

A RATIONALITY CRITERION FOR PROJECTIVE SURFACES - PARTIAL SOLUTION TO KOLLÁR'S CONJECTURE

JONGHAE KEUM

Dedicated to Igor Dolgachev on his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. Kollár's conjecture states that a complex projective surface S with quotient singularities and with $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ should be rational if its smooth part S^0 is simply connected.

We confirm the conjecture under the additional condition that the exceptional divisor in a minimal resolution of S has at most 3 components over each singular point of S .

1. INTRODUCTION

In his study of Seifert structures on simply connected rational homology spheres, János Kollár suggested the following conjecture ([Ko] Conjecture 42, or Conjecture 79 its differential geometric equivalent.):

Conjecture 1.1. *Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities such that*

- (1) $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$,
- (2) $\pi_1(S^0) = \{1\}$, where S^0 is its smooth part.

Then S is rational.

In this paper we confirm the conjecture under the additional condition that the exceptional divisor in a minimal resolution of S has at most 3 components over each singular point of S . More precisely, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities such that*

- (1) $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$,
- (2) $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$,
- (3) *the inverse image $f^{-1}(p)$ has at most 3 components for each singular point p in S , where $f : S' \rightarrow S$ is a minimal resolution.*

Then S is rational.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14J.

Key words and phrases. projective surface, quotient singularity, rationality.

Research supported by KOSEF grant R01-2003-000-11634-0.

Note that the condition (2) $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ is weaker than $\pi_1(S^0) = \{1\}$.

We also remark that if S is non-singular and satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.2, then S is either the complex projective plane $\mathbb{CP}^2$ or a surface of general type with $q = p_g = 0$, $3c_2 = c_1^2 = 9$, so called a fake projective plane. Recently G. Prasad and S.-K. Yeung have shown that no fake projective plane with $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ exists [PY].

Throughout this paper, we work over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers.

Acknowledgements. I like to thank János Kollár for useful conversations through e-mails. I am also grateful to the referee for many helpful comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Lemma 2.1. *Let V be an irreducible reduced complex analytic space, and V^0 its smooth part. Let $f : V' \rightarrow V$ be a resolution of singularities. Then*

- (1) *The inclusion $V^0 \subset V'$ gives surjective homomorphisms*

$$\pi_1(V^0) \rightarrow \pi_1(V'), \quad H_1(V^0, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(V', \mathbb{Z}).$$

- (2) *If f has connected fibres, it induces surjective homomorphisms*

$$\pi_1(V') \rightarrow \pi_1(V), \quad H_1(V', \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(V, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the assertions for fundamental groups.

The first assertion follows from the fact that the complement $V' \setminus V^0$ has real codimension ≥ 2 .

If f has connected fibres, a loop in V can be lifted to a loop in V' . $\square$

When the resolution is projective, the condition in (2) is always satisfied by Zariski's Main Theorem.

The following also can be proved by a standard argument. For a proof, we refer the reader, e.g. to [Ko] Proposition 40.

Proposition 2.2. *Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities such that $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ and $H^1(S, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$. Let $f : S' \rightarrow S$ be a resolution of singularities. Then*

- (1) $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = H^1(S', \mathcal{O}_{S'}) = 0$.
(2) $H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = H^2(S', \mathcal{O}_{S'}) = 0$.

Recall the definition of *Kodaira (logarithmic) dimension*. Let V^0 be a nonsingular variety and let V be a *smooth completion* of V^0 , i.e., V is nonsingular projective and $D := V \setminus V^0$ is an integral reduced divisor with simple normal crossings. If $H^0(V, m(K_V + D)) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$, the *Kodaira (logarithmic) dimension* $\kappa(V^0) = -\infty$. Otherwise, $|m(K_V + D)|$ gives rise to a rational map φ_m for some m and the *Kodaira dimension* $\kappa(V^0)$ is the maximum of $\dim(\varphi_m(V^0))$.

The Kodaira dimension of V^0 does not depend on the choice of the completion V [I]. Also $\kappa(V^0)$ takes value in $\{-\infty, 0, 1, \dots, \dim V^0\}$.

Obviously, $\kappa(V) \leq \kappa(V^0)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities such that $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ and $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Let $f : S' \rightarrow S$ be a minimal resolution. Then one of the following cases occurs.*

- (1) S is rational.
- (2) S' is a surface, not necessarily minimal, with $q = p_g = 0$, $\kappa(S') = 1$, $H_1(S', \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $\kappa(S^0) = 2$.
- (3) S' is a surface of general type, not necessarily minimal, with $q = p_g = 0$, $H_1(S', \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Proof. Since $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, $H_1(S', \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1. By Proposition 2.2, S' is a surface with $q = p_g = 0$, $H_1(S', \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. By classification theory (see [BHPV]), either S' is rational or $\kappa(S') \geq 1$. Since $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$, S has Picard number 1 and $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q})$ is positive definite. Thus for every curve C on S , $C^2 \geq 0$. In particular, S is relatively minimal, i.e. there is no curve C with $K_S \cdot C < 0$, $C^2 < 0$.

