IUCAA- 1/93 Jan'93

Singularity Free Inhomogeneous Cosmological Stiff Fluid Models

arXiv:gr-qc/9302001v1 1 Feb 1993

L.K. Patel
Department of Mathematics, Gujarat University,
Ahmedabad - 380 009, India

Naresh Dadhich*
Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics,
Post Bag 4, Ganeshkhind, Pune - 411 007, INDIA

Abstract

We present a singularity free class of inhomogeneous cylindrical universes filled with stiff perfect fluid ($\rho = p$). Its matter free ($\rho = 0$) limit yield two distinct vacuum spacetimes which can be considered as analogues of Kasner solution for inhomogeneous singularity free spacetime.

PACS numbers : 04.20.Jb, 98.80. Dr

*E-mail address : naresh@iucaa.ernet.in

The standard Friedmann-Roberstson-Walker model has been quite successful in describing the present state of the Universe. It prescribes homogeneous and isotropic distribution for matter. It is though realised that homogeneous and isotropic character of spacetime cannot be sustained at all scales, particularly for very early times. Furthermore, not to have to assume very special initial conditions as well as for formation of large scale structure in the Universe it is imperative to consider inhomogeneity and anisotropy.

The first step in this direction came in the form of the study of anisotropic Bianchi models. Then inhomogeneity was also brought in and some inhomogeneous models were considered [1-5]. One of the main characteristics of the Einsteinian cosmology is the prediction of a big-bang singularity in the finite past. All these models (FRW, Bianchi, as well as inhomogeneous) suffer from the singulairty at $t = 0$. This experience was strongly aided by the general result that under physically reasonable conditions of positivity of energy, causality and regularity etc., the initial singularity is inescapable in cosmology so long as we adhere to Einstein's equations (singularity theorems [6]). This gave rise to the folk-lore that the big-bang singularity is the essential property of cosmology and it can only be avoided by invoking quantum effects and /or modifying Einstein's theory.

On this background it was really very refreshing when Senovilla [7] obtained a new class of exact solutions of Einstein's equations without the big-bang singularity. It represented a cylindrically symmetric universe filled with perfect fluid ($\rho = 3p$). It was smooth and regular everywhere, satisfied the energy and causality conditions, and all the physical as well as geometrical invariants were finite for whole of spacetime. This marked the advent of singularity free cosmology. It is important to recognise that the occurrence of singularity is not the general feature of Einstein's equations and for its avoidance it is not always necessary to resort to quantum effects. That is the classical Einstein's theory does admit cosmological models without the singularity.

One may however wonder how do the sigularity free solutions bypass the singularity theorems ? It was subsequently shown [8] that they did not obey the assumption of existence of "trapped surfaces" which was never so physically obvious as other assumptions and had always been a bit of suspect. All causal curves were shown to be complete demonstrating the absence of singularity.

It turns out that inhomogeneous models admit many different kinds of singularities (not always the spacelike universal big-bang kind) or none depending upon the particular choice of parameters and metric functions [9]. There exists a general class of singularity free solutions. We have recently generalised the Senovilla model [7] to consider viscous fluid [10] and perfect fluid with radial heat flow [11]. It was interesting to see that the singularity free character of the model remained undisturbed.

The matter free ($\rho = p = 0$) limit of cosmological models is always of interest. It represents a kind of base spacetime that provides a simple framework for analysis of the basic structure of the model. The de Sitter spacetime can be taken as a base (which is truely not empty though $R_{ik} = \wedge g_{ik}$) for the FRW cosmology while the

Kasner is for homogeneous (Bianchi type I) cosmology. Kasner is perfectly empty space ($R_{ik} = 0$) homogeneous cosmological solution. It was very effectively used for the general analysis of the initial big-bang singularity [12].

The question we wish to address in this Letter is : Does there exist a singularity free empty space soltuion and does it arise as the matter free limit of a singularity free cosmological model ? In what follows we report a new s ingularity free inhomogeneous cosmological model describing a perfect fluid with the stiff matter equation of state, $\rho = p$. Its matter free limit ($\rho = p = 0$) gives two distinct empty space solutions.

We take the spacetime to admit two commuting spacelike Killing vectors, which are mutually as well as hypersurface orthogonal (orthogonally transitive G_2 cosmologies [13-15]). The solution is given by

$$ds^2 = C^{2(1-b)}(2mt)\left[C^{4b(2b-1)}(mr)(dt^2 - dr^2) - S^2(mr)C^{2(1-2b)}(mr)d\phi^2\right]$$
$$-C^{2b}(2mt)C^{4b}(mr)dz^2 \tag{1}$$

and

$$\rho = p = \frac{m^2}{2\pi}(b^2-1)C^{-2(2-b)}(2mt) \quad C^{-4b(2b-1)}(mr) \tag{2}$$

$$\theta = 2m(2-b)S(2mt)C^{-2+b}(2mt)C^{-2b(2b-1)}(mr) \tag{3}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{8}{3}m^2(1-2b)^2S^2(2mt)C^{-2(2-b)}(2mt)C^{-4b(2b-1)}(mt) \tag{4}$$

$$f_r = -2mb(2b-1)S(2mt)C^{-1}(2mt). \tag{5}$$

Here m and b are free parameters; θ, σ and f_r are respectively expansion, shear and radial acceleration; and $C(x) = coshx$ and $S(x) = sinhx$.

Clearly the solution is everywhere smooth, regular and finite, and so are the other parameters listed above for all values of t and r. We have checked that all the Riemann and Weyl curvatures are finite and regular for whole spacetime. The solution is new and not covered in the class discussed in Ref.9. The energy condition is obviously satisfied for b taking values outside the range $-1 < b < 1$.

