Manifestation of 12-Quark Bag State of ^4He Nucleus in Elastic d ^4He Scattering

A.M. Mosallem [1], V.V.Uzhinskii

Laboratory of Information Technologies
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,
Dubna, Russia

arXiv:nucl-th/0208043v1 22 Aug 2002

The ^4He d elastic scattering at the momentum of 19.8 GeV/c is analyzed in the framework of the Glauber theory. The scattering amplitude was evaluated using different sets of values of the nucleon-nucleon amplitude parameters and the ^4He density function as a superposition of the Gaussian functions. It is shown that it is impossible to describe simultaneously the p ^4He and d ^4He elastic scattering cross sections using the same set of the NN-amplitude parameters. Inclusion of the twelve quark bag admixture to the ground state of the ^4He nucleus in the calculations allows one to reproduce the experimental data quite well. It is shown that the admixture manifests itself in the d ^4He elastic scattering in all region of the momentum transfer. At small t the effect can be at the level of $\sim 10\%$. At large t it can be $\sim 30\%$.

[1]Math. & Theor. Phys. Dept., NRC, AEA, Cairo, Egypt.

Introduction

Understanding how the nuclei are react with other nuclei is one of the fundamental goals of nuclear physics. Elastic and inelastic nucleus-nucleus scattering has been studied for years to extract information on the nuclear structure and different aspects of the nuclear reactions. The other interesting topic is a manifestation of the quarks in the reactions. In high energy interactions quarks and gluons received high transverse momentum are observed as jets of hadrons. At lower energies the jet production cross section becomes extraordinary small, and the jets have not been registered at experimental studies until now. At the same time it is known that reactions with participation of the ^4He nucleus can not be described quite well within the framework of standard nuclear physics. In paper by L.G.Dakno and N.N.Nikolaev [1] it was assumed and shown that 12% admixture of twelve quark bag configuration in the ground state wave function of the ^4He nucleus allows one to understand the irregularities of proton -^4He elastic scattering at high energies. We returned to the hypothesis in our previous paper [2], and have shown that it really gives an opportunity to describe p ^4He-scattering in a wide energy range. In present paper we continue our study, and consider d ^4He elastic scattering.

Experimental data on the d ^4He elastic scattering at the laboratory momentum of 19.8 GeV/c were presented in Ref. [3]. They were analyzed in the framework of the Glauber theory [4, 5]. The key quantities of the theory are characteristics of NN elastic scattering amplitude and parametrization of the ground state wave function of the ^4He nucleus. In Ref. [3] it was chosen the simplest gaussian parametrization of the wave function. The NN characteristics were considered as the fitting parameters. As a result, all of these hid a big discrepancy between the experimental data and calculations with real parameters. We show it in the next Sec. where the main technical details of our calculations are given. Inclusion of the twelve quark bag state of the ^4He nucleus in the calculation scheme and manifestation of the state in the elastic scattering is considered in Sec. 2. In the last Sec. we summarize our results.

1 Calculation of d ^4He elastic cross section

The Glauber amplitude of nucleus-nucleus scattering has a form [6, 7, 8]:

$$F_{AB}(\vec{q}) = \frac{ip}{2\pi} \int d^2b \; e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}} \Gamma\left(\vec{b}\right), \tag{1}$$

$$\Gamma\left(\vec{b}\right) = \langle \psi_A^f \psi_B^f | 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{A} \prod_{k=1}^{B} \left(1 - \gamma(\vec{b} - \vec{s}_j + \vec{\tau}_k)\right) | \psi_A^i \psi_B^i \rangle, \tag{2}$$

where $\vec{b}$ is the impact parameter, p is the momentum of the projectile nucleus, ψ_A^i, ψ_B^i and ψ_A^f, ψ_B^f are the initial and final states wave functions of the projectile and the target nucleus, respectively, γ is the NN elastic scattering amplitude in the impact parameter representation. In high energy physics it is often parametrized as:

$$\gamma(\vec{b}) = \beta \; e^{-\vec{b}^2/2B_{NN}}, \tag{3}$$

where $\beta = \sigma_{NN}^{tot}\left(1 - i\alpha_{NN}\right) / \left(4\pi B_{NN}\right)$, σ_{NN}^{tot} is the NN total cross section, B_{NN} is the slope parameter of the NN differential elastic cross section at zero momentum transfer,

α_{NN} – the ratio of the real to imaginary parts of the NN elastic scattering amplitude at zero momentum transfer.

The elastic nucleus-nucleus differential cross section is determined as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |F_{AB}|^2 . \tag{4}$$

To take into account all terms of the expansion of the product in Eq. (2), one can represent each term like that shown in the Fig. 1, where the circles correspond to the interacting nuclei, the black and white points – to the nucleons, the solid lines – to the interactions between nucleons.




