic function formulae we shall make use of.

Various versions of Theorem 1 have appeared in unpublished preprints. In [6] the form of $\phi_1(x)$ only was stated. In [2] we introduced the $\mathcal{G}$ action to give Theorem 1 in its present form. In improving the proof of this we obtained Theorem 2, given here alongside the better proof of Theorem 1.

2 The Group of Symmetries

We next describe the group $\mathcal{G}$ of invariances of (1). Theorem 1 gives a representative of each $\mathcal{G}$ orbit on the solutions of (1) with a particularly nice form. First observe that a large group of symmetries $\mathcal{G}$ act on the solutions of (1). The transformation

$$\left(\phi_1(x), \begin{pmatrix}\phi_2(x)\\ \phi_3(x)\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}\phi_4(x)\\ \phi_5(x)\end{pmatrix}\right) \to \left(c\, e^{\lambda x}\phi_1(x), U\begin{pmatrix}e^{-\lambda' x}\phi_2(x)\\ e^{-\lambda' x}\phi_3(x)\end{pmatrix}, V\begin{pmatrix}e^{\lambda'' x}\phi_4(x)\\ e^{\lambda'' x}\phi_5(x)\end{pmatrix}\right) \tag{10}$$

clearly preserves (1) provided

$$\lambda + \lambda' + \lambda'' = 0, \qquad U, V \in GL_2, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \det U = c\, \det V. \tag{11}$$

Further, (1) is also preserved by

$$\left(\phi_1(x), \begin{pmatrix}\phi_2(x)\\ \phi_3(x)\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}\phi_4(x)\\ \phi_5(x)\end{pmatrix}\right) \to \left(\frac{1}{\phi_1(x)}, \begin{pmatrix}\phi_4(x)\\ \phi_5(x)\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}\phi_2(x)\\ \phi_3(x)\end{pmatrix}\right) \tag{12}$$

and

$$\left(\phi_1(x), \begin{pmatrix}\phi_2(x)\\ \phi_3(x)\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}\phi_4(x)\\ \phi_5(x)\end{pmatrix}\right) \to \left(\phi_1(x), f(x)\begin{pmatrix}\phi_2(x)\\ \phi_3(x)\end{pmatrix}, f(x)\begin{pmatrix}\phi_4(x)\\ \phi_5(x)\end{pmatrix}\right). \tag{13}$$

We will use these symmetries in our proof of theorem 1 to find a solution of (1) on each $\mathcal{G}$ orbit with a particularly nice form.

3 Illustration of the Solution

Before proceeding to the proof it is instructive to see how the stated solution satisfies (1). This will also allow us to introduce some elliptic function identities needed throughout. From the definition of the zeta function we have

$$(\ln \Phi(x;\nu))' = -\zeta(\nu - x) - \zeta(x) + \zeta(\nu). \tag{14}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{vmatrix}\Phi(x;\nu) & \Phi(y;\nu)\\ \Phi(x;\nu)' & \Phi(y;\nu)'\end{vmatrix} &= \Phi(x;\nu)\Phi(y;\nu)\Big[(\ln \Phi(y;\nu))' - (\ln \Phi(x;\nu))'\Big]\\ &= \Phi(x;\nu)\Phi(y;\nu)\Big[\zeta(\nu - x) + \zeta(x) + \zeta(-y) + \zeta(y - \nu)\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Upon using the definition of Φ, the right hand side of this equation takes the form

$$\Phi(x+y;\nu)\frac{\sigma(\nu - x)\sigma(\nu - y)\sigma(x + y)}{\sigma(\nu - x - y)\sigma(\nu)\sigma(x)\sigma(y)}\Big[\zeta(\nu - x) + \zeta(x) + \zeta(-y) + \zeta(y - \nu)\Big]. \tag{15}$$

After noting the two identities [23]

$$\zeta(x)+\zeta(y)+\zeta(z)-\zeta(x+y+z)=\frac{\sigma(x+y)\sigma(y+z)\sigma(z+x)}{\sigma(x)\sigma(y)\sigma(z)\sigma(x+y+z)} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\wp(x)-\wp(y)=\frac{\sigma(y-x)\sigma(y+x)}{\sigma^2(y)\sigma^2(x)} \tag{17}$$

we find (15) simplifies to $\Phi(x+y;\nu)[\wp(x)-\wp(y)]$, where $\wp(x)=-\zeta'(x)$ is the Weierstrass $\wp$-function. Putting these together yields the addition formula

$$\Phi(x+y;\nu)=\frac{\begin{vmatrix}\Phi(x;\nu) & \Phi(y;\nu)\\ \Phi(x;\nu)' & \Phi(y;\nu)'\end{vmatrix}}{\wp(x)-\wp(y)}, \tag{18}$$

and consequently a solution of (1) with the stated form. Further, from (18) we see the solution to (5) mentioned in the introduction.

The general solution (2) involves the two nonzero constants ν_1 and ν_2. Let us see how our group of symmetries enables $\phi_1(x)=\Phi(x;\nu_1)$ to occur as a limit $\nu_2\to 0$. Consider the $\mathcal{G}$ action on the general solution $\phi_i(x)$ of theorem 1 given by $\phi_i(x)\to\tilde{\phi}_i(x)$ where

$$\left(\tilde{\phi}_1(x),\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\phi}_2(x)\\ \tilde{\phi}_3(x)\end{pmatrix},\quad \begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\phi}_4(x)\\ \tilde{\phi}_5(x)\end{pmatrix}\right)=$$

$$\left(\frac{e^{\zeta(\nu_2)x}}{-\nu_2}\phi_1(x),\begin{pmatrix}\Phi(x;\nu_1)\\ \Phi'(x;\nu_1)\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}e^{-\zeta(\nu_2)x}\Phi(x;\nu_2)\\ -\nu_2\,e^{-\zeta(\nu_2)x}\Phi'(x;\nu_2)\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Now

$$\lim_{\nu_2\to 0}\tilde{\phi}_1(x)=\Phi(x;\nu_1),$$

and

$$\lim_{\nu_2\to 0}\begin{vmatrix}\tilde{\phi}_4(x) & \tilde{\phi}_4(y)\\ \tilde{\phi}_5(x) & \tilde{\phi}_5(y)\end{vmatrix}=\wp(x)-\wp(y).$$

Thus (5) arises as the $\nu_2\to 0$ of (1).

4 Proof of Theorem 1

Our proof of Theorem 1 proceeds in two stages. First we will use the symmetry (10) to transform (1) into a particularly simple canonical form. This form may be immediately integrated to yield a functional equation studied by Bruschi and Calogero [4]; by appealing to their result our Theorem 1 will follow. The first stage of this process is entirely algorithmic and consequently we may readily identify the parameters that appear in our solution. We begin with

Definition 1 *A point $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is said to be generic for (1) if*

1) $\phi_k(x)$ is regular at x_0 for $k = 2 \dots 5$,

2) $\phi_1(x)$ is regular at $2x_0$,

3) $\begin{vmatrix} \phi_2(x_0) & \phi_2'(x_0) \\ \phi_3(x_0) & \phi_3'(x_0) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0 \qquad \begin{vmatrix} \phi_4(x_0) & \phi_4'(x_0) \\ \phi_5(x_0) & \phi_5'(x_0) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$