Assume $\kappa(S') = 1$. If $\kappa(S^0) = 1$, then there is an elliptic fibration on S ([Ka1] Theorem 2.3 or [M1] Ch.II Theorem 6.1.4 or [KZ] Theorem 4.1.), thus $\text{Pic}(S)$ has rank at least 2, a contradiction. Thus $\kappa(S^0) = 2$ and the assertion follows. $\square$

Replacing the condition $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ by $\pi_1(S^0) = \{1\}$, one gets the following

Corollary 2.4. *Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities such that $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ and $\pi_1(S^0) = \{1\}$. Let $f : S' \rightarrow S$ be a minimal resolution. Then one of the following cases occurs.*

- (1) S is rational.
- (2) S' is a simply connected surface, not necessarily minimal, with $q = p_g = 0$, $\kappa(S') = 1$, and $\kappa(S^0) = 2$.
- (3) S' is a simply connected surface of general type, not necessarily minimal, with $q = p_g = 0$.

So far, no example S satisfying the condition of Corollary 2.4 and belonging to the cases (2) or (3) has been found, and it is not likely such an example exists. On this basis János Kollár suggests his conjecture ([Ko] 41).

Lemma 2.5. *Let S be a normal compact surface with rational singularities, and $f : S' \rightarrow S$ a resolution of singularities. Let $\mathcal{R} \subset H^2(S', \mathbb{Z})$ be the subgroup generated by the cohomology classes of the exceptional curves of f . Set*

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}} := \{\alpha \in H^2(S', \mathbb{Z}) : m\alpha \in \mathcal{R} \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Then the following are equivalent

- (1) $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.
- (2) $q(S') = 0$ and $\mathcal{R} = \overline{\mathcal{R}}$.

Proof. Assume (1). By Lemma 2.1, $H_1(S', \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and hence $q(S') = 0$. By the universal coefficient theorem, $H^2(S', \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free, so is $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$. Since

$q(S') = 0$, $\text{Pic}(S')$ can be regarded as a primitive sublattice of $H^2(S', \mathbb{Z})$. Since $\mathcal{R} \subset \text{Pic}(S')$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}} \subset \text{Pic}(S')$. If $\mathcal{R} \neq \overline{\mathcal{R}}$, then there would be a finite étale cover of S^0 , thus $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$, a contradiction.

Assume (2). Since $q(S') = 0$, $\text{Pic}(S')$ embeds in $H^2(S', \mathbb{Z})$. Suppose $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$. Then there is a finite étale cover of S^0 , thus there exist an element $\alpha \in \text{Pic}(S')$ and an integer $m > 1$ such that $m\alpha$ is either trivial or linearly equivalent to an effective divisor supported in the exceptional set of f , but α is not. Since $\text{Pic}(S') \subset H^2(S', \mathbb{Z})$, this implies that $\overline{\mathcal{R}} \neq \mathcal{R}$. $\square$

Definition 2.6. Let $p \in F$ be a normal surface singularity. Then F is a cone over a real 3-manifold M called the *link*.

If the singularity is rational, then $H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion.

For surfaces with $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$, J. Kollár gives more precise information in terms of links.

Proposition 2.7. ([Ko] Corollary 43) *Let S be a normal compact surface with rational singularities p_i with links M_i . Assume that $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$. Then the following are equivalent*

- (1) $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.
- (2) The Weil divisor class group $\text{Weil}(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.
- (3) Each $H^2(M_i, \mathbb{Z})$ is cyclic, their orders m_i are pairwise coprime and there is a Weil divisor B' which generates $H^2(M_i, \mathbb{Z})$ for every i .
- (4) There is a Weil divisor B with $B^2 = 1/\Pi m_i$.
- (5) There is a Cartier divisor H and a Weil divisor B with $H^2 = \Pi m_i$, $B \cdot H = 1$.

The following result due to Y. Miyaoka plays a crucial role in the proof of our main theorem.

Theorem 2.8. ([M2] Theorem 1.1) *Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities. Denote by $\text{Sing}(S)$ the set of singular points of S . Let $f : S' \rightarrow S$ be a minimal resolution and E be the inverse image $f^{-1}(\text{Sing}(S))$, a reduced integral divisor. Assume $K_{S'} + E$ has Zariski decomposition with positive part P and negative part $N + N'$, where N is supported away from E and N' is supported in E . Then we have the inequality*

$$\sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(S)} \left(e(E_p) - \frac{1}{|G_p|} \right) \leq c_2(S') - \frac{1}{3}P^2 - \frac{1}{4}N^2,$$

where $e(E_p)$ is the Euler number of $E_p := f^{-1}(p)$ and G_p is the local fundamental group of p .

