Non-commutative Solitons in Finite Quantum Mechanics

E. G. Floratos[a] * and S. Nicolis[b] †

[a]INP NRCPS "Demokritos"
15310 Aghia Paraskevi, Athens, Greece
and
Nuclear and Particle Physics Physics Section, University of Athens
15771 Athens, Greece

[b]CNRS–Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique (UMR 6083)
Université de Tours, Parc Grandmont 37200 Tours, France

arXiv:hep-lat/0209032v1 3 Sep 2002

We construct the unitary evolution operators that realize the quantization of linear maps of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ over phase spaces of arbitrary integer discretization N and show the non-trivial dependence on the arithmetic nature of N. We discuss the corresponding uncertainty principle and construct the corresponding coherent states, that may be interpreted as non-commutative solitons.

1. The Heisenberg–Weyl Group and its Consistent Discretization

In gauge theories in the continuum the fields take values in the corresponding Lie algebra,

$$A_\mu(x) = A^a_\mu(x) T_a$$

The theory is invariant under Lorentz transformations and under gauge trasnformations.

In lattice gauge theories the fields take values in the *group* corresponding to the Lie algebra

$$U_\mu(x) = \exp(\mathrm{i}A_\mu(x))$$

The continuous Lorentz symmetry is broken to a discrete subgroup–but the internal (gauge) symmetry is preserved. Note that it is this feature that renders the study of lattice supersymmetry so non-trivial, since supersymmetry mixes Lorentz symmetry and internal symmetry in a non-trivial way.

In quantum mechanics we consider functions that take values in phase space. These functions are valued in the Heisenberg algebra

$$[q,p] = \mathrm{i}\hbar I \tag{1}$$

This algebra has only infinite dimensional representations, so discretization of the phase space does not preserve this symmetry. It is known since Weyl, however, that the exponentials of these generators,

$$P = \exp(\mathrm{i}p) \quad Q = \exp(\mathrm{i}q) \tag{2}$$

do admit finite–dimensional representations, that respect the group law

$$QP = \underbrace{e^{\mathrm{i}2\pi/N}}_{\omega_N} PQ \tag{3}$$

for an $N-$dimensional representation.

The generators of the Heisenberg–Weyl group are the displacement operators

$$J_{r,s} = \omega_N^{r\cdot s/2} P^r Q^s \tag{4}$$

where (r,s) denotes the point on the classical discretized phase space.

The strategy will now be to write the evolution operator that realizes a consistent quantization of the classical motion. This will turn out to involve the arithmetic nature of the discretization in a non-trivial way. One thus hopes to extract non-trivial information, in the same way the continuum limit of a lattice field theory is non-trivial, if a second order phase transition occurs.

*E-mail: Manolis.Floratos@inp.demokritos.gr
†Speaker. E-mail: Stam.Nicolis@phys.univ-tours.fr

In what follows we will highlight, through explicit examples, the non-trivial $N-$dependence of the evolution operator and indicate how one may also compute correlation functions.

We will further construct a subset of states that are permuted among themselves by certain evolution operators and whose dispersion in position and momenta remain constant in time–they may thus be called solitons. They depend non-trivially on the discretization, N. Recent work seems to hint towards a close relation with the solitons of non-commutative scalar field theory [1].

2. The Evolution Operator on a Discrete Phase Space

We work on toroidal phase spaces, where a convenient parametrization of the Hilbert space of functions is given by

$$f(z|\tau) = \sum_{n\in \mathrm{N}} c_n e^{\mathrm{i}\pi n^2\tau + 2\pi \mathrm{i} n z} \tag{5}$$

On these functions we define the action of the following operators

$$\begin{array}{l} S_b f(z) = f(z+b) \\ T_a f(z) = e^{\mathrm{i}\pi a^2\tau + 2\pi \mathrm{i} a z} f(z+a\tau) \end{array} \tag{6}$$

and we may easily verify that they realize an infinite dimensional representation of the Heisenberg–Weyl group. Taking periodic coefficients, $c_n = c_{n+N}$ leads to an $N-$dimensional representation.

Using the formalism set forth in refs. [2,3], we obtain the operator, that realizes the quantization of the classical motion, i.e. the quantum evolution operator, in the form

$$U(\mathsf{A})_{k,l} = c_N(\mathsf{A}) \omega_N^{A_1 k^2 + A_2 kl + A_3 l^2} \tag{7}$$

where the indices k and l take the values $0, 1, \ldots, N-1$.

