arXiv:hep-lat/0209026v2 4 Sep 2002

Dynamical fermions on anisotropic lattices *

CP-PACS Collaboration : T. Umeda[a], S. Aoki[b], M. Fukugita[c], K-I. Ishikawa[a], N. Ishizuka[a,b], Y. Iwasaki[a,b], K. Kanaya[b], Y. Kuramashi[d], V. Lesk[a], Y. Namekawa[b], M. Okawa[e], Y. Taniguchi[b], A. Ukawa[a,b] and T. Yoshié[a,b]

[a]Center for Computational Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan

[b]Institute of Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan

[c]Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8582, Japan

[d]High Energy Accelerator Research Organization(KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

[e]Department of Physics, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima,Hiroshima, 739-8526, Japan

We report on our study of two-flavor full QCD on anisotropic lattices using $O(a)$-improved Wilson quarks coupled with an RG-improved glue. The bare gauge and quark anisotropies corresponding to the renormalized anisotropy $\xi = a_s/a_t = 2$ are determined as functions of β and κ, using the Wilson loop and the meson dispersion relation at several lattice cutoffs and quark masses.

1. Introduction

Study of quark gluon plasma using finite-temperature lattice QCD has seen a remarkable progress over the last decade. The equation of state (EOS) is one of the most studied topics, for which many calculations have been reported and have made clear the effects of dynamical quarks [1]. However, most studies on EOS in full QCD are made for the temporal lattice size of $N_t = 4$ or 6, and a reliable continuum extrapolation of EOS is prevented by large scale violation present for small N_t.

Recently, the CP-PACS Collaboration proposed the use of anisotropic lattice for calculations of EOS. This proposal was tested for the pure gluon system [2], for which a well-controlled continuum extrapolation of EOS was performed for the first time.

We wish to extend the use of anisotropic lattice to calculations of EOS for full QCD. This requires a tuning of the bare gauge and quark anisotropy parameters which corresponds to a given value of the anisotropy. In this paper we report results of such a tuning.

*Talk presented by T. Umeda.

2. Lattice action

We adopt an O(a)-improved Wilson quark action coupled with an RG-improved action for gluons. This combination of actions has been adopted in a series of systematic investigations at $T = 0$ [4]. It also shows better scaling properties in finite-temperature QCD, *e.g.*, the expected O(4) scaling is observed around T_c [3].

Here, we extend the study to anisotropic lattices. We focus on the anisotropy $\xi = a_s/a_t = 2$, where a_s and a_t are the spatial and temporal lattice spacings, respectively. This choice of ξ is based on a study of efficiency in calculations of EOS as described in our previous study in quenched QCD [2].

We consider the following gauge action which includes plaquette, $P_{\mu\nu}(x)$, and rectangle loop, $R_{\mu\nu}(x)$:

$$S_G = \beta\{\gamma_G^{-1}\sum_{x,i>j}(c_0^s P_{ij}(x) + c_1^s\{R_{ij}(x) + R_{ji}(x)\}) + \gamma_G\sum_{x,k}(c_0^t P_{k4}(x) + c_1^t R_{k4}(x) + c_2^t R_{4k}(x))\}, \quad (1)$$

where $c_0^s + 8c_1^s = 1$ and $c_0^t + 4(c_1^t + c_2^t) = 1$.

Carrying out the RG improvement program of Ref. [5] on this action, we find that a simple choice $c_1^s = c_1^t = c_2^t = -0.331$, which is the same as for the isotropic case, sufficiently improves the theory for small anisotropy $\xi \approx 1 - 4$ [6].

The quark action we study is as follows [7].

$$S_F = \sum_{x,y} \bar{q}(x) K(x,y) q(y), \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K(x,y) &= \delta_{x,y} - \kappa_t \{(1-\gamma_4) U_4(x) \delta_{x+\hat{4},y} \\ &+ (1+\gamma_4) U_4^\dagger(x-\hat{4}) \delta_{x-\hat{4},y}\} \\ &- \kappa_s \sum_i \{(r-\gamma_i) U_i(x) \delta_{x+\hat{i},y} \\ &+ (r+\gamma_i) U_i^\dagger(x-\hat{i}) \delta_{x-\hat{i},y}\} \\ &- (\kappa_s c_t \sum_i \sigma_{4i} F_{4i}(x) + r\kappa_s c_s \sum_{i>j} \sigma_{ij} F_{ij}(x)) \delta_{x,y} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The bare anisotropy γ_F of the fermion field is defined by the ratio of the spatial and the temporal hopping parameters, $\kappa_t = \gamma_F \kappa_s$. We set the Wilson parameter to be $r = 1/\xi$ [7]. The hopping parameters are related to the bare quark mass as $\kappa_s = 1/[2(m_q + \gamma_F + 3r)]$. We also define κ by $1/\kappa = 1/\kappa_s - 2(\gamma_F + 3r - 4)$. This parameter plays the same role as κ on the isotropic lattice. For free fermion, equating the rest and the kinetic masses leads to $\gamma_F^{-1} = \xi^{-1}(1 + m_q^2/3)$ when $r = 1/\xi$ [9].

For the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$, we use the standard clover-leaf definition. At the tree level, the coefficients of the clover terms, c_s and c_t, are unity. We incorporate the mean-field improvement, $U_i \to U_i/u_{0s}$ (i =1,2,3) and $U_4 \to U_4/u_{0t}$, with the spatial mean-field factor defined with the plaquette in 1-loop perturbation theory $u_{0s} = (1 - 1.154/\beta)^{1/4}$, and $u_{0t} = 1$ for the temporal mean-field.

