ITP–SB–95–19
May, 1995

arXiv:hep-ph/9506402v2 30 Jun 1995

The soft pomeron and nonperturbative corrections in quark-quark scattering.

Irina A. Korchemskaya *

Institute for Theoretical Physics,
State University of New York at Stony Brook,
Stony Brook, New York 11794 – 3840, U.S.A.

and

Universitá di Parma and INFN,
Gruppo Collegato di Parma, I–43100 Parma, Italy

Abstract

We consider elastic quark-quark scattering at high energy with fixed momentum transfer t and perform factorization of soft-gluon exchanges into a vacuum expectation value of Wilson lines. Taking into account nonperturbative corrections whose structure is predicted from infrared renormalon analysis, we represent the scattering amplitude as an asymptotic series. In the region of small momentum transfer, where the nonperturbative corrections are dominant, the scattering amplitude is Gaussian distribution in t with a slope depending on a nonperturbative scale. A nonperturbative origin of the soft pomeron is thus identified.

*Irina@max.physics.sunysb.edu; on leave from the Moscow Energy Institute, Moscow, Russia

1. Introduction

Regge theory [1] explains a large class of experimental results for hadronic scattering amplitudes at high energy s and fixed transferred momentum t. After almost 35 years, however, it remains a challenge to understand Regge theory within the framework of fundamental quantum field theory. One such attempt led to the development of the BFKL pomeron [2], which describes the compound state of two reggeized gluons with vacuum quantum numbers. Found in the leading logarithmic approximation, the BKFL pomeron, sometimes called the hard pomeron, leads to scattering amplitudes which violate the Froissart bound. Recently [4], [5], [6] it was shown that in generalized leading logarithmic approximation QCD is described by an effective 2-dimensional field theory, which is equivalent to an XXX Heisenberg magnet of spin $s = 0$. This theory takes into account the propagation of infinite numbers of interacting Reggeons in the t-channel and restores the unitary of the S-matrix.

This paper is devoted to the "soft" pomeron [7] , [8]. While this idea is phenomenologically very successful [9], and we know from experiment the pomeron trajectory, $\alpha(t) = 1.08 + 0.25t$, a deep understanding how the pomeron appears in QCD is still lacking. It is widely believed that the soft pomeron has a nonperturbative origin. It was proposed in [10] to include nonperturbative effects through a modification of the gluon propagator. Another ideas, in particular the application of the method of the stochastic vacuum models were discussed in [11]. In [12] the high energy interactions were described in terms of a two-dimensional sigma-model action. The approach developed here follows from a different perspective. We shall exploit the viewpoint that perturbation theory itself can predict the form of nonperturbative corrections, and show that ambiguities of the perturbative series caused by infrared renormalons allow one to identify the structure of nonperturbative corrections. In case of e^+e^- annihilation, which admits the operator product expansion, the nonperturbative corrections can be parameterized by local vacuum condensates. Further analysis [14] revealed that the perturbative series is not Borel summable. The singularities of the Borel transform, which are called IR renormalons [13] imply that the physical quantity will be well defined if the ambiguity caused by IR renormalons is compensated by an ambiguity in the definition of local vacuum condensates. In [15] the idea of IR renormalons was generalized to hadronic processes (jet cross sections, inclusive Drell-Yan lepton-pair production) to which the operator product expansion is not applicable. It was found that nonperturbative corrections are parameterized by new parameters, associated with vacuum expectation values of nonlocal operators involving Wilson lines and the gluon field strength. The strength of the nonperturbative corrections is determined by the position of the leading renormalon. The relation between infrared renormalons and power corrections was also discussed in [16], [17], [18], [19], [20].

Analyzing high-energy hadronic scattering, we consider hadrons as consisting of partons. The soft pomeron is thought to couple to the valence quarks only. This additive quark rule is supported by much experimental data. Quark-quark scattering naturally involves into consideration the Wilson lines. In nonperturbative QCD the Wilson line appears, for example, in the representation of the quark propagator $S(x, y; A)$ as a sum over random paths between points x and y [22],[23] and it takes into account the interactions of gauge field with the color current created by the quark moving along the path. The remarkable fact, however, is that in high energy scattering, $s \gg -t$, the quarks move along the straight lines. In perturbative QCD the Wilson lines describes the infrared asymptotics of the quark propagator [21]. Moreover one can expand the Wilson line in powers of gauge fields and reproduce the eikonal approximation for

the interaction vertices of quark with soft gluons.

Our strategy is the following. We start with factorization of the soft gluon exchanges into a vacuum expectation value of Wilson lines and represent the quark-quark scattering amplitude as an expectation value of a Fourier transformed Wilson line, evaluated along an integration path which consists of two semiclassical quark trajectories, separated by an impact parameter in the transverse direction [24]. For the case in which the quarks are described by light-like Wilson lines the similar formula was proposed by Nachtmann [25]. We shall go on and find the expression for the quark-quark elastic scattering amplitude, which resembles an eikonal formula of Cheng and Wu [3]. We shall derive it, however, from the renormalization properties of the cross singularities of Wilson loops [24].

Calculated to the lowest order of perturbation theory the quark-quark scattering amplitude gets large perturbative corrections such as $(\alpha_s \log s \log t)^n$. Resummation of these Sudakov corrections can be performed using an "evolution equation" technique [26], [27]. In the present paper, we generalize the method [24] to perform the resummation of both kind of corrections: perturbative and nonperturbative in the impact parameter space. The perturbative Sudakov corrections come from very soft virtual gluons with transverse momenta much smaller than the hadronic scale. In this region the nonperturbative corrections are very large. As we will show below, they have the form of power corrections $(\rho\Lambda^2_{QCD}b^2)^n$, where ρ is a parameter characterizing the nonperturbative interactions, while the perturbative corrections behave as $(\alpha_s \log b^2)^n$. The dependence of the scattering amplitude on t comes from the dependence of the Wilson lines on the impact parameter b. This means that we expect a more or less realistic prediction for the t dependence of the scattering amplitude, and our soft pomeron predicts a linear Regge trajectory. It is problematic to find the intercept of the soft pomeron from our model and we can only say that it is close to unity.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the application of the Wilson loop formalism to quark-quark scattering [24],[28]. In Section 3 we show that the Wilson loop in perturbation theory contains an ambiguous contribution to power corrections caused by infrared renormalons. In Section 4 using the prediction for the asymptotics of the cross anomalous dimension [28] in all orders of perturbation theory we include the nonperturbative corrections in the expression for the scattering amplitude, and represent the scattering amplitude as a Mellin integral. In Section 5 we calculate the scattering amplitude for the case of a frozen coupling constant. In Section 6 we consider the case of a running coupling constant and represent the scattering amplitude as an asymptotic series. Here we discuss the origin of the asymptotic expansion as a consequence of the presence of a singularity in the running coupling constant. We also derive the main results of this analysis: a Gaussian distribution over transferred momenta in the scattering amplitude, shrinkage of the distribution with increasing energy, and a crossover region in the differential cross-section. Section 7 contains concluding remarks.

