

Cotensor products of quantum principal bundles.

Bartosz Zieliński^{*†}

February 8, 2008

Abstract

A cotensor product $A \square_H P$ of an H -Hopf Galois extension A and a C -coalgebra Galois extension P , such that P is an (H, C) -bicomodule, is analyzed. Conditions are stated, when $A \square_H P$ is a C -coalgebra Galois extension and when there exists a strong connection on $A \square_H P$. Two examples are given, in both, A and P are Matsumoto spheres, and $H = C = C(U(1))$.

1 Introduction

The recent years have observed emergence of many examples of quantum spaces and in particular of quantum principal bundles ([8] collects many examples). One of the most important methods of researching mathematical structures is to define methods of constructing new spaces out of existing ones, and looking how the properties of the former depend on the properties of the latter. For instance, in the algebraic geometry, given two topological spaces we can construct their smash product, wedge product, etc.

This paper was inspired by [10], where it was shown how the passing from a given quantum principal bundle to its prolongation preserves the homotopy class of the bundle. Cotensoring of quantum principal bundles is a natural generalization of prolongating of a principal bundle by a Hopf algebra (see below for details). It may prove interesting, in future work, to check the properties of the cotensor product of quantum principal bundles (such as homotopy classes, associated bundles, differential calculus, etc.) against the respective properties of its building blocks.

The general idea of the method is as follows. Let C be a coalgebra and H a Hopf algebra. Assume that A is an H -Hopf Galois extension of B , and P is a C -coalgebra Galois extension of D and also an (H, C) -bicomodule and an H -comodule algebra. One can form a cotensor product $A \square_H P$ of A and P . It is easy to see that $A \square_H P$ is an algebra and a right C -comodule. It turns out that, under a number of not very restrictive conditions, $A \square_H P$ is a C -coalgebra Galois extension of $A \square_H D$.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, University of Wales Swansea, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, U.K.; mabpz@swan.ac.uk.

[†]Department of Theoretical Physics II, University of Łódź Pomorska 149/153, 90-236 Łódź, Poland.

Classically, the cotensor product of two algebras of functions on compact Hausdorff topological spaces corresponds to the algebra of functions on the Cartesian product of spaces modulo group action. Explicitly, let $A = \vartheta(X)$, $P = \vartheta(Y)$, $H = \vartheta(G)$, where G is a topological group, which acts on the right on a topological space X , and on the left on a topological space Y , so that H is a Hopf algebra, A is a right H -comodule algebra and P is a left H -comodule algebra. Then the group G acts on the left on the Cartesian product $X \times Y$:

$$(X \times Y) \times G \rightarrow X \times Y, \quad ((x, y), g) \mapsto (xg, g^{-1}y),$$

and $A \square_H P = \vartheta((X \times Y)/G)$.

Suppose, that the action of G on X is free (i.e., that X is a principal bundle). Let $M = X/G$ be the base space of this bundle, and let $\pi : X \rightarrow M$ be a natural projection. Then X is a locally trivial fibre bundle, i.e., there exists an open cover $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of M , such that $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ is homeomorphic to $U_\alpha \times G$.

On the other hand it is easy to see that surjection

$$\tilde{\pi} : (X \times Y)/G \rightarrow M, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \pi(x),$$

is well defined, and, $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U_\alpha) = U_\alpha \times Y$. Hence $(X \times Y)/G$ is a locally trivial fibre bundle, with a base space M , and a fibre Y .

Suppose that another group K acts on Y on the right, and actions of G and K on Y commute (i.e., dually, for $C = \vartheta(K)$, P is an (H, C) -bicomodule). Assume also that the action of K on Y is free, i.e., Y is also a principal bundle, with a structure group K . Under certain conditions natural action of K on $(X \times Y)/G$:

$$((x, y), k) \mapsto (x, yk)$$

is also free, hence $(X \times Y)/G$ is a principal bundle with structure group K and base space $(X \times (Y/K))/G$. Then we can view $(X \times Y)/G$ in two ways as a tower of fibre bundles. Firstly, it is a fibre bundle with the base space M and the fibre Y , which, in turn, is also a fibre bundle with the base Y/K and the fibre K . Secondly, it is a fibre bundle with the fibre K and the base $(X \times (Y/K))/G$, which in turn is also a fibre bundle with the base M and the fibre Y/K .

The construction of the cotensor product of quantum principal bundles can be thought of as a natural generalization of prolongations of Hopf-Galois extensions ([13]).

Let A be an H -Hopf Galois extension of B and let P be a Hopf algebra. Suppose that $f : P \rightarrow H$ is a surjective Hopf algebra morphism. Define left H -coaction on P by $p \mapsto f(p_{(1)}) \otimes p_{(2)}$, where we use Sweedler notation for coproduct, the summation is implicitly understood. Then $A \square_H P$ is a P -Hopf Galois extension of B called a P -prolongation of A . As P is a P -Hopf Galois extension of the ground ring, this is a special case of the cotensor product of quantum principal bundles.

Another special case of the construction described in this paper is a cotensor product of bigalois objects (c.f. [12]).

The construction of the cotensor product of quantum principal bundles, described in the paper, can be a rich source of new examples of C -coalgebra Galois extensions, and it also offers an insight into the structure of coalgebra Galois extensions.

Organization of the paper is as follows:

Section 2 recalls basic terminology and notation related to C -coalgebra Galois extensions and entwined modules.

Section 3 contains results concerning the existence of the inverse of the canonical map for the cotensor product $A \square_H P$ of an H -Hopf Galois extension A of B and a C -coalgebra Galois extension P of D (where P is an (H, C) -bicomodule), in the case when $D = P^{\text{co}C} \subseteq {}^{\text{co}H}P$, i.e., when the algebra of functions on the base of the fibration $A \square_H P$ is $B \otimes D$. At the end of the section we consider the cotensor product of two copies of noncommutative Matsumoto sphere, as an example.

Section 4 is concerned with the existence of an entwining and a strong connection on the cotensor product of quantum principal bundles. At the end of the section we give as an example, the cotensor product of two copies of the Matsumoto sphere, with the coaction defined differently than in the example in Section 3.

2 Basic terminology and notation

Unless otherwise stated, we work over a general, commutative and unital ring $\mathbb{K}$.

Categories of modules and comodules. Let C and H be coalgebras, and A and B algebras. We denote by ${}_A\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}_B$, ${}^H\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}^C$, ${}_A\mathcal{M}_B$, ${}^H\mathcal{M}^C$, ${}_A\mathcal{M}^C$, etc, respectively, the category of left A -modules, right B -modules, left H -comodules, right C -comodules, (A, B) -bimodules, (H, C) -bicomodules, left A -modules and right C -comodules such that the C -coaction commutes with the A -action, etc.

Comultiplication, coaction and the Sweedler notation. Suppose C and H are coalgebras, $M \in {}^H\mathcal{M}$ and $N \in \mathcal{M}^C$. We denote the comultiplication by $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$, the left H -coaction ${}^H\rho : M \rightarrow H \otimes M$, the right C -coaction by $\rho^C : N \rightarrow N \otimes C$. We also use the Sweedler notation: $\Delta(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$, ${}^H\rho(m) = m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}$, $\rho^C(n) = n_{(0)} \otimes n_{(1)}$ for all $c \in C$, $m \in M$, $n \in N$, the summation is implicitly understood.

Entwining structures. Entwining structures were introduced in [4]. A good introduction to entwining structures can be found in [7], as well as in [5] and [1]. Let C be a coalgebra and P an algebra. Throughout the paper, by entwining map we mean a right-right entwining map $\psi : C \otimes P \rightarrow P \otimes C$, corresponding entwining structure is denoted by $(P, C)_\psi$. We use the following summation notation for entwining map ψ , and, if entwining map is bijective, its inverse $\psi^{-1} : P \otimes C \rightarrow C \otimes P$:

$$\psi(c \otimes p) = p_\alpha \otimes c^\alpha, \quad \psi^{-1}(p \otimes c) = c_A \otimes p^A, \quad \text{for all } c \in C, p \in P,$$

where, respectively, small Greek and large Latin letters are used for implicit summation indices. The category of entwined modules associated to $(P, C)_\psi$ is denoted $\mathcal{M}_P^C(\psi)$.

Let $(P, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure, and let A be an algebra and an entwined module. An algebra extension $B \subseteq A$ is called a $(P, C)_\psi$ -extension iff $B = A^{\text{co}C}$. Of

particular interests are $(P, C)_\psi$ -extensions $B \subseteq P$. Such extensions are denoted by $P(B, C, \psi)$. In this case, if there exists a grouplike element $e \in C$ such that, for all $p \in P$, $\rho^C(p) = \psi(e \otimes p)$ then $P_e(B, C, \psi)$ is called an e -copointed $(P, C)_\psi$ -extension.

The canonical map and quantum principal bundles. Suppose that C is a coalgebra and P is an algebra and a right C -comodule. To set the notation, we recall definition of a canonical map,

$$\text{can}_P^C : P \otimes_B P \rightarrow P \otimes C, \quad p \otimes_B p' \mapsto pp'_{(0)} \otimes p'_{(1)},$$

where $B = P^{\text{co}C}$ is the algebra of coinvariants of the C -coaction. If the canonical map is an isomorphism in ${}_P\mathcal{M}^C$, then $P^C(B)$ is called a C -coalgebra Galois extension of B . If, in addition, C is a Hopf algebra, and P is a comodule algebra, $P^C(B)$ is called a C -Hopf Galois extension. We recall the definition of a translation map,

$$\tau_P^C : C \rightarrow P \otimes_B P, \quad \tau_P^C(c) = (\text{can}_P^C)^{-1}(1_P \otimes c), \quad \text{for all } c \in C.$$

We use explicit ‘Sweedler-like’ summation notation for the translation map:

$$\tau_P^C(c) = c^{[1]} \otimes_B c^{[2]}, \quad \text{for all } c \in C,$$

where implicit summation is understood. In what follows, we use frequently the following properties of the translation map ([14]), for all $c \in C$, $p \in P$,

$$c^{[1]}c^{[2]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(1)} = 1_P \otimes c, \quad (1)$$

$$c_{(1)}^{[1]} \otimes_B c_{(1)}^{[2]} \otimes c_{(2)} = c^{[1]} \otimes_B c^{[2]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(1)}, \quad (2)$$

$$c^{[1]}c^{[2]} = \varepsilon(c), \quad (3)$$

$$p_{(0)}p_{(1)}^{[1]} \otimes_B p_{(1)}^{[2]} = 1_P \otimes_B p, \quad (4)$$

$$c_{(1)}^{[1]} \otimes_B c_{(1)}^{[2]}c_{(2)}^{[1]} \otimes_B c_{(2)}^{[2]} = c^{[1]} \otimes_B 1_P \otimes_B c^{[2]} \quad (5)$$

$$c^{[1]}_{(0)} \otimes_B c^{[2]} \otimes c^{[1]}_{(1)} = c_{(2)}^{[1]} \otimes_B c_{(2)}^{[2]} \otimes Sc_{(1)}. \quad (6)$$

If $P^C(B)$ is a C -Hopf Galois extension, then also, for all $b \in B$, $c, c' \in C$,

$$bc^{[1]} \otimes_B c^{[2]} = c^{[1]} \otimes_B c^{[2]}b, \quad (7)$$

$$(cc')^{[1]} \otimes_B (cc')^{[2]} = c^{[1]}c'^{[1]} \otimes_B c'^{[2]}c^{[2]}, \quad (8)$$

3 The inverse of the canonical map for the cotensor product of quantum principal bundles.

The general idea of the construction is as follows: take an H -Hopf Galois extension $A^H(B)$ and a C -coalgebra Galois extension $P^C(D)$ such that P is in addition a left H -comodule, and form the cotensor product $A \square_H P$.

