

SPECTRAL STIELTJES-TYPE INTEGRATION AND SOME APPLICATIONS

ANNA SKRIPKA

ABSTRACT. This paper presents Stieltjes-type integration for operator-valued functions with respect to spectral families. The relation between Riemann-Stieltjes integrals associated with some classes of spectral families including, in particular, those that arise in the context of the Stone representation theorem for Banach spaces is established. The developed approach is applied to the structural analysis of the operators commuting with a one-parameter representation satisfying the Generalized Stone theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper, we develop Stieltjes integration theory for operator-valued functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and attaining values in the set $\mathcal{L}(X)$ of linear bounded operators on a Banach space X with respect to a projection-valued spectral family concentrated on an interval (finite or infinite) in $\mathbb{R}$. Motivated by the Stone representation theorem for Banach spaces (the statement is given in Section 2 below), we study the relation between Riemann-Stieltjes integrals of operator-valued functions with respect to a spectral family $\mathcal{E}_1(\cdot)$ concentrated on $[0, 2\pi]$ and the ones of periodic extensions of these functions with respect to the spectral family $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ given by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{E}(\lambda)x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{[\lambda]-1} P_n x + P_{[\lambda]} \mathcal{E}_1(2\pi\lambda - 2\pi[\lambda])x, \text{ for } x \in X, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Here $\{P_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of bounded “orthogonal” projections on the Banach space X , that is, $P_m P_n = 0$ for $m \neq n$, satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{-n} x + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n x, \text{ for } x \in X, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We remark that for a suitable one-parameter representation $t \rightarrow R_t$ on a Banach space X , the spectral family of the form (1.1) naturally arises in the context of the Generalized Stone theorem (cf. [2]). In this case, $\mathcal{E}_1(\cdot)$ is the spectral family of R_1 (cf. Section 2), the spectral family $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ is associated with $t \rightarrow R_t$ via

$$R_t x = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a, a]} e^{its} d\mathcal{E}(s)x, \text{ for } x \in X, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

Date: February 9, 2014.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B40, 26A42.

Key words and phrases. Stieltjes integration, spectral family.

and $\{P_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a spectral sequence (cf. Section 2) of the periodic representation $t \rightarrow R_t e^{-itA_1}$, with iA_1 the logarithm of R_1 such that the spectrum of A_1 is contained in $[0, 2\pi]$ and 2π not an eigenvalue of A_1 (cf. [2], Propositions 3.10, 3.11 and 3.15).

Our main results, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, state that an operator-valued function is (right) Stieltjes-integrable with respect to $\mathcal{E}_1(\cdot)$ if and only if its periodic extension is (right) Stieltjes-integrable with respect to $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$, with the corresponding integrals differing by a constant summand.

In the framework of the developed approach, we analyze the structure of the centralizer of a strongly continuous representation $t \rightarrow R_t$ (that is, the bounded operators commuting with $\{R_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$) satisfying the Generalized Stone theorem. Specifically, viewing an operator V in the centralizer of $t \rightarrow R_t$ as an integral $\int_{[0, 2\pi]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}_1(\lambda)$ of some operator-valued function Φ_1 , in Corollary 4.3 we obtain a new integral representation for V ,

$$(1.3) \quad Vx = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a, a]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x, \text{ for } x \in X.$$

Here the operators $\Phi(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in (n, n+1]$, reduce $P_n X$, with $\{P_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ the spectral sequence associated with the periodic representation mentioned above. For a periodic representation or a representation with $\mathcal{E}_1(0) = 0$, a further reduction of the ranges of the integrand is possible. Precisely, we show in Corollary 4.4 that if the restriction of $\Phi_1(\cdot)$ onto a subspace Y of X admits the series decomposition $\Phi_1(\lambda)|_Y = s\text{-}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n(\lambda) P_n|_Y$, with ϕ_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the scalar-valued functions, then the restriction of V onto Y can be represented as an integral in (1.3), with $x \in Y$ and $\Phi(\lambda)$ the scalar-valued function.

In Section 2, the necessary background on the Stone representation theorem for a Banach space is sketched and some notation is fixed. In Section 3, we introduce Stieltjes integrals of operator-valued functions with respect to spectral families. In Section 4, the principal section of this paper, we prove our main results.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

In this section we fix some notation and recall the statement of the Generalized Stone representation theorem following [2].