Now let x_0 be a generic point. Using at first the matrices U and V of transformation (10) we may choose linear combinations of ϕ_k $(k : 2 \dots 5)$ such that (1) becomes

$$\tilde{\phi}_1(x+y) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_2(x) & \tilde{\phi}_2(y) \\ \tilde{\phi}_3(x) & \tilde{\phi}_3(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_4(x) & \phi_4(y) \\ \tilde{\phi}_5(x) & \tilde{\phi}_5(y) \end{vmatrix}},$$

and (for $k = 1, 2$)

$$\tilde{\phi}_{2k}(0) = \tilde{\phi}_{2k+1}'(0) = 0, \qquad \tilde{\phi}_{2k}'(0) = \tilde{\phi}_{2k+1}(0) = 1. \tag{19}$$

The arguments of the functions have been shifted to be centred on x_0 (or $2x_0$ in the case of $\tilde{\phi}_1(x)$). Here we have set

$$\tilde{\phi}_1(x) = c\,\phi_1(x + 2x_0)$$

and (for $k = 1, 2$)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{2k}(x) \\ \tilde{\phi}_{2k+1}(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{2k}'(x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}'(x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{2k}(x + x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}(x + x_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The constant c here is just the ratio of the appropriate determinants specified in (10). We next observe

Lemma 1 *For $k = 1, 2$ we may write*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{2k}(x) \\ \tilde{\phi}_{2k+1}(x) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\gamma_k(x)} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_k(x) \\ \xi_k'(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\gamma_k(x), \xi_k(x)$ are regular at 0 and

$$\xi_k(0) = 0, \qquad \xi_k'(0) = \gamma_k(0) = 1.$$

Further, upon writing $\xi_k(x) = e^{\lambda_k x}\tilde{\xi}_k(x)$ with $\lambda_k = -\tilde{\phi}_{2k}''(0)/2$ the function $\tilde{\xi}_k(x)$, regular at 0, satisfies

$$\tilde{\xi}_k(0) = \tilde{\xi}_k''(0) = 0, \qquad \tilde{\xi}_k'(0) = 1.$$

Proof. Upon differentiating $\xi_k(x) = \gamma_k(x)\tilde{\phi}_{2k}(x)$ and comparing with $\xi_k'(x) = \gamma_k(x)\tilde{\phi}_{2k+1}(x)$ we see that

$$\frac{\gamma_k'(x)}{\gamma_k(x)} = \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{2k+1}(x) - \tilde{\phi}_{2k}'(x)}{\tilde{\phi}_{2k}(x)}. \tag{20}$$

The only issue is whether the righthand side of this differential equation is regular at $x = 0$. Using (19) and l'Hôpital's rule we find

$$\frac{\gamma_k'(0)}{\gamma_k(0)} = \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{2k+1}'(0) - \tilde{\phi}_{2k}''(0)}{\tilde{\phi}_{2k}'(0)} = -\tilde{\phi}_{2k}''(0).$$

and so $\gamma_k(x)$ and hence $\xi_k(x)$ are regular at 0. Now $\gamma_k(x)$ is determined by (20) given an initial condition which we choose to be $\gamma_k(0) = 1$. The remaining initial conditions for $\xi_k(x)$ follow from (19). Indeed from

$$\tilde{\phi}_{2k+1}'(x) = \frac{\xi_k''(x)\gamma_k(x) - \xi_k'(x)\gamma_k'(x)}{\gamma_k^2(x)}$$

we also find

$$\xi_k''(0) = -\tilde{\phi}_{2k}''(0).$$

Now upon writing $\xi_k(x) = e^{\lambda_k x}\tilde{\xi}_k(x)$ with $\lambda_k = -\tilde{\phi}_{2k}''(0)/2$ we obtain the final statement of the lemma. □

Thus far we havent used the exponential part of the symmetry (10). Utilising this symmetry we set $\tilde{\xi}_0(x) = e^{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)x}\tilde{\phi}_1(x)$, $\gamma(x) = e^{2(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)x}\gamma_2(x)/\gamma_1(x)$. This scaling has (upon noting $2\lambda_k = \gamma_k'(0)$) the effect of making $\gamma'(0) = 0$. Thus we obtain

Corollary 1 *At any generic point we may rewrite (1) using the symmetry (10) as*

$$\tilde{\xi}_0(x+y) = \gamma(x)\gamma(y)\frac{\begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_1(x) & \tilde{\xi}_1(y) \\ \tilde{\xi}_1'(x) & \tilde{\xi}_1'(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \xi_2(x) & \xi_2(y) \\ \tilde{\xi}_2'(x) & \tilde{\xi}_2'(y) \end{vmatrix}}, \tag{21}$$

where for $k = 1, 2$

$$\tilde{\xi}_k(0) = \tilde{\xi}_k''(0) = \gamma'(0) = 0, \qquad \tilde{\xi}_k'(0) = \gamma(0) = 1. \tag{22}$$

Given the complexity of the differential equation (20) one may wonder whether (21) simplifies much further. In fact we find

Lemma 2 *The functional equation (21), and consequently (1), may be written as*

$$\partial\Big(\frac{\tilde{\xi}_1(x+y)}{\tilde{\xi}_1(x)\tilde{\xi}_1(y)}\Big)=\partial\Big(\frac{\tilde{\xi}_2(x+y)}{\tilde{\xi}_2(x)\tilde{\xi}_2(y)}\Big), \tag{23}$$

where $\partial=\partial_x-\partial_y$. *Further*

$$\tilde{\xi}_0(x)=\frac{\tilde{\xi}_2(x)}{\tilde{\xi}_1(x)}. \tag{24}$$

Proof. Taking the logarithmic derivative of (21) with respect to $\partial=\partial_x-\partial_y$ we obtain

$$0=\frac{\gamma'(x)}{\gamma(x)}-\frac{\gamma'(y)}{\gamma(y)}+\frac{\partial^2\left(\tilde{\xi}_1(x)\tilde{\xi}_1(y)\right)}{\partial\left(\tilde{\xi}_1(x)\tilde{\xi}_1(y)\right)}-\frac{\partial^2\left(\tilde{\xi}_2(x)\tilde{\xi}_2(y)\right)}{\partial\left(\tilde{\xi}_2(x)\tilde{\xi}_2(y)\right)}. \tag{25}$$

Now employing (22) one finds

$$\partial\left(\tilde{\xi}_k(x)\tilde{\xi}_k(y)\right)|_{y=0}=\tilde{\xi}_k'(x)\tilde{\xi}_k(y)-\tilde{\xi}_k(x)\tilde{\xi}_k'(y)|_{y=0}=-\tilde{\xi}_k(x)$$

and similarly

$$\partial^2\left(\tilde{\xi}_k(x)\tilde{\xi}_k(y)\right)|_{y=0}=-2\tilde{\xi}_k'(x).$$

Upon setting $y=0$ in (25) and with these simplifications we obtain the differential equation

$$0=\frac{\gamma'(x)}{\gamma(x)}+\frac{2\tilde{\xi}_1'(x)}{\tilde{\xi}_1(x)}-\frac{2\tilde{\xi}_2'(x)}{\tilde{\xi}_2(x)}$$

with solution

$$\gamma(x)=c\,\frac{\tilde{\xi}_2^2(x)}{\tilde{\xi}_1^2(x)}. \tag{26}$$

Again using l'Hôpital's rule and (22) we find the constant $c=1$. Therefore (21) may be rewritten as

$$\tilde{\xi}_0(x+y)=\frac{\tilde{\xi}_2^2(x)}{\tilde{\xi}_1^2(x)}\frac{\tilde{\xi}_2^2(y)}{\tilde{\xi}_1^2(y)}\frac{\begin{vmatrix}\tilde{\xi}_1(x) & \tilde{\xi}_1(y)\\ \tilde{\xi}_1'(x) & \tilde{\xi}_1'(y)\end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix}\tilde{\xi}_2(x) & \tilde{\xi}_2(y)\\ \tilde{\xi}_2'(x) & \tilde{\xi}_2'(y)\end{vmatrix}}=\frac{\begin{vmatrix}\frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}_1(x)} & \frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}_1(y)}\\ (\frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}_1(x)})' & (\frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}_1(y)})'\end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix}\frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}_2(x)} & \frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}_2(y)}\\ (\frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}_2(x)})' & (\frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}_2(y)})'\end{vmatrix}}=\frac{\partial(\frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}_1(x)\tilde{\xi}_1(y)})}{\partial(\frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}_2(x)\tilde{\xi}_2(y)})}. \tag{27}$$

Letting $y\to 0$ we find

$$\tilde{\xi}_0(x)=\frac{\tilde{\xi}_2(x)}{\tilde{\xi}_1(x)}$$

as required. Utilizing (24) we may immediately rewrite (27) in the stated form (23).