Lemma 3.1. *If C is a coalgebra, flat as a $\mathbb{K}$ -module, P is an (H, C) bicomodule and A is a right H -comodule, then $A \square_H P$ is a right C -comodule. Moreover, if H is a bialgebra and A and P are H -comodule algebras, then $A \square_H P$ is an algebra.*

Proof. The first statement is the standard result in the coalgebra theory (c.f. II3 [7]). We include its proof for completeness.

There exists a natural right C -comodule structure on $A \otimes P$, given by the coaction on the second factor, $\rho_{A \otimes P}^C : a \otimes p \mapsto a \otimes \rho_P^C(p)$. Let

$$\tilde{\rho}_{A \square_H P}^C = \rho_{A \otimes P}^C|_{A \square_H P} : A \square_H P \rightarrow A \otimes P \otimes C.$$

By the flatness of C over $\mathbb{K}$, the exactness of the defining sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow A \square_H P \longrightarrow A \otimes P \xrightleftharpoons[A \otimes^H \rho_P]{\rho_A^H \otimes P} A \otimes H \otimes P \quad (9)$$

implies the exactness of the top row of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (A \square_H P) \otimes C & \longrightarrow & A \otimes P \otimes C & \xrightleftharpoons[A \otimes^H \rho_P \otimes C]{\rho_A^H \otimes P \otimes C} & A \otimes H \otimes P \otimes C. \\ & & \nwarrow \rho_{A \square_H P}^C & & \uparrow \tilde{\rho}_{A \square_H P}^C & & \\ & & & & A \square_H P & & \end{array} \quad (10)$$

As P is an (H, C) bicomodule,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\rho_A^H \otimes P \otimes C - A \otimes^H \rho_P \otimes C) \circ \tilde{\rho}_{A \square_H P}^C \\ & = (A \otimes H \otimes \rho_P^C) \circ (\rho_A^H \otimes P - A \otimes^H \rho_P)|_{A \square_H P} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of $A \square_H P$. Hence, by the universal property of the kernel, there is a unique factorisation $\rho_{A \square_H P}^C$ (10).

One defines the multiplication on $A \square_H P$ first by restricting the usual tensor product multiplication $(a \otimes p) \otimes (a' \otimes p') \mapsto (aa' \otimes pp')$ to $\tilde{m}_{A \square_H P} : (A \square_H P) \otimes (A \square_H P) \rightarrow (A \otimes P)$. Then, using that A and P are H -comodule algebras, one proves that it gives zero, when composed with the equalising map, so there exists a unique factorisation $m_{A \square_H P} : (A \square_H P) \otimes (A \square_H P) \rightarrow (A \square_H P)$. $\square$

The following lemma is probably well-known in the ring and module theory. However, since we were not able to find an exact reference, we carefully provide an explicit proof.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that A and B are algebras, M is a right A module and N is a right B module. Then $M \otimes N$ is a right $A \otimes B$ module, with the obvious tensor action $(m \otimes n) \cdot (b \otimes d) \mapsto mb \otimes nd$. On the other hand, if P is a left $A \otimes B$ module, it is also a left A and B module, with the left A -action $a \cdot p \mapsto (a \otimes 1_B)p$, and the left B -action $b \cdot p \mapsto (1_A \otimes b)p$. Obviously, these A and B -actions commute. Furthermore $(N \otimes_B P) \in {}_A \mathcal{M}$, with the left A -action $a \cdot (n \otimes_B p) \mapsto n \otimes_B (ap)$. The following statements are true:*

1. *For all P in ${}_{A \otimes B} \mathcal{M}$, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_P : M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P) &\rightarrow (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} P, \\ m \otimes_A (n \otimes_B p) &\mapsto (m \otimes n) \otimes_{A \otimes B} p \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

is a $\mathbb{K}$ -linear isomorphism, with the inverse given explicitly by:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_P^{-1} : (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} P &\rightarrow M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P), \\ (m \otimes n) \otimes_{A \otimes B} p &\mapsto m \otimes_A (n \otimes_B p).\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

2. If M_A and N_B are flat, then $M \otimes N$ is a flat right $A \otimes B$ -module.
3. If M is a faithfully flat right A -module and N is a faithfully flat right B -module, then $M \otimes N$ is a faithfully flat right $A \otimes B$ -module.
4. If M is a faithfully flat right A -module and $M \otimes N$ is a flat right $A \otimes B$ module, then N is a flat right B -module.
5. If M is a faithfully flat right A -module and $M \otimes N$ is a faithfully flat $A \otimes B$ -module, then N is a faithfully flat B -module.

Proof. **1.** First we show that ϕ_P is well defined. Indeed, consider the map

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{\phi_P}} : M \otimes (N \otimes P) &\rightarrow (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} P, \\ m \otimes (n \otimes p) &\mapsto (m \otimes n) \otimes_{A \otimes B} p.\end{aligned}$$

The map $\overline{\overline{\phi_P}}$ is the composition of, the associativity isomorphism from the tensor product over $\mathbb{K}$ with the canonical surjection from the tensor product over $\mathbb{K}$ to the tensor product over $A \otimes B$, hence it is well defined.

Since the tensor product functor is right exact, the top row in the following diagram, obtained by applying functor $M \otimes \cdot$ to the exact sequence defining tensor product over B , is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M \otimes (N \otimes B \otimes P) & \xrightarrow{M \otimes \rho_B \otimes P} & M \otimes (N \otimes P) & \longrightarrow & M \otimes (N \otimes_B P) \longrightarrow 0. \\ & \searrow \scriptstyle M \otimes N \otimes_B \rho & \downarrow \scriptstyle \overline{\overline{\phi_P}} & \swarrow \scriptstyle \phi_P & \\ & & (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} P & & \end{array}\tag{13}$$

By the universal property of a cokernel, in order to prove that, $\overline{\overline{\phi_P}}$ factorises (uniquely) through $\overline{\phi_P}$ and the canonical surjection onto the tensor product over B , it is enough to show that

$$\overline{\overline{\phi_P}} \circ (M \otimes \rho_B \otimes P) = \overline{\overline{\phi_P}} \circ (M \otimes N \otimes_B \rho).$$

Indeed, for all $m \otimes (n \otimes b \otimes p) \in M \otimes (N \otimes B \otimes P)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{\phi_P}} \circ (M \otimes \rho_B \otimes P)(m \otimes (n \otimes b \otimes p)) &= \overline{\overline{\phi_P}}(m \otimes (nb \otimes p)) \\ &= (m \otimes nb) \otimes_{A \otimes B} p = (m \otimes n)(1_A \otimes b) \otimes_{A \otimes B} p \\ &= (m \otimes n) \otimes_{A \otimes B} (1_A \otimes b)p = (m \otimes n) \otimes_{A \otimes B} bp \\ &= \overline{\overline{\phi_P}}(m \otimes (n \otimes bp)) = \overline{\overline{\phi_P}} \circ (M \otimes N \otimes_B \rho)(m \otimes (n \otimes b \otimes p)).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, (in the diagram below, with $Q = N \otimes_B P$),

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 M \otimes A \otimes Q & \xrightarrow{\rho_A \otimes Q} & M \otimes Q & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_A Q & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & \searrow M \otimes_A \rho & \downarrow \overline{\phi_P} & & \swarrow \phi_P & & \\
 & & (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} P, & & & &
 \end{array}$$

one shows that, for all $m \otimes a \otimes (n \otimes_B p) \in M \otimes A \otimes (N \otimes_B P)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{\phi_P} \circ (\rho_A \otimes (N \otimes_B P))(m \otimes a \otimes (n \otimes_B p)) &= \overline{\phi_P}(ma \otimes (n \otimes_B p)) \\
 &= (ma \otimes n) \otimes_{A \otimes B} p = (m \otimes n)(a \otimes 1_B) \otimes_{A \otimes B} p = (m \otimes n) \otimes_{A \otimes B} ap \\
 &= \overline{\phi_P}(m \otimes (n \otimes ap)) = \overline{\phi_P} \circ (M \otimes_A \rho)(m \otimes a \otimes (n \otimes_B p)),
 \end{aligned}$$

hence map ϕ_P exists, and it is a unique factorization of $\overline{\phi_P}$, through the canonical surjection onto the tensor product over B .

In the same way one proves that ϕ_P^{-1} is well defined. Indeed, the map

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{\phi_P^{-1}} : (M \otimes N) \otimes P &\rightarrow M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P), \\
 (m \otimes n) \otimes p &\mapsto m \otimes_A (n \otimes_B p)
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

is a composition of the associativity bijection for the tensor product over $\mathbb{K}$ with two surjections onto the tensor product over A and B . Furthermore, for all $(m \otimes n) \otimes (a \otimes b) \otimes p \in (M \otimes N) \otimes (A \otimes B) \otimes P$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{\phi_P^{-1}} \circ (\rho_{A \otimes B} \otimes P)((m \otimes n) \otimes (a \otimes b) \otimes p) &= \overline{\phi_P^{-1}}((ma \otimes nb) \otimes p) \\
 &= ma \otimes_A (nb \otimes_B p) = m \otimes_A (n \otimes_B ap) = m \otimes_A (n \otimes_B ((a \otimes b)p)) \\
 &= \overline{\phi_P^{-1}}((m \otimes n) \otimes ((a \otimes b)p)) = \overline{\phi_P^{-1}} \circ ((M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} \rho)((m \otimes n) \otimes (a \otimes b) \otimes p),
 \end{aligned}$$

hence map ϕ_P^{-1} exists and it is a unique factorization of $\overline{\phi_P^{-1}}$ through the surjection onto the tensor product over A and B .

Since, for all $m \otimes_A (n \otimes_B p) \in M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$, $(m' \otimes n') \otimes_{A \otimes B} p' \in (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} P$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_P^{-1} \circ \phi_P(m \otimes_A (n \otimes_B p)) &= \phi_P^{-1}((m \otimes n) \otimes_{A \otimes B} p) = m \otimes_A (n \otimes_B p), \\
 \phi_P \circ \phi_P^{-1}((m' \otimes n') \otimes_{A \otimes B} p') &= \phi_P(m' \otimes_A (n' \otimes_B p')) = (m' \otimes n') \otimes_{A \otimes B} p',
 \end{aligned}$$

the map ϕ_P is a linear bijection and ϕ_P^{-1} is its inverse.

Let $P, P', P'' \in {}_{A \otimes B} \mathcal{M}$. The following diagram will be used in the remaining part of proof:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \longrightarrow & M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P) & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P') & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P'') & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow \phi_P & & \downarrow \phi_{P'} & & \downarrow \phi_{P''} & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} P & \longrightarrow & (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} P' & \longrightarrow & (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} P'' & \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array} \tag{15}$$

2. Suppose we are given an exact sequence of left $A \otimes B$ -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{f} P' \xrightarrow{g} P'' \longrightarrow 0 \tag{16}$$

If the maps in the top row of diagram (15) are $M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B f)$ and $M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B g)$, then, since M and N are flat modules, the top row sequence in (15) is exact. If (15) is a commutative diagram then, as vertical maps are linear bijections, the bottom row is also exact. And the bottom horizontal maps are

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{P'} \circ (M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B f)) \circ \phi_P^{-1} &= (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} f, \\ \phi_{P''} \circ (M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B g)) \circ \phi_{P'}^{-1} &= (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} g.\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

Hence $M \otimes N$ is a flat $A \otimes B$ -module.

3. By the previous part of the lemma, $M \otimes N$ is a flat $A \otimes B$ module. Suppose that, given a, not necessarily exact, sequence of maps (16), the induced sequence in the bottom row of diagram (15) is exact. Let the maps in the top row be

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{P'}^{-1} \circ (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} f \circ \phi_P &= (M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B f)), \\ \phi_{P''}^{-1} \circ (M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} g \circ \phi_{P'} &= (M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B g)),\end{aligned}$$

so that diagram (15) is commutative. Since vertical maps are bijective and bottom row is exact, the top row will be exact as well. Then, using the faithful flatness of M and N , one can reconstruct the sequence (16) from the top row of (15), knowing it has to be exact. Hence $M \otimes N$ is faithfully flat over $A \otimes B$.

