arXiv:hep-ph/0306196v1 21 Jun 2003

MESONS OF THE f_0-FAMILY IN THE PROCESSES $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta$ UP TO 1.9 GEV [1]

Yu.S. Surovtsev[a], D. Krupa[b], M. Nagy[b]

[a] *Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, Dubna 141 980, Russia*
[b] *Institute of Physics, SAS, Dúbravská cesta 9, 842 28 Bratislava, Slovakia*

Abstract

In combined 2- and 3-channel analyses of experimental data on the coupled processes $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta$ in the channel with $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+0^{++}$, various scenarios of these reactions(with different numbers of resonances) are considered. In a model-independent approach, confirmation of the σ-meson below 1 GeV and definite indications of the QCD nature of other f_0 resonances are obtained. The conclusion on the linear realization of chiral symmetry is drawn.
Key-words: analyticity, multichannel unitarity, uniformization, scalar meson, QCD nature

1. In recent years, the problem of scalar mesons is the most discussed topic in the light hadron spectroscopy. This is related to an important role played by lightest scalars in the hadronic dynamics. For example, a recent discovery of the σ-meson below 1 GeV [1]- [9] leads to an important conclusion about the linear realization of chiral symmetry. The f_0 mesons are most direct carrier of information about the QCD vacuum. Therefore, every step in understanding the nature of the f_0 mesons is especially important.

Obviously, it is important to have a model-independent information on investigated states and on their QCD nature. It can be obtained only on the basis of the first principles (analyticity and unitarity) immediately applied to analyzing experimental data. Earlier, we have proposed the method for 2- and 3-channel resonances [10]. We outline this further.

2. Two- and Three-Coupled-Channel Formalism. We consider the processes $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\overline{K}$ in the 2-channel approach and, adding the process $\pi\pi \to \eta\eta$, we use the 3-channel one. Therefore, the S-matrix is determined on the 4- and 8-sheeted Riemann surfaces, respectively. The matrix elements $S_{\alpha\beta}$, where $\alpha, \beta = 1(\pi\pi), 2(K\overline{K}), 3(\eta\eta)$, have the right-hand cuts along the real axis of the s complex plane (s is the invariant total energy squared), starting with $4m_\pi^2$, $4m_K^2$, and $4m_\eta^2$, and the left-hand cuts. The Riemann-surface sheets are numbered according to the signs of analytic continuations of the channel momenta

$$k_1 = (s/4 - m_\pi^2)^{1/2}, \qquad k_2 = (s/4 - m_K^2)^{1/2}, \qquad k_1 = (s/4 - m_\pi^2)^{1/2}$$

as follows: in the 2-channel case, signs $(\mathrm{Im}k_1, \mathrm{Im}k_2) = ++, -+, --, +-$ correspond to sheets I, II, III, IV; in the 3-channel case, signs $(\mathrm{Im}k_1, \mathrm{Im}k_2, \mathrm{Im}k_3) = +++, -++, --+, +-+, +--, ---, -+-, ++-$ correspond to sheets I, II,$\cdots$, VIII.

The resonance representations on the Riemann surface are obtained with the help of the formulae (see, ref. [10], table 1), expressing analytic continuations of the matrix elements to unphysical sheets in terms of those on sheet I – $S^I_{\alpha\beta}$ that have only zeros (beyond the real axis) corresponding to resonances. These formulae immediately give the resonance

[1]This work was supported by the Grant Program of Plenipotentiary of the Slovak Republic at JINR. Yu.S. and M.N. were supported in part by the Slovak Scientific Grant Agency, Grant VEGA No. 2/7175/20; and D.K., by Grant VEGA No. 2/5085/99.

representation by poles and zeros on the Riemann surfaces if one starts from resonance zeros on sheet I. In the 2-channel approach, we obtain 3 types of resonances described by a pair of conjugate zeros on sheet I: (**a**) in S_{11}, (**b**) in S_{22}, (**c**) in each of S_{11} and S_{22}.