Corollary 2.9. *Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities, and $f : S' \rightarrow S$ be a minimal resolution. Assume S is relatively minimal, i.e. there is no curve C with $K_S \cdot C < 0$, $C^2 < 0$. Assume $\kappa(S^0) \geq 0$. Then we*

have the inequality

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(S)} \left(e(E_p) - \frac{1}{|G_p|} \right) \leq c_2(S') - \frac{1}{3} K_S^2.$$

Proof. The canonical divisor K_S is numerically effective by [MT], Theorem 2.11 or [KZ], Theorem 2.1. Since a quotient singularity is just a log terminal singularity, we have

$$K_{S'} + E = f^* K_S + (E - D),$$

where $E - D$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor whose support is equal to E . Thus the positive part P of Zariski decomposition of $K_{S'} + E$ is $f^* K_S$ and the negative part is $E - D$. $\square$

Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities. Then one can write

$$(2.2) \quad K_{S'} = f^* K_S - \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(S)} D_p$$

where D_p is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor supported in $E_p = f^{-1}(p)$.

Corollary 2.10. *Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities such that $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ and $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Let $f : S' \rightarrow S$ be a minimal resolution. Assume that S is not rational. Then we have the inequality*

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(S)} e(E_p) - c_2(S') + \frac{1}{3} K_{S'}^2 \leq \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(S)} \left(\frac{1}{|G_p|} + \frac{1}{3} D_p^2 \right).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, $\kappa(S^0) = 2$. Since $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$, S has Picard number 1 and $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q})$ is positive definite. Thus for every curve C on S , $C^2 \geq 0$. In particular, S is relatively minimal. (This also follows from Kawamata's Cone Theorem. Indeed, the existence of a curve C with $K_S \cdot C < 0$, $C^2 < 0$ would imply the existence of an extremal contraction, which is either divisorial or gives a fibration, both contradicting to the fact that S has Picard number 1.) By Corollary 2.9, we get the inequality (2.1). It remains to see that

$$K_S^2 = (f^* K_S)^2 = K_{S'}^2 - \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(S)} D_p^2.$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.11. *Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities such that $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ and $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Assume that S is not rational. Then S has at most 4 singular points.*

Proof. Let $f : S' \rightarrow S$ be a minimal resolution. By Corollary 2.9, we have the inequality (2.1). Note that

$$\sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(S)} e(E_p) = b_2(S') - 1 + |\text{Sing}(S)| = c_2(S') - 3 + |\text{Sing}(S)|.$$

Thus the inequality (2.1) becomes

$$(2.4) \quad |\text{Sing}(S)| - 3 \leq \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(S)} \frac{1}{|G_p|} - \frac{1}{3} K_S^2.$$

Let $p_1, \dots, p_r$ be the singular points of S , and let $M_1, \dots, M_r$ be the corresponding links. Since the singularities are rational, $H^2(M_i, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the abelianization of the local fundamental group G_{p_i} . By Proposition 2.7, $H^2(M_i, \mathbb{Z})$ is cyclic, and their orders m_i are pairwise coprime. Let us assume that $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r$.

Assume $r = |\text{Sing}(S)| \geq 5$. If $m_1 > 1$, then m_i is greater than equal to the i -th prime number, thus

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{|G_{p_i}|} \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i} \leq r - 3.$$

If $m_1 = 1$, then $\frac{1}{|G_{p_1}|} < \frac{1}{2}$, thus

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{|G_{p_i}|} \leq \frac{1}{|G_{p_1}|} + \sum_{i=2}^r \frac{1}{m_i} \leq \frac{1}{2} + (r - \frac{7}{2}) = r - 3.$$

Since $K_S^2 > 0$, both (2.5) and (2.6) lead to a contradiction to the inequality (2.4). Thus $|\text{Sing}(S)| \leq 4$. $\square$

Remark 2.12. In the situation of Corollary 2.11, if $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 4$, then two of the four singularities have the local fundamental group of order 2 and 3, respectively.

3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2.

Fix a singular point $p \in S$, and let $E_1, \dots, E_k$ ($k \leq 3$) be the irreducible components of $E_p = f^{-1}(p)$. They form a string of smooth rational curves

$$(-n_1) \quad \text{or} \quad (-n_1) - (-n_2) \quad \text{or} \quad (-n_1) - (-n_2) - (-n_3)$$

where E_j is a $(-n_j)$ -curve. Write

$$D_p = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j E_j.$$

Note that $0 \leq a_j < 1$.

To use the inequality (2.3), we need to estimate $\frac{1}{|G_p|} + \frac{1}{3} D_p^2$.