4. Given an exact sequence of left $A \otimes B$ -modules (16), the flatness of $(M \otimes N)$ implies the exactness of the bottom row of (15) with injection $(M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} f$ and surjection $(M \otimes N) \otimes_{A \otimes B} g$. By the commutativity of (15) and the bijectivity of vertical maps, the top row of (15) is also exact with injection $M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B f)$, and surjection $M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B g)$. Then use the faithful flatness of M to infer the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \otimes_B P \xrightarrow{N \otimes_B f} N \otimes_B P' \xrightarrow{N \otimes_B g} N \otimes_B P'' \longrightarrow 0.$$

5. By the previous part of the lemma, N is a flat B -module. Given morphisms of left $A \otimes B$ -modules $f : P \rightarrow P'$ and $g : P' \rightarrow P''$, assume that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \otimes_B P \xrightarrow{N \otimes_B f} N \otimes_B P' \xrightarrow{N \otimes_B g} N \otimes_B P'' \longrightarrow 0\tag{18}$$

is exact. Then, by the flatness of M , the induced sequence in the top row of diagram (15) will be exact. Define maps in the bottom row using formula (17), so that (15) is commutative, and the bottom sequence is exact. Then use the faithful flatness of $M \otimes N$ to prove the exactness of sequence (16). $\square$

The following two lemmas are generalisations of known properties of Hopf Galois extensions.

Lemma 3.3. [16] *Let C be a coalgebra and P an algebra and a right C -comodule. Take any subalgebra $B \subseteq P^{coC}$ and define*

$$\text{can}_{P(B)}^C : P \otimes_B P \rightarrow P \otimes C, \quad p \otimes_B p' \mapsto pp'_{(0)} \otimes p'_{(1)}.\tag{19}$$

If $\text{can}_{P(B)}^C$ is bijective and P is right faithfully flat over B , then $B = P^{coC}$ and $P^C(B)$ is a C -coalgebra Galois extension. We will often refer to $\text{can}_{P(B)}^C$ as a canonical map.

Proof. This lemma can be viewed as a special case of Theorem 4.12 [9], but it can also be proven directly as follows.

The canonical map $\text{can}_{P(B)}^C$ maps $P \otimes_B P^{\text{co}C}$ onto $P\rho^C(1_P) \subseteq P \otimes C$. Moreover, $P\rho^C(1_P) \simeq P$, where the isomorphism and its inverse are given by:

$$p1_{(0)} \otimes 1_{(1)} \mapsto p1_{(0)}\varepsilon(1_{(1)}) = p, \quad p \mapsto p1_{(0)} \otimes 1_{(1)}.$$

On the other hand, there is an isomorphism $P \otimes_B B \simeq P$ given by the formulae $p \otimes_B b \mapsto pb$, $p \mapsto p \otimes_B 1_P$. This leads to the sequence of maps

$$P \simeq P \otimes_B B \xrightarrow{P \otimes \iota} P \otimes_B P^{\text{co}C} \simeq P\rho^C(1_P) \simeq P,$$

whose composition is simply the identity map $p \mapsto p$. Hence $P \otimes \iota$ is a bijection and, by the faithful flatness of P over B , the map ι must also be bijective. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. (c.f. [13]) *Let $A^H(B)$ be an H -Hopf Galois extension (i.e., $A \in {}_B\mathcal{M}_B^H$), and let $V \in {}^H\mathcal{M}_D$. If A is faithfully flat as a right B -module and V is a flat right D -module, then $A \square_H V$ is a flat right $B \otimes D$ -module. Moreover, if V is a faithfully flat right D -module, then $A \square_H V$ is a faithfully flat right $B \otimes D$ -module.*

Proof. $A \square_H V$ is a right $B \otimes D$ module by restriction of tensor product action $(A \otimes V) \otimes (B \otimes D) \ni (a \otimes v) \otimes (b \otimes d) \mapsto ab \otimes vd \in A \otimes V$. There is a chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} A \otimes_B (A \square_H V) &\simeq (A \otimes_B A) \square_H V \xrightarrow{\text{can}_A^H \otimes V} (A \otimes H) \square_H V \simeq A \otimes V, \\ f : a \otimes_B \sum_i a'_i \otimes v_i &\longmapsto \sum_i aa'_i \otimes v_i. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Call this composition f . The leftmost map in the above composition is the natural map

$$A \otimes_B (A \square_H V) \rightarrow (A \otimes_B A) \square_H V, \quad a \otimes_B \left(\sum_i a'_i \otimes v_i \right) \mapsto \sum_i (a \otimes_B a'_i) \otimes v_i,$$

which, by ([15], §1) and the assumption that A is flat as B -module, is an isomorphism. Observe that the middle transformation is well defined as can_A^H is a right H -colinear map. Moreover, cotensoring with V is a left exact functor and can_A^H is bijective so $\text{can}_A^H \otimes V$ is bijective. View $A \otimes_B (A \square_H V)$ as a right $B \otimes D$ -module by the action on the second factor. Then f is a right $B \otimes D$ -linear map. Assertions of Lemma easily follow, using isomorphism f , and Lemma 3.2. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Let C be a coalgebra and H be a bialgebra. Let P be an (H, C) -bicomodule and an H -comodule algebra, such that the H -coaction is an algebra map.*

1. *If $P^C(B)$ is a C -coalgebra Galois extension such that $B \subseteq {}^{\text{co}H}P$, then, for any $c \in C$,*

$$c^{[1]}_{(-1)} c^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]}_{(0)} \otimes_B c^{[2]}_{(0)} = 1_H \otimes c^{[1]} \otimes_B c^{[2]}, \quad (21)$$

where $c^{[1]} \otimes_B c^{[2]} = \text{can}_P^C(1 \otimes c)$.

2. If, in addition, H is a Hopf algebra, then, for any $c \in C$,

$$c^{[1]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]}_{(0)} \otimes_B c^{[2]} = S c^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]} \otimes_B c^{[2]}_{(0)}. \quad (22)$$

Proof. Note that conditions (21) and (22) make sense. Indeed, view $H \otimes P$ as a (B, B) -bimodule with $b \cdot (h \otimes p) \cdot b' = h \otimes b p b'$. Assumptions that a left H -coaction is an algebra map and $B \subseteq {}^{\text{co}H}P$ together imply that the left H -coaction on P is a (B, B) -bimodule map.

1. This follows by applying $(H \otimes (\text{can}_P^C)^{-1}) \circ ({}^H\rho \otimes C)$ to both sides of identity (1). Explicitly, take any $c \in C$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} (H \otimes (\text{can}_P^C)^{-1}) \circ ({}^H\rho \otimes C)(c^{[1]}c^{[2]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(1)}) \\ &= (H \otimes (\text{can}_P^C)^{-1})(c^{[1]}_{(0)}c^{[2]}_{(0)(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]}_{(0)}c^{[2]}_{(0)(0)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(1)}) \\ &= (H \otimes (\text{can}_P^C)^{-1})(c^{[1]}_{(-1)}c^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]}_{(0)}c^{[2]}_{(0)(0)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)(1)}) \\ &= c^{[1]}_{(-1)}c^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]}_{(0)}c^{[2]}_{(0)(0)}c^{[2]}_{(0)(1)}^{[1]} \otimes_B c^{[2]}_{(0)(1)}^{[2]} \\ &= c^{[1]}_{(-1)}c^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]}_{(0)} \otimes_B c^{[2]}_{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by (4). On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (H \otimes (\text{can}_P^C)^{-1}) \circ ({}^H\rho \otimes C)(1_P \otimes c) &= (H \otimes (\text{can}_P^C)^{-1})(1_H \otimes 1_P \otimes c) \\ &= 1_H \otimes c^{[1]} \otimes_B c^{[2]}, \end{aligned}$$

since $c^{[1]}c^{[2]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(1)} = 1_P \otimes c$ by (1), the required assertion 21 follows.

2. Apply $(m \otimes P \otimes P) \circ (S \otimes {}^H\rho \otimes P)$ to both sides of (21). $\square$

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that:*

1. $A^H(B)$ is an H -Hopf Galois extension such that A is faithfully flat right B -module and flat as left B -module,
2. C is a coalgebra that is flat as a $\mathbb{K}$ -module,
3. $P^C(D)$ is a C -coalgebra Galois extension such that P is a faithfully flat right D -module and a flat left D -module,
4. P is an (H, C) bicomodule and an H -comodule algebra,
5. $D \subseteq {}^{\text{co}H}P$.

Then $(A \square_H P)^C(B \otimes D)$ is a C -coalgebra Galois extension, and $A \square_H P$ is a faithfully flat right $B \otimes D$ -module. Explicitly, the inverse of the canonical map is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1} : (A \square_H P) \otimes C &\rightarrow (A \square_H P) \otimes_{B \otimes D} (A \square_H P), \\ \left(\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i \right) \otimes c &\mapsto \sum_i (a_i c^{[2]}_{(-1)}^{[1]} \otimes p_i c^{[1]}) \otimes_{B \otimes D} (c^{[2]}_{(-1)}^{[2]} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)}). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Proof. $A^H(B)$ and $P^C(D)$ satisfy assumptions of Lemma 3.1, hence $A \square_H P$ is an algebra and a right C -comodule. Obviously $B \otimes D \subseteq (A \square_H P)^{\text{co}C}$, and, by Lemma 3.4, $A \square_H P$ is a faithfully flat right $B \otimes D$ -module, hence if $\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C$ is bijective, then, by Lemma 3.3, $(A \square_H P)^C(B \otimes D)$ is a C -coalgebra Galois extension.

To verify the explicit form of the inverse of the canonical map, we first prove that $(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}$ is well defined. Observe that the fact that D is a subalgebra of ${}^{\text{co}H}P$, together with assumption that P is an H -comodule algebra, mean that $P \in {}_D^H\mathcal{M}$, hence formula (23) makes sense. Denote by

$$\overline{\overline{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}}} : (A \square_H P) \otimes C \rightarrow (A \otimes P) \otimes_{B \otimes D} (A \otimes P)$$

the colifting of $(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}$, and, (for brevity) let $R = B \otimes D$, $Q = A \otimes P$. Since A is flat left B -module and P is a flat left D -module, Q is a flat left R -module by Lemma 3.2. Hence the top row in the following sequence is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \longrightarrow & (A \square_H P) \otimes_R Q & \longrightarrow & (A \otimes P) \otimes_R Q & \xrightarrow[\begin{smallmatrix} (\rho^H \otimes P) \otimes Q \\ (A \otimes^H \rho) \otimes Q \end{smallmatrix}]{(\rho^H \otimes P) \otimes Q} & (A \otimes H \otimes P) \otimes_R Q & \quad (24) \\ & \nwarrow \text{dashed} & & \uparrow & & & \\ & \xrightarrow{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}} & & \xrightarrow{\overline{\overline{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}}}} & & & \\ & & & (A \square_H P) \otimes C & & & \end{array}$$

Hence, in order to prove that there exists a unique factorisation $\overline{\overline{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}}}$ of $(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}$ as above, it is enough, (by the universal property of the equaliser) to prove that

$$((\rho^H \otimes P) \otimes Q) \circ \overline{\overline{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}}} = ((A \otimes^H \rho) \otimes Q) \circ \overline{\overline{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}}}.$$

