Gluon propagator and confinement scenario in Coulomb gauge

Attilio Cucchieri[a*] and Daniel Zwanziger[b†]

[a]IFSC São Paulo University, C.P. 369 CEP 13560-970, São Carlos (SP), Brazil

[b]Physics Department, New York University, New York, NY 10003, USA

arXiv:hep-lat/0209068v1 4 Sep 2002

We present numerical results in $SU(2)$ lattice gauge theory for the instantaneous part of the gluon propagator in Coulomb gauge $D_{44,\mathrm{inst}} = V_{\mathrm{coul}}(R)\delta(t)$. Data are taken on lattice volumes 24^4 and 28^4 for 7 values of β in the interval $2.2 \leq \beta \leq 2.8$. The data are confronted with the confinement scenario in Coulomb gauge. They are consistent with a linearly rising color-Coulomb potential $V_{\mathrm{coul}}(R)$.

1. CONFINEMENT SCENARIO IN COULOMB GAUGE

A particularly simple confinement scenario [1] is available in the minimal Coulomb gauge. It attributes confinement of color to the long range of the color-Coulomb potential $V_{\mathrm{coul}}(R)$. This quantity is the instantaneous part of the 4-4 component of the gluon propagator, $D_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \langle gA_\mu(x)\, gA_\nu(0)\rangle$, namely $D_{44}(\mathbf{x},t) = V_{\mathrm{coul}}(|\mathbf{x}|)\delta(t) + P(\mathbf{x},t)$. The vacuum polarization term $P(\mathbf{x},t)$ is less singular than $\delta(t)$ at $t = 0$. Since A_4 couples universally to color charge, the long range of $V_{\mathrm{coul}}(R)$ suffices to confine all color charge. It was conjectured that it is linearly rising at large R, $V_{\mathrm{coul}}(R) \sim -\sigma_{\mathrm{coul}}R$. If an external quark-antiquark pair is present, the physical potential $V_{\mathrm{W}}(R)$ between them may be extracted from a Wilson loop. The color-Coulomb potential contributes the term $-CV_{\mathrm{coul}}(R)$ directly to the Wilson loop, where $C = (N^2-1)/(2N)$ in SU(N) gauge theory with external quarks in the fundamental representation. The minus sign occurs because the antiquark has opposite charge to the quark. The vacuum-polarization term is screening, and one expects that $V_{\mathrm{W}}(R)$ is bounded above by this term asymptotically at large R, $V_{\mathrm{W}}(R) \leq -CV_{\mathrm{coul}}(R)$. If $V_{\mathrm{W}}(R)$ is also linearly rising, $V_{\mathrm{W}}(R) \sim \sigma R$, where σ is the conventional string tension, we get $\sigma \leq C\sigma_{\mathrm{coul}}$. If dynamical quarks are present, the string "breaks" at some radius R_b, and the conventional asymptotic string tension vanishes, $\sigma = 0$. String-breaking is easily explained in the Coulomb-gauge confinement scenario if $V_{\mathrm{coul}}(R)$ is linearly rising even in the presence of dynamical quarks, as was also conjectured [1]. For, if so, it is energetically preferable to polarize a pair of sea quarks from the vacuum.

Here we report the confrontation of this scenario with the numerical data for $V_{\mathrm{coul}}(R)$ for the case of pure gluodynamics.

1.1. Relation of V_{coul} to α_s

We may identify $V_{\mathrm{coul}}(R)$ with the phenomenological potential that is the starting point for QCD bound state calculations [2]. The identification of a phenomenological potential with the instantaneous part of the gluon propagator, a fundamental quantity in the gauge theory, is possible because, remarkably, $V_{\mathrm{coul}}(R)$ is a renormalization-group invariant, and thus scheme-independent, so it is independent of the cut-off Λ and of the renormalization mass μ. This follows from the non-renormalization of gA_4, as expressed by the identity $g_{(0)}A_4^{(0)} = g_{(r)}A_4^{(r)}$, where 0 and r refer to unrenormalized and renormalized quantities in the Coulomb gauge [1]. This identity has no direct analog in a Lorentz-covariant gauge. Because of the scheme-independence of $V_{\mathrm{coul}}(R)$, its Fourier transform $\widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{coul}}(\mathbf{k})$ provides a scheme-independent definition for the running coupling constant of QCD,

*Research supported by FAPESP, Brazil (Project No. 00/05047-5). e-mail address: attilio@if.sc.usp.br

†Presented talk. Research supported in part by National Science Foundation, grant no. PHY-0099393. e-mail address: daniel.zwanziger@nyu.edu

Table 1
Values of fitting parameters from formula (1).