□

We observe that at this stage the symmetry (10) has enabled us to transform (1) into the form specified by theorem 1. The solution will follow once we show $1/\tilde{\xi}_k(x) = \Phi(x;\nu_k)$. Now (23) may be immediately integrated to give

$$\frac{\tilde{\xi}_1(x+y)}{\tilde{\xi}_1(x)\tilde{\xi}_1(y)} = \frac{\tilde{\xi}_2(x+y)}{\tilde{\xi}_2(x)\tilde{\xi}_2(y)} + \Theta(x+y).$$

Upon setting $\alpha(x) = \tilde{\xi}_2(x)/\tilde{\xi}_1(x)$ and $\psi(x) = \Theta(x)/\tilde{\xi}_2(x)$ this may be rearranged into the form

$$\frac{\alpha(x+y)}{\alpha(x)\alpha(y)} = 1 + \tilde{\xi}_2(x)\tilde{\xi}_2(y)\psi(x+y) \tag{28}$$

which is the functional equation studied by Bruschi and Calogero [4]. Calling upon the general analytic solution obtained by these authors, together with our initial conditions (22), we find[3] $1/\tilde{\xi}_k(x) = \Phi(x;\nu_k)$ as required.

5 Proof of Theorem 2

It is useful at the outset to gather together the various transformations introduced in the last section:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{2k}(x) \\ \tilde{\phi}_{2k+1}(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi'_{2k}(x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0) \\ \phi'_{2k+1}(x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{2k}(x+x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) \end{pmatrix} \tag{29}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\gamma_k(x)} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_k(x) \\ \xi'_k(x) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{e^{\lambda_k x}}{\gamma_k(x)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda_k & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_k(x) \\ \tilde{\xi}'_k(x) \end{pmatrix} \tag{30}$$

$$= \frac{e^{\lambda_k x}}{\gamma_k(x)\Phi^2(x;\nu_k)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda_k & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(x;\nu_k) \\ \Phi'(x;\nu_k) \end{pmatrix} \tag{31}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_0(x) = e^{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)x} \frac{\phi_1(x+2x_0)}{\phi_1(2x_0)} = \frac{\Phi(x;\nu_1)}{\Phi(x;\nu_2)}. \tag{32}$$

Let us introduce the function

$$f(x) = \gamma_k(x)\Phi^2(x;\nu_k)e^{-2\lambda_k x}. \tag{33}$$

[3] For example, from [4] we obtain $\tilde{\xi}_2(x) = Ae^{cx}\sigma(ax|\omega,\omega')/\sigma(ax+\nu|\omega,\omega')$. Using the property $\sigma(ax|a\omega,a\omega') = a\sigma(ax|\omega,\omega')$ and the definition of $\Phi(x;\nu)$ we may rewrite this as $\tilde{\xi}_2(x) = (A/\sigma(\nu/a))e^{(c-\zeta(\nu/a))x}/\Phi(z;-\nu/a)$. Now the $x \to 0$ limit shows $(A/\sigma(\nu/a))e^{(c-\zeta(\nu/a))x} = 1$.

Observe that (26) entails the function $f(x)$ is independent of k,

$$\gamma_1(x)\Phi^2(x;\nu_1)e^{-2\lambda_1 x} = \gamma_2(x)\Phi^2(x;\nu_k)e^{-2\lambda_k x}.$$

With this definition we may rewrite (29) and (31) to give

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix}\phi_{2k}(x+x_0)\\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0)\end{pmatrix} &= \frac{e^{-\lambda_k x}}{f(x)}\begin{pmatrix}\phi'_{2k}(x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0)\\ \phi'_{2k+1}(x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ \lambda_k & -1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi(x;\nu_k)\\ \Phi'(x;\nu_k)\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda_k x}}{f(x)}\begin{pmatrix}\begin{vmatrix}\Phi(x;\nu_k) & \phi_{2k}(x_0)\\ \Phi'(x;\nu_k) & \phi'_{2k}(x_0)+\lambda_k\phi_{2k}(x_0)\end{vmatrix}\\ \begin{vmatrix}\Phi(x;\nu_k) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\\ \Phi'(x;\nu_k) & \phi'_{2k+1}(x_0)+\lambda_k\phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\end{vmatrix}\end{pmatrix}\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix}\Phi(x;\nu_k)\\ \Phi'(x;\nu_k)\end{pmatrix} &= f(x)e^{\lambda_k x}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ \lambda_k & -1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\phi'_{2k}(x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0)\\ \phi'_{2k+1}(x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\end{pmatrix}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\phi_{2k}(x+x_0)\\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0)\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{f(x)e^{\lambda_k x}}{\begin{vmatrix}\phi'_{2k}(x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0)\\ \phi'_{2k+1}(x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\end{vmatrix}}\begin{pmatrix}\begin{vmatrix}\phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0)\\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\end{vmatrix}\\ \begin{vmatrix}\phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi'_{2k}(x_0)+\lambda_k\phi_{2k}(x_0)\\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi'_{2k+1}(x_0)+\lambda_k\phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\end{vmatrix}\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Now (32) and (34) are of the form stated in theorem 2 provided we can show $f(x)$, defined in (33), can also be put into the form of the theorem. To see this note that (29) shows

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\phi}_{2k}(x) &= \frac{\phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\phi_{2k}(x+x_0)-\phi_{2k}(x_0)\phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0)}{\phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\phi'_{2k}(x_0)-\phi_{2k}(x_0)\phi'_{2k+1}(x_0)} \\ &= \frac{\begin{vmatrix}\phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0)\\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix}\phi'_{2k}(x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0)\\ \phi'_{2k+1}(x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\end{vmatrix}}\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

while from (31) we see

$$\gamma_k(x) = \frac{e^{\lambda_k x}}{\Phi(x;\nu_k)\tilde{\phi}_{2k}(x)}. \tag{37}$$

Combining these thus shows

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda_k x}}{\Phi(x;\nu_k)}\frac{\begin{vmatrix}\phi'_{2k}(x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0)\\ \phi'_{2k+1}(x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix}\phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0)\\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\end{vmatrix}} \tag{38}$$

as required. Also from (36) and the definition $\lambda_k = -\tilde{\phi}''_{2k}(0)/2$ we find

$$-2\lambda_k = \frac{\phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\phi''_{2k}(x_0) - \phi_{2k}(x_0)\phi''_{2k+1}(x_0)}{\phi_{2k+1}(x_0)\phi'_{2k}(x_0) - \phi_{2k}(x_0)\phi'_{2k+1}(x_0)} \tag{39}$$

$$= \partial_x \ln \left| \begin{matrix} \phi'_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0) \\ \phi'_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0) \end{matrix} \right|_{x=0}. \tag{40}$$