2. Scattering amplitude in perturbative QCD

We consider near forward elastic quark-quark scattering at high energy and fixed transferred momentum in the following kinematics:

$$s,\ m^2 \gg -t \gg \lambda^2 \geq \Lambda^2_{QCD}\,.$$

Here $s=(p_1+p_2)^2$ is the invariant energy of quarks with mass m , $t=(p_1-p_1')^2$ is the transferred momentum and λ^2 is an IR cutoff. Let us explain the origin of this kinematics. The quark-

quark scattering should be thought of as embedded in a physical process involving hadron-hadron elastic or inelastic scattering. Considered in isolation, the q-q scattering amplitude has IR divergences and an IR cutoff is necessary. In exclusive and inclusive physical processes involving the q-q scattering, the IR divergences are canceled and the IR cutoff is replaced by a dynamically generated transverse momentum scale of the hadronic state. Therefore for a purely perturbative calculation we should choose $\lambda^2 \gg \Lambda^2_{QCD}$. To include nonperturbative effects, however, we find it useful to relax this condition such as $\lambda^2 \geq \Lambda^2_{QCD}$. As a particular example of hadronic state one can consider the perturbative onium state [29] built from heavy quarks with mass m. In this case the mass m has a meaning of the transverse size of the hadron.

In the center of mass frame of the incoming quarks, quark momenta have the following light-cone components: $p_1 = m/\sqrt{2}(e^{\gamma/2}, e^{-\gamma/2}, \vec{0})$ and $p_2 = m/\sqrt{2}(e^{-\gamma/2}, e^{\gamma/2}, \vec{0})$. In the limit $s \gg m^2$ the angle γ between quark velocities become large and both quark move close to the "+" and "-" light-cone directions. The components of the total momentum transfer $k = p_1 - p_1'$ are $k^+ = -k^- = O(t/\sqrt{s})$ and $\vec{k}^2 = O(t)$. Thus, in the limit $s \gg -t$ we can neglect the longitudinal components of transferred momentum and put $k = (0^+, 0^-, \vec{k})$. Since the transferred momentum is much smaller than the energies of incoming quarks, the quarks interact each other by exchanging soft gluons in the t-channel with total momentum k. Interacting with each of soft gluons quark does not alter its velocity in the limit $-t \ll m^2$ and thus the only effect of its interaction is the appearance of an additional phase in the quark wave function. This phase, the so-called eikonal phase, is equal to a Wilson line $P\exp(i\int_C dx_\mu A_\mu(x))$ evaluated along the classical trajectory C of quark in the direction of the quark velocity. We combine the eikonal phases of both quarks and obtain the representation for the scattering amplitude as [24]:

$$T_{ij}^{i'j'}(\frac{s}{m^2}, \frac{k^2}{\lambda^2}) = \sinh\gamma \int d^2b e^{-i\vec{b}\cdot\vec{k}} W_{ij}^{i'j'}(\gamma, \vec{b}^2\lambda^2)\,, \qquad t = -\vec{k}^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where the line function $W_{ij}^{i'j'}$ is given by

$$W_{ij}^{i'j'}(\gamma, \vec{b}^2\lambda^2) = \langle 0|T\left[P\exp\left(ig\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\alpha\, v_1\cdot A(v_1\alpha)\right)\right]_i^{i'}\left[P\exp\left(ig\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\beta\, v_2\cdot A(v_2\beta+b)\right)\right]_j^{j'}|0\rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

Here the line function $W_{ij}^{i'j'}$ contains color indices of both incoming (i,j) and outgoing (i',j') quarks. The two Wilson lines are defined in the fundamental representation of the SU(N) gauge group and evaluated along infinite paths in the direction of the quark velocities $v_1 = p_1/m$ and $v_2 = p_2/m$. The integration paths are separated by impact vector $b = (0^+, 0^-, \vec{b})$ in the transverse direction, $v_1\cdot b = v_2\cdot b = 0$.

The scattering amplitude (2.1) depends on the quark velocities v_1 and v_2, the transferred momentum k and the IR cutoff λ. These variables give rise to only two scalar dimensionless invariants: $(v_1v_2) = s/m^2$ and t/λ^2, as explicitly indicated in (2.1). The s-dependence of the amplitude comes from the dependence on the angle γ between quark four-velocities v_1 and v_2, defined in Minkowski space-time as $(v_1v_2) = \cosh\gamma$, while its t-dependence is related to the dependence of the line function on the impact vector b. In the limit of high-energy quark-quark scattering ($s \gg m^2$) we have

$$\gamma = \log\frac{s}{m^2} \gg 1\,. \tag{2.3}$$

The line function $W_{ij}^{i'j'}$ is divergent for $b = 0$. This divergence, the so called cross divergence, has an ultraviolet origin, because for $b = 0$ the integration paths of Wilson lines (See Fig. 1a)

cross each other. According to the general analysis in [30], the Wilson line $(W_1)_{ij}^{i'j'}$ of Fig. 1a is mixed under renormalization with the Wilson line $(W_2)_{ij}^{i'j'}$ of Fig. 1b. As a consequence, the renormalized line functions W_1 and W_2 satisfy the following renormalization group equation:

$$\left(\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}+\beta(g)\frac{\partial}{\partial g}\right)W_a=-\Gamma^{ab}_{\rm cross}(\gamma,g)W_b \qquad a,b=1,2, \tag{2.4}$$

where $W_a\equiv(W_a)_{ij}^{i'j'}$ and μ is the renormalization scale. Here, $\Gamma^{ab}_{\rm cross}$ is the cross anomalous dimension, which is a gauge-invariant 2×2 matrix, depending only on the coupling constant and the angle γ between the lines at the cross point. Solving the RG equation for $W_1(\gamma,\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda^2})$ with the boundary conditions $W_1(\gamma,1)=\delta_{i'i}\delta_{j'j}$ and $W_2(\gamma,1)=\delta_{j'i}\delta_{i'j}$ and identifying the UV cutoff μ^2 with $1/b^2$ we find the following expression for the scattering amplitude [24], [28]:

$$T_{ij}^{i'j'}\left(\frac{s}{m^2},\frac{k^2}{\lambda^2}\right)=\sinh\gamma\int d^2b e^{-i\vec{b}\cdot\vec{k}}(A_{11}(\gamma,b^2\lambda^2)\delta_{i'i}\delta_{j'j}+A_{12}(\gamma,b^2\lambda^2)\delta_{j'i}\delta_{i'j})\,, \tag{2.5}$$

where A_{11} and A_{12} are elements of the 2×2 matrix

$$A(\gamma,b^2\lambda^2)=\mathrm{T}\exp\left(-\int_\lambda^{1/\mathrm{b}}\Gamma_{\rm cross}(\gamma,\alpha_{\rm s}(\tau))\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\tau}\right)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

We conclude that the asymptotic behavior of the scattering amplitude is governed by the matrix of the cross anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{\rm cross}(\gamma,\alpha_s)$. The expression (2.6) takes into account not only all $\log t$ and $\log s$ corrections but nonperturbative corrections as well. As follows from (2.6), the t-dependence originates from the evolution of the coupling constant.