β	A	B	Λ	W^2	χ^2/dof
2.2	7.38 ± 0.35	8.15 ± 15.58	8.83 ± 19.34	9.91 ± 19.01	0.81
2.3	5.81 ± 0.30	24.57 ± 83.41	32.57 ± 52.59	17.26 ± 27.18	0.72
2.4	6.60 ± 0.64	6.72 ± 10.15	7.70 ± 15.90	3.99 ± 6.03	0.20
2.5	7.45 ± 0.47	6.00 ± 2.65	6.16 ± 2.58	3.06 ± 1.26	0.05
2.6	8.23 ± 1.48	8.61 ± 1.68	4.53 ± 1.93	3.84 ± 0.34	0.07
2.7	11.30 ± 0.81	7.73 ± 0.47	6.87 ± 0.67	3.64 ± 0.15	0.07
2.8	8.18 ± 2.85	10.38 ± 0.46	0.58 ± 0.08	0.06 ± 0.05	0.19

$\mathbf{k}^2\widetilde{V}(\mathbf{k}) = x_0\ g^2_{\rm coul}(|\mathbf{k}|)$, and of $\alpha_s \equiv \frac{g^2(\mathbf{k}/\Lambda_{\rm coul})}{4\pi}$. Here $x_0 = \frac{12N}{11N-2N_f}$, and $\Lambda_{\rm coul}$ is a finite QCD mass scale [3].

2. NUMERICAL STUDY OF $V_{\rm coul}$

2.1. Method

We have previously studied [4] both space- and time-components of the gluon propagator, $D^{\rm tr}_{ij}(\mathbf{k})$ and $D_{44}(\mathbf{k}) = \tilde{V}_{\rm coul}(\mathbf{k})$ at equal time, at $\beta = 2.2$, on various lattice volumes, 14^4 to 30^4, in the minimal Coulomb gauge. This gauge is achieved numerically by (i) maximizing $\sum_{x,i=1}^{3} {\rm Tr}^g U_{x,i}$ with respect to all local gauge transformations $g(x)$, where the sum is on all horizontal or spatial links, and then (ii) maximizing $\sum_x {\rm Tr}^g U_{x,4}$ with respect to all $\mathbf{x}$-independent but x_4-dependent gauge transformations $g(x_4)$ where the sum is on all vertical or time-like links. This makes the 3-vector potential A_i, for $i = 1, 2, 3$ transverse, $\partial_i A_i = 0$, so $A_i = A^{\rm tr}_i$.

In this gauge, the horizontal link variables $U_{x,i}$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are as close to the identity as possible, but the vertical variables $U_{x,4}$ are much further from the identity. Not surprisingly, we found that, whereas $D^{\rm tr}_{ij}(\mathbf{k})$ gave values that could be reasonably extrapolated to the continuum, this was not true for $D_{44}(\mathbf{k})$. In order to remedy this, in the present study we extended our investigation of $D_{44}(\mathbf{k})$ to $\beta = 2.2, 2.3, \ldots, 2.8$, on lattice volumes 24^4 and 28^4. We have also determined $V_{\rm coul}(R)$ numerically by 2 quite different methods. Method I relies on the standard formula $D_{44}(\mathbf{x}, t) = V_{\rm coul}(|\mathbf{x}|)\delta(t) + P(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Method II relies on the lattice analog of the continuum formula that is obtained [3] by integrating out A_4 namely, $V(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|) = \langle (M^{-1}(-\nabla^2)M^{-1})|_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}\rangle$. Here $M(\mathbf{A}) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ is the 3-dimensional Faddeev-Popov operator, and $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}) = \nabla + \mathbf{A}\times$ is the gauge-covariant derivative. Method II requires numerically inverting the lattice Faddeev-Popov matrix to obtain $M^{-1}(\mathbf{A})_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$, but it has the advantage that $D_{44}(\mathbf{k})$ is expressed entirely in terms of the spatial link variables $U_{x,i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, that are close to the identity. Moreover, method II involves only the horizontal link variables that lie within a single time slice, so it is independent of the gauge fixing (ii) on vertical links. Thus it measures a truly instantaneous quantity. We found that method I did not exhibit scaling in the above range of β, and we report here only the result of method II.