Lemma 3.1. *Fix $p \in \text{Sing}(S)$. Assume that $f^{-1}(p)$ has 3 components E_1, E_2, E_3 with $E_i^2 = -n_i$. Assume that $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \geq 10$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{|G_p|} + \frac{1}{3} D_p^2 < -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. Since E_j is a $(-n_j)$ -curve, $E_j \cdot K_{S'} = n_j - 2$. Intersecting E_j with f^*K_S from (2.2), we see that

$$n_1 - 2 = a_1 n_1 - a_2$$

$$n_2 - 2 = -a_1 + a_2 n_2 - a_3$$

$$n_3 - 2 = -a_2 + a_3 n_3$$

Adding the equations, we get

$$\sum n_j - 6 = \sum a_j n_j - \sum a_j - a_2,$$

hence

$$\sum a_j (n_j - 2) = \sum n_j - 6 - a_1 - a_3 > \sum n_j - 8 \geq 2.$$

Since $D_p^2 = -D_p \cdot K_{S'} = -\sum a_j (n_j - 2)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|G_p|} + \frac{1}{3} D_p^2 = \frac{1}{|G_p|} - \frac{1}{3} \sum a_j (n_j - 2) < \frac{1}{|G_p|} - \frac{2}{3} \leq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

□

For the cases where $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \leq 9$, we give an exact estimate in Table 1.

(n_1, n_2, n_3)	$ G_p $	(a_1, a_2, a_3)	D_p^2	$\frac{1}{ G_p } + \frac{1}{3} D_p^2$
(2, 2, 2)	4	(0, 0, 0)/4	0	1/4
(2, 2, 3)	7	(1, 2, 3)/7	-3/7	0
(2, 3, 2)	8	(2, 4, 2)/8	-4/8	-1/24
(2, 2, 4)	10	(2, 4, 6)/10	-12/10	-3/10
(2, 4, 2)	12	(4, 8, 4)/12	-16/12	-13/36
(3, 2, 3)	12	(6, 6, 6)/12	-12/12	-1/4
(2, 3, 3)	13	(4, 8, 7)/13	-15/13	-4/13
(2, 2, 5)	13	(3, 6, 9)/13	-27/13	-8/13
(2, 5, 2)	16	(6, 12, 6)/16	-36/16	-11/16
(3, 2, 4)	17	(9, 10, 11)/17	-31/17	-28/51
(2, 3, 4)	18	(6, 12, 12)/18	-36/18	-11/18
(2, 4, 3)	19	(7, 14, 11)/19	-39/19	-12/19
(3, 3, 3)	21	(12, 15, 12)/21	-39/21	-4/7

TABLE 1.

Lemma 3.2. Fix $p \in \text{Sing}(S)$. Assume that $f^{-1}(p)$ has 2 components E_1, E_2 with $E_i^2 = -n_i$. Assume that $n_1 + n_2 \geq 8$. Then

$$\frac{1}{|G_p|} + \frac{1}{3} D_p^2 < -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. Intersecting E_j with f^*K_S from (2.2), we see that

$$n_1 - 2 = a_1 n_1 - a_2$$

$$n_2 - 2 = -a_1 + a_2 n_2$$

Adding the equations, we get

$$\sum n_j - 4 = \sum a_j n_j - \sum a_j,$$

hence

$$\sum a_j(n_j - 2) = \sum n_j - 4 - a_1 - a_2 > \sum n_j - 6 \geq 2.$$

Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{|G_p|} + \frac{1}{3}D_p^2 = \frac{1}{|G_p|} - \frac{1}{3}\sum a_j(n_j - 2) < \frac{1}{|G_p|} - \frac{2}{3} \leq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

□

For the cases where $n_1 + n_2 \leq 7$, we give an exact estimate in Table 2.

(n_1, n_2)	$ G_p $	(a_1, a_2)	D_p^2	$\frac{1}{ G_p } + \frac{1}{3}D_p^2$
(2, 2)	3	(0, 0)/3	0	1/3
(2, 3)	5	(1, 2)/5	-2/5	1/15
(2, 4)	7	(2, 4)/7	-8/7	-5/21
(3, 3)	8	(4, 4)/8	-8/8	-5/24
(2, 5)	9	(3, 6)/9	-18/9	-5/9
(3, 4)	11	(6, 7)/11	-20/11	-17/33

TABLE 2.

Lemma 3.3. Fix $p \in \text{Sing}(S)$. Assume that $f^{-1}(p)$ has 1 component E_1 with $E_1^2 = -n$. Let $d = |G_p|$. Then $d = n$ and

$$\frac{1}{|G_p|} + \frac{1}{3}D_p^2 = \frac{1}{d} - \frac{(d-2)^2}{3d}$$

which equals to $\frac{1}{2}$ if $d = 2$, to $\frac{2}{9}$ if $d = 3$, and $\leq -\frac{1}{12}$ if $d \geq 4$.