Take any $\sum_i (a_i \otimes p_i) \otimes c \in (A \square_H P) \otimes C$ and write,

$$\begin{aligned} & ((A \otimes^H \rho) \otimes Q) \circ \overline{\overline{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}}} \left(\sum_i (a_i \otimes p_i) \otimes c \right) \\ &= \sum_i (a_i c^{[2]}_{(-1)}^{[1]} \otimes p_{i(-1)} c^{[1]}_{(-1)} \otimes p_{i(0)} c^{[1]}_{(0)}) \otimes_R (c^{[2]}_{(-1)}^{[2]} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)}) \\ & \quad [\text{ use eq. (22) and that } \sum_i a_i \otimes p_{i(-1)} \otimes p_{i(0)} = \sum_i a_{i(0)} \otimes a_{i(1)} \otimes p_i] \\ &= \sum_i (a_{i(0)} c^{[2]}_{(-1)}^{[1]} \otimes a_{i(1)} S c^{[2]}_{(-2)} \otimes p_i c^{[1]}) \otimes_R (c^{[2]}_{(-1)}^{[2]} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)}) \\ & \quad [\text{ use eq. (6) for } c^{[2]}_{(-1)}] \\ &= \sum_i (a_{i(0)} c^{[2]}_{(-1)}^{[1]}_{(0)} \otimes a_{i(1)} c^{[2]}_{(-1)}^{[1]}_{(1)} \otimes p_i c^{[1]}) \otimes_R (c^{[2]}_{(-1)}^{[2]} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)}) \\ &= ((\rho^H \otimes P) \otimes Q) \circ \overline{\overline{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}}} \left(\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i \right) \otimes c. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $Q' = A \square_H P$. As A is right faithfully flat over B , and P is right faithfully flat over D , then Q' is right faithfully flat, hence flat, over R by Lemma 3.4. Therefore the top row in the diagram below is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 \longrightarrow & Q' \otimes_R (A \square_H P) & \longrightarrow & Q' \otimes_R (A \otimes P) & \xrightarrow[\overline{Q' \otimes (A \otimes^H \rho)}]{Q' \otimes (\rho^H \otimes P)} Q' \otimes_R (A \otimes H \otimes P). \\
& \nwarrow (\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1} & & \uparrow \overline{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}} & \\
& & & (A \square_H P) \otimes C &
\end{array}$$

Moreover, for any $\sum_i (a_i \otimes p_i) \otimes c \in (A \square_H P) \otimes C$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (Q' \otimes (\rho^H \otimes P)) \circ \overline{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}} \left(\sum_i (a_i \otimes p_i) \otimes c \right) \\
&= \sum_i (a_i c^{[2]}_{(-1)^{[1]}} \otimes p_i c^{[1]}) \otimes_R (c^{[2]}_{(-1)^{[2]}}{}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(-1)^{[2]}}{}_{(1)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)}) \\
& \quad [\text{ use the right } H\text{-colinearity of the translation map for } A^H(B) \text{ (eq. 2) }] \\
&= \sum_i (a_i c^{[2]}_{(-2)^{[1]}} \otimes c^{[1]}) \otimes_R (c^{[2]}_{(-2)^{[2]}} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)}) \\
&= (Q' \otimes (A \otimes^H \rho)) \circ \overline{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}} \left(\sum_i (a_i \otimes p_i) \otimes c \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the universal property of an equaliser, there exists a unique factorization $(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}$ of $\overline{(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}}$ as required.

It remains to prove that $(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C$. For any $\sum_i (a_i \otimes p_i) \otimes c \in (A \square_H P) \otimes C$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C \circ (\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1} \left(\sum_i (a_i \otimes p_i) \otimes c \right) = \\
&= \sum_i a_i c^{[2]}_{(-1)^{[1]}} c^{[2]}_{(-1)^{[2]}} \otimes p_i c^{[1]} c^{[2]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(1)} \\
& \quad [\text{use (3)}] = \sum_i a_i \varepsilon_H(c^{[2]}_{(-1)}) \otimes p_i c^{[1]} c^{[2]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(1)} \\
&= \sum_i a_i \otimes p_i c^{[1]} c^{[2]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(1)} = \sum_i (a_i \otimes p_i) \otimes c.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any $\sum_{ij}(a_i \otimes p_i) \otimes_R (a'_j \otimes p'_j) \in (A \square_H P) \otimes_R (A \square_H P)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1} \circ \text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C \left(\sum_{ij} (a_i \otimes p_i) \otimes_R (a'_j \otimes p'_j) \right) \\
&= \sum_{ij} (a_i a'_j p'_{j(1)} [2]_{(-1)} [1] \otimes p_i p'_{j(0)} p'_{j(1)} [1]) \otimes_R (p'_{j(1)} [2]_{(-1)} [2] \otimes p'_{j(1)} [2]_{(0)}) \\
&\quad [\text{ use (4) }] = \sum_{ij} (a_i a'_j p'_{j(-1)} [1] \otimes p_i) \otimes_R (p'_{j(-1)} [2] \otimes p'_{j(0)}) \\
&\quad [\text{ use that } \sum_j a'_{j(0)} \otimes a'_{j(1)} \otimes p'_j = \sum_j a'_j \otimes p'_{j(-1)} \otimes p'_{j(0)}] \\
&\quad = \sum_{ij} (a_i a'_{j(0)} a'_{j(1)} [1] \otimes p_i) \otimes_R (a'_{j(1)} [2] \otimes p'_j) \\
&\quad [\text{ use (4) }] = \sum_{ij} (a_i \otimes p_i) \otimes_R (a'_j \otimes p'_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that $(\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C)^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C$ as stated. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

The assumption about the faithful flatness of A and P , although quite restrictive, is a usual assumption made for Galois-type extensions to be able to view them as bona fide generalisations of torsors or principal bundles. Indeed, if one wants to develop differential geometry on Galois-type extensions in terms of strong connections, the faithful flatness becomes necessary (c.f. Theorem 2.5 [3]).

Example 3.7. ([13] Remark 3.11 (2)) Let A be an H -Hopf Galois extension of B , faithfully flat as a right B -module, and let P be a Hopf algebra faithfully flat as a $\mathbb{K}$ -module. Suppose that $f : P \rightarrow H$ is a Hopf algebra morphism. Define a left H -coaction on P by $p \mapsto f(p_{(1)}) \otimes p_{(2)}$. As $D = P^{\text{co}P} = \mathbb{K}1_P$, and $\mathbb{K}1_P \subseteq {}^{\text{co}H}P$, all the assumptions of Theorem 3.6 are satisfied, and so $A \square_H P$ is a P -Hopf Galois extension of $B \otimes \mathbb{K}1_P \simeq B$. This P -Hopf galois extension is called a P -prolongation of A if f is surjective.

Example 3.8. The Matsumoto sphere ([11]) $C_\theta^0(S^3)$ is a $*$ -algebra generated by a, b and relations

$$aa^* = a^*a, \quad bb^* = b^*b, \quad ab = \lambda ba, \quad ab^* = \bar{\lambda}b^*a, \quad aa^* + bb^* = 1, \quad (25)$$

where $\lambda = e^{2\pi i \theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, denote by $C^0(U(1))$, the $*$ -Hopf algebra generated by unitary and grouplike u , i.e.,

$$uu^* = u^*u = 1, \quad \Delta(u) = u \otimes u, \quad Su = u^*, \quad \varepsilon(u) = 1. \quad (26)$$

$C_\theta^0(S^3)$ is a $*$ - $C^0(U(1))$ -comodule algebra with

$$\rho^{C^0(U(1))}(a) = a \otimes u, \quad \rho^{C^0(U(1))}(b) = b \otimes u. \quad (27)$$

It is easy to see that the algebra of coinvariants $B = C_\theta^0(S^3)^{\text{co}C^0(U(1))}$ is a commutative $*$ -algebra generated by $z = aa^*$, $x_+ = ba^*$, $x_- = (x_+)^* = ab^*$, with an additional relation

$$z^2 + x_+x_- = 1, \quad (28)$$

and hence it can be identified with the $*$ -algebra, $C^0(S^2)$, of polynomial functions on the two-sphere.

$C_\theta^0(S^3)^{C^0(U(1))}(C^0(S^2))$ is a $C^0(U(1))$ -Hopf Galois extension with the inverse of the canonical map given by, for any $p \in C_\theta^0(S^3)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\text{can}_{C_\theta^0(S^3)}^{C^0(U(1))}(p \otimes u^n) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} p b^{*m} a^{*n-m} \otimes_B a^{n-m} b^m, \quad (29)$$

$$\text{can}_{C_\theta^0(S^3)}^{C^0(U(1))}(p \otimes u^{*n}) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} p b^m a^{n-m} \otimes_B a^{*n-m} b^{*m}. \quad (30)$$

Consider two copies of the Matsumoto sphere (though with not necessarily equal deformation parameters). For brevity, let $A = C_\theta^0(S^3)$, $P = C_{\theta'}^0(S^3)$, $H = C^0(U(1))$. By Theorem 2.5 [3] and the existence of strong connection on the Matsumoto sphere ([6]), A and P are faithfully flat as right and left B -modules.

Define the $*$ -comodule algebra left H -coaction on P ,

$${}^H\rho(a) = u^* \otimes a \quad {}^H\rho(b) = u^* \otimes b. \quad (31)$$

Note that, $B = {}^{\text{co}H}P$.

The cotensor product $A \square_H P$ is a $*$ -algebra generated by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= a \otimes a^*, & \beta &= b \otimes b^*, & \gamma &= a \otimes b^*, & \delta &= b \otimes a^*, \\ \alpha^* &= a^* \otimes a, & \beta^* &= b^* \otimes b, & \gamma^* &= a^* \otimes b, & \delta^* &= b^* \otimes a, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

satisfying commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\alpha^* &= \alpha^*\alpha, & \beta\beta^* &= \beta^*\beta, & \gamma\gamma^* &= \gamma^*\gamma, & \delta\delta^* &= \delta^*\delta, \\ \alpha\beta &= \lambda\lambda'\beta\alpha, & \alpha\beta^* &= \bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}'\beta^*\alpha, & \alpha\gamma &= \lambda'\gamma\alpha, & \alpha\gamma^* &= \bar{\lambda}'\gamma^*\alpha, \\ \alpha\delta &= \lambda\delta\alpha, & \alpha\delta^* &= \bar{\lambda}\delta^*\alpha, & \beta\gamma &= \bar{\lambda}\gamma\beta, & \beta\gamma^* &= \lambda\gamma^*\beta, \\ \beta\delta &= \bar{\lambda}'\delta\beta, & \beta\delta^* &= \lambda'\delta^*\beta, & \gamma\delta &= \lambda\bar{\lambda}'\delta\gamma, & \gamma\delta^* &= \bar{\lambda}\lambda'\delta^*\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and, in addition,

$$\alpha^*\alpha + \beta^*\beta + \gamma^*\gamma + \delta^*\delta = 1, \quad (34)$$

$$\alpha\beta = \lambda'\gamma\delta, \quad (35)$$

where $\lambda = e^{2\pi i\theta}$ and $\lambda' = e^{2\pi i\theta'}$. By Theorem 3.6 $(A \square_H P)^H(B \otimes B)$ is an H -Hopf Galois extension. In terms of generators (32), the translation map is explicitly given

by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{A\Box_H P}^H(u^n) &= \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \binom{n}{p} \binom{n}{m} \alpha^{n-p} \delta^{p-m} \beta^m \otimes_{B\otimes B} \beta^{*m} \delta^{*p-m} \alpha^{*n-p} \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{m=p}^n \binom{n}{p} \binom{n}{m} \alpha^{n-m} \gamma^{m-p} \beta^p \otimes_{B\otimes B} \beta^{*p} \gamma^{*m-p} \alpha^{*n-m}, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{A\Box_H P}^H(u^{-n}) &= \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \binom{n}{p} \binom{n}{m} \alpha^{*n-p} \delta^{*p-m} \beta^{*m} \otimes_{B\otimes B} \beta^m \delta^{p-m} \alpha^{n-p} \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{m=p}^n \binom{n}{p} \binom{n}{m} \alpha^{*n-m} \gamma^{*m-p} \beta^{*p} \otimes_{B\otimes B} \beta^p \gamma^{m-p} \alpha^{n-m}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that the algebra of coinvariants $B \otimes B$ is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} z \otimes 1 &= \alpha^* \alpha + \gamma^* \gamma, & x_+ \otimes 1 &= \delta \alpha^* + \beta \gamma^*, & x_- \otimes 1 &= \alpha \delta^* + \gamma \beta^*, \\ 1 \otimes z &= \alpha^* \alpha + \delta^* \delta, & 1 \otimes x_+ &= \gamma^* \alpha + \beta^* \delta, & 1 \otimes x_- &= \alpha^* \gamma + \delta^* \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

4 Entwining structures and strong connections.

The previous section was concerned with the cotensor product $A\Box_H P$ of an H -Hopf Galois extension A and C -coalgebra Galois extension P such that P is an (H, C) -bicomodule and $P^{\text{co}C} \subseteq {}^{\text{co}H}P$. In the present section we drop the latter assumption. The formula for the inverse of the canonical map (23) becomes now badly defined, because the left coaction of H on P no longer commutes with the multiplication by elements of $P^{\text{co}C}$. Hence we need a quantity associated with the inverse of the canonical map, but without tensor products over the subalgebra of coinvariants.

