

Gaussian curvature and blowing-up

Let V be an affine irreducible variety over a field k of characteristic zero, furnished with a singular algebraic foliation of dimension r .

1. Theorem. There is a system of second order partial differential equations E_m on V for each number m so that E_m has a nontrivial solution for some m if and only if the foliation can be resolved by blowing up a sequence of polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_m$ for some number m .

The f_i occur as variables in E_m and a solution is considered nontrivial if not all f_i are zero. When $f_1, \dots, f_m$ satisfy E_m then blowing up $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ followed by one Gauss blowup along the foliation resolves the foliation. When the blowup of $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ resolves the foliation, then for some number i the sequence of degree i monomials in the f_i satisfy $E_{m'}$ where $m' = \binom{m+i-1}{i-1}$.

It is likely that the same system of equations works for analytic singularities in the same way, and for the question of analytic resolution of singularities (where the foliation is codimension zero).

2. Theorem. If $k = \mathbb{C}$ there is an algorithm which resolves the foliation in finite time if it can be resolved, and otherwise fails to terminate.

The algorithm we will present is totally impractical (calculate the number β^2 in a special case and you will see this.) However it may be possible to simplify the algorithm, or replace it with a way of solving the PDE's analytically.

The remainder of this note will describe the system of PDE's and prove the theorems.

Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be affine coordinates on V and let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r$ be any generically independent generically involutive sequence of vector fields along the singular foliation.

It may be instructive to keep in mind the case when $k = \mathbb{R}$ and $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and when the defining ideal of V is taken to be the set of polynomials in $x_1, \dots, x_n$ which vanish on V . Letting $s = (1, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $v = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ the $(n+1)m$ element product sequence sv gives us an embedding $V \rightarrow S^{(n+1)m-1}$ to a sphere which is defined when the f_i are not all zero, and the Gaussian curvature of the leaves is

$$\frac{|\frac{bvs}{|bvs|} \wedge \delta_1 \frac{bvs}{|bvs|} \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r \frac{bvs}{|bvs|}|}{|\frac{vs}{|vs|} \wedge \delta_1 \frac{vs}{|vs|} \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r \frac{vs}{|vs|}|}$$

for

$$b = \frac{vs}{|vs|} \wedge \delta_1 \frac{vs}{|vs|} \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r \frac{vs}{|vs|}.$$

Here b is a vector with $\alpha = \binom{(n+1)m}{r+1}$ entries, and the vector displayed above

has $\beta = \binom{(\alpha(n+1)m)}{r+1}$ entries. This makes sense on a dense open subset of V .

The first factor in each wedge product has no effect, they are included to make the wedge products homogeneous of degree $r + 1$, so we can expand the factor

$$\delta_i \frac{bvs}{|bvs|} = \frac{-1}{|bvs|^2} \delta_i(|bvs|)bvs + \frac{1}{|bvs|} \delta_i(bvs)$$

and the first term does not contribute anything. In this way we see the Gaussian curvature is equal to

$$\frac{|cvs \wedge \delta_1(cvs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(cvs)|}{|vs \wedge \delta_1(vs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(vs)|^{r+2}}$$

where

$$c = vs \wedge \delta_1(vs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(vs),$$

with an interesting exponent of $r + 2$ in the denominator.

If we were trying to make sure the Gaussian curvature of the leaves remains bounded as $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ approach a point of V where the denominator may be undefined, one thing we could do which would ensure this (and more) is to require that every entry of the numerator must belong to the ideal generated by the entries of the denominator in the coordinate ring of V .

From now on, return to the case when the field k is arbitrary of characteristic zero. Thus our equation would be

$$cvs \wedge \delta_1(cvs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(cvs) = 0 \quad (vs \wedge \delta_1(vs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(vs))^{r+2}.$$

Both left and right sides of the equation, which are ideals in the coordinate ring, depend only on the ideal (v) and not the individual entries.

It turns out that the condition we actually want is a little different, and also would imply Gaussian curvature does not blow up in the real case. It is

$$(c)^{r+1}(cvs \wedge \delta_1(cvs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(cvs)) = 0 \quad (vs \wedge \delta_1(vs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(vs))^{2r+3}. \quad (1)$$

It is instructive to expand the left side a little. It is

$$\begin{aligned} & (c)^{r+1}(vs \wedge \delta_1(vs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(vs))vs \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^r \delta_i(vs \wedge \delta_1(vs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(vs))vs \\ &= (c)^{r+1}(vs \wedge \delta_1(vs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(vs))vs \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^r (vs \wedge \delta_1(vs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(vs))\delta_i(vs) + \text{other terms} \end{aligned}$$

where the other terms all involve some factor $\delta_i \delta_j(vs)$. The entries of the displayed term generate the same ideal as the sequence

$$(c)^{r+1}(vs \wedge \delta_1(vs) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_r(vs))(vs \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^r \delta_i(vs)) = (c)^{2r+3}.$$