At this stage then we see that if we can determine $\Phi(x;\nu_k)$ all of the terms in (29-32) are determined and we obtain the stated expressions for $\phi_1(x)$, $\phi_2(x)$, $\phi_3(x)$, $\phi_4(x)$, $\phi_5(x)$ and $f(x)$ given in theorem 2. It remains therefore to determine the parameters g_2, g_3 specifying the elliptic functions $\Phi(x;\nu_k)$ as well as ν_1, ν_2. To this end we utilise (35) to give

$$\frac{\Phi'(x;\nu_k)}{\Phi(x;\nu_k)} - \lambda_k = \frac{\left| \begin{matrix} \phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi'_{2k}(x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi'_{2k+1}(x_0) \end{matrix} \right|}{\left| \begin{matrix} \phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(x_0) \end{matrix} \right|}$$

$$= \partial_y \ln \left| \begin{matrix} \phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(y+x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(y+x_0) \end{matrix} \right| \Bigg|_{y=0}.$$

Upon using (14) to simplify the left-hand side of this equality we thus obtain the first equality of theorem 2,

$$\partial_y \ln \left| \begin{matrix} \phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(y+x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(y+x_0) \end{matrix} \right| \Bigg|_{y=0} = \zeta(\nu_k) - \zeta(x) - \zeta(\nu_k - x) - \lambda_k, \tag{41}$$

and consequently

$$\partial_x \partial_y \ln \left| \begin{matrix} \phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(y+x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(y+x_0) \end{matrix} \right|_{y=0} = \wp(x) - \wp(\nu_k - x). \tag{42}$$

In fact we have the more general result

$$\partial_x \partial_y \ln \left| \begin{matrix} \phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(y+x_0) \\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(y+x_0) \end{matrix} \right| = \partial_x \partial_y \ln \left| \begin{matrix} \Phi(x;\nu_k) & \Phi(y;\nu_k) \\ \Phi'(x;\nu_k) & \Phi'(y;\nu_k) \end{matrix} \right|$$

$$= \partial_x \partial_y \ln \Big[\Phi(x+y;\nu_k) \Big(\wp(x) - \wp(y) \Big) \Big]$$

$$= \wp(x+y) - \wp(\nu_k - x - y) + \frac{\wp'(x)\wp'(y)}{\Big(\wp(x) - \wp(y) \Big)^2}$$

from which (42) arises as the $y \to 0$ limit.

It remains to how that the Laurent series of (41) (or equivalently of (42)) determines the parameters of $\Phi(x;\nu_k)$. Set

$$\zeta(\nu_k)-\zeta(x)-\zeta(\nu_k-x)-\lambda_k=-\frac{1}{x}-\lambda_k+\sum_{l=0}F_l\,\frac{x^{l+1}}{(l+1)!} \tag{43}$$

or equivalently

$$\wp(x)-\wp(\nu_k-x)=\frac{1}{x^2}+\sum_{l=0}\frac{F_l}{l!}\,x^l. \tag{44}$$

While the coefficients F_l in these expansions depend on $k=1,2$ we will avoid including this in our notation: certainly the combinations of these coefficients giving g_2 and g_3 are independent of k. Now the left-hand side of (43) has the expansion

$$-\frac{1}{x}-\lambda_k-\wp(\nu_k)\,x+\wp'(\nu_k)\,\frac{x^2}{2}+(2c_2-\wp''(\nu_k))\frac{x^3}{3!}+\dots$$

while that of (44) begins

$$\frac{1}{x^2}+c_2\,x^2+c_3\,x^4+\dots-\Big\{\wp(\nu_k)-x\wp'(\nu_k)+\frac{x^2}{2}\wp''(\nu_k)+\dots\Big\}$$

From either of these we see

$$F_0=-\wp(\nu_k),\quad F_1=\wp'(\nu_k),\quad F_2=2c_2-\wp''(\nu_k),$$

whereupon utilising (67) we obtain

$$c_2=\frac{F_2+6F_0^2}{12}=\frac{g_2}{20}\quad\text{and}\quad g_3=6F_0^3-F_1^2+\frac{5}{3}F_0F_2. \tag{45}$$

Thus, as stated in Theorem 2, we may obtain the parameters of the elliptic functions from the Laurent expansion (43) for either choice of k, the combinations of the coefficients in (45) being independent of k. The constant terms in the two expansions the determine ν_1, ν_2 via $F_0=-\wp(\nu_k;g_2,g_3)$.

We now have now established all of Theorem 2. It is perhaps useful to conclude the section with a lemma that implements the theorem.

Lemma 3 *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x\partial_y\ln\begin{vmatrix}\phi_{2k}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k}(y+x_0)\\ \phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0) & \phi_{2k+1}(y+x_0)\end{vmatrix}_{y=0} &= -\frac{1}{x}-\lambda_k+\sum_{l=0}F_l\,\frac{x^{l+1}}{(l+1)!}\\ &= \frac{h'(0)h'(x)}{(h(x)-h(0))^2}\end{aligned}$$

where $h(x) = \dfrac{\phi_{2k}(x+x_0)}{\phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0)}$. *Set* $h_k = \dfrac{h^{(k+1)}(0)}{(k+1)!\,h'(0)}$. *Then*

$$F_0 = -\begin{vmatrix} h_1 & 1 \\ h_2 & h_1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad F_1 = 2\begin{vmatrix} h_1 & 1 & 0 \\ h_2 & h_1 & 1 \\ h_3 & h_2 & h_1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad F_2 = -6\begin{vmatrix} h_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ h_2 & h_1 & 1 & 0 \\ h_3 & h_2 & h_1 & 1 \\ h_4 & h_3 & h_2 & h_1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

6 Examples

We shall now consider the classical addition theorems of the Jacobi elliptic functions and then the functional equations (4) and (7) as examples of our theory. We have collected several standard results pertaining to elliptic functions that are of use in our computations in Appendix A.

Example 1. As a first application of our theory we consider the addition theorems for the Jacobi elliptic functions $\mathrm{dn}(x)$, $\mathrm{cn}(x)$ and $\mathrm{sn}(x)$ where $\mathrm{dn}(x) \equiv \mathrm{dn}(x|m)$ and so on. These may be cast in the form of (1) as

$$\mathrm{dn}(x+y) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{cn}'(x) & \mathrm{cn}'(y) \\ \mathrm{cn}(x) & \mathrm{cn}(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{sn}'(x) & \mathrm{sn}'(y) \\ \mathrm{sn}(x) & \mathrm{sn}(y) \end{vmatrix}} \quad \text{(Jacobi)}, \qquad \mathrm{cn}(x+y) = \frac{1}{k^2}\frac{\begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{dn}'(x) & \mathrm{dn}'(y) \\ \mathrm{dn}(x) & \mathrm{dn}(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{sn}'(x) & \mathrm{sn}'(y) \\ \mathrm{sn}(x) & \mathrm{sn}(y) \end{vmatrix}}$$

and

$$\mathrm{sn}(x+y) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \mathrm{sn}^2(x) & \mathrm{sn}^2(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{sn}'(x) & \mathrm{sn}'(y) \\ \mathrm{sn}(x) & \mathrm{sn}(y) \end{vmatrix}} \quad \text{(Cayley)}.$$

Let us now apply our theorem to the first equality. The first step is to choose an appropriate generic point x_0. This means we wish x_0 to be a regular point for $\mathrm{cn}(x)$, $\mathrm{sn}(x)$ and $\mathrm{dn}(2x)$ as well as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{cn}'(x_0) & \mathrm{cn}''(x_0) \\ \mathrm{cn}(x_0) & \mathrm{cn}'(x_0) \end{vmatrix} = 1 - m + m\ \mathrm{cn}^4(x_0), \\ 0 &\neq \begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{sn}'(x_0) & \mathrm{sn}''(x_0) \\ \mathrm{sn}(x_0) & \mathrm{sn}'(x_0) \end{vmatrix} = 1 - m\ \mathrm{sn}^4(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can take $x_0 = 0$ for this example.