In the 3-channel case, we obtain 7 types of resonances corresponding to conjugate resonance zeros on sheet I of (**a**) S_{11}; (**b**) S_{22}; (**c**) S_{33}; (**d**) S_{11} and S_{22}; (**e**) S_{22} and S_{33}; (**f**) S_{11} and S_{33}; and (**g**) S_{11}, S_{22}, and S_{33}. For example, the arrangement of poles corresponding to a (**g**) resonance is: each sheet II, IV, and VIII contains a pair of conjugate poles at the points that are zeros on sheet I; each sheet III, V, and VII contains two pairs of conjugate poles; and sheet VI contains three pairs of poles.

The resonance of each type is represented by a pair of complex-conjugate clusters (of poles and zeros on the Riemann surface) of size typical of strong interactions. The cluster kind is related to the state nature. For example, a 2-channel resonance, coupled relatively more strongly to the $\pi\pi$ channel than to the $K\overline{K}$ one, is described by the (**a**) cluster; in the opposite case, by the (**b**) one (the state with the dominant $s\bar{s}$ component); the flavour singlet (*e.g.*, glueball) must be represented by the (**c**) cluster.

Furthermore, according to the type of pole clusters, we can distinguish, in a model-independent way, a bound state of colourless particles (*e.g.*, $K\overline{K}$ molecule) and a $q\bar{q}$ bound state [10, 11]. Just as in the 1-channel case, the existence of a particle bound-state means the presence of a pole on the real axis under the threshold on the physical sheet, so in the 2-channel case, the existence of a particle bound-state in channel 2 ($K\overline{K}$ molecule) that, however, can decay into channel 1 ($\pi\pi$ decay), would imply the presence of a pair of complex conjugate poles on sheet II under the second-channel threshold without an accompaniment of the corresponding shifted pair of poles on sheet III. Namely, according to this test, earlier, the interpretation of the $f_0(980)$ state as a $K\overline{K}$ molecule has been rejected. In the 3-channel case, the bound-state in channel 3 ($(\eta\eta)$) that, however, can decay into channels 1 ($\pi\pi$ decay) and 2 ($K\overline{K}$ decay), is represented by the pair of complex conjugate poles on sheet II and by a shifted poles on sheet III under the $\eta\eta$ threshold without an accompaniment of the corresponding poles on sheets VI and VII.

Further we use the uniformization procedure, *i.e.*, in the uniformizing variable we take into account the branch points corresponding to the thresholds of the coupled channels, and the one related to the crossing channels. On the uniformization plane, the pole-cluster representation of a resonance is a good one.

For a combined analysis of data on coupled processes it is convenient to use the Le Couteur-Newton relations [12] expressing the S-matrix elements of all coupled processes in terms of the Jost matrix determinant $d(k_1, k_2, \cdots)$ being the real analytic function with the only square-root branch-points at $k_i = 0$.

2.1. Two-Channel Approach. Here we take into account the right-hand branch-points at $4m_\pi^2$ and $4m_K^2$ and also the left-hand one at $s = 0$ in S_{11}, using the uniformizing variable

$$v = \frac{m_K\sqrt{s - 4m_\pi^2} + m_\pi\sqrt{s - 4m_K^2}}{\sqrt{s(m_K^2 - m_\pi^2)}}, \tag{1}$$

which maps the 4-sheeted Riemann surface onto the v-plane, divided into two parts by a unit circle centered at the origin. Sheets I (II), III (IV) are mapped onto the exterior

(interior) of the unit disk on the upper and lower v-half-plane, respectively. The physical region extends from the point i on the imaginary axis ($\pi\pi$ threshold) along the unit circle clockwise in the 1st quadrant to the point 1 on the real axis ($K\overline{K}$ threshold) and then along the real axis to the point $b = \sqrt{(m_K + m_\pi)/(m_K - m_\pi)}$ into which $s = \infty$ is mapped on the v-plane. The intervals $(-\infty, -b], [-b^{-1}, b^{-1}], [b, \infty)$ on the real axis are the images of the corresponding edges of the left-hand cut of the $\pi\pi$-scattering amplitude. The (**a**) resonance is represented in S_{11} by two pairs of poles on the images of sheets II and III, symmetric to each other with respect to the imaginary axis, and by zeros, symmetric to these poles with respect to the unit circle. On the v-plane, the Le Couteur-Newton relations are

$$S_{11} = \frac{d(-v^{-1})}{d(v)}, \qquad S_{22} = \frac{d(v^{-1})}{d(v)}, \qquad S_{11}S_{22} - S_{12}^2 = \frac{d(-v)}{d(v)}. \tag{2}$$

