arXiv:hep-ph/9510285v1 13 Oct 1995

Hybrid states with heavy quarks

Yu. B. Yufryakov*
Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics
117259, Moscow

Abstract

Model-independent features of hybrid states with heavy quarks and adiabatic approximation for such systems are discussed. These general arguments are applied to the QCD-based constituent gluon model. The leading-order Hamiltonian is derived from the general formalism. The masses of heavy quarks hybrids, including charmonium hybrid states, are calculated. We predict $c\bar{c}g$ hybrids at 4.0±0.1 GeV and $b\bar{b}g$ ones at 10.5±0.1 GeV. Comparison with some other models are made.
Model-independent features of hybrid states with heavy quarks and adiabatic approximation for such systems are discussed. These general arguments are applied to the QCD-based constituent gluon model. The leading-order Hamiltonian is derived from the general formalism. The masses of heavy quark hybrids, including charmonium hybrid states, are calculated. We predict $c\bar{c}g$ hybrids at 4.0±0.1 GeV and $b\bar{b}g$ ones at 10.5±0.1 GeV. Comparison with some other models are made.

*e-mail : yufryakov@vxitep.itep.ru

The problem of exotic states with heavy quarks is widely discussed in the literature. Despite the fact that there is no unambigous evidence of existence any exotics even for light quarks sector, intensive theoretical studies of heavy quarks exotics are carrying out(see [5] for review). The reason of this interest is that the heavy flavours spectroscopy is well understood, much more better than for light quarks sector. Presence of the slow nonrelativistic quark subsystem allows one to use the adiabatic (Born-Oppenheimer) approximation.

So, there are slow quark subsystem and fast gluonic subsystem . The only mass parameter for gluonic subsystem is string tension σ. Its energy in the order of magnitude is $E_{gl} \propto \sqrt{\sigma}$; radius is $r_{gl} \propto 1/\sqrt{\sigma}$; and velocity is $\dot{r}_{gl} \propto 1$. Assume that quarks are confined by oscillator potential produced by gluonic subsystem . Then for quark subsystem we can estimate :

$$E_{q\bar{q}} \propto \sigma^{3/4}/\sqrt{m}; \quad r_{q\bar{q}} \propto 1/\sqrt[4]{m\sigma^{3/2}} \tag{1}$$

Hence , ratio of the periods of slow and fast subsystems equals :

$$T_{q\bar{q}}/T_{gl} \propto E_{gl}/E_{q\bar{q}} \propto \sqrt{m/\sqrt{\sigma}} \tag{2}$$

and radii ratio is :

$$r_{q\bar{q}}/r_{gl} \propto \sqrt[4]{\sqrt{\sigma}/m} \tag{3}$$

It's easy to see that a typical small parameter is $\sqrt{\sqrt{\sigma}/m}$. Unfortunately, because of the numerical reasons estimation (3) is not satisfied for c- and b-quarks . But the main point we stress is that the oscillator potential gives us quark subsystem energy of order $\sqrt{\sigma}\sqrt{\sqrt{\sigma}/m}$ and any unharmonic corrections will be of the next orders.

So , we propose the following description of hybrids with heavy quarks . Suppose we have a Hamiltonian for $q\bar{q}g$– system . We'll obtain the leading approximation in the limit $r_{q\bar{q}} \to 0$. We can calculate gluon subsystem energy solving Schrodinger-type equation with our Hamiltonian . To obtain quark subsystem energy we ought to 1) substract from our Hamiltonian all terms proportional to the square of the interquark radius. 2) Averaged over gluon wave function these pieces of the full Hamiltonian produce us Hamiltonian of the quark subsystem which in turn gives us quark corrections to the energy of the gluonic subsystem .