Using (39) we find

$$-2\lambda_1 = \partial_x \ln \mathrm{cn}'(x)\big|_{x=0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -2\lambda_2 = \partial_x \ln \mathrm{sn}'(x)\big|_{x=0} = 0.$$

Further, with $h(x) = \phi_2(x)/\phi_3(x) = \mathrm{cn}'(x)/\,\mathrm{cn}(x)$ we obtain

$$F(x) = \frac{1 - m + m\ \mathrm{cn}^4(x)}{\mathrm{sn}^2(x)\,\mathrm{dn}^2(x)} = \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1-2m}{3} + \frac{1 + 14m - 14m^2}{15}x^2 + \ldots$$

while with $h(x) = \phi_4(x)/\phi_5(x) = \mathrm{sn}'(x)/\,\mathrm{sn}(x)$ we obtain

$$F(x) = \frac{1 - m\ \mathrm{sn}^4(x)}{\mathrm{sn}^2(x)} = \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1+m}{3} + \frac{1 - 16m + m^2}{15}x^2 + \ldots$$

In both cases we find

$$g_2 = \frac{4}{3}(1 - m + m^2) \quad \text{and} \quad g_3 = \frac{4}{27}(m-2)(2m-1)(m+1),$$

(the required equality providing a nontrivial check) which means

$$e_1 = \frac{2-m}{3}, \quad e_2 = \frac{2m-1}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad e_3 = \frac{-1-m}{3}.$$

Further,

$$\wp(\nu_1) = \frac{2m-1}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \wp(\nu_2) = \frac{-1-m}{3}.$$

Comparison with (70) and (72) shows $\omega = K(m)$, $\omega' = iK'(m)$, $\nu_1 = K(m) + iK'(m)$ and $\nu_2 = K'(m)$. We may also calculate $f(x) = 1/\,\mathrm{sn}^2(x)$ and upon using (76) our identity may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{dn}(x+y) &= \frac{\Phi(x+y; K(m) + iK'(m))}{\Phi(x+y; iK'(m))} \\
&= \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \Phi(x; K(m)+iK'(m)) & \Phi(y; K(m)+iK'(m)) \\ \Phi(x; K(m)+iK'(m))' & \Phi(y; K(m)+iK'(m))' \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \Phi(x; iK'(m)) & \Phi(y; iK'(m)) \\ \Phi(x; iK'(m))' & \Phi(y; iK'(m))' \end{vmatrix}} \\
&= \frac{\frac{1}{\mathrm{sn}^2(x)} \begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{cn}'(x) & \mathrm{cn}'(y) \\ \mathrm{cn}(x) & \mathrm{cn}(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{sn}^2(x)} \begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{sn}'(x) & \mathrm{sn}'(y) \\ \mathrm{sn}(x) & \mathrm{sn}(y) \end{vmatrix}}
\end{aligned}$$

The second identity may be treated in the same manner yielding $\nu_1 = K(m)$ and $\nu_2 = K'(m)$. The third identity is a little different. It may be rewritten as

$$\Phi(x+y; iK'(m)) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{sn}(x+y)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\mathrm{sn}^2(x)} \begin{vmatrix} \mathrm{sn}'(x) & \mathrm{sn}'(y) \\ \mathrm{sn}(x) & \mathrm{sn}(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{sn}^2(x)} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \mathrm{sn}^2(x) & \mathrm{sn}^2(y) \end{vmatrix}}$$

$$= \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \Phi(x;iK'(m)) & \Phi(y;iK'(m)) \\ \Phi(x;iK'(m))' & \Phi(y;iK'(m))' \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \Phi^2(x;iK'(m)) & \Phi^2(y;iK'(m)) \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}}.$$

Now

$$\Phi^2(x;iK'(m)) - \Phi^2(y;iK'(m)) = \wp(x) - \wp(y)$$

and the required identity follows from the general solution by the limiting procedure described in section 3.

Example 2. We shall now determine the general analytic solution of

$$\phi_1(x+y) = \phi_4(x)\phi_5(y) + \phi_4(y)\phi_5(x) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_2(x) & \phi_2(y) \\ \phi_3(x) & \phi_3(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_4(x) & \phi_4(y) \\ \phi_5(x) & \phi_5(y) \end{vmatrix}},$$

where $\phi_2(x) = \phi_4^2(x)$, $\phi_3(x) = \phi_5^2(x)$. The particular case $\phi_1(x) = \phi_4(x)$ was treated in [8].

Suppose x_0 is a generic point. Then from

$$0 \neq \begin{vmatrix} \phi_2(x_0) & \phi_2'(x_0) \\ \phi_3(x_0) & \phi_3'(x_0) \end{vmatrix} = 2\phi_4(x_0)\phi_5(x_0) \begin{vmatrix} \phi_4(x_0) & \phi_4'(x_0) \\ \phi_5(x_0) & \phi_5'(x_0) \end{vmatrix}$$

we see $\phi_4(x_0) \neq 0$, $\phi_5(x_0) \neq 0$ and $\phi_1(2x_0) = 2\phi_4(x_0)\phi_5(x_0) \neq 0$. Further, from (39), we find

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\phi_4'(x_0)}{\phi_4(x_0)} + \frac{\phi_5'(x_0)}{\phi_5(x_0)}\right). \tag{46}$$

Our strategy is as follows. We will first determine ν_1, ν_2, λ_1, λ_2, the parameters describing the elliptic functions and the ratio $\phi_4(x+x_0)\phi_5(x_0)/\phi_5(x+x_0)\phi_4(x_0)$. Then from

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(x+2x_0) &= \phi_4(x+x_0)\phi_5(x_0) + \phi_4(x_0)\phi_5(x+x_0) \\ &= \phi_4(x+x_0)\phi_5(x_0)\left(1 + \frac{\phi_5(x+x_0)\phi_4(x_0)}{\phi_4(x+x_0)\phi_5(x_0)}\right) \\ &= e^{(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)x}\,\frac{\Phi(x;\nu_1)}{\Phi(x;\nu_2)}\phi_1(2x_0) \end{aligned}$$

we will obtain

$$\phi_4(x+x_0) = \frac{2\phi_4(x_0)e^{(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)x}}{1 + \phi_5(x+x_0)\phi_4(x_0)/\phi_4(x+x_0)\phi_5(x_0)}\frac{\Phi(x;\nu_1)}{\Phi(x;\nu_2)} \tag{47}$$

with $\phi_5(x+x_0)$ immediately following.