The $d(v)$-function is taken as $d = d_B d_{res}$ where the resonance contribution $d_{res}(v)$ is

$$d_{res} = v^{-M} \prod_{n=1}^{M} (1 - v_n^* v)(1 + v_n v) \tag{3}$$

(M is the number of pairs of conjugate zeros); d_B is the $K\overline{K}$ background. On the v-plane, S_{11} has no cuts, however, S_{12} does have the cut arising from the left-hand cut on the s-plane. This left-hand cut is neglected in the Riemann-surface structure, and the contribution on this cut is taken into account in the $K\overline{K}$ background [8]:

$$d_B = v^{-4}(1 - pv)^4(1 + p^* v)^4$$

where p is the position of zero on the unit circle.

We analyzed in combined way the data on the processes $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\overline{K}$ in the channel with $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+0^{++}$. For the $\pi\pi$-scattering, the data from the threshold to 1.89 GeV are taken from ref. [13]; below 1 GeV, from many works [13]- [14]. For $\pi\pi \to K\overline{K}$, practically all the accessible data are used [15]. We consider 4 variants with the following states:
Variant 1: The $f_0(600)$ and $f_0(980)$) with the type (**a**) clusters, and $f_0(1500)$, of the type (**c**);
Variant 2: The same three resonances + the $f_0(1370)$ of the type (**b**);
Variant 3: The $f_0(600)$, $f_0(980)$) and $f_0(1500)$ + the $f_0(1710)$ of the type (**b**);
Variant 4: All the five resonances of the indicated types.

The other possibilities of the representation of these states are rejected by our analysis. The $\pi\pi$-scattering data are described satisfactorily from the threshold to 1.89 GeV in all four variants. For $\pi\pi \to K\overline{K}$, the description ranges are slightly different for various variants and extend from the threshold to ~ 1.4 GeV for variant 1, to ~ 1.46 GeV for variant 2, and to ~ 1.5 GeV for variants 3 and 4. We have obtained the following quality of fits to the data (the total χ^2/ndf for both processes, the number of adjusted parameters, p): Variant 1 – 1.98, 17, 0.954381+0.29859i; Variant 2 – 2.45, 21, 0.97925+0.202657i; Variant 3 – 1.76, 21, 0.954572+0.29798i; Variant 4 – 2.59, 25, 0.982091+0.188405i.

Two best variants 1 and 3 are both without the $f_0(1370)$. In table1 we show the obtained pole clusters of resonances on the complex energy plane ($\sqrt{s_r} = \mathrm{E}_r - i\Gamma_r$) for variant 4 with all five states that are in the PDG tables [1].

Table 1: Pole clusters for resonances in variant 4.

Sheet		II	III	IV
$f_0(600)$	E, MeV	600±16	715±17	
	Γ, MeV	605±28	59±6	
$f_0(980)$	E, MeV	985±5	984±18	
	Γ, MeV	27±8	210±22	
$f_0(1370)$	E, MeV		1310±22	1320±20
	Γ, MeV		410±29	275±25
$f_0(1500)$	E, MeV	1528±22	1490±30 1510±20	1510±21
	Γ, MeV	385±25	220±24 370±30	308±30
$f_0(1710)$	E, MeV		1700±25	1700±20
	Γ, MeV		86±16	115±20

The coupling constants of states with the $\pi\pi$ (g_1) and $K\overline{K}$ (g_2) systems are calculated through the residues of the amplitudes at the pole on sheet II – for the (**a**) and (**c**) resonances, and on sheet IV – for the (**b**) resonances. Taking

$$S_{ii} = 1 + 2i\rho_i T_{ii}, \qquad S_{12} = 2i\sqrt{\rho_1\rho_2}T_{12}$$

with $\rho_i = \sqrt{(s-4m_i^2)/s}$ and the resonance part of the amplitude as

$$T_{ij}^{res} = \sum_r g_{ir}g_{rj}D_r^{-1}(s)$$

with $D_r(s)$ being an inverse propagator ($D_r(s) \propto s - s_r$), we obtain (in GeV units): for $f_0(600)$: $g_1 = 0.652 \pm 0.065$ and $g_2 = 0.724 \pm 0.1$, for $f_0(980)$: $g_1 = 0.167 \pm 0.05$ and $g_2 = 0.445 \pm 0.031$, for $f_0(1370)$: $g_1 = 0.116 \pm 0.03$ and $g_2 = 0.99 \pm 0.05$, for $f_0(1500)$: $g_1 = 0.657 \pm 0.113$ and $g_2 = 0.666 \pm 0.15$.