Throughout what follows X denotes a Banach space, $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the set of linear bounded operators on X . The series $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n$ will always be understood as the sum of the convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{-n}$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n$. Given a family $\mathcal{A}$ of operators in $\mathcal{L}(X)$, the symbol $C(\mathcal{A})$ will denote the centralizer of $\mathcal{A}$, that is, the set of all linear bounded operators on X commuting with each element of $\mathcal{A}$. In the sequel, the shortcuts $C(R)$ and $C(\mathcal{E})$ will be used to denote the centralizer of a representation $t \rightarrow R_t$ (the centralizer of the family $\{R_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$) and the one of a spectral family $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ (the centralizer of $\{\mathcal{E}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$), respectively.

Definition 2.1. A projection-valued function $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ is said to be a spectral family in X if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\| < \infty$;
- (2) $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\mathcal{E}(\mu) = \mathcal{E}(\mu)\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = \mathcal{E}(\min\{\lambda, \mu\})$, for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (3) $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ is right continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ in the strong operator topology;
- (4) $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ has a left-hand limit in the strong operator topology;

- (5) $\mathcal{E}(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ (resp., $\mathcal{E}(\lambda) \rightarrow I$) in the strong operator topology as $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ (resp., $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$).

To state the Generalized Stone theorem we will need the following definition.

Definition 2.2. An operator $U \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is said to be a trigonometrically well-bounded if there exists a (unique) spectral family of projections $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$, the spectral decomposition of U , concentrated on $[0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad Ux = \mathcal{E}(0)x + \int_{[0, 2\pi]}^r e^{is} d\mathcal{E}(s)x, \text{ for } x \in X.$$

(The symbol r after the integral sign means that the integral is considered as the right Stieltjes integral.)

It will be convenient to use the following integral representation of a trigonometrically well-bounded operator U :

$$(2.2) \quad Ux = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(0)x + \int_{[0, 1]}^r e^{2\pi is} d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s)x, \text{ with } \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(s) = \mathcal{E}(2\pi s), s \in [0, 1].$$

The integral in (2.2) can be obtained from the integral in (2.1) by an appropriate change of variables ([2], Lemma 4.3).

Theorem 2.3 (The Generalized Stone Theorem ([2], Theorem 4.20)). *Let $\{R_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter group of trigonometrically well-bounded operators acting on a Banach space X . For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\mathcal{E}_t(\cdot)$ be the spectral decomposition of R_t , and suppose that*

$$\sup_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 2\pi]} \|\mathcal{E}_t(s)\| < \infty.$$

Then

- (1) *there is a unique spectral family $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ in X (called the Stone-type spectral family of $t \rightarrow R_t$) such that*

$$R_t x = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a, a]} e^{its} d\mathcal{E}(s)x, \text{ for } x \in X, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- (2) *The domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of the infinitesimal generator A of $t \rightarrow R_t$ equals*

$$\{x \in X : \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a, a]} s d\mathcal{E}(s)x \text{ exists}\},$$

and

$$Ax = i \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a, a]} s d\mathcal{E}(s)x, \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{D}(A),$$

where the integrals are understood as Stieltjes integrals.

- (3) *The centralizers of $\{R_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\{\mathcal{E}(s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ are equal to $C(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1) \cap C(\{P_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty})$.*

In case of a periodic representation, the Generalized Stone theorem provides a decomposition of the space X into disjoint subspaces.

Theorem 2.4 ([2], Corollary 4.46). *Let $\{R_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and A be as in the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 and suppose further that $R_1 = Id$. Then*

- (1) *there is a unique sequence of projections $\{P_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that*
 (a) $P_m P_n = 0$ for $m \neq n$,

- (b) for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $R_t = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i n t} P_n$, the series converging in the strong operator topology.
- (2) The Stone-type spectral family $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ of the group $\{R_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is determined by $\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{[\lambda]} P_n$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{D}(A) = \{x \in X : \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n P_n x \text{ converges}\}$, and $Ax = 2\pi i \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n P_n x$; in particular, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$P_n X = \{x \in \mathcal{D}(A) : Ax = 2\pi i n x\}.$$

- (4) The spectrum of A is pure point and equals $\{2\pi i n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } P_n \neq 0\}$.
- (5) $\{R_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ have the same centralizers.