Now from (34) we obtain

$$\frac{\phi_{2k}(x+x_0)}{\phi_{2k+1}(x+x_0)}\frac{\phi_{2k+1}(x_0)}{\phi_{2k}(x_0)} = 1 + \frac{N_k}{D_k} \tag{48}$$

where

$$N_k = \frac{\phi'_{2k}(x_0)}{\phi_{2k}(x_0)} - \frac{\phi'_{2k+1}(x_0)}{\phi_{2k+1}(x_0)} \qquad D_k = \frac{\phi'_{2k+1}(x_0)}{\phi_{2k+1}(x_0)} + \lambda_k - \frac{\Phi'(x;\nu_k)}{\Phi(x;\nu_k)}$$

Here $N_1 = 2N_2$ and by our assumption that x_0 was a generic point these are non vanishing. Further, from $\phi_2(x) = \phi_4^2(x)$ and $\phi_3(x) = \phi_5^2(x)$, we see that

$$1 + N_1/D_1 = (1 + N_2/D_2)^2 .$$

Expanding this shows $D_2^2 = (D_2 + N_2/2)D_1$ which upon using (46) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\phi'_5(x_0)}{\phi_5(x_0)} + \lambda_2 - \frac{\Phi'(x;\nu_2)}{\Phi(x;\nu_2)}\right)^2 \;=\; & \left(\frac{\phi'_5(x_0)}{\phi_5(x_0)} + \lambda_2 + \frac{N_2}{2} - \frac{\Phi'(x;\nu_2)}{\Phi(x;\nu_2)}\right) \\ & \left(\frac{\phi'_5(x_0)}{\phi_5(x_0)} + \lambda_2 - \frac{N_2}{2} - \frac{\Phi'(x;\nu_1)}{\Phi(x;\nu_1)}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Suppose that ν_2 is finite. Comparing the pole behaviour of each side of (50) shows that $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ and consequently that $N_2 = 0$, a contradiction. The remaining possibility is that ν_2 is infinite which we now show to be a consistent solution. This can only happen if the elliptic function degenerates into a hyperbolic or trigonometric function and without loss of generality we choose the former. In this case

$$\Phi(x;\nu) = \frac{\kappa \sinh \kappa(\nu - x)}{\sinh \kappa\nu \sinh \kappa x} e^{x\kappa \coth \kappa\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x;\infty) = \frac{\kappa}{\sinh \kappa x}. \tag{50}$$

Let us the suppose $\nu_2 = \infty$. Utilising (77) and (78) we then must solve

$$\left(\frac{\phi'_5(x_0)}{\phi_5(x_0)} + \lambda_2 + \kappa \coth \kappa x\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\phi'_5(x_0)}{\phi_5(x_0)} + \lambda_2 + \frac{N_2}{2} + \kappa \coth \kappa x\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{\phi'_5(x_0)}{\phi_5(x_0)} + \lambda_2 - \frac{N_2}{2} + \kappa \coth \kappa(\nu_1 - x) + \kappa \coth \kappa x - \kappa \coth \kappa\nu_1\right).$$

This holds provided

$$N_2^2 = \frac{4\kappa^2}{\sinh \kappa\nu_1}, \qquad \frac{\phi'_5(x_0)}{\phi_5(x_0)} + \lambda_2 + \frac{N_2}{2} + \kappa \coth \kappa\nu_1 = 0$$

which determines ν_1, λ_2 and (via (46)) λ_1 in terms of $\phi_4(x_0)$, $\phi_5(x_0)$, $\phi_4'(x_0)$ and $\phi_5'(x_0)$. The choice of sign in taking the square-root here is arbitrary (just defining ν_1) and we will take $N_2 = -2\kappa/\sinh\kappa\nu_1$. Substituting these into (48) we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\phi_4(x+x_0)}{\phi_5(x+x_0)}\frac{\phi_5(x_0)}{\phi_4(x_0)} &= \frac{\kappa\coth\kappa x - \kappa\,\coth\kappa\nu_1 + N_2/2}{\kappa\coth\kappa x - \kappa\,\coth\kappa\nu_1 - N_2/2}\\ &= \coth(\kappa\nu_1/2)\tanh\kappa(\nu_1/2-x).\end{aligned}$$

Now employing (50) shows

$$\phi_1(x+2x_0) = e^{(\lambda_2-\lambda_1+\kappa\coth\kappa\nu_1)x}\,\frac{\sinh\kappa(\nu_1-x)}{\sinh\kappa\nu_1}\,\phi_1(2x_0) \tag{51}$$

where the exponential may be rewritten to yield

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_2-\lambda_1+\kappa\coth\kappa\nu_1 &= \frac{\phi_4'(x_0)}{\phi_4(x_0)} - \frac{N_2}{2} + \kappa\coth\kappa\nu_1 = \frac{\phi_4'(x_0)}{\phi_4(x_0)} + \kappa\coth(\kappa\nu_1/2)\\ &= \frac{\phi_5'(x_0)}{\phi_5(x_0)} + \frac{N_2}{2} + \kappa\coth\kappa\nu_1 = \frac{\phi_5'(x_0)}{\phi_5(x_0)} + \kappa\tanh(\kappa\nu_1/2).\end{aligned}$$

We now have the information needed to determine $\phi_4(x)$ and $\phi_5(x)$ via (47) which gives

$$\phi_4(x+x_0) = \frac{\sinh\kappa(\nu_1/2-x)}{\sinh(\kappa\nu_1/2)}e^{\left(\phi_4'(x_0)/\phi_4(x_0)+\kappa\coth(\kappa\nu_1/2)\right)x}\,\phi_4(x_0) \tag{52}$$

$$\phi_5(x+x_0) = \frac{\cosh\kappa(\nu_1/2-x)}{\cosh(\kappa\nu_1/2)}e^{\left(\phi_5'(x_0)/\phi_5(x_0)+\kappa\tanh(\kappa\nu_1/2)\right)x}\,\phi_5(x_0) \tag{53}$$

Assembling this provides

Lemma 4 *The general analytic solution to (4) is given by (51), (52) and (53), where x_0 is a generic point.*

Example 3. We conclude by showing how our results determine the solutions of the functional equation (7):

$$\Psi_1(x+y) = \Psi_2(x+y)\phi_2(x)\phi_3(y) + \Psi_3(x+y)\phi_4(x)\phi_5(y).$$

This equation encompasses as particular cases the equations (4) (with $\Psi_2 = \Psi_3 = 1$, $\phi_2(x) = \phi_4(x)$ and $\phi_3(x) = \phi_5(x)$) and (6) (with ($\phi_2(x) = \phi_3(x)$ and $\phi_4(x) = \phi_5(x)$) which have already been discussed. Because of this we will only consider the generic case $\phi_2(x) \neq \lambda\phi_3(x)$, $\phi_4(x) \neq \gamma\phi_5(x)$ and $\Psi_2(x) \neq \delta\Psi_3(x)$ (where λ, γ, δ are constants) rather than these limits. Our first step is to relate (7) to (1):

Lemma 5 *The functions $\Psi_m(x)$ $(m = 1, 2, 3)$ and $\phi_n(x)$ $(n = 2, 3, 4, 5)$ give a solution of equation (7) if and only if*

$$\frac{\Psi_3(x+y)}{\Psi_2(x+y)} = -\frac{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_2(x) & \phi_2(y) \\ \phi_3(x) & \phi_3(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_4(x) & \phi_4(y) \\ \phi_5(x) & \phi_5(y) \end{vmatrix}} \tag{54}$$

and

$$\frac{\Psi_1(x+y)}{\Psi_2(x+y)} = -\frac{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_2(x)\phi_5(x) & \phi_2(y)\phi_5(y) \\ \phi_3(x)\phi_4(x) & \phi_3(y)\phi_4(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \phi_4(x) & \phi_4(y) \\ \phi_5(x) & \phi_5(y) \end{vmatrix}} \tag{55}$$

Proof. Assume first that the functions Ψ_m, ϕ_n give a solution of equation (7). Then after interchanging x and y in (7) and subtracting the result from (7) we obtain equation (54). Upon substituting the formula for $\Psi_3(x+y)/\Psi_2(x+y)$ into (7) we arrive the formula (55).