The one-loop expression for the matrix cross anomalous dimension is

$$\Gamma_{\rm cross}(\gamma,g)=\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\Gamma_{\rm cross}(\gamma),$$

$$\Gamma_{\rm cross}(\gamma)=\begin{pmatrix}-\frac{i\pi}{N}\coth\gamma & i\pi\coth\gamma\\ -\gamma\coth\gamma+1+i\pi\coth\gamma & N(\gamma\coth\gamma-1)-\frac{i\pi}{N}\coth\gamma\end{pmatrix}\,. \tag{2.7}$$

In the large s limit we have the following expressions for the eigenvalues of the matrix $\Gamma_{\rm cross}(\gamma)$:

$$\Gamma_+=N\log\frac{s}{m^2}-N-\frac{2i\pi}{N}+O(\log^{-1}\frac{s}{m^2}), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\Gamma_-=\pi^2\frac{N^2-1}{N^3}\log^{-1}\frac{s}{m^2}+O(\log^{-2}\frac{s}{m^2})\,. \tag{2.9}$$

The scattering amplitude can be decomposed into singlet and octet invariant amplitudes corresponding to exchanges in the t-channel with quantum numbers of the vacuum and the gluon, respectively:

$$T_{ij}^{i'j'}=\delta_{i'j}\delta_{j'j}T^{(0)}+t^a_{i'i}t^a_{j'j}T^{(8)}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Using the one-loop expression (2.7) for the matrix $\Gamma_{\rm cross}(\gamma,g)$ we find the following expressions for the invariant amplitudes:

$$T^{(0)}=-\sinh\gamma(\frac{\Gamma_-}{\Gamma_+}T_+-T_-)\,, \tag{2.11}$$

$$T^{(8)} = 2i\pi \cosh\gamma \frac{1}{\Gamma_+}(T_+ - T_-) \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$T_\pm = \int d^2b e^{-i\vec{b}\vec{k}} \exp\left(-\Gamma_\pm \int_\lambda^{1/b} \frac{\alpha(\tau)}{\pi}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}\right). \tag{2.13}$$

In the leading $\log t$ and $\log s$ approximation the result for the invariant scattering amplitude has the standard reggeized form [31]:

$$T^{(0)} = 0 \qquad T^{(8)} = T_{Born}\left(\frac{s}{m^2}\right)^{\alpha(t)}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $T_{Born} = \frac{ig^2}{t}\frac{s}{m^2}$. The nonleading $\log s$ corrections drastically change the behavior of the scattering amplitude. Indeed, the functions $T_\pm$ (2.13) have a Regge-like behavior, and for $\Gamma_+ \gg \Gamma_-$ we have $T_+ \ll T_-$. Thus, the high-energy behavior of the invariant scattering amplitudes (2.11), (2.12) is dominated by the contribution of T_-, and as a consequence the amplitude of the octet exchange is suppressed by the factor $1/\Gamma_+ = 0(\log^{-1}(s/m^2))$ compared to the amplitude of the singlet exchange. Therefore we will start from the main result of perturbative QCD:

$$T^{(0)} = \sinh\gamma T_- \tag{2.15}$$

$$T^{(8)} = -\frac{2i\pi}{\Gamma_+}\cosh\gamma T_-. \tag{2.16}$$

3. IR renormalons in Wilson loops

Expression (2.13) for the scattering amplitude was found by summing all large Sudakov logarithms which were artificially extracted from the uniquely defined scattering amplitude $T_{ij}^{i'j'}$ (2.1). As a result the perturbative expansion for the scattering amplitude, as we will show in this section, is not well defined, and has ambiguities associated with IR renormalons. To restore the uniqueness of the physical quantity, the perturbative expression should be supplemented by nonperturbative corrections. However, we have to pay for this by introducing a new scale, which characterizes the size of nonperturbative effects. We do not know how to evaluate the nonperturbative corrections but we may predict in general their structure and dependence on impact parameter b by exploiting the idea of IR renormalons.

The occurrence of infrared renormalons in the amplitude may be seen explicitly in an "improved" calculation of the Wilson line (WL) expectation value by replacing α_s by the running coupling constant $\alpha_s(\vec{k}^2)$ [15]. As an example, we consider the one-loop calculation of W_1 of Fig. 2 in Feynman gauge, and find the structure of the nonperturbative correction for this particular diagram.

$$W_1 = 4(t^a \otimes t^a)\tilde{\mu}^{4-D}(p_1p_2)\int \frac{d^Dk}{(2\pi)^D}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\alpha \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\beta e^{i(p_1\beta+b)k} e^{-i(p_2k)\alpha}\frac{i\alpha_s(\vec{k}^2)}{k^2+io}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $D = 4-2\varepsilon$, $d^Dk = dk^+dk^-d^{D-2}\vec{k}$. After integration over α, β and $k_\pm$ in (3.1) we get the vacuum average of the WL as an integral over the gluon transverse momenta:

$$W_1 = 4(t^a \otimes t^a)\tilde{\mu}^{4-D}(-i\pi\coth\gamma)\int \frac{d^{D-2}\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^{D-2}}\frac{\alpha_s(\vec{k}^2)}{\vec{k}^2 - io}e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{b}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Notice that after integration over $\vec{k}^2$, even for fixed coupling constant this expression contains infrared poles in ε. To regularize IR divergences we have introduced dimensional regularization, with scale $\tilde{\mu}$. The IR cutoff λ (see Sect. 2) is neglected for this argument, and we may assume for a moment that $\lambda \ll \Lambda$. The cross divergence does not appear for nonzero impact parameter b, because b regularizes the gluon propagator at short distances. Let us now review how IR renormalons appear [15].