Remark 2.5. The spectral family $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ of a representation $t \rightarrow R_t$ referred to in Theorem 2.3 is explicitly determined (see proof of Theorem 4.20 in [2]) by the spectral family $\mathcal{E}_1(\cdot)$ and the spectral sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ associated with the periodic representation $t \rightarrow R_t e^{-itA_1}$ via Theorem 2.4. Here iA_1 is the logarithm of R_1 with the spectrum of A_1 contained in $[0, 2\pi]$ and 2π not in the point spectrum of A_1 . The relationship between $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$, $\mathcal{E}_1(\cdot)$ and $\{P_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is reflected in the formula

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{[\lambda]-1} P_n x + P_{[\lambda]} \mathcal{E}_1(2\pi\lambda - 2\pi[\lambda])x, \text{ for } x \in X, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

3. GENERALIZED STIELTJES INTEGRALS

In this section, we develop Riemann-Stieltjes integration theory for operator-valued functions with respect to spectral families which naturally extends the Riemann-Stieltjes integration for scalar-valued functions (see, e.g., [5]). The integration of this sort in case of spectral families being spectral measures on Hilbert spaces was introduced earlier (cf. [1] and references therein), but it does not serve to our purposes since in general spectral families on Banach spaces do not give rise to measures countably additive in the strong sense (see, e.g., [2]).

We start with definitions of the integrals of operator-valued functions over segments in $\mathbb{R}$.

Let U_J be the family of partitions of J , a compact interval in $\mathbb{R}$. If $u = \{u_k\}_{k=0}^m$ and $v = \{v_j\}_{j=0}^n$, then $u \geq v$ if each interval of the form $[u_{k-1}, u_k]$ is contained in some interval of the form $[v_{j-1}, v_j]$.

Let $M(u)$ be the family of finite sequences of the form $u^* = \{u_k^*\}_{k=1}^m$ such that

$$u_{k-1} \leq u_k^* \leq u_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m$$

for each u in U_J .

A pair $\bar{u} = (u, u^*)$ with u in U_J and u^* in $M(u)$ is called a marked partition of J . We write π_J for the family of marked partitions of J and we define the preorder $\geq$ of π_J by setting $(u, u^*) \geq (v, v^*)$ if and only if $u \geq v$. Define

$$\pi_J^r = \{\bar{u} = (u, u^*) \in \pi_J : u_k^* = u_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m\}.$$

The sets U_J , π_J and π_J^r are directed by $\geq$.

Let $\Phi(\cdot)$ and $\Psi(\cdot)$ be functions on J , both taking values in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. When $\bar{u} \in \pi_J$, we define

$$\sum \Phi(\Psi \Delta \bar{u}) = \sum_{k=1}^m \Phi(u_k^*)(\Psi(u_k) - \Psi(u_{k-1})).$$

The generalized Stieltjes integral and the generalized right Stieltjes integral are defined as the following net limits (when they exist) in the strong operator topology of $\mathcal{L}(X)$:

$$\int_J \Phi d\Psi = \text{s-lim}_{\pi_J} \sum \Phi(\Psi \Delta \bar{u})$$

and

$$\int_J^r \Phi d\Psi = \text{s-lim}_{\pi_J^r} \sum \Phi(\Psi \Delta \bar{u}),$$

respectively.

Our next goal is to study the properties of the generalized Stieltjes integrals.

Let $[a, b]$ be a segment in $\mathbb{R}$ and $BV([a, b], \mathcal{L}(X))$ denote the space of functions of bounded variation mapping $[a, b]$ into $\mathcal{L}(X)$ with the norm

$$|||F||| = ||F(b)|| + \text{var}(F, [a, b]).$$

Let $NBV([a, b], \mathcal{L}(X))$ be the subspace of $BV([a, b], \mathcal{L}(X))$ consisting of functions continuous on the left on $(a, b]$.