Proof. $D_p = \frac{d-2}{d}E_1$. □

If $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, then by Lemma 2.1, $H_1(S', \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, hence $H^2(S', \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free and becomes a lattice with intersection pairing.

From Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 2.7, we also have the following:

Lemma 3.4. Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities satisfying the conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.2. Write $\mathcal{R} = \oplus_p \mathcal{R}_p$ where $\mathcal{R}_p$ is the sublattice of $H^2(S', \mathbb{Z})$ generated by the components of $E_p = f^{-1}(p)$. Then

- (1) The numbers $|G_p/[G_p, G_p]| = |\det \mathcal{R}_p|$ are pairwise coprime.
- (2) There is an integer m such that $|\det \mathcal{R}|(f^*K_S)^2 = m^2$.

Proof. Here we give a short proof.

By Lemma 2.5, $\mathcal{R}$ is a primitive sublattice of $H^2(S', \mathbb{Z})$. Since $H^2(S', \mathbb{Z})$ is unimodular, we have an isomorphism between the discriminant groups

$$\text{disc}\mathcal{R} = \oplus_p \text{disc}\mathcal{R}_p \cong -\text{disc}\mathcal{R}^\perp.$$

Since $\mathcal{R}^\perp$ is of rank 1, $\text{disc}\mathcal{R}$ must be cyclic. This proves (1).

The divisor $(\det \mathcal{R})f^*K_S$ is an integral divisor belonging to $\mathcal{R}^\perp$, hence $(\det \mathcal{R})f^*K_S = mv$ for some integer m , where v is a generator of $\mathcal{R}^\perp$. Since $v^2 = |\det \mathcal{R}|$, (2) follows. $\square$

From now on, S denotes a projective surface satisfying the condition of Theorem 1.2, i.e. S is a singular projective surface with quotient singularities such that

- (1) $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$,
- (2) $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$,
- (3) the inverse image $f^{-1}(p)$ has at most 3 components for each singular point p in S , where $f : S' \rightarrow S$ is a minimal resolution.

To get a contradiction, we also assume

- (4) S is not rational.

In this situation, by Corollary 2.10, we have the inequality (2.3). By the assumption (3), all singularities of S are cyclic.

We denote the left hand side and the right hand side of the inequality (2.3) by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &:= \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(S)} e(E_p) - c_2(S') + \frac{1}{3}K_{S'}^2 \\ \text{RHS} &:= \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(S)} \left(\frac{1}{|G_p|} + \frac{1}{3}D_p^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let S be a projective surface with quotient singularities satisfying the conditions (1) – (4). Assume that the number of singular points $|\text{Sing}(S)| \geq 3$. Then $\text{RHS} \leq \frac{9}{10}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.1-3.3, we see that $\frac{1}{|G_p|} + \frac{1}{3}D_p^2 > 0$ for only one of the five types of singularities $(2, 2, 2)$, $(2, 2)$, $(2, 3)$, (2) , (3) . Also, by Lemma 3.4, the pair $(2, 2, 2)$ and (2) do not occur simultaneously. Neither the pair $(2, 2)$ and (3) .

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| \geq 3$, RHS takes its maximum value when $\text{Sing}(S) = (2) + (2, 2) + (2, 3)$, hence

$$\text{RHS} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{15} = \frac{9}{10}.$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. To get a contradiction, assume that S is not rational. By Proposition 2.3 it suffices to rule out the two cases

- (2) S' is a surface, not necessarily minimal, with $q = p_g = 0$, $\kappa(S') = 1$, $H_1(S', \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $\kappa(S^0) = 2$.
- (3) S' is a surface of general type, not necessarily minimal, with $q = p_g = 0$, $H_1(S', \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Since $q = p_g = 0$, by Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality (or by Theorem 2.8) we have $c_2(S') \geq 3$.

If $c_2(S') = 3$, then $S' = S$ and S is non-singular, hence S is either the complex projective plane or a surface of general type with $q = p_g = 0$, $3c_2 = c_1^2 = 9$, so called a fake projective plane. The latter surface has the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$ as its universal covering (this follows from the solution of S.-T. Yau [Y] to Calabi conjecture) hence has an infinite fundamental group. G. Prasad and S.-K. Yeung [PY] have shown that no fake projective plane with $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ exists.

Thus we may assume that $c_2(S') \geq 4$ and S is singular.

By Corollary 2.10, we have the inequality (2.3). By Corollary 2.11, we also have $|\text{Sing}(S)| \leq 4$.

Case 1. $c_2(S') = 4$ and $K_{S'}^2 = 8$.