Definition 4.1. Let C be a coalgebra and P be an algebra and right C -comodule. Let $\text{c}\tilde{\text{a}}\text{n}_P^C : P \otimes P \rightarrow P \otimes C$, $p \otimes p' \rightarrow pp'_{(0)} \otimes p'_{(1)}$ be a lifting of a canonical map, i.e., $\text{c}\tilde{\text{a}}\text{n}_P^C = \text{can}_{P(\mathbb{K})}^C$ (c.f. Lemma 3.3). A linear morphism $\tilde{\tau}_P^C : C \rightarrow P \otimes P$, such that, for all $c \in C$, $\text{c}\tilde{\text{a}}\text{n}_P^C(\tilde{\tau}_P^C(c)) = 1_P \otimes c$, is called a *colifting of translation map*. For convenience in computations we introduce the notation $\tilde{\tau}_P^C(c) = c^{[\tilde{1}]} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}$ (summation understood).

A colifting of a translation map which is normalized and left and right covariant is closely related to a strong connection form (c.f. [3]). Explicitly, we have

Definition 4.2. Let $P_e(B, C, \psi)$ be an e -copointed $(P, C)_\psi$ -extension with a bijective entwining. A *strong connection form* is a linear map $\ell : C \rightarrow P \otimes P$ such that

$$\ell(e) = 1_P \otimes 1_P, \quad (39)$$

$$\text{c}\tilde{\text{a}}\text{n}_P^C(\ell(c)) = 1_P \otimes c \text{ for all } c \in C, \quad (40)$$

$$(P \otimes \rho^C) \circ \ell = (\ell \otimes C) \circ \Delta, \quad (41)$$

$$({}^{C_\psi}\rho \otimes P) \circ \ell = (C \otimes \ell) \circ \Delta, \quad (42)$$

where $\rho^C : P \rightarrow P \otimes C$ is a right C -coaction and ${}^{C_\psi}\rho : P \rightarrow C \otimes P$, $p \mapsto \psi^{-1}(p \otimes e)$ is a left C -coaction, induced by the inverse of entwining (c.f. [5]). The action of ℓ on elements will be denoted by $\ell(c) = c^{[1]} \otimes c^{[2]}$ (implicit summation understood).

If C is a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode and P is a right C -comodule algebra then, unless otherwise stated, regardless of existence of entwining we shall call a linear map $\ell : C \rightarrow P \otimes P$ a strong connection form if it satisfies conditions (39-41) (with $e = 1_C$) and a condition (for any $c \in C$):

$$c^{[1]}_{(1)} \otimes c^{[1]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[2]} = S c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}^{[1]} \otimes c_{(2)}^{[2]} \quad (43)$$

Observe that if $P^C(B)$ is a C -Hopf Galois extension, then the assumption of the bijectivity of the antipode is equivalent to the bijectivity of the (unique) canonical entwining, and then, conditions (42) and (43) are equivalent.

Recall from [3], that an e -copointed C -coalgebra Galois extension $P_e^C(B)$ is called a *principal extension* if the canonical entwining ψ_{can} is bijective and there exists a strong connection on P .

The following lemma is the core of the results of this section.

Lemma 4.1. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and C a $\mathbb{K}$ -flat coalgebra. Let A be a right H -comodule algebra, and P be a left H -comodule algebra such that it is an (H, C) -bicomodule. Assume that $A \otimes P$ and $A \square_H P$ are flat $\mathbb{K}$ -modules and that*

1. *there exists on A a colifting of the translation map $\tilde{\tau}_A^H : H \rightarrow A \otimes A$, $h \mapsto h^{[\tilde{1}]} \otimes h^{[\tilde{2}]}$ such that, for any $h \in H$,*

$$(A \otimes \rho^H) \circ \tilde{\tau}_A^H(h) = \tilde{\tau}_A^H(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)} \quad (\text{right covariance}), \quad (44)$$

$$h^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(1)} \otimes h^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} \otimes h^{[\tilde{2}]} = S h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}^{[\tilde{1}]} \otimes h_{(2)}^{[\tilde{2}]} \quad (\text{left covariance}); \quad (45)$$

2. *there exists on P a colifting of the translation map $\tilde{\tau}_P^C : C \rightarrow P \otimes P$, $c \mapsto c^{[\tilde{1}]} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}$ such that, for any $c \in C$,*

$$c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]} = S c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)}. \quad (46)$$

Then $A \square_H P$ is an algebra and right C -comodule and the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C : C &\rightarrow (A \square_H P) \otimes (A \square_H P), \\ c &\mapsto (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{1}]} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)}^{[\tilde{1}]} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)}^{[\tilde{2}]}) \otimes (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{2}]} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)}^{[\tilde{2}]}) \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

is a colifting of the translation map on $A \square_H P$, i.e.,

$$\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C \circ \tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C(c) = (1_A \otimes 1_P) \otimes c$$

Proof. $A \square_H P$ is an algebra and a right C -comodule by Lemma 3.1. Since, by assumption, $A \otimes P$ and $A \square_H P$ are flat over $\mathbb{K}$, in order to prove that the map $\text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C$, defined by (47), has its image in $(A \square_H P) \otimes (A \square_H P)$, it is enough to show that

$$((\rho^H \otimes P) \otimes (A \otimes P)) \circ \tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C = ((A \otimes {}^H\rho) \otimes (A \otimes P)) \circ \tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C$$

and

$$((A \otimes P) \otimes (\rho^H \otimes P)) \circ \tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C = ((A \otimes P) \otimes (A \otimes {}^H \rho)) \circ \tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C,$$

(c.f. proof of the Theorem 3.6).

Take any $c \in C$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned} ((\rho^H \otimes P) \otimes (A \otimes P)) \circ \tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C(c) &= (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(1)}) \otimes (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)}) \\ [\text{use (45)}] &= (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)(2)}^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} \otimes S c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)(1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(1)}) \otimes (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)(2)}^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)}) \\ &= (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)(-1)}^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} \otimes S c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(1)}) \otimes (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)(-1)}^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)(0)}) \\ [\text{use 46}] &= (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)}) \otimes (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)}) \\ &= ((A \otimes {}^H \rho) \otimes (A \otimes P)) \circ \tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C(c). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} ((A \otimes P) \otimes (\rho^H \otimes P)) \circ \tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C(c) &= (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(1)}) \otimes (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)}) \\ [\text{use (44)}] &= (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-2)}^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(1)}) \otimes (c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-2)}^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)}) \\ &= ((A \otimes P) \otimes (A \otimes {}^H \rho)) \circ \tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C(c). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we check whether (47) is a colifting of the translation map for $A \square_H P$. Note that $m \circ \tilde{\tau}_A^H(c) = \varepsilon(c)$, for any $c \in C$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{can}_{A \square_H P}^C \circ \tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C(c) &= c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(1)} c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)(1)} \\ &= \varepsilon(c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)}) 1_A \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(1)} c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)(1)} \\ &= (1_A \otimes 1_P) \otimes c. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

We want to study the rules governing the existence of a strong connection on $A \square_H P$, depending on the existence and properties of the strong connection forms on A and P . However, for the definition of a strong connection form to make sense, we first need an entwining.

Let $(P, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure. Assume that P is a left H -comodule for a coalgebra H . We say, that entwining ψ *commutes with the left H -coaction* ${}^H \rho : P \rightarrow H \otimes P$, if, for any $c \in C$, the $\mathbb{K}$ -module map $\psi(c \otimes \cdot) : P \rightarrow P \otimes C$ is left H -colinear.

Define left H -comodule structure on $C \otimes P$ by $c \otimes p \mapsto p_{(-1)} \otimes c \otimes p_{(0)}$. Let ψ be bijective. We say that the inverse of entwining map, ψ^{-1} , *commutes with the left H -coaction*, if, for all $c \in C$, the map $\psi^{-1}(\cdot \otimes c) : P \rightarrow C \otimes P$ is left H -colinear. Note that if the entwining map ψ is bijective and commutes with the H -coaction, then also the inverse map, ψ^{-1} , commutes with the H -coaction.

Lemma 4.2. *Let H be a bialgebra, and $(P, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure such that P is an entwined module. Suppose that P is a left H -comodule algebra such that ψ commutes with the H -coaction. Then P is an (H, C) -bicomodule if and only if*

$$({}^H\rho \otimes C) \circ \rho^C(1_P) = 1_H \otimes \rho^C(1_P). \quad (48)$$

Proof. Since $P \in \mathcal{M}_P^C(\psi)$, the right coaction $\rho^C : P \rightarrow P \otimes C$ is necessarily given by $p \mapsto 1_{(0)}\psi(1_{(1)} \otimes p)$. Hence, for all $p \in P$,

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^H\rho \otimes C) \circ \rho^C(p) &= {}^H\rho(1_{(0)}p_\alpha) \otimes 1_{(1)}^\alpha && \text{(since } P \in \mathcal{M}_P^C(\psi)) \\ &= {}^H\rho(1_{(0)}) {}^H\rho(p_\alpha) \otimes 1_{(1)}^\alpha && \text{(since } {}^H\rho \text{ is algebraic)} \\ &= 1_H p_{\alpha(-1)} \otimes 1_{(0)} p_{\alpha(0)} \otimes 1_{(1)}^\alpha && \text{(by (48))} \\ &= p_{(-1)} \otimes 1_{(0)} p_{(0)} \alpha \otimes 1_{(1)}^\alpha && \text{(since } \psi \text{ commutes with } H\text{-coaction)} \\ &= (H \otimes \rho^C) \circ {}^H\rho(p) && \text{(since } P \in \mathcal{M}_P^C(\psi)). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, if P is an (H, C) -bicomodule, then (48) is satisfied, since ${}^H\rho(1_P) = 1_H \otimes 1_P$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $P(B, C, \psi)$ be a C -(P, C) $_\psi$ -extension and let H be a Hopf algebra. Let P be an (H, C) -bicomodule and an H -comodule algebra, where H is a Hopf algebra. Suppose that either:*

(a) *there exists a colifting of the translation map $\tilde{\tau}_P^C : c \mapsto c^{[\tilde{1}]} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}$ such that, for any $c \in C$,*

$$c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(-1)} c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)} = 1_H \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}, \quad (49)$$

or

(b) *$P^C(B)$ is a C -coalgebra Galois extension and $B \subseteq {}^{coH}P$.*

Then the entwining ψ commutes with the H -coaction ${}^H\rho : P \rightarrow H \otimes P$.