The following lemma on integrability of operator-valued functions of bounded variation can be proved similar to the integrability of scalar-valued functions of bounded variation ([5]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Phi(\cdot) \in BV([a, b], \mathcal{L}(X))$, $\Psi(\cdot) \in NBV([a, b], \mathcal{L}(X))$, and $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ be a spectral family concentrated on the segment $[a, b]$. Then $\int_{[a, b]}^r \Phi d\mathcal{E}$ and $\int_{[a, b]} \Psi d\mathcal{E}$ exist in the strong sense.*

We will need the properties of Stieltjes integrals stated in the lemma below.

Lemma 3.2. *The following assertions hold.*

- (1) *if $\Phi \equiv \text{const}$, then Φ is (right) Stieltjes-integrable and*

$$\int_{[a, b]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x = \Phi x, \text{ for } x \in X;$$

- (2) *the sum of two (right) Stieltjes-integrable functions Φ and Ψ is (right) Stieltjes-integrable and*

$$\int_{[a, b]}^{(r)} (\Phi + \Psi) d\mathcal{E}x = \int_{[a, b]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x + \int_{[a, b]}^{(r)} \Psi d\mathcal{E}x, \text{ for } x \in X.$$

- (3) *if Φ is (right) Stieltjes-integrable over $[a, b]$ and $[b, c]$, then it is (right) Stieltjes-integrable over $[a, c]$ and*

$$\int_{[a, b]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x + \int_{[b, c]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x = \int_{[a, c]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x, \text{ for } x \in X.$$

- (4) *If Φ is (right) Stieltjes-integrable over $[a, b]$ and $[a, c]$, $b < c$, then Φ is (right) Stieltjes-integrable over $[b, c]$ and*

$$\int_{[b, c]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x = \int_{[a, c]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x - \int_{[a, b]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x, \text{ for } x \in X.$$

- (5) *if Φ is (right) Stieltjes-integrable in the strong sense over $[a, b]$, then it is (right) Stieltjes-integrable in the strong sense over a smaller interval $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$.*

Proof. Properties (1)-(4) are straightforward. To prove (5), notice that

$$\mathcal{E}(c) \int_{[a,b]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x = \int_{[a,c]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x, \quad \mathcal{E}(d) \int_{[a,b]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x = \int_{[a,d]}^{(r)} \Phi d\mathcal{E}x.$$

Applying (4) proves the integrability of Φ over $[c, d]$. $\square$

The following change of variables under Stieltjes integral can be checked directly.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ be a spectral family concentrated on the segment $[a, b]$, f a strictly increasing continuous function on $[a, b]$, $g : [f(a), f(b)] \rightarrow [a, b]$ the inverse of f and $\Phi : [f(a), f(b)] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ a (right) Stieltjes-integrable function. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} I, & \text{for } \lambda \geq f(b), \\ \mathcal{E}(g(\lambda)), & \text{for } \lambda \in [f(a), f(b)], \\ 0, & \text{for } \lambda < f(a) \end{cases}$$

is a spectral family and

$$\int_{[a,b]} \Phi(f(\lambda)) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x = \int_{[f(a), f(b)]} \Phi(\mu) d\mathcal{F}(\mu)x, \quad \text{for } x \in X,$$

where the integrals are the (right) Stieltjes integrals.

We conclude this section with a definition of the (right) Stieltjes integral of an operator-valued function over the real line in the principal value sense.

Let Φ and Ψ be functions on $\mathbb{R}$, both taking values in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. If a function $\Phi(\cdot)$ is (right) Stieltjes-integrable on any segment in $\mathbb{R}$, then by its (right) Stieltjes integral on $\mathbb{R}$ we mean the limit

$$\text{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a, a]}^{(r)} \Phi(\lambda) d\Psi(\lambda)$$

if it exists.

4. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we state and prove our principal results on connection between the Riemann-Stieltjes integrability with respect to the spectral families $\mathcal{E}_1(\cdot)$ (to be precise, the spectral family $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda) = \mathcal{E}_1(2\pi\lambda)$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$) and $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ referred to in Section 1.