For $\rho > 0$ and decreasing with t requires $2 > b > 1$ or $b < -1$. For $b = 2$, $\rho = \rho(r)$ and $\theta = 0$, that means model becomes stationary with ρ decreasing with r. The r-dependence of the parameters has in general monotonically decreasing character for all admissible values of b. Let us consider the two cases (i)$2 > b > 1$ and $b < -1$ for $t-$dependence separately.

Case (i): $2 > b > 1$. ρ is maximum at $t = 0$ and decreases to zero as $t \to \pm\infty$ while $\theta(t = 0) = 0, \theta(t \to \pm\infty) \to \pm\infty$. This is quite an acceptable behaviour.

Case (ii): $b < -1$. ρ has the same behaviour as above while $\theta(t = 0) = 0, \theta(t \to \pm\infty) \to \pm 0$, indicating non-monotonic expansion. It attains the extremum values

for $S(2mt) = \pm(1+|b|)^{-1/2}$, + sign for $0 \leq t \leq \infty$ and the other for $-\infty \leq t \leq 0$. One would like θ to be monotonically increasing with t, which can be provided by the interval between the two extrema. This however restricts the range of t which is not very nice .

Overall the former case may be preferable as it has all through acceptable behaviour. The parameter m can be chosen as large as we please to make ρ arbitrarily large. Thus the solution may be appropriate for very early times as it can provide highly dense state of matter, very rapid expansion and above all no singularity to worry about.

Let us now come to the matter free limit . From (2) it requires $b^2 = 1$ leading to two distinct empty space solutions for $b = \pm 1$. Thus we obtain the singularity free empty space cosmological solutions as follows :
For $b = 1$

$$ds^2 = C^4(mr)(dt^2 - dr^2 - C^2(2mt)dz^2) - S^2(mr)C^{-2}(mr)d\phi^2 \tag{6}$$

and for $b = -1$

$$ds^2 = C^4(2mt)C^6(mr)\left[C^6(mr)(dt^2 - dr^2) - S^2(mr)d\phi^2\right] - C^{-4}(mr)C^{-2}(2mt)dz^2. \tag{7}$$

They have finite and regular Weyl curvatures everywhere and in particular, $W_{ijke}(b = 1, t = r = 0) = -W_{ijke}(b = -1, t = r = 0)$. They mark the boundries of the forbidden range of the parameter b $(-1 < b < 1)$ for the above model (1). The solution (6) is the matter free limit of the case (i) while (7) is for the case (ii) above. They are analogues of Kasner solution for singularity free inhomogeneous spacetime .

If we write the metric coefficients in the solutions (6) and (7) as $C^{2p_i}(2mt)C^{2q_i}(mr)$ for $i = 1, .., 4$, then p_i and q_i satisfy the Kasnerian type relation,

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - p_4 = 1 = (p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - p_4)^2$$

and

$$q_1 + q_2 + q_3 - q_4 = 1 = (q_1 + q_2 + q_3 - q_4)^2.$$

This is quite interesting and perhaps lends strength to the analogy. In the Kasner case $p_4 = 0$ and there are no q_i as the solution is homogeneous. We wonder whether the above relation is a general property of inhomogeneous empty space cosmological solutions.

We have thus presented a physicallyy acceptable singularity free inhomogeneous perfect fluid cosmological model with stiff matter equation of state and two distinct vacuum solutions as its matter free limit. Their application to the very early universe cosmology will be taken up in a future paper. The equation of state $\rho = p$ is quite appropriate for consideration of a scalar field which has been very popular with the early Universe cosmologists.

Finally we would like to say a word about the occurrence of "trapped surfaces". It may however seem quite plausible for gravitational collapse but it is not at all obvious in the cosmological context. For we have solutions that satisfy all other physically pertinent conditions and present quite acceptable cosmological models. They seem to always occur in spherically symmetric spacetimes while in cylindrical symmetry their occurrence is indefinite. It appears that inhomogeneity and cylindrical symmetry seem to be necessary but not sufficient for non-existence of trapped surfaces.

Acknowledgement

We thank Tarun Ghosh and Kanti Jotania for help in algebraic computing using Macsyma and Mathematica.

References

[1] J. Wainwright and S.W. Goode, Phys. Rev. *D 22*, 1906 (1980).

[2] A. Feinstein and J.M.M. Senovilla, class. Quantum Grav. *6*, L89 (1989).

[3] W. Davidson, J. Math. Phys. *32*, 1560 (1990).

[4] L.K. Patel and N. Dadhich, Ap. J. *401*, 433 (1992) .

[5] L.K. Patel and N. Dadhich, J. Math. Phys. (to appear).

[6] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis, The Large Scale Structure of the Universe (Cambridge University Press, 1973).

[7] J.M.M. Senovilla, Phys. Rev. Lett. *64*, 2219 (1990).

[8] F.J. Chinea, L. Fernandez-Jambrina and J.M.M. Senovilla, Phys. Rev. *D45*, 481 (1992).

[9] E. Ruiz and J.M.M. Senovilla, Phys. Rev. *D45*, 1995 (1992).

[10] L.K. Patel and N. Dadhich, Phys. Rev. D (Submitted).

[11] L.K. Patel and N. Dadhich, (To be submitted).

[12] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields (Pergamon Press, New York, 1985).

[13] C.G. Hewitt and J. Wainwright, Class. Quantum Grav. *7*, 2295 (1990).

[14] J. Wainwright, J. Phys. *A14*, 1131 (1981).

[15] M. Carmeli, Ch. Charach and S. Malin, Phys. Rep. *76*, 80 (1981).