3. Calibration procedure

To realize a consistent anisotropy, the bare anisotropy parameters γ_F and γ_G for fermion and gauge fields have to be calibrated, such that the anisotropy ξ_F calculated in the fermionic sector coincides with ξ_G calculated in the gluonic sector.

We perform this calibration as follows. Calculating (ξ_F, ξ_G) for some parameter sets of (γ_F, γ_G) at a fixed κ and β, we make a fit of results with linear functions of γ_F and γ_G, and locate the point satisfying $\xi_F = \xi_G = \xi$, where $\xi = 2$ in our case. This point is denoted as γ_F^* and γ_G^*, which are functions of β and κ.

For the determination of ξ_G, we adopt Klassen's method [8] of matching the Wilson loop ratios according to

$$\frac{W_{ss}(x,y)}{W_{ss}(x+1,y)} = \frac{W_{st}(x,t)}{W_{st}(x+1,t)}, \quad t = \xi_G y \tag{4}$$

where $W_{ss}(x,y)$ and $W_{st}(x,t)$ are spatial-spatial and spatial-temporal Wilson loop, respectively.

For ξ_F we use the relativistic dispersion relation of mesons, and demand

$$E(\vec{p})^2 = m^2 + \frac{\vec{p}^2}{\xi_F^2} + O(\vec{p^4}), \tag{5}$$

where E and m are in units of a_t, while the spatial momentum $\vec{p}$ is in units of a_s. The latter is defined with $\vec{p} = 2\pi\vec{n}/L_s$, $\vec{n} = (0,0,0)$, $(1,0,0)$ and its permutations. We evaluate ξ_F using either pseudoscalar or vector mesons. The results are referred to as $\gamma_F^*(PS)$ and $\gamma_F^*(V)$ (and also $\gamma_G^*(PS)$ and $\gamma_G^*(V)$) for the calibrated bare anisotropies.

4. Simulation details

Simulations are made with the HMC algorithm for two flavors of degenerate quarks, using an even-odd preconditioned BiCGStab quark solver. The calibration is performed at $\beta = 1.8, 1.9$ and 2.0 on a $8^3 \times 24$, $8^3 \times 24$ and $10^3 \times 30$ lattice, respectively. Six values of κ are used at each β corresponding to $m_{PS}/m_V \simeq 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.85, 0.9$ and 0.92. Measurements are performed at every 5 trajectories up to 1000 – 1700 trajectories after 300 thermalization trajectiries. Errors are estimated by the jackknife method with bins of 50 trajectories, and error propagation is used in the fitting to $\xi = 2$.

If the lattice scale is estimated from the Sommer scale $r_0 = 0.5$fm, the typical lattice size in the spatial direction is about 2.0 fm (2.3 fm) at $\beta = 1.9, 2.0$ ($\beta = 1.8$) for $m_{PS}/m_V \simeq 0.8$.




Figure 1. $1/\kappa$ dependence of calibration results at each β




Figure 2. Difference between pseudoscalar and vector in determination of ξ_F

5. Calibration results

Figure 1 shows the results for γ_G^* and $1/\gamma_F^*$ as a function of $1/\kappa$ at each β. Unlike the case of quenched calculation [9], where $1/\gamma_F^*$ shows no linear terms in m_q, linear terms are important in full QCD even with the choice $r = 1/\xi$. Therefore the results are fitted with a general quadratic function of $1/\beta$ and $1/\kappa$, $f(\beta,\kappa) = A + B/\beta + C/\beta^2 + D/\beta\kappa + E/\kappa + F/\kappa^2$.

Figure 2 represents the difference between $\gamma_F^*(PS)$ and $\gamma_F^*(V)$. Non-vanishing values of this quantity represnets an $O(\alpha a)$ lattice artifacts for our action choice. We confirm that the difference tends to zero (less than 5 % for $\beta \geq 2.0$) as β is increased. We plan to use this difference to estimate systematic errors in continuum extrapolations.

An extension of the present calibration to the region of weaker coupling (larger β) is under way.

This work is supported in part by the Large-Scale Numerical Simulation Project of the Science Information Processing Center (S.I.P.C) of University of Tsukuba and by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research by the ministry of Education (No.12304011, 12640253, 12740133, 13640259, 13640260, 13135204, 14046202, 11640294). The simulations are carried out on Fujitsu VPP5000 of S.I.P.C.

REFERENCES

1. See e.g. S. Ejiri, Nucl. Phys. B(Proc.Suppl.)94 (2001) 16.
2. Y. Namekawa et al. (CP-PACS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 074507.
3. A. Ali Khan et al. (CP-PACS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D63 (2000) 034502.
4. A. Ali Khan et al. (CP-PACS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D65 (2002) 054505.
5. Y. Iwasaki, Nucl. Phys. B258 (1985) 141; Univ. of Tsukuba Report No. UTHEP-118 (1983).
6. S. Ejiri, K. Kanaya, Y. Namekawa and T. Umeda, in preparation.
7. J. Harada et al., Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 074501.
8. T. R. Klassen, Nucl. Phys. B533 (1998) 557.
9. H. Matsufuru, T. Onogi and T. Umeda Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 114503.