Hypothesis 4.1. *(1) Assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\cdot)$ is a spectral family supported on $[0, 1]$ and $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ the spectral family given by*

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{E}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{[\lambda]-1} P_n + P_{[\lambda]} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda - [\lambda]), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\{P_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of bounded projections on X with $P_m P_n = 0$ for $m \neq n$, such that

$$(4.2) \quad x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{-n}x + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n x, \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

Assume, in addition, that Φ is the periodic extension of $\Phi_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ defined by

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi(\lambda) = \Phi_1(\lambda - n), \quad \lambda \in (n, n+1].$$

(2) Suppose that either Φ_1 is left strongly continuous at 1 or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0) = 0$.

(3) Assume that $\Phi_1(0) = \Phi_1(1)$.

Note that the assumption $\mathcal{E}_1(0) = 0$ in Hypothesis 4.1 (2) is not ad hoc. For example, if X is a reflexive Banach space and $\mathcal{E}_1(\cdot)$ is a spectral family of an operator R_1 in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ (see (2.1)), then $\mathcal{E}_1(0) = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_1^k$ is the projection onto the kernel of $R_1 - I$ ([3], Theorem 3.1 and [5], Theorem 20.23). Hence $\mathcal{E}_1(0) = 0$ whenever R_1 is either a strict contraction on X or in case $X = L^p(\Omega, \mu)$, $1 < p < \infty$, R_1 is generated by an ergodic transformation T on a finite measure space (Ω, μ) .

Theorem 4.1. *Under Hypothesis 4.1, Φ_1 is integrable with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\cdot)$ if and only if Φ is integrable with respect to $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$. Moreover,*

$$\int_{[0,1]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}_1(\lambda)x + \Phi_1(1)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0)x = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a,a]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x.$$

Proof. First, we prove the necessity. On the strength of Lemma 3.3,

$$\int_{[0,1]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)x = \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi_1(\lambda - n) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda - n)x.$$

It is straightforward to check that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi_1(\lambda - n) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda - n)P_n x + \Phi_1(1)P_{n+1}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0)x = \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi_1(\lambda - n) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x.$$

Applying (4.2) and Theorem 2.3 (3) yields

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{[0,1]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[0,1]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)P_n x.$$

Further, by (4.4), Theorem 2.3 (3) and (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)P_n x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi_1(\lambda - n) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda - n)P_n x \\ (4.6) \quad &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi_1(\lambda - n) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_1(1)P_{n+1}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0)x \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi_1(\lambda - n) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x - \Phi_1(1)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0)x. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.3), assumption (2) in Hypothesis 4.1 and (4.6), the equalities

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{[0,1]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)x + \Phi_1(1)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0)x \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-n,n]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x \end{aligned}$$

hold. It follows from the convergence of the series $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x$ that

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x = 0, \text{ for } x \in X.$$

The uniform boundedness of $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ and (4.8) yield

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[[a],a]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(a) \int_{[[a],[a]+1]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x = 0.$$

Thus, $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,a]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x$ exists. Similarly, $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a,0]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x$ exists. Applying (4.7), one obtains

$$\int_{[0,1]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)x + \Phi_1(1)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0)x = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a,a]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x.$$

Sufficiency can be proved quite similar to necessity. It can be checked by direct computations that (4.4) holds for the functions Φ_1 and Φ . By Lemma 3.3, (4.4), (4.3) and assumption (2) in Hypothesis 4.1,

$$(4.9) \quad \int_{[0,1]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)P_nx = \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x - \Phi_1(1)P_{n+1}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0)x.$$

It follows from the integrability of Φ that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-n,n]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x$$

converges and hence, by (4.6) and (4.9), the series $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[0,1]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)P_nx$ converges and equals $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[n,n+1]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x - \Phi_1(1)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0)x$. In view of (4.2) and Theorem 2.3 (3), the latter implies the integrability of Φ_1 with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)$. Moreover,

$$\int_{[0,1]} \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)x + \Phi_1(1)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0)x = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a,a]} \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x.$$

□

As it stated in the theorem below, if one deals with right Stieltjes integrals instead of Stieltjes integrals in Theorem 4.1, then the statement of Theorem 4.1 holds under less restrictive assumptions.