After substitution of the relation $\alpha_s(\vec{k}^2) = \int_0^\infty d\sigma (\vec{k}^2/\Lambda^2)^{-\sigma\beta_1}$ into (3.2), and after integration over transverse momenta, we get

$$W_1 = -(t^a \otimes t^a)\frac{1}{\pi}(-i\pi\coth\gamma)(\pi\tilde{\mu}^2 b^2)^\epsilon \int \frac{d\sigma}{(\varepsilon+\beta_1\sigma)}\frac{\Gamma(1-\varepsilon-\beta_1\sigma)}{\Gamma(1+\beta_1\sigma)}\left(\frac{b^2\Lambda^2}{4}\right)^{\beta_1\sigma}. \qquad (3.3)$$

We notice that $\left(\frac{b^2\Lambda^2}{4}\right)^{\beta_1\sigma} = e^{-\sigma/\alpha_s}$ with $\alpha_s = \alpha_s(4/b^2)$. We thus identify the right-hand side of (3.3) as the Borel representation

$$\pi(\alpha_s) = \int_0^\infty d\sigma \tilde{\pi}(\sigma) e^{-\sigma/\alpha_s} \qquad (3.4)$$

of $\pi(\alpha_s) \equiv W_1$, with

$$\pi(\alpha_s) = -(t^a \otimes t^a)\frac{1}{\pi}(-i\pi\coth\gamma)(\pi\tilde{\mu}^2 b^2)^\epsilon \int \frac{d\sigma}{(\varepsilon+\beta_1\sigma)}\frac{\Gamma(1-\varepsilon-\beta_1\sigma)}{\Gamma(1+\beta_1\sigma)}. \qquad (3.5)$$

The limit $\sigma \to 0$ produces a singularity in ε due to IR divergences in (3.1), because we have neglected the IR cutoff λ. Away from $\sigma = 0$ we put $\varepsilon = 0$ and find that the function $\tilde{\pi}(\sigma)$ has singularities generated by Γ -function at $\sigma^* = 1/\beta_1, 2/\beta_1, 3/\beta_1, \ldots$. These are the infrared renormalons. The result of integration in (3.4) depends on the regularization prescription. That is, the factor $e^{-\sigma^*/\alpha_s} = (b^2\Lambda^2)^n$ induces an ambiguity in the scattering amplitude at the level of the power corrections $O(b^2\Lambda^2)^n$. This fact, together with the observation that the infrared renormalons come from region of small gluon momenta ($k \sim \Lambda$) where the coupling constant becomes large and where we expect the appearance of nonperturbative corrections, implies that the nonperturbative corrections are power corrections. The first renormalon gives a contribution at the level $O(b^2\Lambda^2)$. Thus, for the WL to be well defined, nonperturbative effects should contribute at the same level. Hence the nonperturbative correction has the following form:

$$\rho(t^a \otimes t^a)(i\pi\coth\gamma)(b^2\Lambda^2), \qquad (3.6)$$

where ρ is some parameter characterizing their size.

Let us consider the color matrix structure of nonperturbative corrections. The direct product of the gauge group generators can be decomposed into the sum of invariant tensors

$$t^a_{ij}t^a_{kl} = -\frac{1}{2N}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}.$$

Therefore the structure $(t^a \otimes t^a)(i\pi\coth\gamma)$, which corresponds to the particular configuration W_1 of Fig. 2, reproduces the first two elements of the matrix cross anomalous dimensions (2.7). Notice that the structure $i\pi\coth\gamma$ containing the dependence on γ is factorized after integrating over α and β-Wilson line parameters and over $k_\pm$. Evidently the angle and group structure of the one-loop diagrams of W_2 reproduce the other two elements of the matrix cross anomalous

dimension (2.7). Therefore we conclude that the factorized matrix structure of nonperturbative corrections is exactly $\Gamma_{\rm cross}(\gamma)$.

In summary, we may represent W_1 as a sum of perturbative and nonperturbative terms as

$$W_a(\gamma,\lambda^2 b^2)=\left(\delta_{ab}+\Gamma^{ab}_{\rm cross}(\gamma)\int_\lambda^{1/b}\frac{\alpha(\tau)}{\pi}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}-\Gamma^{ab}_{\rm cross}(\gamma)\frac{\rho}{\pi}\Lambda^2 b^2\right)W_b(\gamma,1)\,, \tag{3.7}$$

where $a=1$, $b=1,2$ and λ is IR cutoff. We must emphasize that we choose $\lambda\geq\Lambda$ to be able to consider the influence of nonperturbative effects. In general the nonperturbative parameter ρ depends on the IR cutoff λ. We recall that in this section and afterward Λ is fundamental QCD scale.

4. Full scattering amplitude

It is now natural to generalize the exponentiated expressions (2.13), (2.15), (2.16) to include the nonperturbative corrections. The Wilson lines (2.2) are defined beyond perturbation theory. In perturbation theory, however, we know the renormalization group equation (2.4) for the Wilson line and its solution. That is why it is natural to consider the exponentiation of nonperturbative corrections as well as perturbative. In fact at one-loop level, the matrix structures of perturbative and nonperturbative corrections are the same. The origin of this property is the following.

As was shown in the previous section, in Feynman diagrams contributing to the Wilson loop nonperturbative corrections come from the integration over small transverse momenta. At the same time, the γ - dependence appears when one integrates over small angles between gluon momenta and quark momenta . Since integrations over small angles are completely independent of the integration over small transverse momenta, the γ- dependence of both perturbative and nonperturbative results coincides. In the paper [28] it was shown that higher order corrections preserve the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues of the matrix cross anomalous dimension. Together, these arguments imply that nonperturbative corrections have $\Gamma_-\sim 1/\gamma$ and $\Gamma_+\sim\gamma$ asymptotics. Therefore the generalization can be performed in the same manner as the one-loop example before (3.7)

$$T_\pm(\vec{k}^2)=\int d^2b e^{-i\vec{b}\cdot\vec{k}}\exp\left(-\Gamma_\pm\int_\lambda^{1/b}\frac{\alpha(\tau)}{\pi}\frac{d\tau}{\tau}-\Gamma_\pm\frac{\rho}{\pi}\Lambda^2b^2\right). \tag{4.1}$$

For small values of b the scattering amplitude gets its main contribution from the perturbative region. At large b the nonperturbative corrections become important.

For invariant amplitudes we find expressions like (2.17) and (2.18):

$$T^{(0)}=\sinh\gamma T_- \tag{4.2}$$

$$T^{(8)}=-\frac{2i\pi}{\Gamma_+}\cosh\gamma T_- \tag{4.3}$$

We expect that the condition $T_+\ll T_-$ is conserved. Moreover, this condition is provided explicitly by the structure $\frac{\rho}{\pi}\Gamma_+\Lambda^2b^2$ in the argument of the exponent, because in high energy limit $\Gamma_+\to\infty$.

Now we come to the main problem of our paper : how to evaluate the integral over impact parameter $\vec{b}$ in the expression for T_- (4.1). We will use the method of representation of the Fourier transformation via a Mellin transformation, which was so elegantly applied to the Drell-Yan process [32]. We should mention also the papers [33], which contain some interesting calculations based on Mellin transformation technique. Let us briefly formulate this method.