In this case $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 1$ and $\text{LHS} = 2 - 4 + \frac{8}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$, while $\text{RHS} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $c_2(S') = 5$ and $K_{S'}^2 = 7$.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 2$, then $\text{LHS} = 4 - 5 + \frac{7}{3} = \frac{4}{3}$, while $\text{RHS} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{9}$, a contradiction.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 1$, then $\text{LHS} = 3 - 5 + \frac{7}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$, while $\text{RHS} \leq \frac{1}{3}$, with equality only when $(n_1, n_2) = (2, 2)$. In this case $\det \mathcal{R} = 3$ and $(f^* K_S)^2 = K_{S'}^2 = 7$, a contradiction to Lemma 3.4(2).

Case 3. $c_2(S') = 6$ and $K_{S'}^2 = 6$.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 3$, then $\text{LHS} = 6 - 6 + \frac{6}{3} = 2$, contradicts to Lemma 3.5.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 2$, then $\text{LHS} = 5 - 6 + \frac{6}{3} = 1$, while $\text{RHS} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}$, a contradiction.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 1$, then $\text{LHS} = 4 - 6 + \frac{6}{3} = 0$, hence we must have $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (2, 2, 2)$ or $(2, 2, 3)$. In the first case (resp. the second) $\det \mathcal{R} = 4$ (resp. 7) and $(f^* K_S)^2 = 6$ (resp. $\frac{45}{7}$). Both contradict to Lemma 3.4(2).

Case 4. $c_2(S') = 7$ and $K_{S'}^2 = 5$.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| \geq 3$, then $\text{LHS} \geq 7 - 7 + \frac{5}{3} = \frac{5}{3}$, contradicts to Lemma 3.5.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 2$, then $\text{LHS} = 6 - 7 + \frac{5}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$, hence $(n_1, n_2, n_3) + (n_4) = (2, 2, 2) + (2)$ (no possible combination of type $(n_1, n_2) + (n_3, n_4)$). In this case $\det \mathcal{R}_{p_1} = 4$ and $\det \mathcal{R}_{p_2} = 2$, contradicting to Lemma 3.4(1).

Case 5. $c_2(S') = 8$ and $K_{S'}^2 = 4$.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| \geq 3$, then $\text{LHS} \geq 8 - 8 + \frac{4}{3} = \frac{4}{3}$, contradicts to Lemma 3.5.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 2$, then $\text{LHS} = 7 - 8 + \frac{4}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$, hence $(n_1, n_2, n_3) + (n_4, n_5) = (2, 2, 2) + (2, 2)$ or $(2, 2, 3) + (2, 2)$. In the first case (resp. the second) $\det \mathcal{R} = 12$ (resp. 21) and $(f^*K_S)^2 = 4$ (resp. $\frac{31}{7}$). Both contradict to Lemma 3.4(2).

Case 6. $c_2(S') = 9$ and $K_{S'}^2 = 3$.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| \geq 3$, then $\text{LHS} \geq 9 - 9 + \frac{3}{3} = 1$, contradicts to Lemma 3.5.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 2$, then $\text{LHS} = 8 - 9 + \frac{3}{3} = 0$, hence $(n_1, n_2, n_3) + (n_4, n_5, n_6) = (2, 2, 2) + (2, 2, 3)$ or $(2, 2, 2) + (2, 3, 2)$ or $(2, 2, 2) + (3, 2, 3)$. In the first case, $\det \mathcal{R} = 28$ and $(f^*K_S)^2 = \frac{24}{7}$, contradicts to Lemma 3.4(2). In the second case, $\det \mathcal{R} = 4 \cdot 8$, and in the third, $\det \mathcal{R} = 4 \cdot 12$, both contradict to Lemma 3.4(1).

Case 7. $c_2(S') = 10$ and $K_{S'}^2 = 2$.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 4$, then $\text{LHS} = 11 - 10 + \frac{2}{3} = \frac{5}{3}$, contradicts to Lemma 3.5.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 3$, then $\text{LHS} = \frac{2}{3}$, hence $(n_1, n_2, n_3) + (n_4, n_5, n_6) + (n_7) = (2, 2, 2) + (2, 2, 3) + (2)$ or $(2, 2, 2) + (2, 3, 2) + (2)$ (no possible combination of type $(n_1, n_2, n_3) + (n_4, n_5) + (n_6, n_7)$). In the first case, $\det \mathcal{R} = 56$ and $(f^*K_S)^2 = K_{S'}^2 - \sum D_p^2 = 2 + \frac{3}{7} = \frac{17}{7}$, contradicts to Lemma 3.4(2). In the second case, $\det \mathcal{R} = 4 \cdot 8 \cdot 2$, contradicts to Lemma 3.4(1).

Case 8. $c_2(S') = 11$ and $K_{S'}^2 = 1$.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 4$, then $\text{LHS} = 12 - 11 + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{4}{3}$, contradicts to Lemma 3.5.