Thus the differential equation is the one which says the following: Label parts of each entry which are not displayed $S_1, \dots, S_\beta$ so S_i is a polynomial in the f_i and x_j and their first and second derivatives, and it is the sum of all the second order terms in the i 'th entry. Label the parts which are displayed $T_1, \dots, T_\beta$. These are polynomials which only involve first derivatives. Think of $f_1, \dots, f_m$ now as variables, though the x_j are actual functions on V . Introduce variables g_{ij} for $1 \leq i, j \leq \beta$. The system of PDE's is now given

$$S_i = \sum g_{ij} T_j. \quad (E_m)$$

This is our system of differential equations E_m . Now let us prove the first theorem. It will help simplify things if we introduce a formality: for any torsion free rank one coherent sheaf I on any variety V we denote by $Jet(I)$ the subsheaf of $I \oplus \Omega$ generated by $f \oplus df$ where Ω is the sheaf of torsion free differential forms along the foliation. Everything we need will come from [LTS] where we showed

1. There is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \overline{I \otimes \Omega} \rightarrow Jet(I) \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$
2. If $\pi : W = Bl_I(V) \rightarrow V$ is the blowup of I and J is a second coherent torsion free rank one sheaf on V then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\pi^* Jet(\overline{I \otimes J}) \rightarrow Jet(\overline{\pi^* J})(-E)$$

where an overline means reduction mod torsion and E is the exceptional divisor.

From now on let us work in the category of torsion free sheaves, so pullbacks and tensor products etc will result in torsion free sheaves. The inclusion of the right side of (1) into the left side is a copy of the map

$$\Lambda^{r+1} Jet(I)^{\otimes 2r+3} \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+1} Jet(I)^{\otimes r+1} \otimes \Lambda^{r+1} Jet(I \otimes \Lambda^{r+1} Jet(I)).$$

Such a natural map was constructed in [LTS]. If we apply π^* using property 2 (viewing any occurrence of I alone as $I \otimes \mathcal{O}_V$) we find

$$\Lambda^{r+1} Jet(\mathcal{O}_W)^{\otimes 2r+3}(-(r+1)(2r+3)E) \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+1} Jet(\mathcal{O}_W)^{r+1} \otimes \Lambda^{r+1} Jet(\pi^* \Lambda^{r+1} Jet(I))((r+1)^2 E + (r+1)E)$$

and substituting in for $\pi^* Jet(I)$ we obtain on the right side of the map

$$\Lambda^{r+1} Jet(\mathcal{O}_W)^{r+1} \otimes \Lambda^{r+1} Jet(\Lambda^{r+1} Jet(\mathcal{O}_W))(-2(r+1)^2 E - (r+1)E).$$

The twisting cancels. Now by property 1., we have

$$\Lambda^{r+1} Jet(\mathcal{O}_W) \cong \Lambda^r \Omega_{W/k}.$$

where Ω refers to torsion free differentials along the foliation. Thus we obtain

$$(\Lambda^r \Omega_{W/k}^{2r+3}) \rightarrow (\Lambda^r \Omega_{W/k})^{r+1} \Lambda^{r+1} Jet(\Lambda^r \Omega_{W/k}).$$

If we pull this back to the Gauss blowup of W along the foliation, which is the blowup of $\Lambda^r \Omega_{W/k}$ we obtain in a similar way, after untwisting, the map

$$\tau^* \Lambda^r \Omega_{W/k} \rightarrow \Lambda^r \Omega_{Y/k}$$

where $\tau : Y \rightarrow W$ is the blowup. Throughout we are in the category of torsion free sheaves. If this map is then an isomorphism, then the differentials of Y/k torsion free, along the foliation, are projective. As we showed in [ORS] this is the same as saying the foliation lifts to a nonsingular foliation on Y .

For the converse, if $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ are such that blowing up $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ yields a nonsingular foliation, this means $\Lambda^r \Omega_{W/k}$, torsion free forms along the foliation, is an invertible sheaf. Writing

$$\pi^* \Lambda^{r+1} \text{Jet}(I) \cong \Lambda^r \Omega_{W/k}(-E - rE)$$

we see by [DIBU] that the sheaf $\Lambda^{r+1} \text{Jet}(I)$ is a divisor of some tensor power of I , in the category of torsion free sheaves. If we write $\mathcal{J}(I) = \Lambda^{r+1} \text{Jet}(I)$ viewed as an ideal of R we have a fractional ideal K so

$$K \mathcal{J}(I) = I^N$$

for some N . By [ORS] this implies (for some possibly different N)

$$I^N \mathcal{J}(I \mathcal{J}(I)) = I^N \mathcal{J}(I)^{r+2}. \quad (2)$$

Now let us take a power $K = I^i$ for some number i and try to prove the inclusion

$$\mathcal{J}(K)^{r+1} \mathcal{J}(K \mathcal{J}(K)) \subset \mathcal{J}(K)^{2r+3} \quad (3)$$

is an equality.