We can see that $f_0(980)$ and $f_0(1370)$ are coupled essentially more strongly to the $K\overline{K}$ system than to the $\pi\pi$ one, which implies the dominant $s\bar{s}$ component in these states. The $f_0(1500)$ has approximately equal coupling constants with the $\pi\pi$ and $K\overline{K}$ systems, which could point to its dominant glueball component. The $f_0(1710)$ is represented by the cluster corresponding to a dominant $s\bar{s}$ component.

Let us also present the calculated scattering lengths, first, for the $K\overline{K}$ scattering:

$$a_0^0 = -1.25 \pm 0.11 + (0.65 \pm 0.09)i, \ [m_{\pi^+}^{-1}]; \qquad \text{(variant1)},$$
$$a_0^0 = -1.548 \pm 0.13 + (0.634 \pm 0.1)i, \ [m_{\pi^+}^{-1}]; \qquad \text{(variant2)},$$
$$a_0^0 = -1.19 \pm 0.08 + (0.622 \pm 0.07)i, \ [m_{\pi^+}^{-1}]; \qquad \text{(variant3)},$$
$$a_0^0 = -1.58 \pm 0.12 + (0.59 \pm 0.1)i, \ [m_{\pi^+}^{-1}]; \qquad \text{(variant4)}.$$

Variants 2 and 4 include $f_0(1370)$. We can see that Re $a_0^0(K\overline{K})$ is very sensitive to whether this state exists or not.

In Table 2, we compare our results for the $\pi\pi$ scattering length with the results of some other theoretical and experimental works.

Our results correspond to the linear realization of chiral symmetry.

Table 2:

$a_0^0,\ m_{\pi^+}^{-1}$	References	Remarks
0.27 ± 0.06 (1) 0.267 ± 0.07 (2) 0.28 ± 0.05 (3) 0.27 ± 0.08 (4)	our paper	model-independent approach
0.26 ± 0.05	L. Rosselet et al.[14]	analysis of the decay $K \to \pi\pi e\nu$ using Roy's model
0.24 ± 0.09	A.A. Bel'kov et al.[14]	analysis of $\pi^- p \to \pi^+\pi^- n$ using the effective range formula
0.16	S. Weinberg [16]	current algebra (non-linear σ-model)
0.20	J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler [17]	one-loop corrections, non-linear realization of chiral symmetry
0.217	J. Bijnens et al.[18]	two-loop corrections, non- linear realization of chiral symmetry
0.26	M.K. Volkov [19]	linear realization of chiral symmetry
0.28	A.N.Ivanov, N.Troitskaya [20]	a variant of chiral theory with linear realization of chiral symmetry

2.2. Three-Channel Approach. We will take into account also the branch-point of the $\eta\eta$ threshold; *i.e.*, in this case, we have the 8-sheeted Riemann surface. However, it is impossible to map that surface onto a plane with the help of a simple function. With the help of a simple mapping, a function, determined on the 8-sheeted Riemann surface, can be uniformized only on torus. This is unsatisfactory for our purpose. Therefore, we neglect the influence of the $\pi\pi$-threshold branch point (however, unitarity on the $\pi\pi$-cut is taken into account). An approximation like that means the consideration of the nearest to the physical region semi-sheets of the Riemann surface. In fact, we construct a 4-sheeted model of the initial Riemann surface approximating it in accordance with our approach of a consistent account of the nearest singularities on all the relevant sheets. The uniformizing variable can be chosen as

$$w = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{\sqrt{m_\eta^2 - m_K^2}}. \tag{4}$$

It maps our model of the 8-sheeted Riemann surface onto the w-plane divided into two parts by a unit circle centered at the origin. The sheets I (III), II (IV), V (VII) and VI (VIII) are mapped onto the exterior (interior) of the unit disk in the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th quadrants, respectively. The physical region extends from the point w_π on the imaginary axis ($\pi\pi$ threshold, $|w_\pi| > 1$) down this axis to the point i on the unit circle ($K\overline{K}$ threshold), further along the unit circle clockwise in the 1st quadrant to point 1 on the real axis ($\eta\eta$ threshold) and then along the real axis to ∞. The type (**a**) resonance is represented in S_{11} by the pole on the image of the sheet II, of the sheet III, VI and VII and by zeros, symmetric to these poles with respect to the imaginary axis. Here the left-hand cuts are neglected in the Riemann-surface structure, and contributions on these cuts will be taken

into account in the background.