If $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 3$, then $\text{LHS} = \frac{1}{3}$, hence by Lemma 3.4(1), $(n_1, n_2, n_3) + (n_4, n_5, n_6) + (n_7, n_8) = (2, 2, 2) + (2, 2, 3) + (2, 2)$. Then, $\det \mathcal{R} = 4 \cdot 7 \cdot 3$ and $(f^*K_S)^2 = K_{S'}^2 - \sum D_p^2 = 1 + \frac{3}{7} = \frac{10}{7}$, contradicts to Lemma 3.4(2).

Case 9. $c_2(S') = 3s + 3$ and $K_{S'}^2 = 9 - 3s$ ($s \geq 3$).

In this case $|\text{Sing}(S)| \geq (b_2(S') - 1)/3 = 3s/3 = s$.

Assume $|\text{Sing}(S)| \geq s + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= (b_2(S') - 1) + |\text{Sing}(S)| - c_2(S') + \frac{1}{3}K_{S'}^2 \\ &\geq 3s + (s + 1) - (3s + 3) + \frac{1}{3}(9 - 3s) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $s \geq 3$, this contradicts to Lemma 3.5.

Assume $|\text{Sing}(S)| = s$, then

$$\text{LHS} = (b_2(S') - 1) + |\text{Sing}(S)| - c_2(S') + \frac{1}{3}K_{S'}^2 = 0.$$

Since $b_2(S') - 1 = 3s$, $\text{Sing}(S)$ consists of s singular points of length 3, thus by Lemma 3.4(1),

$$\text{RHS} \leq \frac{1}{4} + 0 - \frac{4}{13} < 0,$$

a contradiction.

Case 10. $c_2(S') = 3s + 4$ and $K_{S'}^2 = 8 - 3s$ ($s \geq 3$).

In this case $|\text{Sing}(S)| \geq (b_2(S') - 1)/3 = (3s + 1)/3$, hence $|\text{Sing}(S)| \geq s + 1$.

Since $|\text{Sing}(S)| \leq 4$, $s = 3$ and $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 4$. Thus

$$\text{LHS} = (b_2(S') - 1) + |\text{Sing}(S)| - c_2(S') + \frac{1}{3}K_{S'}^2 = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Since $b_2(S') - 1 = 1 + 3 + 3 + 3 = 2 + 2 + 3 + 3$, $\text{Sing}(S)$ consists either of 1 singular point of length 1 and 3 singular points of length 3, or 2 singular points of length 2 and 2 singular points of length 3, thus by Lemma 3.4(1),

$$\text{RHS} \leq \frac{1}{2} + 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \leq \frac{2}{9} + \frac{1}{4} + 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \leq \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{4} + 0,$$

all smaller than $\frac{2}{3}$, a contradiction.

Case 11. $c_2(S') = 3s + 2$ and $K_{S'}^2 = 10 - 3s$ ($s \geq 4$).

In this case $|\text{Sing}(S)| \geq (b_2(S') - 1)/3 = (3s - 1)/3$, hence $|\text{Sing}(S)| \geq s$.

Since $|\text{Sing}(S)| \leq 4$, $s = 4$ and $|\text{Sing}(S)| = 4$. Thus

$$\text{LHS} = (b_2(S') - 1) + |\text{Sing}(S)| - c_2(S') + \frac{1}{3}K_{S'}^2 = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Since $b_2(S') - 1 = 2 + 3 + 3 + 3$, $\text{Sing}(S)$ consists of 1 singular point of length 2 and 3 singular points of length 3, thus by Lemma 3.4(1),

$$\text{RHS} \leq \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + 0 - \frac{4}{13} < \frac{1}{3},$$

a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 3.6. *Kollár's conjecture holds true if in addition the exceptional divisor in a minimal resolution of S has at most 3 components over each singular point of S .*

4. EXAMPLES AND FURTHER DISCUSSION

Example 4.1. In [Is] M. Ishida discusses an elliptic surface Y with $p_g = q = 0$ with two multiple fibres, one of multiplicity 2 and one of multiplicity 3, and proves that the Mumford fake plane is its cover of degree 21, non-Galois. The surface Y is a Dolgachev surface [BHPV]. In particular, it is simply connected and of Kodaira dimension 1. Besides the two multiple fibres, its elliptic fibration $|F_Y|$ has 4 more singular fibres F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 , all of type I_3 . It has also a sextuple section E which is a (-3) -curve meeting one component of each of F_1, F_2, F_3 in 6 points, and two components of F_4 in 1 point and 5 points each. One can contract 9 rational curves to get a singular surface S with 3 singular points of type $\frac{1}{3}(1, 2)$ and one singular point of type $\frac{1}{7}(1, 3)$. The surface S satisfies the condition (1) and (3) of Theorem 1.2, but not (2). Indeed, $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 4.2. It is shown [Ke] that there is another Dolgachev surface X which is birational to a cyclic cover of degree 3 of Ishida surface Y . On X there are 9 smooth rational curves forming a configuration

$$(-2) \text{---} (-2) \text{---} (-3) \quad (-2) \text{---} (-2) \text{---} (-3) \quad (-2) \text{---} (-2) \text{---} (-3)$$

which can be contracted to 3 singular points of type $\frac{1}{7}(1, 3)$. The resulting singular surface S satisfies the condition (1) and (3) of Theorem 1.2, but not (2). In this case, $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$.