Proof. Assume that there exists a colifting satisfying condition (49). Since P is an (H, C) -bicomodule,

$$p_{(-1)} \otimes 1_{P(0)} \psi(1_{P(1)} \otimes p_{(0)}) = p_{\alpha(-1)} \otimes 1_{P(0)} p_{\alpha(0)} \otimes 1_{P(1)}^\alpha \quad (50)$$

for any $p \in P$ and $c \in C$. By the definition of a colifting, $c^{[\tilde{1}]} c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(1)} = 1_P \otimes c$, hence we can write,

$$\psi(c \otimes p) = c^{[\tilde{1}]} c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)} \psi(c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(1)} \otimes p) = c^{[\tilde{1}]} \rho^C(c^{[\tilde{2}]} p) = c^{[\tilde{1}]} 1_{P(0)} \psi(1_{P(1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]} p),$$

where the second and third equalities are consequences of the fact that P is an entwined module. Take any $c \in C$, $p \in P$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{(-1)} \otimes \psi(c \otimes p_{(0)}) &= p_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]} 1_{P(0)} \psi(1_{P(1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]} p_{(0)}) \\
[\text{ use (49) }] &= c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(-1)} c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(-1)} p_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} 1_{P(0)} \psi(1_{P(1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{(0)} p_{(0)}) \\
&= c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(-1)} (c^{[\tilde{2}]} p)_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} 1_{P(0)} \psi(1_{P(1)} \otimes (c^{[\tilde{2}]} p)_{(0)}) \\
[\text{ use (50) }] &= c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(-1)} (c^{[\tilde{2}]} p)_{\alpha(-1)} \otimes c^{[\tilde{1}]}_{(0)} 1_{P(0)} (c^{[\tilde{2}]} p)_{\alpha(0)} \otimes 1_{P(1)}^\alpha \\
[{}^H \rho \text{ is algebraic, hence } 1_H \otimes 1_{P(0)} \otimes 1_{P(1)} &= 1_{P(-1)} \otimes 1_{P(0)} \otimes 1_{P(1)}] \\
&= (c^{[\tilde{1}]} 1_{P(0)} c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{\alpha} p_{\beta})_{(-1)} \otimes (c^{[\tilde{1}]} 1_{P(0)} c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{\alpha} p_{\beta})_{(0)} \otimes 1_{P(1)}^{\alpha\beta} \\
[\text{ notice that } c^{[\tilde{1}]} 1_{P(0)} c^{[\tilde{2}]}_{\alpha} \otimes 1_{P(1)}^\alpha &= c^{[\tilde{1}]} \rho^C(c^{[\tilde{2}]}) = 1 \otimes c] \\
&= p_{\beta(-1)} \otimes p_{\beta(0)} \otimes c^\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Note the similarity of the condition (49) to the property (21) of the translation map. Indeed, (21) has the same form as (49), but with adorned tensor products. Thus a similar argument, to the one proving the first part of the lemma, can be used to show, that hypothesis **(b)** implies the assertion. $\square$

Conditions (49) or $B \subseteq {}^{\text{co}H}P$ are not necessary. For instance if C is a Hopf algebra and $P^C(B)$ is a C -Hopf Galois extension, then, for the canonical entwining associated to $P^C(B)$, $\psi_{\text{can}}(c \otimes p) = \text{can}_P^C((\text{can}_P^C)^{-1}(1 \otimes c)p) = p_{(0)} \otimes p_{(1)}c$ to commute with right H coaction, it is enough that P is an (H, C) -bicomodule.

The following lemma is concerned with the existence of an entwining structure on cotensor product $A \square_H P$, induced by the entwining structure on P .

Lemma 4.4. *Let A, P be, respectively, right and left H -comodule algebras, where H is a bialgebra and let C be a coalgebra flat as a $\mathbb{K}$ -module. Let $(P, C)_\psi$ be an entwining structure such that ψ commutes with the left H -coaction. Then*

1. $(A \square_H P)_{\psi_\square}$ is an entwining structure with

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_\square : C \otimes (A \square_H P) &\rightarrow (A \square_H P) \otimes C, \\
c \otimes \left(\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i \right) &\mapsto \sum_i (a_i \otimes p_{i\alpha}) \otimes c^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

2. If ψ is invertible then $\psi_\square$ is also invertible with:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_\square^{-1} : (A \square_H P) \otimes C &\rightarrow C \otimes (A \square_H P), \\
\left(\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i \right) \otimes c &\mapsto c_A \otimes \left(\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i^A \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

3. If $P \in \mathcal{M}_P^C(\psi)$ and $({}^H \rho \otimes C) \circ \rho^C(1_P) = 1_H \otimes \rho^C(1_P)$ then $A \square_H P \in \mathcal{M}_{A \square_H P}^C(\psi_\square)$.

4. If P is e -copointed then $A \square_H P$ is e -copointed.

Proof. As C is a flat $\mathbb{K}$ -module, in order to prove that $\psi_\square$ has its image in $(A \square_H P) \otimes C$, it is enough to show that $(\rho^H \otimes P \otimes C) \circ \psi_\square = (A \otimes^H \rho \otimes C) \circ \psi_\square$. For any $c \otimes (\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i) \in C \otimes (A \square_H P)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho^H \otimes P \otimes C) \circ \psi_\square(c \otimes (\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i)) &= \sum_i (a_{i(0)} \otimes a_{i(1)} \otimes p_{i\alpha}) \otimes c^\alpha \\ &= \sum_i (a_i \otimes p_{i(-1)} \otimes p_{i(0)\alpha}) \otimes c^\alpha = \sum_i (a_i \otimes p_{i\alpha(-1)} \otimes p_{i\alpha(0)}) \otimes c^\alpha \\ &= (A \otimes^H \rho \otimes C) \psi_\square(c \otimes (\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i)). \end{aligned}$$

That $\psi_\square$ is an entwining follows easily from the definition of $\psi_\square$. Moreover, if ψ is invertible, ψ^{-1} also commutes with the left H -coaction, and one can prove, with similar computations as those for $\psi_\square$, that the map $\psi_\square^{-1}$ has its image in $A \square_H P$. Obviously $\psi_\square^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\psi_\square$.

Assume that $P \in \mathcal{M}_P^C(\psi)$ and $({}^H \rho \otimes C) \circ \rho^C(1_P) = 1_H \otimes \rho^C(1_P)$. Then by Lemma 4.2, P is an (H, C) -bicomodule, hence $A \square_H P$ is an algebra and a C -comodule (by Lemma 3.1). Moreover, for any $\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i, \sum_j a'_j \otimes p'_j \in A \square_H P$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^C((\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i)(\sum_j a'_j \otimes p'_j)) &= \sum_{ij} a_i a'_j \otimes \rho^C(p_i p'_j) \\ &= \sum_{ij} a_i a'_j \otimes p_{i(0)} \psi(p_{i(1)} \otimes p'_j) \\ &= (\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i)_{(0)} \psi_\square((\sum_i a_i \otimes p_i)_{(1)} \otimes (\sum_j a'_j \otimes p'_j)), \end{aligned}$$

hence $A \square_H P \in \mathcal{M}_{A \square_H P}^C(\psi_\square)$. If P is e -copointed, then

$$\rho^C(1_A \otimes 1_P) = 1_A \otimes \rho^C(1_P) = (1_A \otimes 1_P) \otimes e = 1_{A \square_H P} \otimes e,$$

hence $A \square_H P$ is e -copointed. $\square$

Recall that a coalgebra C is said to be *coseparable* if there exists a (C, C) -bilinear retraction of the comultiplication, i.e., a map $\delta : C \otimes C \rightarrow C$ such that $\delta \circ \Delta = C$. If C is a coseparable coalgebra, then C -coalgebra Galois extensions have the following property.

Theorem 4.5. ([2], Theorem 4.6) *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field and $P_e(B, C, \psi)$ an e -copointed $(P, C)_\psi$ -extension with ψ bijective. If C is a coseparable coalgebra and the lifted canonical map $\text{can}_P^C : P \otimes P \rightarrow P \otimes C$ is surjective then the canonical map $\text{can}_P^C : P \otimes_B P \rightarrow P \otimes C$ is bijective and $P_e^C(B)$ is a principal C -coalgebra Galois extension.*

Corollary 4.6. *If $\mathbb{K}$ is a field, C is a coseparable coalgebra, $P_e(B, C, \psi)$ is an e -copointed $(P, C)_\psi$ -extension with bijective ψ and there exists a colifting of the translation map $\tilde{\tau}_P^C : C \rightarrow P \otimes P$, then $\text{can}_P^C : P \otimes_B P \rightarrow P \otimes C$ is bijective and $P_e^C(B)$ is a principal C -coalgebra Galois extension.*

Proof. Define map

$$f : P \otimes C \ni p \otimes c \mapsto p\tilde{\tau}_P^C(c) \in P \otimes P.$$

By the definition of $\tilde{\tau}_P^C$, $\text{c}\tilde{\text{a}}\text{n}_P^C \circ f = P \otimes C$, hence $\text{c}\tilde{\text{a}}\text{n}_P^C$ must be surjective and conclusion follows from Theorem 4.5. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *If P is a left H -comodule, where H is a Hopf algebra, then for all $\sum_i p_i \otimes q_i \in P \otimes P$, the following two conditions are equivalent:*

$$\sum_i p_{i(-1)} q_{i(-1)} \otimes p_{i(0)} \otimes q_{i(0)} = 1_H \otimes \sum_i p_i \otimes q_i, \quad (53)$$

$$\sum_i p_{i(-1)} \otimes p_{i(0)} \otimes q_i = \sum_i S q_{i(-1)} \otimes p_i \otimes q_{i(0)}. \quad (54)$$

Proof. (53) $\Rightarrow$ (54) Apply $(m \otimes P \otimes P) \circ (S \otimes {}^H\rho \otimes P)$ to both sides of (53).
(54) $\Rightarrow$ (53)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i p_{i(-1)} q_{i(-1)} \otimes p_{i(0)} \otimes q_{i(0)} \\ &= [\text{ use (54) }] \sum_i S q_{i(-1)} q_{i(0)(-1)} \otimes p_i \otimes q_{i(0)(0)} \\ &= \sum_i S q_{i(-1)(1)} q_{i(-1)(2)} \otimes p_i \otimes q_{i(0)} = 1_H \otimes \sum_i p_i \otimes q_i. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

The following two theorems state conditions for the existence, and give an explicit form of the strong connection form.

Theorem 4.8. *Assume that:*

1. $\mathbb{K}$ is a field, H is a Hopf algebra, and C is a coseparable coalgebra,
2. A is a right H -comodule algebra,
3. P is an (H, C) -bicomodule and a left H -comodule algebra. Also, there exists a grouplike $e \in C$ and a bijective entwining $\psi : C \otimes P \rightarrow P \otimes C$ such that $\rho^C(p) = \psi(e \otimes p)$ for any $p \in P$,
4. There exist coliftings of the translation maps $\tilde{\tau}_A^H : H \rightarrow A \otimes A$, $\tilde{\tau}_P^C : C \rightarrow P \otimes P$ which satisfy conditions (44-46) Lemma 4.1.

Then $(A \square_H P)^C(R)$, where $R = (A \square_H P)^{\text{co}C}$, is a principal extension.