Figure 1: Graphical representation of the multiple scattering terms

Using the diagrams, one can calculate how many the diagrams of whatever type are. It is pure combinatorical problem which can be solved with a help of the graph theory. In the graph theory the diagrams of the Fig. 1 are called bi-colored labelled graphs. This graphs can be represented with the help of an adjacency matrix. The adjacency matrix $\underline{\underline{D}} = [d_{ij}]$ of a labelled graph G is a matrix of order $A \times B$ in which $d_{ij} = 1$ if points i and j are adjacent (are connected with a line) and $d_{ij} = 0$ in other case. By the other way, the graph can be represented by the set of crossing points of A horizontal and B vertical lines with dark circles in the places corresponding to the elements $d_{ij} = 1$. This

representation is called net graph representation. We will refer to the net graphs as the scattering diagrams.

Each term in the expansion of Eq. (2) has a form

$$-\langle\psi_A^f\psi_B^f|\prod_{(j,k)}\left(-\gamma(\vec{b}-\vec{s}_j+\vec{\tau}_k)\right)|\psi_A^i\psi_B^i\rangle, \tag{5}$$

$$(j,k)\in M\subset\{I_A\}\otimes\{I_B\}$$

$$\{I_A\}=(1,2,3,...,A),\qquad \{I_B\}=(1,2,3,...,B).$$

Because it can be represented by a graph G or by the corresponding matrix $\underline{\underline{D}}$ we will consider the term as a graph function $\mathrm{G}(\underline{\underline{D}})$. The scattering amplitude Γ_{AB} now can be re-written as

$$\Gamma(\vec{b})=\sum_\mu H_\mu\cdot\mathrm{G}\left(\underline{\underline{D_\mu}}\right), \tag{6}$$

where summation runs on the set of all nonisomorphic graphs. From the graph theory we have that the combinatorial coefficient at the function of graph G_μ with $l-$components, $k_1$belonging to one class of isomorphism, k_2 to another class, etc., $(l=k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_j)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} H_\mu &= \frac{A!}{(m_1!)^{k_1}(m_2!)^{k_2}\cdots(m_j!)^{k_j}(A-\sum_{i=1}^j m_ik_i)!}\times \\ &\quad \frac{B!}{(n_1!)^{k_1}(n_2!)^{k_2}\cdots(n_j!)^{k_j}(B-\sum_{i=1}^j n_ik_i)!}\prod_{i=1}^j\left(\frac{m_i!n_i!}{\mathrm{S}(G_i)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where m_i and n_i are the numbers of the points of the sets A and B, respectively, in the component belonging to the i-th class of isomorphism, and $\mathrm{S}(G_i)$ is the number of symmetries of this component.

In the case of the elastic $d\ ^4He$ scattering the $\underline{\underline{D}}$'s matrices will be

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\ H_1=8 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}1&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\ H_2=12 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\ H_3=4 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\end{pmatrix}\ H_4=12 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\ H_5=8$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&1&0&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\ H_6=24 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\1&0&1&0\end{pmatrix}\ H_7=24 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&1\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\ H_8=2 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\ H_9=24 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\ H_{10}=8$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&1&0&0\\1&1&0&0\end{pmatrix}\ H_{11}=6 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}1&1&0&0\\1&0&1&0\end{pmatrix}\ H_{12}=24 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}1&1&0&0\\0&0&1&1\end{pmatrix}\ H_{13}=6 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&1\\1&1&1&1\end{pmatrix}\ H_{14}=8 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}0&0&1&1\\0&1&1&1\end{pmatrix}\ H_{15}=24$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}0&0&1&1\\1&1&0&1\end{pmatrix}\ H_{16}=24 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}0&1&1&1\\1&0&1&1\end{pmatrix}\ H_{17}=12 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}0&1&1&1\\0&1&1&1\end{pmatrix}\ H_{18}=4 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}0&0&1&1\\1&1&1&1\end{pmatrix}\ H_{19}=12 \qquad \begin{pmatrix}0&1&1&1\\1&1&1&1\end{pmatrix}\ H_{20}=8$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&1\\1&1&1&1\end{pmatrix}\ H_{21}=1$$

At $A=2$ and $B=4$ the amplitude F_{AB} given by Eq. (1) is

$$F_{24}(\vec{q})=\frac{ip}{2\pi}\int d^2b\ e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}}\Gamma\left(\vec{b}\right), \tag{8}$$

$$\Gamma\left(\vec{b}\right) = \langle\psi_d^i\psi_{He}^i|1 - \prod_{j=1}^{2}\prod_{k=1}^{4}\left(1 - \gamma(\vec{b} - \vec{s}_j + \vec{\tau}_k)\right)|\psi_d^i\psi_{He}^i\rangle. \tag{9}$$