If we define

$$T_M(s) = \int \frac{d^2b}{b^2}(\Lambda^2 b^2)^s \tilde{T}_-(b^2)\,, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\tilde{T}_-(b^2)$ is the Fourier transform of $T_-(\vec{k}^2)$:

$$\tilde{T}_-(b^2) = \int \frac{d^2\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\vec{b}\cdot\vec{k}}\, T_-(\vec{k}^2)\,,$$

then the Mellin theorem gives us $T_-(\vec{k}^2)$ in the following form:

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{4\pi}{k^2}\int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} ds \left(\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4\Lambda^2}\right)^s \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)} T_M(s)\,, \qquad 0<\delta<1\,, \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$T_M(s) = \int \frac{db^2}{b^2}(\Lambda^2 b^2)^s \exp\left(-\Gamma_- \int_\lambda^{1/b} \frac{\alpha(\tau)}{\pi}\frac{d\tau}{\tau} - \Gamma_-\frac{\rho}{\pi}\Lambda^2 b^2\right). \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore we start our detailed calculation of the scattering amplitude with (4.5) and (4.6). We consider two cases: frozen and running coupling constants.

5. Scattering amplitude for a frozen coupling constant

First we consider the situation when the coupling constant does not run. For this case, one will be able to get an exact answer for the scattering amplitude. Let us rewrite expression (4.6) for $T_M(s)$ taking into account that $\alpha_s(\tau) \equiv \alpha_s$. We get

$$T_M(s) = \int \frac{db^2}{b^2}(\Lambda^2 b^2)^s \exp\left(\frac{\alpha_s\Gamma_-}{2\pi}\log\lambda^2 b^2 - \frac{\rho}{\pi}\Gamma_- b^2\Lambda^2\right). \tag{5.1}$$

It is convenient to denote

$$\alpha = \frac{\alpha_s\Gamma_-}{2\pi}\,,$$
$$R^2 = \frac{\rho}{\pi}\Gamma_-\Lambda^2\,. \tag{5.2}$$

From the beginning let us integrate in b-space, and after that concentrate our efforts on the integration over s. Evidently, $T_M(s)$ is proportional the Gamma-function. Substituting the expression obtained for $T_M(s)$ in the expression (4.5) for the scattering amplitude, in which it is useful to shift the integration variable $s \to s-1$, one finds

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = \frac{1}{2i\pi}\frac{\pi}{R^2}\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{R^2}\right)^\alpha \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} ds \left(\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4R^2}\right)^s \frac{\Gamma(-s)\Gamma(1+s+\alpha)}{\Gamma(s+1)}\,. \tag{5.3}$$

Note, if we deal with only nonperturbative corrections ($\alpha = \alpha_s\Gamma_-/2\pi \equiv 0$) the expression (5.3) is simplified. Imagining the contour to envelope the right half plane and summing over all residues of $\Gamma(-s)$ we find

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = \frac{\pi}{R^2}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^s}{s!}\left(\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4R^2}\right)^s = \frac{\pi}{R^2}e^{-\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4R^2}}\,. \tag{5.4}$$

This answer coincides, of course, with the result of direct integration over impact parameter $\vec{b}$ in the expression (4.1) for T_-, and sheds some light on the occurance of the Gaussian distribution on transferred momentum.

Let us continue and recall the definition of the confluent hypergeometrical function (CHF) by means of Mellin integral representation (see Appendix). Taking into account the remarkable property of the CHF (A.2), and identifying $a = \alpha + 1$, $c = 1$, $x = \frac{\vec{k}^2}{4R^2}$, one obtains

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = \frac{\pi}{R^2}\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{R^2}\right)^{\alpha} e^{-x}F(-\alpha, 1, x)\Gamma(1+\alpha)\,. \tag{5.5}$$

A more detailed form of this expression, using definition (5.2), is

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = \frac{\pi^2}{\rho\Lambda^2\Gamma_-}\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{\Lambda^2}\frac{\pi}{\rho\Gamma_-}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_s\Gamma_-}{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4\Lambda^2}\frac{\pi}{\rho\Gamma_-}} F\left(-\frac{\alpha_s\Gamma_-}{2\pi},\, 1, -\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4\Lambda^2}\frac{\pi}{\rho\Gamma_-}\right)\Gamma(1+\frac{\alpha_s\Gamma_-}{2\pi})\,. \tag{5.6}$$

This is the exact expression for the scattering amplitude in the case when the coupling constant does not run. Let us find the asymptotics of (5.5) using the properties of the CHF (A.3), (A.4). There are two limiting cases to consider: $x \ll 1$ and $x \gg 1$, and we certainly have a critical value of transferred momentum $k_{\rm crit}$ such that

$$\frac{\vec{k}^2_{\rm crit}}{4\Lambda^2} = \frac{\rho}{\pi}\Gamma_- = \rho\pi\frac{N^2-1}{N^3}\frac{1}{\log s/m^2}\,, \tag{5.7}$$

which corresponds to $x \equiv \frac{\vec{k}^2}{4R^2} = 1$. At this value there is a crossover between rapid Gaussian decrease over $\vec{k}$ in the scattering amplitude and slower Regge dependence in t.

- 1. $k \ll k_{\rm crit}$, which corresponds to $x \ll 1$.

Substituting (A.3) in (5.5) we get

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = \frac{\pi}{R^2}\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{R^2}\right)^{\alpha} e^{-x}(1 - \alpha(x - \psi(1)) + \ldots), \tag{5.8}$$

where $\psi(x) = d\log\Gamma(x)/dx$. The result is a Gaussian distribution over the transferred momentum.

- 2. $k \gg k_{\rm crit}$, which corresponds to $x \gg 1$.

Substituting (A.4) in (5.5) we get

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = 2\alpha_s\frac{\Gamma_-}{-\vec{k}^2}\left(\frac{s}{m^2}\right)^{\frac{\Gamma_-}{\Gamma_+}\alpha(t)}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\,. \tag{5.9}$$

The result has the standard reggeized form with Regge trajectory $\alpha(t) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}N\log\frac{-t}{4\lambda^2}$ and $-t = \vec{k}^2$.