The coefficients $A_{1,2,3}$ as well as the prefactor $c_N(\mathsf{A})$ are fixed by demanding that

- $$U(\mathsf{A}\cdot\mathsf{B}) = U(\mathsf{A})U(\mathsf{B})$$
  for all matrices A and B of $SL(2,\mathrm{Z}_N)$ i.e. that they be a group representation; and
- $$J_{(r,s)\mathsf{A}} U(\mathsf{A}) = U(\mathsf{A}) J_{r,s}$$
  i.e. that they enjoy the *metaplectic* property. (it is interesting to note that the second implies the first)

We find $A_1 = a/(2c)$, $A_2 = -1/c$, $A_3 = d/(2c)$ and $c_N(\mathsf{A}) = \sigma_N(1)\sigma_N(2-a-d)/N$, where $\sigma_N(a) = (1/\sqrt{N})\sum_{r=0}^{N-1} \omega_N^{ar^2}$ is the quadratic Gauss sum[4]. All operations are taken mod N.

3. Solitons on $\mathbb{T}^2/\mathbb{Z}_N$

Let us now pass to issues of dynamics. The evolution operator acts on states and one is particularly interested in studying their dispersion with time. An interesting classical motion is a *dilatation*, where $a = 1/d$ and $b = c = 0$ (mod N). Using the results of [2,3] it is possible to show that the corresponding quantum operator is proportional to $\delta_{k,k'a}$, i.e. a permutation operator. We thus find that this classical motion leads to states that are non-dispersive in both position and momentum–they are solitons. All classical motions, whose matrix $\mathsf{A} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z}_N)$ is diagonalizable mod N describe such states. An example, for $N = 4l+1$, is the classical rotation[5], since

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} = \mathsf{V} \begin{pmatrix} a-tb & 0 \\ 0 & a+tb \end{pmatrix} \mathsf{V}^{-1}$$

with

$$\mathsf{V} = \frac{1}{1+t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -t & t \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$t \equiv q^l \bmod N$$

where q is the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}_N$.

4. Correlation Functions

Using Gauss sum technology it is possible to evaluate correlation functions. Indeed, any operator may be written as a linaer combination of the Heisenberg-Weyl group generators $J_{r,s}$ so, for integer values of the inverse temperature on may readily evaluate

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}[J_{r1,s1}\cdots J_{r_k,s_k} U(\mathsf{A})^\beta]}{\mathrm{Tr}[U(\mathsf{A})^\beta]}$$

These computations are similar to the so-called *number theoretic* quantum spin chains studied by Knauf and collaborators[6].

5. Conclusions and Outlook

Working directly in phase space, instead of configuration space leads to alternative quantization schemes, that go back to Weyl and Wigner. One advantage is the natural existence of finite dimensional representations, that respect the group structure. While the continuum limit is a non-trivial one, as it involves resumming oscillating terms (cf. however ref.[7]) the formalism holds promise of naturally including features, hard to describe by other approaches.

An appropriate example is in the recent proposal for supersymmetry on a spatial lattice[8], where the lattice is indeed a phase space of a quantum mechanical system.

REFERENCES

1. H. Bo-you, S. Kang-jie, Y. Zhan-ying, "Soliton on Non-commutative orbifold T^2/Z_k", [hep-th/0204102]
2. E. G. Floratos, Phys. Lett. B228 (1989) 335; G. G. Athanasiu and E. G. Floratos, Nucl. Phys. B425 (1994) 343
3. G. G. Athanasiu, E. G. Floratos and S. Nicolis, J. Phys. A29 (1996) 6737 [hep-th/9509098]; G. G. Athanasiu, E. G. Floratos and S. Nicolis, J. Phys. A31 (1998) L655 [math-ph/9805012]; E. G. Floratos and S. Nicolis, [hep-th/0006006].
4. S. Lang, Algebraic Number Theory, Addison-Wesley (1970).
5. E. G. Floratos and G. Leontaris, Phys. Lett. B412 (1997) 35, [hep-th/9706156]
6. P. Kleban and A. E. Özlük, "A Farey Fraction Spin Chain", [cond-mat/9808182]; F. Guerra and A. Knauf, J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998) 3188; A. Knauf, Comm. Math. Phys. 153 (1993) 77; A. Knauf, J. Stat. Phys. 73 (1993) 423; A. Knauf, J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994) 228; P. Contucci and A. Knauf, Forum Math. 9 (1997) 547.
7. T. Digernes, V. S. Varadarajan and R. S. Varadhan, "Finite approximations in quantum systems" Rev. Math. Phys. 6 (1994) 621.
8. D. B. Kaplan, E. Katz and M. Unsal, "Supersymmetry on a Spatial Lattice", [hep-lat/0206019]