Our starting point is the constituent gluon model described in [1] . Using Feynman-Schwinger representation for Green function one can write effective Lagrangian for $q\bar{q}g$ system in the Euclidean space-time (spin degrees of freedom are neglected):

$$L = \frac{2\mu + \mu_g}{2} + \frac{m^2}{\mu} + \frac{\mu\dot{z}^2}{2} + \frac{\mu\dot{\bar{z}}^2}{2} + \frac{\mu_g\dot{x}^2}{2} + \sigma r_1 \int_0^1 d\beta_1 \sqrt{1 + l_1^2} + \sigma r_2 \int_0^1 d\beta_2 \sqrt{1 + l_2^2} \tag{4}$$

$$l_1^2 = (\beta_1\dot{z} + (1-\beta_1)\dot{x})^2 - \frac{1}{r_1^2}(\beta_1(\dot{z}r_1) + (1-\beta_1)(\dot{z}r_1))^2$$

$$l_2^2 = (\beta_2\dot{\bar{z}} + (1-\beta_2)\dot{x})^2 - \frac{1}{r_2^2}(\beta_2(\dot{\bar{z}}r_2) + (1-\beta_2)(\dot{\bar{z}}r_2))^2$$

(We consider equal quark masses case, Coulomb interaction omitted). Here μ and μ_g are effective masses of quark and gluon respectively ; $z, \bar{z}$ and x are quark, antiquark and gluon 3-coordinates ; $r_1 = z - x; r_2 = \bar{z} - x$.

To deal with the square root terms we use the auxiliary field formalism [2]. Following the method of [2] we obtain the Lagrangian:

$$L = \frac{m^2}{\mu} + \mu + \frac{1}{2}[\mu_g(1+\dot{x}^2) + \mu(\dot{z}^2 + \dot{\bar{z}}^2) + (\int_0^1 d\beta_1 \nu_1(\beta_1)(1 + l_1^2) +$$

$$+ \sigma^2 r_1^2 \int_0^1 \frac{d\beta_1}{\nu_1(\beta_1)} + (1 \to 2))] \tag{5}$$

To eliminate center-of -mass motion we can introduce variables (analogously to the [2]) :

$$r_{1i} = z_i - x_i; \quad r_{2i} = \bar{z}_i - x_i; \quad R_i = (1 - \xi_1 - \xi_2)x_i + \xi_1 z_i + \xi_2 \bar{z}_i \tag{6}$$

where $\xi_\gamma (\gamma = 1, 2)$ are parameters to be defined from the condition that all terms of the type $(\dot{R}\dot{r}_1)$ and $(\dot{R}\dot{r}_2)$ cancel (but not $(\dot{R}r_1)$ $(\dot{r}r_1)$ type terms!). It's easy to see that

$$\xi_1 = \frac{\mu_1 + \int_0^1 d\beta_1 \nu_1(\beta_1)\beta_1}{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_g + \int d\beta_1 \nu_1 + \int d\beta_2 \nu_2}; \quad \xi_2 = (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \tag{7}$$

After that the integration over center-of-mass coordinate $\vec{R}$ can be carried out . The resulting Lagrangian reads :

$$L=\frac{m^2}{\mu}+\frac{M}{2}+\frac{\dot r_1^2}{2}[\mu(1-2\xi_1+2\xi_1^2)+\mu_g\xi_1^2+c_1+a_2\xi_1^2]+(1\leftrightarrow 2)-M\xi_1\xi_2(\dot r_1\dot r_2)+$$

$$+\frac{d_1\sigma^2 r_1^2}{2}-\frac{c_1}{2}\frac{(\dot r_1 r_1)^2}{r_1^2}+(1\leftrightarrow 2)-\frac{1}{2A}\{\frac{1}{r_1^2}[b_1^2(\dot r_1 r_1)^2(a_1+M+a_2\frac{(r_1r_2)^2}{r_1^2r_1^2})-$$

$$-2\xi_2 M b_1(a_1+\eta)(\dot r_1 r_1)(\dot r_2 r_1)+\xi_2^2 M a_1(a_1+\eta)(\dot r_2 r_1)^2]+$$

$$+(1\leftrightarrow 2)+[b_1(\dot r_1 r_1)-\xi_2 a_1(\dot r_2 r_1)][b_2(\dot r_2 r_2)-\xi_1 a_2(\dot r_1 r_2)]\frac{2M(r_1r_2)}{r_1^2r_2^2}\} \quad (8)$$

where

$$a=\int_0^1 d\beta\nu(\beta);\;\; b=\int_0^1 d\beta\nu(\beta)(\beta-\xi);\;\; c=\int_0^1 d\beta\nu(\beta)(\beta-\xi)^2;\;\; d=\int_0^1\frac{d\beta}{\nu(\beta)}$$

$$\eta=\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_g;\quad M=\eta+a_1+a_2;\quad A=M\eta+a_1a_2\frac{|\vec r_1\times\vec r_2|^2}{r_1^2r_2^2} \quad (9)$$