In the other direction, let the functions Ψ_m, ϕ_n now satisfy (54) and (55). Upon writing the right hand side of (7) as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2(x+y)\phi_2(x)\phi_3(y) + \Psi_3(x+y)\phi_4(x)\phi_5(y) = \\ \Psi_2(x+y)\left(\phi_2(x)\phi_3(y) + \tfrac{\Psi_3(x+y)}{\Psi_2(x+y)}\phi_4(x)\phi_5(y)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

and using expression (54) for $\Psi_3(x+y)/\Psi_2(x+y)$ we find the term in brackets in (56) rearranges to give precisely the right hand side of (55); substituting for this then yields (7) and therefore the required solution. □

We may now apply theorem 1 to show that if $\Psi_m(x)$ $(m = 1, 2, 3)$ give a solution of (7) then we must have the ratios

$$\frac{\Psi_1(x)}{\Psi_2(x)} = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 x}\frac{\Phi(x;\mu_1)}{\Phi(x;\mu_2)}, \quad \frac{\Psi_3(x)}{\Psi_2(x)} = c_2 e^{\lambda_2 x}\frac{\Phi(x;\mu_3)}{\Phi(x;\mu_4)}. \tag{57}$$

Further, because the denominators of (54) and (55) are the same, theorem 2 shows that $\mu_4 = \mu_2$. Theorem 1 also determines the functions $\phi_n(x)$ $(n = 2, 3, 4, 5)$ up to a $\mathcal{G}$ action. In fact, given three functions $\Psi_m(x)$ $(m = 1, 2, 3)$ whose ratios satisfy (57) with $\mu_4 = \mu_2$, this is also sufficient to guarantee there are functions $\phi_n(x)$ $(n = 2, 3, 4, 5)$ for which (7) holds true. To see this let us substitute these ratios into equation (7) to give

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 e^{\lambda_1(x+y)}\Phi(x+y;\mu_1) = \Phi(x+y;\mu_2)\phi_2(x)\phi_3(y) + \\ c_2 e^{\lambda_2(x+y)}\Phi(x+y;\mu_3)\phi_4(x)\phi_5(y). \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

We will have established sufficiency once we have shown how to construct the functions $\phi_n(x)$.This will be achieved utilizing various properties of the functions $\Phi(x;\nu)$.

Lemma 6 *The Baker-Akhiezer functions $\Phi(x;\nu)$ satisfy the equations*

$$\Phi(x+\alpha;\nu) = -e^{(\zeta(\alpha-\nu)+\zeta(\nu)-\zeta(\alpha))x}\Phi(\alpha;\nu)\ \frac{\Phi(x;\nu-\alpha)}{\Phi(-x;\alpha)} \tag{59}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ce^{\gamma(x+y)}\,\Phi(x+y;\nu_1+\nu_2) = \Phi(x+y;\nu_1)\,\Phi(x;\nu_2)\,\Phi(y;\nu_2) - \\ \Phi(x+y;\nu_2)\,\Phi(x;\nu_1)\,\Phi(y;\nu_1), \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where $c=\wp(\nu_2)-\wp(\nu_1)$ and $\gamma=\zeta(\nu_1)+\zeta(\nu_2)-\zeta(\nu_1+\nu_2)$.

These follow directly from the definition of $\Phi(x;\nu)$ and properties of the Weierstrass sigma function; in particular (60) is a consequence of the 'three term relation' of the sigma function ([23, 20.53, *Ex:5*]).

Upon setting $x\to x+\alpha$ in (60) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ce^{\gamma(x+y+\alpha)}\Phi(x+y+\alpha;\nu_1+\nu_2) \ &= \Phi(x+y+\alpha;\nu_1)\Phi(x+\alpha;\nu_2)\Phi(y;\nu_2) \\ &\quad -\Phi(x+y+\alpha;\nu_2)\Phi(x+\alpha;\nu_1)\Phi(y;\nu_1) \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Now by substituting (59) in (61) and setting $\mu_1=\nu_1+\nu_2-\alpha$, $\mu_2=\nu_1-\alpha$ and $\mu_3=\nu_2-\alpha$ one obtains after some rearrangement

$$\begin{aligned} c'e^{\lambda'(x+y)}\Phi(x+y;\mu_1) = \ & \Phi(x+y;\mu_2)\tfrac{\Phi(x;\mu_3)}{\Phi(-x;\mu_1-\mu_2-\mu_3)}\Phi(y;\mu_1-\mu_2)+ \\ & c''e^{\lambda''(x+y)}\Phi(x+y;\mu_3)\tfrac{\Phi(x;\mu_2)}{\Phi(-x;\mu_1-\mu_2-\mu_3)}\Phi(y;\mu_1-\mu_3) \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

for appropriate constants $c',c'',\lambda',\lambda''$. This is precisely of the desired form (58). Therefore we have shown

Theorem 3 *Given functions $\Psi_m(x)$ $(m=1,2,3)$, there are functions $\phi_n(x)$ $(n=2,3,4,5)$ for which the functional equation (7) is true if and only if the following ratios take place:*

$$\frac{\Psi_1(x)}{\Psi_2(x)} = c_1e^{\lambda_1 x}\frac{\Phi(x;\mu_1)}{\Phi(x;\mu_2)}, \quad \frac{\Psi_3(x)}{\Psi_2(x)} = c_2e^{\lambda_2 x}\frac{\Phi(x;\mu_3)}{\Phi(x;\mu_2)}, \tag{63}$$

where c_m,λ_m, $(m=1,2)$ and μ_n, $(n=1,2,3)$ are free parameters.

Acknowledgements: One of us (V.M.B.) thanks the Royal Society for a Kapitza Fellowship in 1993 and the EPSRC for a Visiting Fellowship in $1994-5$ over which time this paper developed.

A Elliptic Functions

A.1 The Weierstrass elliptic functions:

The Weierstrass elliptic functions are based on a lattice with periods 2ω and $2\omega'$, where $\Im(\omega'/\omega) > 0$. They satisfy the homogeneity relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(tx|t\omega, t\omega') &= t\sigma(x|\omega,\omega'), \quad \zeta(tx|t\omega,t\omega') = t^{-1}\zeta(x|\omega,\omega'), && (64)\\ \wp(tx|t\omega,t\omega') &= t^{-2}\wp(x|\omega,\omega'). && (65) \end{aligned}$$

Here $\zeta(x) = (\ln\sigma(x))'$ and $\wp(x) = -\zeta'(x)$. These homogeneity relations mean our function $\Phi(x;\nu) \equiv \Phi(x;\nu|\omega,\omega')$ satisfies

$$\Phi(tx;t\nu|t\omega,t\omega') = t^{-1}\Phi(x;\nu|\omega,\omega').$$

The parameters g_2 and g_3 alternately used to describe the elliptic function are given by

$$g_2 = 60\sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}{}' \Omega^{-4}, \qquad g_3 = 140\sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}{}' \Omega^{-6},$$

where $\Omega = 2m\omega + 2n\omega'$.

The Weierstrass $\wp$-function, $\wp(x) \equiv \wp(x|\omega,\omega') = \wp(x;g_2,g_3)$, satisfies the differential equation

$$\wp'^2(x) = 4\wp^3(x) - g_2\wp(x) - g_3 = 4\Big(\wp^3(x) - 5c_2\wp(x) - 7c_3\Big) \qquad (66)$$

where $c_2 = \frac{g_2}{20}$ and $c_3 = \frac{g_3}{28}$. This means

$$\wp''(x) = 6\wp^2(x) - 10c_2. \qquad (67)$$

The terms c_k $(k \geq 4)$ in the Laurent expansion of the Weierstrass $\wp$ function,

$$\wp(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} + \sum_{l=2} c_l\, x^{2l-2}, \qquad (68)$$

are expressible in terms of c_2 and c_3.