Theorem 4.2. *Under assumption (1) in Hypothesis 4.1, the right Stieltjes integral of Φ_1 with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\cdot)$ is well-defined if and only if the right Stieltjes integral of Φ with respect to $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ is well-defined. Moreover,*

$$\int_{[0,1]}^r \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)x + \Phi_1(1)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0)x = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a,a]}^r \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x.$$

Proof. One can check that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{[n,n+1]}^r \Phi_1(\lambda - n) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda - n)P_nx + \Phi_1(1)P_{n+1}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0)x = \int_{[n,n+1]}^r \Phi_1(\lambda - n) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that in Theorem 4.1. □

Our next results concern applications of the Stieltjes integration approach developed above to strongly continuous one-parameter representations on Banach spaces.

Hypothesis 4.2. *Assume that $t \rightarrow R_t$ is a strongly continuous representation of $\mathbb{R}$ on X by trigonometrically well-bounded operators. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\cdot)$ be the spectral family associated with R_1 via (2.2), $\{P_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ the spectral sequence introduced in Remark 2.5 and $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ the spectral family associated with $t \rightarrow R_t$ via the Generalized Stone Theorem 2.3. Finally, assume that an operator V in the centralizer $C(R)$ admits representation*

$$(4.10) \quad Vx = \int_{[0,1]}^r \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)x, \text{ for } x \in X,$$

where $\Phi_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow C(R)$.

Notice that under Hypothesis 4.2 the element V can always be represented as the integral of some $\Phi_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow C(R)$ with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\cdot)$ in the strong operator topology. For instance, any V in $C(R)$ admits trivial representation $Vx = \int_{[0,1]}^r V d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)x$. Moreover, in some cases, a constant integrand in (4.10) is basically the only one possible. For example, the spectral family $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)$ for a periodic representation (with $R_1 = I$) is concentrated at 0 (that is, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda) = 0$, for $\lambda < 0$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda) = I$, for $\lambda \geq 0$) and hence for any integrable function $\Psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow C(R)$, one has $\int_{[0,1]}^r \Psi(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda) = \Psi(0)$. Thus, the integrands Φ_1 in (4.10) corresponding to $V \in C(R)$ satisfy $\Phi_1(0) = V$ and the values $\Phi_1(\lambda)$, $\lambda \neq 0$, do not affect the integrals in (4.10).

In the corollary below, we show that an operator V under Hypothesis 4.2 possesses an integral representation with respect to the spectral family of $t \rightarrow R_t$, where the integrand reduces all the subspaces $P_n X$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary 4.3. *Under Hypothesis 4.2, V can be represented as the generalized right Stieltjes integral*

$$(4.11) \quad V = \text{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a,a]}^r \Phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda),$$

with the integrand $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C(R)$ satisfying

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \Phi(\lambda)P_n = P_n\Phi_1(\lambda - n), \quad \lambda \in (n, n+1].$$

Proof. By (4.2) and Theorem 2.3 (3),

$$Vx = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} P_n Vx = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} P_n V P_n x$$

and hence

$$Vx = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} P_n \int_{[0,1]}^r \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda) P_n x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{[0,1]}^r P_n \Phi_1(\lambda) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda) P_n x.$$

The rest of the proof is a slight modification of the one of Theorem 4.2. □

We remark here that, in general, not every V in $C(R)$ can be represented as an integral of a scalar-valued function. For instance, let $X = L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $1 < p < \infty$, where $\mathbb{T}^2$ is a two-dimensional torus, and R_t the translation in first variable

corresponding to e^{it} . The spectral sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ of a periodic representation $t \rightarrow R_t$ decomposes X into disjoint subspaces

$$P_n X = \text{span}\{f_{n,m}(t, \tau) = e^{int} e^{im\tau} : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let V be a non-trivial translation in second variable. Clearly, V commutes with $t \rightarrow R_t$. It can be checked directly that V is not representable in the form $s\text{-}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(n)P_n$, where ϕ is a scalar-valued function on $\mathbb{R}$ since the subspaces $P_n X$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are infinite-dimensional.

For a periodic representation or a representation with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0) = 0$, Corollary 4.3 admits the following refinement.