6. The scattering amplitude as an asymptotic series: Running coupling constant.

Let us substitute the expression for the running coupling constant, $\alpha_s(\tau) = 1/(\beta_1 \log \frac{\tau^2}{\Lambda^2})$ in (4.6) and integrate over τ. Then

$$T_M(s) = \int \frac{db^2}{b^2} (\Lambda^2 b^2)^s \exp(-\frac{\Gamma_-}{2\pi\beta_1} \log \frac{\log \frac{1}{\Lambda^2 b^2}}{\log \frac{\lambda^2}{\Lambda^2}} - \frac{\rho}{\pi} \Gamma_- b^2 \Lambda^2)\,. \tag{6.1}$$

To evaluate a double integral like (4.5), Collins and Soper proposed in [32] a saddle point approximation. For the Drell-Yan process this method is applicable because in the high energy limit the nonperturbative corrections play no role, and the saddle point lies in the perturbative region. In quark-quark scattering, however, the application of this method is doubtful from the beginning because the factor Γ_-, which appears in the argument of the exponent in (6.1), approaches zero in the high energy limit. Moreover, the position of the saddle point is very sensitive to the nonperturbative parameter ρ. The saddle point may lie in perturbative region or out of it. That is why we suggest a method of evaluating the integral based on the sum of the contributions from all poles in s.

It is useful now to introduce new variables,

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \frac{\Gamma_-}{2\pi\beta_1}\,, \\ R^2 &= \frac{\rho}{\pi}\Gamma_-\Lambda^2\,, \\ \theta &= \log\frac{\lambda^2}{\Lambda^2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Using an α-representation for $\frac{1}{(\log \frac{1}{\Lambda^2 b^2})^n}$ and integrating over b in (6.1), one finds

$$T_M(s) = \frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^{i\infty} \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{R^2}\right)^{s+\alpha} \alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(s+\alpha) d\alpha\,. \tag{6.3}$$

The integral $T_M(s)$ is not well defined at $i\infty$ because the expression $\frac{1}{(\log \frac{1}{\Lambda^2 b^2})^n}$ is divergent at $b^2 = 1/\Lambda^2$. This is a consequence of the divergence in the running coupling constant at $\vec{k}^2 = \Lambda^2$. Taking this remark into account, let us go ahead and try to represent the integral as an asymptotic series. We also find the condition under which the asymptotic series can be approximated by the first terms and show that it is reasonable.

In the expression (4.5) for $T_-(\vec{k}^2)$ we shift variable s to $s-1$ and substitute the result (6.3) for $T_M(s)$. We get,

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = \frac{1}{2i\pi}\frac{\pi}{R^2}\frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} ds \left(\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4R^2}\right)^s \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_0^{i\infty} \Gamma(1+s+\alpha) \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{R^2}\right)^{\alpha} \alpha^{n-1} d\alpha\,. \tag{6.4}$$

We notice that this expression is similar to (5.3) except for the integration over α. Using the definition of the CHF by means of Mellin integral representation (A.1), taking into account the

property (A.2) of the CHF and identifying $a = \alpha + 1$, $c = 1$, $x = \frac{\vec{k}^2}{4R^2}$, one obtains

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = \frac{\pi}{R^2}\frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} e^{-\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4R^2}} \int_0^{i\infty} \Gamma(1+\alpha) F(-\alpha, 1, x) \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{R^2}\right)^{\alpha} \alpha^{n-1} d\alpha \,. \tag{6.5}$$

As in Section 5 we concentrate our attention on the two limiting cases. The critical value of the transferred momentum is determined as before by (5.7).

- 1. $k \ll k_{\rm crit}$, which corresponds to $x \ll 1$.

Substituting (A.3) in (6.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_-(\vec{k}^2) &= \frac{\pi}{R^2}\frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} e^{-\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4R^2}} \int_0^{i\infty} \Gamma(1+\alpha)(1 - x\alpha + \ldots) \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{R^2}\right)^{\alpha} \alpha^{n-1} d\alpha \\ &= \frac{\pi}{R^2}\frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} e^{-\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4R^2}} \left(J_1 - x J_2 + \ldots\right) , \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where

$$J_1 = \int_0^{i\infty} \Gamma(1+\alpha) \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{R^2}\right)^{\alpha} \alpha^{n-1} d\alpha \,, \tag{6.7}$$

$$J_2 = \int_0^{i\infty} \Gamma(1+\alpha) \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{R^2}\right)^{\alpha} \alpha^{n} d\alpha \,. \tag{6.8}$$

One can easily determine corrections by keeping more terms in the expansion of the CHF. Consider the integral J_1 (6.7) and represent it as an asymptotic series. In this case, one can turn the contour from $(0, i\infty)$ to $(0, \infty)$ or change variable α to $i\alpha$. After expanding the Gamma function $\Gamma(1+\alpha)$ as a series in powers of α and integrating over α we get

$$J_1 = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^{(r)}(1)}{r!} \frac{\Gamma(n+r)}{\left(\log\frac{R^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^{n+r}} = \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\left(\log\frac{R^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^{n}} \left(1 + \frac{n\psi(1)}{\log\frac{R^2}{\Lambda^2}} + \frac{n(n+1)(\psi'(1) + \psi^2(1))}{\left(\log\frac{R^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^2} + \ldots\right) . \tag{6.9}$$

We now have the expression (6.9) for J_1 in terms of an asymptotic series. The first terms of this series yield accurate value for J_1 when

$$|\log\frac{R^2}{\Lambda^2}| = |\log\frac{\vec{k}^2_{\rm crit}}{4\Lambda^2}| \gg 1 \tag{6.10}$$

Note that in high energy limit $n \to 0$ and therefore we didn't include n in the condition (6.10). J_2 differs from J_1 only by an additional power of α in the integral. It means that the contributions from J_2 are suppressed compared to those from J_1. Evidently the same argument can be applied to $J_i = \int_0^{i\infty} \Gamma(1+\alpha) \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{R^2}\right)^{\alpha} \alpha^{n-2+i} d\alpha$, $i = 3, 4, ...$ Therefore we conclude that the scattering amplitude can be approximated under the condition (6.10) by the first terms of the asymptotic series:

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = \frac{\pi}{R^2}\frac{\theta^n}{\left(\log\frac{R^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^{n}} e^{-\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4R^2}} \left(1 + \frac{n}{\log\frac{R^2}{\Lambda^2}}(\psi(1) - x + O(x^2)) + O\left(\frac{1}{\left(\log\frac{R^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^2}\right) + \ldots\right) . \tag{6.11}$$

A more detailed form, using definition (6.2) is

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = \frac{\pi^2}{\rho\Lambda^2\Gamma_-} e^{-\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4\Lambda^2}\frac{\pi}{\rho\Gamma_-}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma_-}{2\pi\beta_1}\log\frac{\log\frac{\rho\Gamma_-}{\pi}}{\log\frac{\lambda^2}{\Lambda^2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{2\rho\beta_1}\frac{1}{\log\frac{\rho\Gamma_-}{\pi}}\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4\Lambda^2}+\dots\right). \quad (6.12)$$