We assume that $\nu\sim\sqrt{\sigma}$. Expanding (8) on the $\sqrt{\sigma}/m$ and using new variables

$$r_1=\frac{z_1+\bar z_1}{2}-x_1=\frac{r_{1i}+r_{2i}}{2};\quad \rho_1=z_i-\bar z_i=r_{1i}-r_{2i} \quad (10)$$

it's easy to see that in the leading order relative quark motion (with reduced mass $\mu/2$) is decoupled :

$$\frac{\mu}{4}(\dot r_1-\dot r_2)^2=\frac{\mu}{4}\dot\rho^2 \quad (11)$$

In the leading order we have for gluonic subsystem :

$$L_{gl}=\frac{\dot r^2}{2}(\mu_g+\Pi_1+\Pi_2)-\frac{\Pi_1}{2}\frac{(\dot r r_1)^2}{r_1^2}-\frac{\Pi_2}{2}\frac{(\dot r r_2)}{r_2^2}+\frac{1}{2}(\mu_g+a_1+a_2+\sigma^2r_1^2d_1+\sigma^2r_2^2d_2) \quad (12)$$

where

$$\Pi=c-b+a/4=\int_0^1 d\beta\nu(\beta)(\beta-1)^2 \quad (13)$$

The kinetic term in the Lagrangian (12) is quadratic in velocities. Hence one can easily obtain Hamiltonian and all terms proportional to ρ^2 can be separated.The result reads :

$$\hat T_{gl}=\hat T^{(0)}+\hat T^{(1)}$$

$$\hat{T}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2\mu_g}\frac{(pr)^2}{r^2} + \frac{\hat{\vec{L}}_{gl}^2}{2(\mu_g + \Pi_1 + \Pi_2)r^2}$$

$$\hat{T}^{(1)}(\rho) = \frac{\Pi\rho^2}{6}[\frac{\hat{\vec{L}}_{gl}^2}{2(\mu_g + 2\Pi)^2 r^4} - \frac{(\vec{pn})^2}{\mu_g^2 r^2}] \qquad (14)$$

We assumed S-wave for quarks in (14) :

$$< \rho_i\rho_j >= \frac{\rho^2\delta_{ij}}{3} \qquad (15)$$

Averaging (14) over chosen gluon wave function we obtain a contribution to the oscillator potential for quark subsystem. In fact, the main contribution arise from the "potential" term of Lagrangian (12) and (14) is only a small correction.

Zeroth approximation Hamiltonian of gluonic subsystem in Minkowski space is:

$$\hat{H}_{gl} = \frac{p_r^2}{2\mu_g} + \frac{\hat{\vec{L}}_{gl}^2}{2(2\Pi + \mu_g)r^2} + \int_0^1 d\beta\nu(\beta) + \frac{\mu_g}{2} + \sigma^2 r^2 \int_0^1 \frac{d\beta}{\nu(\beta)} \qquad (16)$$

Here $\hat{\vec{L}}_{gl}$ is the angular momentum of the gluon ; p_r is the radial momentum. We believe that physical states of gluon are electric and magnetic (see [1]). For lowest states $< \hat{\vec{L}}_{gl}^2 >= 2$.

Here we are to use some variational procedure. We choose an anzats for the effective string energy $\nu(\beta)$ and define free parameters from the minimization of gluon subsystem energy . After that quark correction with this ansatz is calculated from (11),(12) and (14) . The simplest ansatzes for $\nu(\beta)$ are :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \nu(\beta) = Const & (AnsatzI) \\ \nu(\beta) = C_1 + C_2(\beta - 1)^2 & (AnsatzII) \\ \nu(\beta) = \sigma r & (AnsatzIII) \end{array}$$

Without Coulomb interaction it's worthwhile to write out values of interest in the dimensionless form :

$$E_{gl}/\sqrt{\sigma} = 4.30 \pm 0.05; \quad E_{q\bar{q}}/(\sqrt{\sigma}\sqrt{\sqrt{\sigma}/m}) = 1.4 \pm 0.2 \qquad (17)$$

These values were obtained by means of trial radial wave function of the Gaussian type. Numerically gluon energy is about 1.7 GeV , quark correction value equals hundreds of MeV.