We will use two results from [ORS], namely

1.

$$A^{(r+1)(j-1)} \mathcal{J}(A) = \mathcal{J}(A^j)$$

2.

$$A^{r+1} \mathcal{J}(B) \subset \mathcal{J}(AB)$$

for ideals A, B and any number $j \geq 1$. Combining we get

Lemma. $\mathcal{J}(A^i B^2) = A^{(r+1)(i-1)} \mathcal{J}(AB^2)$ if i is an even number.

Proof. By property 2. it suffices to prove the left-facing inclusion. Since i is even, say $i = 2q$, we have by property 1

$$\mathcal{J}(A^{2q} B^2) = (A^q B)^{r+1} \mathcal{J}(A^q B)$$

and by property 2

$$(A^q B)^{r+1} \mathcal{J}(A^q B) \subset A^{q(r+1)} \mathcal{J}(A^q B^2).$$

Now if q is even we repeat this step. If q is odd and $q \geq 3$, we use property 2. to write

$$A^{q(r+1)} \mathcal{J}(A^q B^2) \subset A^{(q-1)(r+1)} \mathcal{J}(A^{q+1} B^2)$$

and now that $q+1$ is even we go back to the first strategy. Thus the desired inclusion holds because it is possible to reach the number 1 starting from any even number i and repeatedly dividing by two or adding one.

Now we apply the lemma. We are trying to produce an upper bound for the ideal $\mathcal{J}(K)^{r+1} \mathcal{J}(K \mathcal{J}(K))$ when $K = I^i$. Using property 2. we have

$$\mathcal{J}(K)^{r+1} \mathcal{J}(K \mathcal{J}(K)) \subset \mathcal{J}(K \mathcal{J}(K)^2).$$

Now since $K = I^i$ we have by property 1 that

$$\mathcal{J}(K) = I^{(i-1)(r+1)} \mathcal{J}(I).$$

Substituting, we see that the ideal in question is contained in

$$\mathcal{J}(I^{i+2(i-1)(r+1)} \mathcal{J}(I)^2).$$

Now, assuming i is even then the exponent of I is even and we apply the lemma, and we obtain

$$I^{(i+2(i-1)(r+1)-1)(r+1)} \mathcal{J}(I \mathcal{J}(I)^2).$$

By property 2 this is contained in

$$I^{(i+2(i-1)(r+1)-2)(r+1)} \mathcal{J}(I^2 \mathcal{J}(I)^2).$$

By property 1 this equals

$$I^{(i+2(i-1)(r+1)-1)(r+1)} \mathcal{J}(I)^{r+1} \mathcal{J}(I \mathcal{J}(I)).$$

Assuming $(i+2(i-1)(r+1)-1)r+1 \geq N$ we may use formula (3) and we see that our ideal is contained in

$$I^{(i+2(i-1)(r+1)-1)(r+1)} \mathcal{J}(I)^{2r+3}.$$

Now we check that the exponent of I is a multiple of $2r+3$ and it is in fact $(2r+3)(r+1)(i-1)$. Thus by property 1. our ideal is contained in

$$\mathcal{J}(K)^{2r+3}.$$

The properties of i we needed are satisfied for any sufficiently large even number. However, although the proof seems to require a large value of i , the theorem, once proven this way, could conceivably hold for small values of i . This proves that the inclusion (3) is an equality for some ideal K when the blowup along I resolves the foliation, and both implications of theorem 1 are proven.

For the proof of theorem 2, first modify the E_m to include extra terms on the right side generating the definign ideal of V , so we may view E_m as systems of

PDE's on affine n space. Next let $x_{m,s}$ be the system of polynomial equations which says that E_m has a solution in which $f_1, \dots, f_m$ and all g_{ij} have total degree at most s . Let $y_{m,s}$ be the Buschberger algorithm acting on the system $x_{m,s}$. This will terminate and output the solvable algebraic system when it is solvable, and the user will use Newton's method to approximate the coefficients as accurately as he may wish of one particular solution. The desired algorithm is the sequence of steps

$$y_{1,1}(1), y_{1,1}(2), y_{2,2}(1), y_{1,1}(3), y_{2,2}(2), y_{3,3}(1), \dots$$

[ORS] J. Moody, On Resolving Singularities, Jour LMS December 2001

[LTS] J. Moody, Lining Up Tangent Spaces, Trans AMS (submitted Jan 2002)

[DIBU] J. Moody, Divisibility of ideals and blowing up.