Therefore we have the Gaussian distribution over transferred momentum. Let us investigate in more detail the first exponent in (6.12). Using the expression (2.9) for the eigenvalue Γ_- one can rewrite it as

$$\left(\frac{s}{m^2}\right)^{-\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4\Lambda^2}\frac{1}{\pi\rho}\frac{N^3}{N^2-1}} \quad (6.13)$$

This means that by increasing energy s the bulk of the diffraction peak, which is concentrated for

$$t < \frac{2\Lambda^2}{\pi\rho}\frac{N^2-1}{N^3}\frac{1}{\log s/m^2}\,,$$

becomes narrower. This phenomena is called shrinkage, which we have thus derived from IR renormalon analysis. The slope of the soft gluon trajectory is

$$\alpha' = \frac{1}{4\Lambda^2}\frac{1}{\pi\rho}\frac{N^3}{N^2-1}\,, \quad (6.14)$$

and we can estimate the value of nonperturbative parameter ρ using the experimental result for the slope: $\alpha' = 0.25\text{Gev}^{-2}$ [35]. It turn out that $\rho \sim 27$.

Let us now substitute (6.12) into expression (4.2) for invariant vacuum amplitude and evaluate the differential cross section as $d\sigma/dt = 1/s^2|T^{(0)}|^2$. Taking into account that at high energy $2\sinh\gamma \to s/m^2$ and factor $1/\Gamma_- \sim \log(s/m^2)$, we find that the differential cross section is given by:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} \sim \log^2\left(\frac{s}{m^2}\right)\left(\frac{s}{m^2}\right)^{2\alpha' t}\exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma_-}{\pi\beta_1}\log\frac{\log\frac{\rho\Gamma_-}{\pi}}{\log\frac{\lambda^2}{\Lambda^2}}\right) \quad (6.15)$$

Notice that the exponential factor in the expression (6.15) has a very interesting feature : the nonperturbative parameter ρ has penetrated into the perturbative expansion. This factor should determine the intercept of the soft pomeron. However, based on our analysis we can only estimate it qualitatively. All that we can say is that the intercept depends on the nonperturbative parameter ρ, depends slightly on the energy through Γ_-, and at high energy is close to 1. Moreover, the cross section (6.15) cannot grow faster than $\log^2 s$ at the limit $s \to \infty$. We conclude thus that our soft pomeron satisfies the Froissart bound.

- 2. $k \gg k_{\text{crit}}$, which corresponds to $x \gg 1$.

Substituting (A.4) in (6.5) we get the following expression for $T_-(\vec{k}^2)$,

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = -\frac{4\pi}{\vec{k}^2}\frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)}\int_0^{i\infty}\Gamma^2(1+\alpha)\frac{\sin\pi\alpha}{\pi}\left(\frac{4\Lambda^2}{\vec{k}^2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{x}+\dots\right)\alpha^{n-1}d\alpha\,. \quad (6.16)$$

Expanding $\Gamma^2(1+\alpha)$ and $\sin\pi\alpha$ in powers of α and integrating over α we get an asymptotic series

$$T_-(\vec{k}^2) = -\frac{2\Gamma_-}{\beta_1}\frac{1}{\vec{k}^2\log\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4\Lambda^2}}\exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma_-}{2\pi\beta_1}\log\frac{\log\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4\Lambda^2}}{\log\frac{\lambda^2}{\Lambda^2}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\rho\Gamma_-}{\pi}\frac{1}{\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4\Lambda^2}}+\frac{2\psi(1)}{\log\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4\Lambda^2}}(1+\frac{\Gamma_-}{2\pi\beta_1})+\dots\right), \quad (6.17)$$

which is valid for

$$|\log\frac{\vec{k}^2}{4\Lambda^2}| \gg 1. \tag{6.18}$$

The lowest order is independent of ρ. This means that the nonperturbative corrections can be neglected in this region. The formula (6.17) is a generalization of the standard reggeized form.

The critical value of momentum transfer $k_{\rm crit}$ (5.7) depends on the energy s. Taking into account the formula for the slope (6.14) we find $\vec{k}^2_{\rm crit} = 1/(\alpha' \log s/m^2)$. Therefore by increasing the energy, the value of $k_{\rm crit}$ decreases. We conjecture that this feature together with the change in the behavior of the scattering amplitude at $k_{\rm crit}$ describes the crossover region (probably the dip region) in the pp differential cross section. Since the scale of nonperturbative effects is typically 1 Gev (see, for example [34]), we identify $k_{\rm crit}$ with 1 Gev. Then the condition (6.10) is valid.

To show the consistency between the expressions for the scattering amplitude in case of frozen and running coupling constant one can reduce (6.17) to (5.9) and (6.12) to (5.8). by taking the limit $\beta_1 \to 0$.

7. Summary and conclusions

We began by expressing the scattering amplitude in terms of path ordered exponentials, Wilson lines, which admit a nonperturbative definition.

We then showed that the resummation of soft gluon perturbative corrections, leads to ambiguities in the perturbative series associated with IR renormalons.

By examining the IR renormalon structure we predicted the form of nonperturbative corrections, using the idea that the ambiguity of the perturbative series is compensated by an ambiguity in the determination of nonperturbative correction. We thus observed that nonperturbative corrections are power corrections in the impact variable b. We restored the uniqueness of the scattering amplitude adding by "hand" the dominant nonperturbative corrections, which are parameterized by a new scale.

We went on to investigate the interplay between both kinds of corrections, and found the critical value of the transferred momentum (5.7) at which the behavior of the scattering amplitude is drastically changed. In each region we represented the scattering amplitude as an asymptotic series. For $k \ll k_{\rm crit}$ nonperturbative effects play the main role and this region of momentum transfer corresponds to the soft pomeron (See (6.12) and (6.15)), which preserves the Froissart bound. For $k \gg k_{\rm crit}$ the large perturbative Sudakov corrections are dominant (See (6.17)).

It is naturally to expect that the nonperturbative parameter ρ can be expressed as an expectation value of a nonlocal operator. Indeed, the expression $\frac{\partial}{\partial b^2} W_{ij}^{i'j'}(\gamma, \vec{b}^2\lambda^2)$ must be related in some manner with parameter ρ. In [15] this connection was found explicitly for the Drell Yan process. However, in case of q-q scattering we have additional color structures and mixing of the Wilson lines, and, we leave the discovery of a similar relation to future investigation.

We may generalize our considerations to study high energy elastic quark-antiquark scattering. An antiquark can be treated as quark moving backwards in time with opposite velocity. All the above results for quark-quark scattering amplitude can be applied, provided that we replace the angle γ between quark velocities by $\gamma \to i\pi - \gamma$ in $\Gamma_{\rm cross}(\gamma)$. Then in high energy

limit ($\gamma \gg 1$) the asymptotics of the eingenvalues of the matrix $\Gamma_{\rm cross}$ do not change. Therefore the soft pomeron contributes equally to the pp and $\bar{\rm p}$p total cross sections.

