arXiv:hep-ph/9709323v1 12 Sep 1997

Effective Potential at Finite Temperature*

— RG improvement vs. High temperature expansion —

Hisao Nakkagawa† and Hiroshi Yokota‡

Institute for Natural Science, Nara University, 1500 Misasagi-cho, Nara 631, Japan

Abstract

We have applied the recently proposed renormalization group improvement procedure of the finite temperature effective potential, and have investigated extensively the phase structure of the massive scalar ϕ^4 model, showing that the ϕ^4 model has a rich 3-phase structure at $T \neq 0$, two of them are not seen in the ordinary perturbative analysis. Temperature dependent phase transition in this model is shown to be strongly the first order.

1. Introduction: Recently in the analysis of the effective potential (EP) simple but efficient procedures for resumming dominant large corrections appeared in the perturbative calculation by using the renormalization group (RG) technique thus resolving also the problem of renormalization scheme (RS) dependence[1] are proposed in vacuum[2] and in thermal[3] field theories. In this paper we briefly present the result of application of this procedure to the massive scalar ϕ^4 model at finite temperature, showing that this model has three phases, only one of them can be seen in the ordinary perturbative analysis. Other two phases emerge as a result of resummation of dominant large corrections, one of them has a truly non-perturbative nature and can not be seen in the high temperature expansion analysis. Temperature dependent phase transition between the ordinary and the new phases proceeds through the strong first order transition. Details of our analyses will be given elsewhere.[4]

2. RG improvement procedure: Let us focus on the massive self-coupled scalar ϕ^4 model at finite temperature,

$$\mathcal{L} = (\partial_\mu \phi)^2/2 - m^2\phi^2/2 - \lambda\phi^4/4! - hm^4, \quad (m^2 < 0). \tag{1}$$

The exact EP satisfies a renormalization group equation (RGE),

$$\left(\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} + \beta\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda} - m^2\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} - \phi\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} + \beta_h\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\right) V = 0, \tag{2}$$

whose solution is

$$V\left(\phi, \lambda, m^2, h, T; \mu^2\right) = \bar{V}\left(\bar{\phi}(t), \bar{\lambda}(t), \bar{m}^2(t), \bar{h}(t), T; \bar{\mu}^2 = \mu^2 e^{2t}\right), \tag{3}$$

where the barred quantities $\bar{\phi}$, $\bar{\lambda}$ etc. are running parameters whose responces to the change of t are determined by the coefficient functions of the RGE, γ, β etc., with the boundary condition that the barred quantities reduce to the unbarred parameters at $t = 0$. The problem of resolving the RS-dependence of the EP now reduces the one how we can determine, with the limited knowledge of the L-loop calculation, the function form of the EP at least at a certain value of t.

Let us notice here that in the scalar ϕ^4 model (at least in the $O(N)$ symmetric model in $N \to \infty$) the dominant large corrections appear as power functions of the effective variable $\tau \equiv \lambda\Delta_1$, having the

*Talk given at YITP International Workshop on Physics of Relativistic Heavy Ion Collisions, Kyoto, Japan, 9–11 June 1997, to be published in Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement (Proceedings).

†E-mail: nakk@daibutsu.nara-u.ac.jp

‡E-mail: yokotah@daibutsu.nara-u.ac.jp

high temperature behavior $\tau \sim \lambda T^2/M^2$, where $M^2 = m^2 + \lambda\phi^2/2$. We can see [3] that the EP can be expressed in the power-series expansion in τ;

$$V = \frac{M^4}{\lambda}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\lambda^\ell\ [\ F_\ell(\tau) + z\delta_{\ell,0}\ ]\ , \quad z \equiv \frac{\lambda h m^4}{M^4}, \tag{4}$$

where

$$F_\ell(\tau) \equiv \sum_{L=\ell}^{\infty} v_\ell^{(L)}\tau^{L-\ell}\ , \quad F_0(\tau) = \sum_{L=0}^{2} v_0^{(L)}\tau^L\ , \quad v_0^{(L)} = 0 \text{ for } L \geq 3\ . \tag{5}$$