On the w-plane, the Le Couteur-Newton relations are

$$S_{11} = \frac{d^*(-w^*)}{d(w)}, \qquad S_{22} = \frac{d(-w^{-1})}{d(w)}, \qquad S_{33} = \frac{d(w^{-1})}{d(w)},$$
$$S_{11}S_{22} - S_{12}^2 = \frac{d^*(w^{*-1})}{d(w)}, \qquad S_{11}S_{33} - S_{13}^2 = \frac{d^*(-w^{*-1})}{d(w)}. \tag{5}$$

Taking the d-function as $d = d_B d_{res}$ where d_B, describing the background, is

$$d_B = \exp[-i\sum_{n=1}^{3} k_n(\alpha_n + i\beta_n)]. \tag{6}$$

The resonance part is

$$d_{res}(w) = w^{-\frac{M}{2}} \prod_{r=1}^{M}(w + w_r^*) \tag{7}$$

where M is the number of resonance zeros.

We analyzed the data on three processes $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta$. The $|S_{13}|^2$ data for $\pi\pi \to \eta\eta$ from the threshold to 1.72 GeV are taken from ref. [21]. Here we consider a variant with all five resonances. Furthermore, in view of a big amount of fitting parameters in this case, we are forced to reduce their amount assuming that single poles on the Riemann sheets are the result of the simple multichannel Breit–Wigner form. Then for the $\pi\pi$-scattering, we obtain a satisfactory description from ~ 0.4 GeV to 1.89 GeV ($\chi^2/\text{ndf} \approx 1.5$). Taking into account the the $\eta\eta$-threshold branch-point, we have considerably improved the description of the phase shift for the process $\pi\pi \to K\overline{K}$ ($\chi^2/\text{ndf} \approx 2.2$) by comparison with the 2-cannel case. The total χ^2/ndf for all three processes is 1.74; the number of adjusted parameters is 40. The background parameters (in GeV^{-1} units) are $\alpha_1 = 1.37, \beta_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = -1.43, \beta_2 = 0.2, \beta_3 = 0.928$. In Table 3, the obtained pole clusters for resonances are shown (poles on sheets V and VI, corresponding to the $f_0(1370)$ and $f_0(1710)$, are of the 2nd order; the $f_0(1500)$ cluster has a pole of the 3rd order on sheet VI and a pole of the 2nd order on each of sheets III, V and VII).

For now, we did not calculate coupling constants in the 3-channel approach, because here rather much variants of combinations of the resonance cluster types are possible and not all the ones are considered to choose the better variant, though already a satisfactory description is obtained. But according to cluster types, we can conclude something about the nature of the resonances considered. Our 3-channel conclusions generally confirm the ones on the basis of the 2-channel analysis, besides the surprising conclusion about the $f_0(980)$ nature. It turns out that this state lies slightly above the $K\overline{K}$ threshold and is described by a pole on sheet II and by a shifted pole on sheet III under the $\eta\eta$ threshold without an accompaniment of the corresponding poles on sheets VI and VII, as it was expected for standard clusters. This corresponds to the description of the $\eta\eta$ bound state.

3. Summary. On the basis of a combined description of the processes $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\overline{K}$ in the channel with $I^G J^{PC} = 0^+0^{++}$ with the parameterless representstion of the $\pi\pi$ background, a model-independent confirmation of the σ-meson below 1 GeV is obtained once more.

The existence of $f_0(600)$ with the properties of the σ-meson and the obtained $\pi\pi$-scattering length ($a_0^0(\pi\pi) \approx 0.27$) suggest the linear realization of chiral symmetry.

Table 3: Pole clusters for considered resonances on the 8-sheeted Riemann surface.