Three Coulomb terms should be added to the full Hamiltonian:

$$V_{coul} = -\frac{3\alpha_s}{2}(\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}) + \frac{1}{6}\frac{\alpha_s}{\rho} \tag{18}$$

Since $\alpha_s/6 \ll 1$ quark-antiquark repulsion gives only small contribution into the resulting energy .

But the main problem as it is usual for most of the (potential-like) models is to define absolute scale of mass. Some additional constants are needed to fit hadronic spestrum and these constants vary for different sectors of the theory. Following the recipe described in [1] we use the constant for heavy-light sector multiplied by two (cause we have two strings vs. one string for heavy-light meson , and one can expect that perimeter-type terms for Wilson loop or hadronic shifts could be twice larger than for the only string). The procedure used is : 1) to define constant for heavy-light meson for given heavy quark mass (light quark is massless) . By means of variational calculations with known masses of the heavy-light S-states (1975 Mev for D-meson and 5300 MeV for B-meson - accuracy more than tens of MeV is not needed) we define additive negative constant C_0. 2)The resulting mass is:

$$M_{q\bar{q}g} = 2m_q + E_{gl} + E_{q\bar{q}} + 2C_0 \tag{19}$$

The main results of this paper are summarized in Table I . There hybrid masses for various heavy quark masses are listed. The parameters are $\alpha_s = 0.3$; $\sigma = 0.18GeV^2$. In the last column results obtained by method of [1] are shown.

Table I.

Calculated hybrid masses, GeV

		Ansatz I	Ansatz II	Ansatz III	Potential
$m_c =$	1.2 GeV	4.008	3.951	3.873	4.089
	1.3	4.004	3.95	3.875	4.082
	1.5	3.997	3.946	3.878	4.069
	1.7	3.991	3.943	3.88	4.058
$m_b =$	4.2	10.828	10.810	10.647	10.647
	4.5	10.551	10.521	10.491	10.585
	4.9	10.55	10.521	10.492	10.583
	5.2	10.548	10.519	10.491	10.58

From Table I one can conclude that our model anticipate for c.o.m. of hybrid multiplet

$$M = \begin{cases} 4.0 \pm 0.1 GeV & for \quad c\bar{c}g \\ 10.5 \pm 0.1 GeV & for \quad b\bar{b}g \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Quantum numbers of hybrids are given in [1] .

Spin-dependent forces are not considered in this paper (the most important are $(\vec{L}\vec{S})$. They will be discussed in the forthcoming studies.

The result (19) is in a good agreement with that ones of [3] and [4]. Unfortunately, this is a rather accident fact cause in [3], [4] and in this paper various prescriptions for additive constants in the interquark potential were used. Thus we believe that there is no reasons for exceed optimism and additional attempts should be made to understand the origin of the constant term.

This research was made possible by Grant N J77100 from the International Science Foundation and Russian Government.

The support from Russian Fundamental Research Foundation,Grant N 93-02-14937 is also acknoledged.

References

[1] Yu.S.Kalashnikova, Yu.B.Yufryakov, Preprint ITEP-35-95 , hep-ph/9506269 (to be published in Physics Letters B).

[2] A.Yu.Dubin, A.B.Kaidalov and Yu.A.Simonov,Phys. Lett. B323,41(1994); E.L.Gubankova and A.Yu.Dubin, Phys.Lett. B334,180(1994)

[3] J.Merlin and J.Paton , J. Phys. G11 , 439 (1985) N. Isgur and J.Paton, Phys. Rev. D31, 2910 (1985) J.Merlin and J.Paton, Phys. Rev. D35, 1668 (1987)

[4] S.Perantonis and C.Michael, Nucl. Phys. B347, 854 (1990)

[5] T.Barnes , F.E.Close and E.S. Swanson , RAL-94-106, hep-ph/9501405