By remembering the fact that, at $\tau = 0$, the "ℓ th-to-leading τ" function F_ℓ is given solely by the ℓ-loop level potential, $F_\ell(\tau = 0) = v_\ell(L = \ell)$, we find if we caluculated the EP to the L-loop level, then at $\tau = 0$ it already gives the function form "exact" up to "Lth-to-leading τ" order. With the L-loop potential at hand, the EP satisfying the RGE can then be given by

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \bar{M}^4(t)\sum_{\ell=0}^{L}\bar{\lambda}^{\ell-1}(t)\left[\ \bar{v}_\ell^{(\ell)}(t) + \bar{z}(t)\delta_{\ell,0}\ \right]\Big|_{\bar{\tau}(t)=0} \\ &= V_L\ (\phi, \bar{\lambda}(t), \bar{m}^2(t), \bar{h}(t); \mu^2 e^{2t})\big|_{\bar{\tau}(t)=0}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where the barred quantities should be evaluated at such a t satisfying $\bar{\tau}(t) = 0$.

3. Phase structure of the massive scalar ϕ^4 model at $T \neq 0$: Now we apply the RG improvement procedure explained above to the massive scalar ϕ^4 model at $T \neq 0$, and study the phase structure. The perturbatively calculated one-loop EP is

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 = \quad & m^2\phi^2/2 + \lambda\phi^4/4! + hm^4 \\ + \quad & \frac{M^4}{2}\left[\tau + \lambda\left\{-\frac{1}{64\pi^2} + \frac{T^4}{\pi^2 M^4}L_0\left(\frac{T^2}{M^2}\right) - \frac{T^2}{2\pi^2 M^2}L_1\left(\frac{T^2}{M^2}\right)\right\}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$\tau \equiv \lambda\left\{\frac{1}{32\pi^2}\left(\ln\frac{M^2}{\mu^2} - 1\right) + \frac{T^2}{2\pi^2 M^2}L_1\left(\frac{T^2}{M^2}\right)\right\}\ , \tag{8}$$

$$L_0\left(\frac{1}{a^2}\right) \equiv \int_0^\infty k^2\, dk\ \ln[1 - \exp\{-\sqrt{k^2 + a^2}\}]\ , \quad L_1\left(\frac{1}{a^2}\right) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial a^2}L_0\left(\frac{1}{a^2}\right). \tag{9}$$

At the one-loop level the RGE coefficient functions are $\gamma = 1$, $\beta = b\lambda^2$, $\theta = -b\lambda$, $\beta_h = b/2 - 2bh\lambda$, where $b = 1/16\pi^2$. Thus the RG improvement can be performed analytically, obtaining the improved EP by Eq.(7) with all the renormalized parameters replaced by the barred quantities. High temperature expansion then gives

$$\bar{V}_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}\bar{m}^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4!}\bar{\lambda}\phi^4 - \frac{\bar{m}^4}{2\bar{\lambda}} + \frac{T^2\bar{M}^2}{48} - \frac{T\bar{M}^3}{48\pi} + \cdots, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\bar{M}^2(t) = \bar{m}^2 + \bar{\lambda}\phi^2/2, \quad \bar{\lambda}(t) = \lambda(1 - 3\lambda bt)^{-1}, \quad \bar{m}^2(t) = m^2(1 - 3\lambda bt)^{-1/3} \tag{11}$$

and all the barred quantities should be evaluated at such a t satisfying the RS-fixing condition $\bar{\tau}(t) = 0$, which gives the mass gap equation,[5]

$$M^2 = m^2 + \bar{M}^2 f(\bar{M}^2) - m^2 f(\bar{M}^2)^{2/3}, \tag{12}$$

$$f(\bar{M}^2) = 1 - 3\lambda bt = 1 - 3\lambda\left[\frac{T^2}{24\bar{M}^2} - \frac{T}{8\pi\bar{M}} + b\{\ln(\frac{4\pi T}{\mu}) - \gamma_E\} + \cdots\right]. \tag{13}$$

The stationary condition $d\bar{V}_1/d\phi = 0$ gives $\phi(\bar{M}^2 - \bar{\lambda}\phi^2/3) = 0$. With the above RG improved formula in hand we can see the phase structure of the model.