Corollary 4.4. *Assume Hypothesis 4.2. Assume, in addition, that either $t \rightarrow R_t$ is periodic or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(0) = 0$. Suppose that for some subspace Y of X ,*

$$(4.12) \quad \Phi_1(\lambda)x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n(\lambda)P_n x, \quad \text{for } x \in Y, \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where ϕ_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are scalar-valued functions. Then V admits right Stieltjes integral representation

$$(4.13) \quad V = s\text{-}\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a, a]}^r \phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda),$$

with the integrand $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$(4.14) \quad \phi(\lambda) = \phi_n(\lambda - n), \quad \lambda \in (n, n+1].$$

Proof. On the strength of Corollary 4.3, one has

$$(4.15) \quad V = s\text{-}\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a, a]}^r \Psi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda),$$

with

$$(4.16) \quad \Psi(\lambda) = P_n \Phi_1(\lambda - n) = \phi_n(\lambda - n)P_n, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (n, n+1].$$

Substitution of (4.16) into (4.15) and subsequent simple computations yield representation (4.13). $\square$

Clearly, any $\Phi_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow C(R)$, admits representation (4.12) with $Y = X$ whenever the subspaces $P_n X$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are one-dimensional. The example below demonstrates that representation (4.12) can hold for the integrands of all $V \in C(R)$ with Y dense in X even if the subspaces $P_n X$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are infinite-dimensional.

Example 4.5. Let $X = L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 < p < \infty$, and R_t be the translation corresponding to t , that is, $(R_t x)(s) = x(s+t)$, $x \in X$, $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$. The generator A of $t \rightarrow R_t$ multiplies the Fourier transform of a function by an independent variable and the spectral family $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ of $t \rightarrow R_t$ is a family of Fourier multipliers ([2], Example (ii)):

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x} = \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda)} \hat{x}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As it follows from the proof of Theorem 20.26 in [5], the operator A_1 with $R_1 = e^{iA_1}$ and the spectrum contained in $[0, 2\pi]$ satisfies

$$(\widehat{A_1 x})(\tau) = (\tau - 2\pi n)\hat{x}(\tau), \quad \tau \in [2\pi n, 2\pi(n+1)),$$

and the spectral family $\mathcal{E}_1(\cdot)$ of R_1 is given by

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{E}_1(\lambda)x})(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda < 0, \\ \chi_{\{e^{is} : 0 \leq s < \lambda\}}(e^{i\tau})\hat{x}(\tau), & 0 \leq \lambda < 2\pi, \\ I, & \lambda \geq 2\pi. \end{cases}$$

The generator B of the periodic representation $t \rightarrow R_t e^{-itA_1}$ equals $A - A_1$ and hence according to Theorem 2.3 (2), $\widehat{P_n x} = \chi_{[2\pi n, 2\pi(n+1))}\hat{x}$. By the standard argument, one obtains that an operator V in $C(R)$ reduced on $P_n X \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ acts as a multiplier by some essentially bounded function ϕ_n and hence

$$Vx = \int_{[0,1]}^r \left(s \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n(\lambda) P_n \right) d\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(\lambda)x, \text{ for } x \in X \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Applying Corollary 4.4 and the inverse Fourier transform implies that V in $C(R)$ can be represented as

$$Vx = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-a,a]}^r \phi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}(\lambda)x, \text{ for } x \in X \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

where

$$\phi(\lambda) = \phi_n(\lambda - n), \lambda \in (n, n+1].$$

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Adamyan, H. Langer, R. Mennicken, J. Saurer, *Spectral components of selfadjoint block operator matrices with unbounded entries*, Math. Nachr. 178 (1996), 43–80.
- [2] H. Benzinger, E. Berkson and T. A. Gillespie, *Spectral families of projections, semigroups, and differential operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 275 (1983), 431–475.
- [3] E. Berkson, T. A. Gillespie, P. Muhly, *Abstract spectral decompositions guaranteed by the Hilbert transform*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 53 (1986), 489–517.
- [4] E. Berkson, T. A. Gillespie, *Spectral decompositions and harmonic analysis on UMD spaces*, Studia Math. Soc. 112 (1) (1994), 13–49.
- [5] H. R. Dowson, *Spectral theory of linear operators*, LMS Monographs, 12, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-COLUMBIA, COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65211 USA

E-mail address: skripkaa@math.missouri.edu