Proof. As $\mathbb{K}$ is a field, any $\mathbb{K}$ -module is $\mathbb{K}$ -flat. Hence all the assumptions of Lemma 4.1 are satisfied, and we know that there exists a colifting of the translation map $\tilde{\tau}_{A \square_H P}^C : C \rightarrow (A \square_H P) \otimes (A \square_H P)$. Moreover the existence of $\tilde{\tau}_P^C$ which satisfies condition (46) implies, by Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.7 that ψ commutes with the left H -coaction. Hence, by Lemma 4.4, there exists an invertible entwining $\psi_\square$ on $A \square_H P$ and $(A \square_H P)_e(R, C, \psi_\square)$ is an e -copointed $(A \square_H P, C)_{\psi_\square}$ -extension. Then the assertion follows by Corollary 4.6. $\square$

Theorem 4.9. *If*

1. C is a coalgebra and H is a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode,
2. A is a right H -comodule algebra and P is a left H -comodule algebra,
3. $P_e(B, C, \psi)$ is an e -copointed $(P, C)_\psi$ -extension with a bijective entwining map, which commutes with the left H -coaction,
4. $C, A \otimes P, A \square_H P$ are flat $\mathbb{K}$ -modules,
5. there exist strong connection forms $\ell_A : H \rightarrow A \otimes A$ and $\ell_P : C \rightarrow P \otimes P$,
6. For all $c \in C$,

$$c^{[1]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]}_{(0)} \otimes c^{[2]} = S c^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)}, \quad (55)$$

where $c^{[1]} \otimes c^{[2]} = \ell_P(c)$.

Then $(A \square_H P)_e(R, C, \psi_\square)$, where $R = (A \square_H P)^{coH}$, is an e -copointed $(A \square_H P, C)_{\psi_\square}$ -extension with bijective entwining (Lemma 4.4), and

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{A \square_H P} : C &\rightarrow (A \square_H P) \otimes (A \square_H P), \\ c &\mapsto (c^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]}) \otimes (c^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)}) \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

is a strong connection form.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, the left H -coaction commutes with the right C -coaction. Observe that had we assumed that P is an (H, C) -bicomodule, then commuting of ψ with H -coaction would follow from (55) and Lemmas 4.7 and 4.3. Hence, by Lemma 4.4, $(A \square_H P)_e(R, C, \psi_\square)$ is an e -copointed $(A \square_H P, C)_{\psi_\square}$ -extension with bijective entwining.

In particular, ℓ_A and ℓ_P are coliftings of translation map, which satisfy all of the assumptions of Lemma 4.1, hence, by Lemma 4.1, the map $\ell_{A \square_H P}$ given by (56) is a well defined colifting of the translation map on $A \square_H P$. It remains to prove that (56) satisfies remaining axioms (39, 41, 42) of a strong connection form. First compute

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{A \square_H P}(e) &= (e^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes e^{[1]}) \otimes (e^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes e^{[2]}_{(0)}) \\ &= (1_{P(-1)} \otimes 1_P) \otimes (1_{P(-1)} \otimes 1_{P(0)}) = (1_H \otimes 1_P) \otimes (1_H \otimes 1_P) \\ &= (1_A \otimes 1_P) \otimes (1_A \otimes 1_P) = 1_{A \square_H P} \otimes 1_{A \square_H P}, \end{aligned}$$

Where we use that $\ell_A(e) = 1_A \otimes 1_A$ and $\ell_P(e) = 1_P \otimes 1_P$. Hence, the map $\ell_{A \square_H P}$ is normalized on e as required for (39).

Take any $c \in C$, and compute,

$$\begin{aligned} ((A \otimes P) \otimes \rho^C) \circ \ell_{A \square_H P}(c) &= (c^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]}) \otimes (c^{[2]}_{(-1)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)}) \otimes c^{[2]}_{(1)} \\ &= (c^{[2]}_{(0)(-1)} \otimes c^{[1]}) \otimes (c^{[2]}_{(0)(-1)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)(0)}) \otimes c^{[2]}_{(1)} \\ \text{[by (41) for } \ell_P] &= (c_{(1)} \otimes c^{[1]}) \otimes (c_{(1)} \otimes c^{[2]}_{(0)}) \otimes c_{(2)} \\ &= \ell_{A \square_H P}(c_{(1)}) \otimes c_{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\ell_{A\Box_H P}$ is a right C -comodule map, i.e., the condition (41) is satisfied. Finally, for all $c \in C$,

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^{C\psi\Box} \rho \otimes (A \otimes P)) \circ \ell_{A\Box_H P}(c) &= e_A \otimes (c_{(-1)}^{[2]} \underline{[1]} \otimes c_{(1)}^{[1]A}) \otimes (c_{(-1)}^{[2]} \underline{[2]} \otimes c_{(0)}^{[2]}) \\ [\text{use (42) for } \ell_P] &= c_{(1)} \otimes (c_{(2)}^{[2]} \underline{[1]} \otimes c_{(2)}^{[1]}) \otimes (c_{(2)}^{[2]} \underline{[2]} \otimes c_{(2)}^{[2]} \underline{[0]}) \\ &= c_{(1)} \otimes \ell_{A\Box_H P}(c_{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\ell_{A\Box_H P}$ is a left C -comodule map, i.e., the condition (42) is satisfied. Thus we conclude that $\ell_{A\Box_H P}$ is a strong connection form as required. $\square$

Let $P_e^C(B)$ be a C -coalgebra Galois extension, with a strong connection form $\ell : C \rightarrow P \otimes P$, $c \mapsto c_{(-1)}^{[1]} \otimes c_{(0)}^{[2]}$. Then, obviously, the inverse of the canonical map is given explicitly by

$$(\text{can}_P^C)^{-1}(p \otimes c) = p c_{(-1)}^{[1]} \otimes_B c_{(0)}^{[2]} \quad \text{for all } c \in C, p \in P.$$

Hence, explicit formula for the inverse of the canonical map is determined by the explicit formula for a strong connection form and by the knowledge of the subalgebra of coinvariants. Next lemma determines a subalgebra of coinvariants of $A\Box_H P$.

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose that H is a bialgebra, C is a coalgebra, flat as a $\mathbb{K}$ -module. Let A be a right H -comodule algebra and let P be a left H -comodule algebra and an (H, C) -bicomodule. Moreover, suppose that $(P, C)_\psi$ is an entwining structure such that P is an entwined module and ψ commutes with the left H -coaction. Then*

$$(A\Box_H P)^{\text{co}C} = A\Box_H (P^{\text{co}C}). \quad (57)$$

Proof. As P is an entwined module, subalgebra of coinvariants is uniquely determined by the exactness of the following sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow P^{\text{co}C} \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow[\substack{p \mapsto p1_{(0)} \otimes 1_{(1)}}]{\rho^C} P \otimes C. \quad (58)$$

View $P \otimes C$ as a left H -comodule by left H -coaction on the first factor. The functor $A\Box_H \cdot : {}^H\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is left exact, and, furthermore, since C is flat as a $\mathbb{K}$ -module, $A\Box_H (P \otimes C) \simeq (A\Box_H P) \otimes C$ (c.f. [15]). Hence, cotensoring sequence (58) with A , yields the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A\Box_H (P^{\text{co}C}) \longrightarrow A\Box_H P \xrightarrow[\substack{A \otimes (p \mapsto p1_{(0)} \otimes 1_{(1)})}]{A \otimes \rho^C} (A\Box_H P) \otimes C. \quad (59)$$

By Lemmas 3.1 and 4.4, $A\Box_H P$ is an algebra and an entwined module, hence sequence (59) defines uniquely the algebra of coinvariants $(A\Box_H P)^{\text{co}C} = A\Box_H (P^{\text{co}C})$. $\square$

Example 4.11. Let A, P, B, H be as in Example 3.8, except that we change left H -coaction on P . Define the left $\ast$ -comodule-algebra H -coaction on P ,

$${}^H\rho(a) = u^* \otimes a, \quad {}^H\rho(b) = u \otimes b, \quad {}^H\rho(a^*) = u \otimes a^*, \quad {}^H\rho(b^*) = u^* \otimes b^*. \quad (60)$$

As a $*$ -algebra, $A\Box_H P$ is generated by,

$$\alpha = a \otimes a^*, \quad \beta = b \otimes b, \quad \gamma = a \otimes b, \quad \delta = b \otimes a^*, \quad (61)$$

which satisfy the following commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\alpha^* &= \alpha^*\alpha, & \beta\beta^* &= \beta^*\beta, & \gamma\gamma^* &= \gamma^*\gamma, & \delta\delta^* &= \delta^*\delta, \\ \alpha\beta &= \lambda\bar{\lambda}'\beta\alpha, & \alpha\beta^* &= \bar{\lambda}\lambda'\beta^*\alpha, & \alpha\gamma &= \bar{\lambda}'\gamma\alpha, & \alpha\gamma^* &= \lambda'\gamma^*\alpha, \\ \alpha\delta &= \lambda\delta\alpha, & \alpha\delta^* &= \bar{\lambda}\delta^*\alpha, & \beta\gamma &= \bar{\lambda}\gamma\beta, & \beta\gamma^* &= \lambda\gamma^*\beta, \\ \beta\delta &= \lambda'\delta\beta, & \beta\delta^* &= \bar{\lambda}'\delta^*\beta, & \gamma\delta &= \lambda\lambda'\delta\gamma, & \gamma\delta^* &= \bar{\lambda}\bar{\lambda}'\delta^*\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

and, in addition,

$$\alpha^*\alpha + \beta^*\beta + \gamma^*\gamma + \delta^*\delta = 1, \quad (63)$$

$$\alpha\beta = \bar{\lambda}'\gamma\delta, \quad (64)$$

where $\lambda = e^{2\pi i\theta}$ and $\lambda' = e^{2\pi i\theta'}$.

The algebra of coinvariants $R = (A\Box_H P)^{\text{co}H} = A\Box_H B$ is obviously generated by

$$\begin{aligned} z^1 &= z \otimes 1 = \alpha^*\alpha + \gamma^*\gamma, & z^2 &= 1 \otimes z = \alpha\alpha^* + \delta\delta^*, \\ x_+^1 &= x_+ \otimes 1 = \delta\alpha^* + \beta\gamma^*, & x_-^1 &= x_- \otimes 1 = \alpha\delta^* + \gamma\beta^*, \\ x_+^a &= aa \otimes x_+ = \gamma\alpha, & x_-^a &= a^*a^* \otimes x_- = \alpha^*\gamma^*, \\ x_+^b &= bb \otimes x_+ = \beta\delta, & x_-^b &= b^*b^* \otimes x_- = \delta^*\beta^*, \\ x_+^{ab} &= ab \otimes x_+ = \lambda'\alpha\beta, & x_-^{ab} &= b^*a^* \otimes x_- = \bar{\lambda}'\beta^*\alpha^*. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