If $e_i \equiv e_i(\omega,\omega')$ $(i = 1,2,3)$ denote the roots of the cubic

$$4x^3 - g_2x - g_3 = 0, \qquad (69)$$

whence

$$\wp'^2(x) = 4(\wp(x) - e_1)(\wp(x) - e_2)(\wp(x) - e_3),$$

the half-periods ω_i are defined by

$$\wp(\omega_i) = e_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_1 = \omega, \quad \omega_2 = \omega + \omega', \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_3 = \omega'.$$

Clearly

$$e_i(t\omega, t\omega') = t^{-2} e_i(\omega, \omega'). \tag{70}$$

Assuming that g_2 and g_3 are real, and that the discriminant of (69) is positive (the case of interest in this paper) the e_i's are real and may be ordered $e_1 \geq e_2 \geq e_3$.

A.2 The Jacobi elliptic functions:

The Jacobi elliptic functions are characterised by a parameter m. Thus for example $\mathrm{sn}(x) \equiv \mathrm{sn}(x|m)$ has periods $4K(m)$ and $2iK'(m)$, where $K(m)$ is the complete elliptic function of the first kind. The e_i's are related to the parameter m of the Jacobi elliptic functions by

$$e_1 = \frac{2-m}{3}\frac{K^2(m)}{\omega^2}, \quad e_2 = \frac{2m-1}{3}\frac{K^2(m)}{\omega^2} \quad \text{and} \quad e_3 = \frac{-1-m}{3}\frac{K^2(m)}{\omega^2}. \tag{71}$$

Thus

$$g_2 = \frac{4}{3}(1-m+m^2)\frac{K^4(m)}{\omega^4}, \qquad g_3 = \frac{4}{27}(m-2)(2m-1)(m+1)\frac{K^6(m)}{\omega^6}. \tag{72}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega}{\omega'} = \frac{iK'(m)}{K(m)}, \qquad \omega = \frac{K(m)}{\sqrt{e_1 - e_3}}. \tag{73}$$

We find

$$\Phi(x;\omega') = \frac{a}{\mathrm{sn}(a\,x)}, \quad \Phi(x;\omega) = a\,\frac{\mathrm{cn}(a\,x)}{\mathrm{sn}(a\,x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x;\omega+\omega') = a\,\frac{\mathrm{dn}(a\,x)}{\mathrm{sn}(a\,x)}.$$

Here $a = \sqrt{e_1 - e_3}$ converts the periods of Φ based on ω, ω' to those of the Jacobi functions based on $K(m)$, $iK'(m)$. Equally we may write this as

$$\Phi(x; t\omega'|t\omega, t\omega') = \frac{1}{\mathrm{sn}(x|m)}, \quad \text{with} \quad t = \sqrt{e_1 - e_3} = \frac{K(m)}{\omega}. \tag{74}$$

Thus, with these periods in mind, we write

$$\Phi(x; iK'(m)) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{sn}(x)}, \quad \Phi(x; K(m) + iK'(m)) = \frac{\mathrm{dn}(x)}{\mathrm{sn}(x)} \tag{75}$$

$$\Phi(x; K(m)) = \frac{\mathrm{cn}(x)}{\mathrm{sn}(x)} \tag{76}$$

A.3 Degenerations:

When the discriminant of (69) vanishes one (or both) of the periods of the elliptic function vanishes yielding hyperbolic, trigonometric (or rational) functions. If $e_1 = e_2 = c$, $e_3 = -2c$ (and so $g_2 = 12c^2$, $g_3 = -8c^3$) we then have

$$\sigma(x; 12c^2, -8c^3) = \frac{\sinh \kappa x}{\kappa} e^{-\kappa^2 x^2/6} \quad \text{and} \quad \wp(x; 12c^2, -8c^3) = \frac{\kappa^2}{3} + \frac{\kappa^2}{\sinh^2 \kappa x}$$

where $\kappa = \sqrt{3c}$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x;\nu) &= \frac{\kappa \sinh \kappa(\nu - x)}{\sinh \kappa\nu \sinh \kappa x} e^{x\kappa \coth \kappa\nu} = \kappa \left(\coth \kappa x - \coth \kappa\nu\right) e^{x\kappa \coth \kappa\nu} \\ \Phi'(x;\nu) &= -\kappa\, \Phi(x;\nu) \left(\coth \kappa(\nu - x) + \coth \kappa x - \coth \kappa\nu\right). \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

In particular

$$\Phi(x;\infty) = \frac{\kappa}{\sinh \kappa x}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Phi'(x;\infty)}{\Phi(x;\infty)} = -\kappa \coth \kappa x. \tag{78}$$

References

[1] H. Airault, H. McKean, J. Moser, *Rational and elliptic solutions of the KdV equation and related many-body problems*, Comm. Pure Appl.Math. 30, 95-125 (1977)

[2] H.W. Braden and V.M. Buchstaber, *Integrable Systems with Pairwise Interactions and Functional Equations*, hep-th/9411240.

[3] M. Bruschi and F. Calogero, *The Lax Representation for an Integrable Class Of Relativistic Dynamical Systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. 109, 481-492 (1987).

[4] M. Bruschi and F. Calogero, *General Analytic Solution of Certain Functional Equations of Addition Type*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 21, 1019-1030 (1990).

[5] V.M. Buchstaber, *Functional equations which are associated with addition theorems for elliptic function and two valued algebraic groups*, Uspekhi Mat.Nauk 45(3), 185-186 (1990).

[6] V.M. Buchstaber, MPI report 1992.

[7] V.M. Buchstaber, G. Felder and A. P. Veselov, *Elliptic Dunkl operators, root systems, and functional equations*, hep-th/9403178 to be published Duke Math. Journal.

[8] V.M. Buchstaber and A.N. Khodov, *Formal groups, functional equations and generalised cohomology theories*, Mat. Sb. 181, 75-94 (1990).

[9] V.M. Buchstaber and A.M. Perelomov, *On the functional equation related to the quantum three-body problem*, MPI/93-17.

[10] V.M. Buchstaber and I.M. Krichever, *Vector Addition Theorems and Baker-Akhiezer Functions*, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 94, 200-212 (1993).

[11] F. Calogero, *Exactly solvable one-dimensional many-body problems*, Lett. Nuovo Cimento (2) 13, 411-416 (1975).

[12] F. Calogero, *On a functional equation connected with integrable many-body problems*, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 16, 77-80 (1976).

[13] F. Calogero, *One-dimensional many-body problems with pair interactions whose ground-state wavefunction is of product type*, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 13, 507-511 (1975).

[14] F.Gliozzi and R.Tateo, *ADE functional dilogarithm identities and integrable models*, hep-th/9411203.

[15] F. Hirzebruch, Th. Berger and R. Jung, Manifolds and Modular Forms, Vieweg, Wiesbaden (1992).

[16] I. M. Krichever, *Elliptic solutions of Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and integrable particle systems*, Funct. Analiz i ego Prilozen,14,45-54 (1980).

[17] I. M. Krichever, *Generalized elliptic genera and Baker-Akhiezer functions*, Mat. Zametki. 47, 132 (1992).

[18] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Completely integrable Hamiltonian systems connected with semisimple Lie algebras*, Invent. Math. 37, 93-108 (1976).

[19] S. Pydkuyko and A. Stepin, *On the solution of one functional-differential equation*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozh. 10, no.2, 84-85 (1976). [In Russian]

[20] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars and H. Schneider, *A new class of integrable systems and its relation to solitons*, Ann. Phys. (NY) 170, 370-405 (1986).

[21] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, *Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero-Moser systems and elliptic function identities*, Commun. Math. Phys. 110, 191-213 (1987).

[22] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, *Relativistic Toda Systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. 133, 217-247 (1990).

[23] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge University Press 1927