Sheet		II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
$f_0(600)$	E, MeV	683	672			627	638	
	Γ, MeV	600	600			600	600	
$f_0(980)$	E, MeV	1004	963					
	Γ, MeV	39	76					
$f_0(1370)$	E, MeV		1380	1380	1367	1361	1380	1380
	Γ, MeV		116	134	260	253	134	116
$f_0(1500)$	E, MeV	1505	1505	1505	1501	1515	1491	1505
	Γ, MeV	326	260	250	127	172	116	110
$f_0(1710)$	E, MeV		1702	1702	1711	1688	1702	1702
	Γ, MeV		30	150	145	162	150	30

The 2-channel analysis evidences that $f_0(980)$ and especially $f_0(1370)$ (if exists) have the dominant $s\bar{s}$ component. From the combined analysis of the processes $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\overline{K}, \eta\eta$, we obtain an additional indication for $f_0(980)$ to be the $\eta\eta$ bound state.

The best combined description of the processes $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\overline{K}$ is obtained with the states: $f_0(600)$, $f_0(980)$, $f_0(1500)$, and $f_0(1710)$ (without $f_0(1370)$). The $K\overline{K}$ scattering length is very sensitive to whether this latter state exists or not.

Since $f_0(1500)$ has approximately equal coupling constants with the $\pi\pi$ and $K\overline{K}$ systems, we conclude about its dominant glueball component. This is confirmed by our 3-channel analysis where $f_0(1500)$ is represented by the pole cluster corresponding to a flavour singlet, *e.g.*, glueball.

In the 2- and 3-channel considerations, $f_0(1710)$ is represented by the pole cluster corresponding to a state with the dominant $s\bar{s}$ component.

References

[1] K. Hagiwara *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 010001 (2002).

[2] N.A. Törnqvist and M. Roos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1575 (1996).

[3] V.V. Anisovich, D.V. Bugg and A.V. Sarantsev, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 111503 (1998).

[4] B.S. Zou and D.V. Bugg, Phys. Rev. D **48**, R3948 (1993); ibid. **50**, 591 (1994).

[5] M. Svec, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 2343 (1996).

[6] S. Ishida *et al.*, Progr. Theor. Phys. **95**, 745 (1996); ibid. **98**, 621 (1997).

[7] R. Kamiński, L. Leśniak and B. Loiseau, Eur. Phys. J. C **9**, 141 (1999).

[8] Yu.S. Surovtsev, D. Krupa and M.Nagy, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 054024; Eur. Phys. J. A **15**, 409 (2002).

[9] L. Li, B.-S. Zou and G.-lie Li, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 074003 (2001).

[10] D. Krupa, V.A. Meshcheryakov, Yu.S. Surovtsev, Nuovo Cim. **A109**, 281 (1996).

[11] D. Morgan and M.R. Pennington, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 1185 (1993).

[12] K.J. Le Couteur, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A256**, 115 (1960); R.G. Newton, J. Math. Phys.**2**, 188 (1961).

[13] B. Hyams *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **64**, 134 (1973); ibid. **100**, 205 (1975).

[14] A. Zylbersztejn *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **38**, 457 (1972); P. Sonderegger and P. Bonamy, in *Proc. 5th Intern. Conf. on Elementary Particles, Lund, 1969*, paper 372; J.R. Bensinger *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **36**, 134 (1971); J.P. Baton *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **33**, 525, 528 (1970); P. Baillon *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **38**, 555 (1972); L. Rosselet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 574 (1977); A.A. Kartamyshev *et al.*, Pis'ma v Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **25**, 68 (1977); A.A. Bel'kov *et al.*, Pis'ma v Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **29**, 652 (1979).

[15] W. Wetzel *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **115**, 208 (1976); V.A. Polychronakos *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 1317 (1979); P. Estabrooks, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2678 (1979); D. Cohen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2595 (1980); G. Costa *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **175**, 402 (1980); A. Etkin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 1786 (1982).

[16] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 616 (1966).

[17] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. **158**, 142 (1984).

[18] J. Bijnens *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **374**, 210 (1996).

[19] M.K. Volkov, Phys. Elem. Part. At. Nucl. **17**, part 3, 433 (1986).

[20] A.N. Ivanov and N.I. Troitskaya, Nuovo Cimento A **108**, 555 (1995).

[21] F. Binon *et al.*, Nuovo Cim. **A78**, 313 (1983).