3.1 High temperature expansion analysis: First let us see the result in the high temperature expansion. In this case the mass gap equation gives at sufficiently high temperature the ϕ^2-$\bar{M}^2$ relation shown in Fig.1,

indicating the existence of two phases I and II. This is shown to be what really happened, see Fig.2, in which we can see that the phase I is the symmetric phase and the phase II is the broken one. Also we can see is that at low temperature below T_1 the broken phase realizes the true vacuum, but that as the temperature becomes higher the symmetric and the broken phases eventually become mixed up thus showing the bump structure in the potential and finally at high temperature above T_3 the symmetric phase I realizes the true vacuum. Phase transition in this case is strongly first order. The broken phase II is the ordinary one being related to the tree EP, while the symmetric phase I is generated by the resummation effect of the large-T (T^2) corrections. It is worth noting that in both phases I and II the running parameters $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{m}^2$ may show some peculiar behaviors, i.e., in the small ϕ region they blow up, and in the phase I $\bar{\lambda}$ becomes negative. These are not the real trouble because if we correctly define the effective coupling and the effective mass-squared by $\lambda_{eff} = d^4\bar{V}_1/d\phi^4$ and $m^2_{eff} = d^2\bar{V}_1/d\phi^2$, then λ_{eff} and m^2_{eff} show moderate behavior being consistent with the perturbative treatment, except in the very small ϕ region where λ_{eff} becomes negative. This result may be related with the small ϕ problem pointed out by Amelino-Camelia.[6] More detailed study on this problem will be given in Ref. 4).

3.2 Full analysis with RG improved $\bar{V}_1$ and τ: The "exact" mass gap equation $\bar{\tau}(t) = 0$ gives the ϕ^2-$\bar{M}^2$ relation shown in Fig.3, indicating the existence of three phases: two of them, i.e., phases I and II are those already appeared in the high temperature expansion analysis, whereas the third phase III is totally new. In this phase the effective coupling λ_{eff} becomes strong and the effective mass-squared also becomes very heavy, indicating this phase to be almost temperature independent super massive strong coupling phase.

4. Discussion and comments: In this paper we present briefly the RG improved analysis of the effective potential in massive scalar ϕ^4 model at $T \neq 0$. The same analysis can be done in the same model at $T = 0$, disclosing[4] that the $T \to 0$ limit of the model at $T \neq 0$ does not coincide with the same model at $T = 0$. The $T \to 0$ limit of the model at $T \neq 0$ maintains the same "stable" phase structure as those at $T \neq 0$, while the model at $T = 0$ shows the unstable phase structure, i.e., the couterpart of the phase I becomes unbounded from below thus the theory becomes unstable.

One comment on the small ϕ region problem is added. Amelino-Camelia[6] noted that the small ϕ region reliability may be related with the types of resummed diagramms. Because of the simplicity of the model we can explicitly count the types of diagramms being resummed through the improvement procedure, and find that the resummed graphs are chains, daisies, and the dominant superdaisies, suggesting the reliability range to be ϕ roughly greater equal to $\sqrt{\lambda}T$. This range may be consistent with the region of ϕ where λ_{eff} becomes positive and moderate, see Ref. 4).

References

[1] See, e.g., T. Muta, *Foundations of Quantum Chromodynamics* (World Scientific, 1987).

[2] M. Bando, T. Kugo, N. Maekawa and H. Nakano, Phys. Lett. **B301** (1993), 83.

[3] H. Nakkagawa and H. Yokota, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A11** (1996), 2259.

[4] H. Nakkagawa and H. Yokota, three papers to appear (1997).

[5] G. Amelino-Camelia and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) ,2356.

[6] G. Amelino-Camelia, Phys. REv. **D49** (1994), 2740.

Figure Caption

Fig.1: ϕ^2-$\bar{M}^2$ relation from the High temperature expression of the mass gap equation, $\bar{\tau}(t) = 0$, Eqs.(11)–(13).

Fig.2: RG improved effective potentials at three temperatures: (a) $\tilde{T}_1 = 20.0$, (b) $\tilde{T}_2 = 23.9$, and (c) $\tilde{T}_3 = 25.0$. $\tilde{V} \equiv \bar{V}_1(\phi) - min\{\bar{V}_1(\phi = 0)\}$, $\tilde{T} \equiv T/|m|$, and the coupling is set to $\lambda = 1/20$.

Fig.3: ϕ^2-$\bar{M}^2$ relation from the "exact" mass gap equation, $\bar{\tau}(t) = 0$, Eqs.(11)–(12).

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9709323v1