However not all of these are independent. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} x_+^{ab} &= \lambda'\alpha\beta = \lambda'\alpha\beta(\alpha^*\alpha + \beta^*\beta + \gamma^*\gamma + \delta^*\delta) \quad [\text{use (63)}] \\ &= \gamma\delta\alpha^*\alpha + \gamma\delta\beta^*\beta + \lambda'\alpha\beta\gamma^*\gamma + \lambda'\alpha\beta\delta^*\delta \quad [\text{use (64) in 1-st \& 2-nd factor}] \\ &= \lambda(\delta\alpha^* + \beta\gamma^*)\gamma\alpha + (\alpha\delta^* + \gamma\beta^*)\beta\delta \quad [\text{use (62)}] \\ &= \lambda x_+^1 x_+^a + x_-^1 x_+^b \quad [\text{use (65)}], \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

and

$$x_-^{ab} = (x_+^{ab})^* = \bar{\lambda}x_-^a x_-^1 + x_-^b x_+^1. \quad (67)$$

The remaining generators satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_+^1 x_-^1 &= x_-^1 x_+^1, & x_+^a x_-^a &= x_-^a x_+^a, & x_+^b x_-^b &= x_-^b x_+^b, \\ x_+^1 x_+^a &= \bar{\lambda}^2 x_+^a x_+^1, & x_+^1 x_-^a &= \lambda^2 x_-^a x_+^1, & x_+^1 x_+^b &= \bar{\lambda}^2 x_+^b x_+^1, \\ x_+^1 x_-^b &= \lambda^2 x_-^b x_+^1, & x_+^a x_+^b &= \lambda^2 x_+^b x_+^a, & x_+^a x_-^b &= \bar{\lambda}^2 x_-^b x_+^a, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

and z^1 and z^2 are central in R . In addition to relations (68), the generators $z^1, z^2, x_+^1, x_-^1, x_+^a, x_-^a, x_+^b, x_-^b$ satisfy

$$x_+^1 x_-^1 + (z^1)^2 = z^1, \quad (69)$$

$$x_+^a x_-^a = (z^1)^2 z^2 (1 - z^2), \quad (70)$$

$$x_+^b x_-^b = (1 - z^1)^2 z^2 (1 - z^2), \quad (71)$$

$$x_+^a x_-^b = \bar{\lambda}(x_-^1)^2 z^2 (1 - z^2). \quad (72)$$

To gain better geometric understanding of the algebra $A\Box_H B$ we look at the classical case, whereby $\theta = \theta' = 0$. In accordance with remarks in the introduction about the classical interpretation of the cotensor product, the algebra of coinvariants $A\Box_H B$ is a commutative algebra of functions on a fibered space with the base S^2 and the fibre S^2 . Denote this space by $S_{S^2}^2$, i.e.,

$$S_{S^2}^2 = \{(x_+^1, x_+^a, x_+^b, z^1, z^2) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \text{eq's (69)-(72) are satisfied}\},$$

where we set $\lambda = \lambda' = 1$ in equations (69)-(72). It is easy to see that parameters $x_\pm^1$, z^1 and (69) describe the base space of this fibration. Hence we can define a surjection on the base space $\pi : S_{S^2}^2 \rightarrow S^2$, by

$$\pi : (x_+^1, x_+^a, x_+^b, z^1, z^2) \mapsto (x_+^1, z).$$

Define also $U_0, U_1 \subset S^2$, $U_k = \{(x_+, z) \in S^2 \mid z \neq k\}$.

For any parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > 0$, the equation

$$x_+ x_- = \alpha z(1 - z),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_- = \overline{x_+} \in \mathbb{C}$, describes an ellipsoid with equatorial radius $\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{2}$, and longitudinal diameter 1, which is obviously homeomorphic with S^2 by the substitution $(x_+, z) \mapsto (\frac{x_+}{\sqrt{\alpha}}, z)$. Define two continuous maps, by $\phi_0 : \pi^{-1}(U_0) \rightarrow U_0 \times S^2$ and $\phi_1 : \pi^{-1}(U_1) \rightarrow U_0 \times S^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0 : (x_+^1, x_+^a, x_+^b, z^1, z^2) &\mapsto ((x_+^1, z^1), (\frac{x_+^a}{z^1}, z^2)), \\ \phi_1 : (x_+^1, x_+^a, x_+^b, z^1, z^2) &\mapsto ((x_+^1, z^1), (\frac{x_+^b}{1 - z^1}, z^2)). \end{aligned}$$

These maps have the inverses

$$(\phi_0)^{-1} : ((x_+^1, z^1), (x_+, z)) \mapsto (x_+^1, x_+^a, x_+^b, z^1, z^2) = (x_+^1, z^1 x_+, (x_+^1)^2 \frac{x_+}{z^1}, z^1, z),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_1)^{-1} : ((x_+^1, z^1), (x_+, z)) &\mapsto (x_+^1, x_+^a, x_+^b, z^1, z^2) \\ &= (x_+^1, (x_+^1)^2 \frac{x_+}{1 - z^1}, (1 - z^1)x_+, z^1, z), \end{aligned}$$

which are also continuous. Hence ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 are homeomorphisms which define local trivialisations of the fibre bundle $S_{S^2}^2$. Moreover they cannot be extended to the whole of $S_{S^2}^2$, hence $S_{S^2}^2$ is a nontrivial fibration. $A\Box_H B$ is a quantum deformation of algebra of functions on a nontrivial fibre bundle $S_{S^2}^2$.

For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. $C_\theta^0(S^3)$ admits a strong connection form given explicitly by

$$\ell(u^n) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} b^{*m} a^{*n-m} \otimes a^{n-m} b^m, \quad (73)$$

$$\ell(u^{*n}) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} b^m a^{n-m} \otimes a^{*n-m} b^{*m}. \quad (74)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (c.f. [6]). Hence $A = C_\theta^0(S^3)$ and $P = C_{\theta'}^0(S^3)$ admit strong connection forms ℓ_A and ℓ_P , given by the above formulae. Observe that ℓ_P satisfies condition (55) of Theorem 4.9. Hence, by Theorem 4.9, there exists a strong connection form $\ell_{A \square_H P}$ on $A \square_H P$. Explicitly, by (56), (73) and (74), for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{A \square_H P}(u^n) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2m} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-2m}{k} (b^k a^{n-2m-k} \otimes b^{*m} a^{*n-m}) \\ &\quad \otimes (a^{*n-2m-k} b^{*k} \otimes a^{n-m} b^m) \\ &+ \sum_{m=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{2m-n} \binom{n}{m} \binom{2m-n}{k} (b^{*k} a^{*2m-n-k} \otimes b^{*m} a^{*n-m}) \\ &\quad \otimes (a^{2m-n-k} b^k \otimes a^{n-m} b^m), \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{A \square_H P}(u^{-n}) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2m} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-2m}{k} (b^{*k} a^{*n-2m-k} \otimes b^m a^{n-m}) \\ &\quad \otimes (a^{n-2m-k} b^k \otimes a^{*n-m} b^{*m}) \\ &+ \sum_{m=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{2m-n} \binom{n}{m} \binom{2m-n}{k} (b^k a^{2m-n-k} \otimes b^m a^{n-m}) \\ &\quad \otimes (a^{*2m-n-k} b^{*k} \otimes a^{*n-m} b^{*m}). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

In order to express the above formulae in terms of generators (61), observe that

$$\begin{aligned} a^{*n-2m-k} b^{*k} \otimes a^{n-m} b^m &= a^{*n-2m-k} b^{*k} (a^* a + b^* b)^m \otimes a^{n-m} b^m \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{m}{t} \bar{\lambda}^{t(k+m-t)} a^{*n-2m-k+t} b^{*m+k-t} a^t b^{m-t} \otimes a^{n-m} b^m \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{m}{t} \bar{\lambda}^{t(k+m-t)} \alpha^{*n-2m-k+t} \delta^{*m+k-t} \gamma^t \beta^{m-t}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, consider the second factor of the tensor product in the second summand in (75). If $0 \leq k \leq 2m-n$ and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor < m \leq n$, then $k \leq m$. It follows, that

$$\begin{aligned} a^{2m-n-k} b^k \otimes a^{n-m} b^m &= (a^* a + b^* b)^{n-m} a^{2m-n-k} b^k \otimes a^{n-m} b^m \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{n-m} \binom{n-m}{t} a^{*t} b^{*n-m-t} b^{n-m-t} a^{2m-n-k+t} b^k \otimes a^{n-m} b^m \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{n-m} \binom{n-m}{t} \lambda^{(2m-n-k+t)k} \alpha^{*t} \delta^{*n-m-t} \beta^{n-m-t+k} \gamma^{2m-n-k+t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_{A\Box_H P}(u^n) = & \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2m} \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^m \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-2m}{k} \binom{m}{t} \binom{m}{s} \lambda^{(k+m)(t-s)-t^2+s^2} \\
& \cdot \beta^{*m-t} \gamma^{*t} \delta^{k+m-t} \alpha^{n-2m-k+t} \otimes \alpha^{*n-2m-k+s} \delta^{*k+m-s} \gamma^s \beta^{m-s} \\
& + \sum_{m=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{2m-n} \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^m \binom{n}{m} \binom{2m-n}{k} \binom{m}{t} \binom{m}{s} \bar{\lambda}^{k(t-s)} \\
& \cdot \gamma^{*2m-n-k+t} \beta^{*n-m+k-t} \delta^{n-m-t} \alpha^t \\
& \otimes \alpha^{*s} \delta^{*n-m-s} \beta^{n-m-s+k} \gamma^{2m-n-k+s}.
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Similarly we prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_{A\Box_H P}(u^{-n}) = & \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2m} \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^m \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-2m}{k} \binom{m}{t} \binom{m}{s} \lambda^{(k+m)(t-s)-t^2+s^2} \\
& \cdot \alpha^{*n-2m-k+s} \delta^{*k+m-s} \gamma^s \beta^{m-s} \otimes \beta^{*m-t} \gamma^{*t} \delta^{k+m-t} \alpha^{n-2m-k+t} \\
& + \sum_{m=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{2m-n} \sum_{t=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^m \binom{n}{m} \binom{2m-n}{k} \binom{m}{t} \binom{m}{s} \bar{\lambda}^{k(t-s)} \\
& \cdot \alpha^{*s} \delta^{*n-m-s} \beta^{n-m-s+k} \gamma^{2m-n-k+s} \\
& \otimes \gamma^{*2m-n-k+t} \beta^{*n-m+k-t} \delta^{n-m-t} \alpha^t.
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

As $H = C^0(U(1))$ is a coseparable Hopf algebra, by Theorem 4.8, $(A\Box_H P)^H(A\Box_H B)$ is an H -Hopf Galois extension.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Tomasz Brzeziński for many fruitful discussions. My research of is supported by the EPSRC grant GR/S01078/01.

References

- [1] T. Brzeziński. On modules associated to coalgebra-Galois extensions. *J. Algebra*, 215(1):290–317, 1999.
- [2] T. Brzeziński. Galois comodules. arXiv:math.RA/0312159 v. 3, 2004.
- [3] T. Brzeziński and P. M. Hajac. The Chern-Galois character. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, 338:113–116, 2004.
- [4] T. Brzezinski and S. Majid. Coalgebra bundles. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 191:467–492, 1998.
- [5] T. Brzeziński and S. Majid. Quantum geometry of algebra factorisations and coalgebra bundles. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 213(3):491–521, 2000.

- [6] T. Brzeziński and A. Sitarz. Dirac monopoles from the Matsumoto non-commutative spheres. In *Group 24: Physical and Mathematical Aspects of Symmetries*. J.-P. Gazeau et al. (eds.), Institute of Physics Conference Series No 173, IOP, Bristol and Philadelphia, 2003, pp. 791–794 (arXiv:math-ph/0304037).
- [7] T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer. *Corings and Comodules*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [8] L. Dąbrowski. The garden of quantum spheres. In *Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups (Warsaw, 2001)*, volume 61 of *Banach Center Publ.* Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2003, pp. 37–48.
- [9] L. El Kaoutit and J. Gomez-Torrecillas. Comatrix corings: Galois corings, descent theory, and a structure theorem for cosemisimple corings. *Math. Z.*, 244:887–906, 2003.
- [10] C. Kassel and H.-J. Schneider. Homotopy theory of Hopf Galois extensions. arXiv:math.QA/0402034.
- [11] K. Matsumoto. Noncommutative three-dimensional spheres. *Japan J. Math.*, 17:333–356, 1991.
- [12] P. Schauenburg. Bi-Galois objects over the Taft algebras. *Israel J. Math.*, 115:101–123, 2000.
- [13] H.-J. Schneider. Principal homogenous spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 72(1-2), 1990.
- [14] H.-J. Schneider. Representation theory of Hopf Galois extensions. *Israel J. Math.*, 72(1-2):196–231, 1990.
- [15] M. Takeuchi. A note on geometrically reductive groups. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 20:387–396, 1973.
- [16] M. Takeuchi. On extensions of formal groups by μ^A . *Comm. Algebra*, 5(13):1439–1481, 1977.