In our approach we took into account the contributions from all diagramms describing the interaction of quarks with very soft gluons. The basic of BKFL pomeron is harder gluons ($t \sim m^2$). We hope that our paper will help to understand how the two pomerons, soft and hard, are related to each other.

Finally, to identify the physical consequences of the resummed nonperturbative corrections, we must embed q-q scattering in p-p scattering and conduct an additional investigation.

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank G. Sterman for his patience, his support, and for innumerable and instructive conversation. I am grateful to G. Marchesini for interesting and helpful discussions.

Appendix A.

Here we review the main properties of the confluent hypergeometrical function (CHF) [36] that are used above. The definition of the CHF by means of a Mellin integral representation is

$$F(a,c,-x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)}\int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty}\frac{\Gamma(-s)\Gamma(a+s)}{\Gamma(s+c)}x^s ds\,. \tag{A.1}$$

We use the following properties:

1. The continuation formula of Ernst Kummer

$$F(a,c,-x) = e^{-x}F(c-a,c,x)\,, \tag{A.2}$$

2. The asymptotics of CHF

For $x \ll 1$

$$F(-\alpha,1,x) = 1 - \alpha x + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\frac{x^2}{2} + \dots\,, \tag{A.3}$$

For $x \gg 1$

$$F(-\alpha,1,x) = e^x\frac{x^{-\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(-\alpha)}(1 + \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{x} + \dots)\,. \tag{A.4}$$

References

[1] P.D.B. Collins, *"An introduction to Regge theory and high energy physics"*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1977) 445.

[2] E.A. Kuraev, L.N. Lipatov and V.S. Fadin, Sov.Phys.JETP 44 (1976) 443-451; 45 (1977) 199; Ya.Ya. Balitskii and L.N. Lipatov, Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 28 (1978) 822.

[3] H. Cheng and T.T. Wu, *"Expanding Protons: Scattering at High Energy"*, (MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1987).

[4] L.N. Lipatov, JETP Lett. 59 (1994) 596.

[5] L.D. Faddev and G.P.Korchemsky, Phys. Lett. B342 (1995) 311.

[6] G.P.Korchemsky, Nucl. Phys. B443 (1995) 255.

[7] P.V. Landshoff, hep-ph/9410250; hep-ph/9311201; hep-ph/9505396.

[8] M. Bertini, M. Giffon, E. Predazzi, hep-ph/9501254

[9] A. Donnachie and P.V. Landshoff, Nucl. Phys. B231 (1984) 189; Nucl. Phys. B244 (1984) 322.

[10] P.V. Landshoff and O. Nachtmann, Z.Phys. C35 (1987) 405; J.R. Cudell and B.U. Nguyen, Nucl. Phys. B420 (1994) 669.

[11] O. Nachtmann, preprint HD-THEP-94-42, hep-ph/9411345.

[12] H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, preprint PUPT-1319, (hep-th/9302104)

[13] G. 't Hooft, in *"The Ways Of Subnuclear Physics"*, Erice 1977, Ed.A. Zichichi(plenum, New York, 1977), p. 943; B. Lautrup, Phys. Lett. 69B(1977) 109; G.Parisi, Phys.Lett. 76B(1978) 65; Nucl. Phys. B150 (1979) 163; F. David, Nucl.Phys. B234 (1984) 237; Nucl.Phys. B263 (1986) 637.

[14] A.H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B250 (1985) 327; in *"QCD 20 years later"*, Aachen, 1992, Eds. P.M. Zerwas and H.A. Kastup (World scientific, Singapore, 1993).

[15] G.P. Korchemsky and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B437 (1995) 415.

[16] H. Contopanagos and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B419 (1994) 77.

[17] I.I. Bigi, M.A. Shifman, N.G. Uraltsev and A.I. Vainshtein, Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 2234.

[18] M. Neubert and C.T. Sachrajda, preprint CERN-TH.7312/94 (hep-ph/9407394).

[19] A.V. Manohar and M.B. Wise, Phys. Lett. 344B (1995) 407.

[20] Yu.L. Dokshitzer and B.R. Webber, preprint Cavendish-HEP-95/2 (hep-ph/9504219)

[21] G.P. Korchemsky and A.V. Radyshkin, Phys. Lett. B171 (1986) 459; Sov.J.Nucl. Phys. 45 (1987) 127, 910.

[22] A.M. Polyakov, *"Gauge fields and strings"*, (Harwood Academic Publishers, New York, 1987).

[23] I.A. Korchemskaya and G.P. Korchemsky, Phys. Lett. B257 (1991) 125; J. Phys. A24 (1991) 4511.

[24] G.P.Korchemsky, Phys. Lett. B325 (1994) 459.

[25] O. Nachtmann, Ann. Phys. 209 (1991) 436.

[26] L.N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. B309 (1988) 379; in *"Perturbative QCD"*, ed. A.H. Mueller (World scientific, Singapore, 1989).

[27] M.G.Sotiropoulos and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B419 (1994) 59.

[28] I.A. Korchemskaya and G.P. Korchemsky, Nucl. Phys. B437 (1995) 127.

[29] A.N. Mueller and Bimal Patel, Nucl. Phys. B425 (1994) 471.

[30] R.A.Brandt, F. Neri and M.-A. Sato, Phys. Rev. D24 (1981) 879; R.A.Brandt, A. Gocksch, M.-A. Sato, and F. Neri, Phys. Rev. D26 (1982) 3611.

[31] H.T. Nieh and Y.-P. Yao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32 (1974) 1074; Phys. Rev. D13 (1976) 1082; B.M. McCoy and T.T. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35 (1975) 604; Phys. Rev. D12 (1975) 3257; L. Tyburski, Phys. Rev. D13 (1976) 1107; C.Y. Lo and H. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D13 (1976) 1131.

[32] J.C. Collins and D.E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. B197 (1982) 446.

[33] H.F. Jones and J. Wyndham, J. Phys. A14 (1981) 1457; P.E.L. Rakow and B.R. Webber, Nucl. Phys. B187 (1981) 254.

[34] P. Desgolard, M. Giffon, E. Predazzi, Z. Phys. C63 (1994) 241.

[35] P.V. Landshoff, hep-ph/9505254

[36] R.B. Dingle, *"Asymptotic expansion: their derivation and interpretation"*, (Academic Press, London and New York, 1973)

Figures:




Fig.1: Integration paths (a) and (b) entering into the definition of the Wilson lines W_1 and W_2, respectively.




Fig.2: One-loop diagram contributing to the line function W_1. Solid line represents the integration path, dotted lines denote gluons.