Finally we consider surfaces S with rational double points only.

Proposition 4.3. *Let S be a singular projective surface with rational double points such that $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ and $H_1(S^0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Let $f : S' \rightarrow S$ be a minimal resolution. Then one of the following cases occurs.*

- (1) S is rational.
- (2) S' is a minimal surface of general type, with $q = p_g = 0$, $H_1(S', \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and
 - (2-1) $K_{S'}^2 = 1$ and $\mathcal{R} \cong E_8$, or
 - (2-2) $K_{S'}^2 = 2$ and $\mathcal{R} \cong E_7$, or
 - (2-3) $K_{S'}^2 = 3$ and $\mathcal{R} \cong E_6$, or
 - (2-4) $K_{S'}^2 = 4$ and $\mathcal{R} \cong D_5$, or
 - (2-5) $K_{S'}^2 = 5$ and $\mathcal{R} \cong A_4$.

Proof. Since S has rational double points only, $f^*K_S = K_{S'}$. If K_S is anti-numerically effective, so is $K_{S'}$, hence S' is rational. If K_S is numerically effective, so is $K_{S'}$, hence S' is minimal. We use Proposition 2.3. We need to rule out the second possibility from Proposition 2.3. Suppose that the second case occur. Since $K_{S'}$ is a rational multiple of a fibre of the elliptic fibration, the exceptional divisor of f is supported in a union of fibres. This contradicts to $\kappa(S^0) = 2$.

Next Assume that S' is a minimal surface of general type. The divisor $K_{S'}$ is an integral divisor belonging to $\mathcal{R}^\perp$, hence $K_{S'} = mv$ for some integer m , where v is a generator of $\mathcal{R}^\perp$. Since $v^2 = |\det \mathcal{R}|$, $K_{S'}^2 = m^2 |\det \mathcal{R}|$. This leaves the five cases (2-1)-(2-5) and two more

- (2-6) $K_{S'}^2 = 6$ and $\mathcal{R} \cong A_1 \oplus A_2$, or
- (2-7) $K_{S'}^2 = 8$ and $\mathcal{R} \cong A_1$.

Both are ruled out by Theorem 1.2. □

Remark 4.4. If one loosens the bound to 4 on the number of components in Condition (3) of Theorem 1.2, one already encounters a non-trivial problem to rule out the possibility (2-5) from the above proposition.

REFERENCES

- [BHPV] W. Barth, K. Hulek, Ch. Peters, A. Van de Ven, *Compact Complex Surfaces*, second ed. Springer 2004.

- [I] S. Iitaka, *Algebraic geometry – An introduction to birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **76**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [Is] M. Ishida, *An elliptic surface covered by Mumford’s fake projective plane*, *Tohoku Math. J.* **40** (1988), 367-398.
- [Ka1] Y. Kawamata, *On the classification of noncomplete algebraic surfaces*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **732** (1979), 215-232, Springer, Berlin.
- [Ka2] Y. Kawamata, *The cone of curves of algebraic varieties*, *Ann. of Math.* **119** (1984), 603-633.
- [Ke] J. Keum, *A fake projective plane with an order 7 automorphism*, *math.AG/0505339*,
- [Ko] János Kollár, *Einstein metrics on 5-dimensional Seifert bundles*, *math.DG/0408184* v1 Feb. 2005.
- [KZ] J. Keum, D.-Q. Zhang, *Algebraic surfaces with quotient singularities - including some discussion on automorphisms and fundamental groups*, *Proceedings of “Algebraic Geometry in East Asia”* Kyoto, 2001,
- [M1] M. Miyanishi, *Open Algebraic Surfaces*, CRM Monograph Series, **12**, American Math. Soc. 2001.
- [MT] M. Miyanishi, S. Tsunoda, *Noncomplete algebraic surfaces with logarithmic dimension $-\infty$ and with nonconnected boundaries at infinity*, *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)* **10** (1984), 195-242.
- [M2] Y. Miyaoka, *The maximal number of quotient singularities on surfaces with given numerical invariants*, *Math. Ann.* **268** (1984), 159-171.
- [PY] G. Prasad, S.-K. Yeung, *Fake projective planes*, *math.AG/0512115*.
- [Y] S.-T. Yau, *Calabi’s conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry*, *Proc. Nat. Ac. Sc. USA* **74** (1977), 1798-1799.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL 130-722, KOREA

E-mail address: `jhkeum@kias.re.kr`