2.2. Results

For each β we have 100 configurations on volume $V = 24^4$ and 50 configurations on volume $V = 28^4$. Runs have been done on the PC clusters at the Physics Department of New York University and at the IFSC of São Paulo University.

In figure 1 we plotted the results for $\beta = 2.2$, 2.5, and 2.8 respectively. The horizontal axis measures $\mathbf{k}^2 = 4a^{-2}\sin^2(n\pi/L)$, for $L = 24, 28$, rescaled to physical units by setting the physical string tension equal to $\sigma = (0.44{\rm GeV})^2$ and using Table 3 of [5], so the lattice spacing at $\beta = 2.2, \ldots, 2.8$ is (in ${\rm GeV}^{-1}$) $a = 1.066$, 0.839, 0.605, 0.433, 0.309, 0.231, 0.165, respectively. The vertical axis measures $|\mathbf{k}|^4\tilde{V}_{\rm coul}(\mathbf{k})$ in phys-

ical units, so string tension may be read off from the vertical intercept (see below). Finite-volume artifacts are clearly visible at low momentum. To control these, in our fits we have dropped those low-momentum points for which appreciably different values are obtained at volumes 24^4 and 28^4 and, for the fit, we used only data points obtained at $V = 28^4$.

A simple parametrization of $V_{\rm coul}(R)$ would be $-V_{\rm coul}(R) = \sigma_{\rm coul} R - c/R$, which has the Fourier transform $\tilde{V}_{\rm coul}(\mathbf{k}) = 8\pi\sigma_{\rm coul}/|\mathbf{k}|^4 + 4\pi c/|\mathbf{k}|^2$. We have used the fitting formulas

$$|\mathbf{k}|^4 \tilde{V}_{\rm coul}(\mathbf{k}) \;=\; A + \frac{B\mathbf{k}^2}{W^2 + \ln(1 + \mathbf{k}^2/\Lambda^2)} \qquad (1)$$

$$|\mathbf{k}|^4 \tilde{V}_{\rm coul}(\mathbf{k}) \;=\; A + B\mathbf{k}^2 \qquad (2)$$

$$|\mathbf{k}|^4 \tilde{V}_{\rm coul}(\mathbf{k}) \;=\; A|\mathbf{k}|^s + B\mathbf{k}^2 \; . \qquad (3)$$

Fit (1) has the asymptotic behavior at large $\mathbf{k}$, consistent with the 1-loop β-function. We report in Table 1 the values of the parameters from fit (1).

The most striking feature of the data is the finite intercepts A. This is consistent with a finite string tension $\sigma_{\rm coul} = A/(8\pi)$. It scales rather nicely, varying from $A = 7.38 \pm 0.35$ GeV^2 at $\beta = 2.2$ to $A = 8.18 \pm 2.85$ GeV^2 at $\beta = 2.8$, but with considerable variation in between. From the lowest and highest values, $A = 5.8$ and $A = 11.3$, we get respectively $\sigma_{\rm coul} = (0.48{\rm GeV})^2$ and $\sigma_{\rm coul} = (0.67{\rm GeV})^2$. The inequality $\sigma \le (3/4)\sigma_{\rm coul}$ for SU(2), with $\sigma = (0.44{\rm GeV})^2$, reads for these values: $0.44 \le 0.42(2)$ and $0.44 \le 0.58(3)$. We appear to be at or near saturation.




Figure 1. Fit of $|\mathbf{k}|^4 \tilde{V}_{\rm coul}(\mathbf{k})$ using eq. (1). Data are for lattice volumes $V = 24^4$ ($\times$) and $V = 28^4$ ($*$) and $\beta = 2.2, 2.5$ and 2.8 from top to bottom.

REFERENCES

1. D. Zwanziger, Nucl. Phys. **B518** (1998) 237.
2. A. Szczepaniak et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **76** (1996) 2011; D. G. Robertson et al., Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 074019; A. Szczepaniak and E. S. Swanson, hep-ph/0107078.
3. A. Cucchieri and D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. **D65** (2001) 014002.
4. A. Cucchieri and D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. **D65** (2001) 014001; Phys. Letts. **B524** (2002) 123.
5. J. Fingberg et al., Nucl. Phys. **B392** (1993) 493.