Introducing the distance between the nucleons in the deuteron, $\vec{r} = (z, \vec{s})$, and $\vec{r}_1 = -\vec{r}_2 = \vec{r}/2$ we have

$$\Gamma\left(\vec{b}\right) = \langle\psi_d^i\psi_{He}^i|1 - \prod_{k=1}^{4}\left(1 - \gamma(\vec{b} - \frac{\vec{s}}{2} + \vec{\tau}_k)\gamma(\vec{b} + \frac{\vec{s}}{2} + \vec{\tau}_k)\right)|\psi_d^i\psi_{He}^i\rangle, \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{24}(\vec{q}) &= \frac{ip}{2\pi}\int d^2b\; e^{i\vec{q}\vec{b}}\left[1 - \prod_{k=1}^{4}\left(1 - \gamma(\vec{b} - \frac{\vec{s}}{2} + \vec{\tau}_k)\right)\left(1 - \gamma(\vec{b} + \frac{\vec{s}}{2} + \vec{\tau}_k)\right)\right]\cdot \\ &\quad |\psi_d(\vec{r})|^2\,|\psi_{He}|^2\,d^3r\prod_{k=1}^{4}d^3\tau_k \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

For the square module of ψ_d we use the following parametrization [11],

$$|\psi_d(\vec{r})|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} W_i e^{\frac{-\vec{r}^2}{4\gamma_i}}, \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 = 225(GeV/c)^2, &\quad W_1 = 0.178/(4\pi\gamma_1)^{3/2}, \\ \gamma_2 = 45(GeV/c)^2, &\quad W_2 = 0.287/(4\pi\gamma_2)^{3/2}, \\ \gamma_3 = 25(GeV/c)^2, &\quad W_2 = 0.535/(4\pi\gamma_3)^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The square module of ψ_{He} was taken as [1].

$$|\psi(\vec{r}_1, \ldots, \vec{r}_4)|^2 = (2\pi)^3\rho_c\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\vec{r}_i\right)\prod_{i=1}^{4}\varphi(\vec{r}_i). \tag{13}$$

We accept the two following parametrizations of $\varphi(\vec{r})$

$$\begin{aligned} &(I) \quad \varphi(\vec{r}) = exp[-\vec{r}^2/R_1^2], \\ &(II) \quad \varphi(\vec{r}) = exp[-\vec{r}^2/R_1^2] + D_1 exp[-\vec{r}^2/R_2^2] - (1 + D_1 - D_2^2)exp[-\vec{r}^2/R_3^2]. \end{aligned}$$

The parameters are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Values of used parameters (from [1])

	R_1^2 $(GeV/c)^{-2}$	R_2^2 $(GeV/c)^{-2}$	R_3^2 $(GeV/c)^{-2}$	D_1	D_2
I	51.01				
II	62.06	19.0	10.13	3.79	0.31

We will use a general form for the function φ as

$$\phi(\vec{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i e^{\vec{r}^2/R_i^2}. \tag{14}$$

In the Eq. (13) ρ_c is the normalization constant given in the Ref. [2]. Substituting the Eqs. (12), (13) and (14) in the Eq. (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{24}(\vec{q}) &= \frac{ip}{2\pi}\rho_c \int d^2b\ e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}} \left[1-\prod_{j=1}^{4}\left(1-\gamma(\vec{b}-\frac{\vec{s}}{2}+\vec{\tau}_j)\right)\left(1-\gamma(\vec{b}+\frac{\vec{s}}{2}+\vec{\tau}_j)\right)\right] \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} W_j e^{\frac{-\vec{r}^2}{4\gamma_j}}\right) d^3r \prod_{j=1}^{4}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} C_k e^{\vec{r}_j^2/R_k^2 - i\vec{\alpha}\vec{r}_j}\right) d^3r_j \\ &= \frac{ip\rho_c}{2\pi}\sum_{i_1=1}^{3}\sum_{i_j,j=2-5}^{N} W_{i_1}C_{i_2}C_{i_3}C_{i_4}C_{i_5}\int d^2b\ e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}}\left[1-\prod_{k=1}^{4}\left(1-\gamma(\vec{b}-\frac{\vec{s}}{2}+\vec{\tau}_k)\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left(1-\gamma(\vec{b}+\frac{\vec{s}}{2}+\vec{\tau}_k)\right)\right] e^{\frac{-\vec{r}^2}{4\gamma_{i_1}}} d^3r \prod_{k=2}^{5} e^{\vec{r}_k^2/R_{i_j}^2 - i\vec{\alpha}\vec{r}_k} d^3r_k \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where the integration on $\vec{\alpha}$ is performed in order to account the δ function in the Eq. (13) (see for details the Ref. [2]).

Using the graph functions, the Eq. (15) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} F_{24}(\vec{q}) &= \frac{ip\rho_c}{2\pi}\sum_{i_1=1}^{3}\sum_{i_j,j=2-5}^{N}\sum_{\mu=1}^{21} H_\mu W_{i_1}C_{i_2}C_{i_3}C_{i_4}C_{i_5}\int d^2b\ e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}}\cdot \mathrm{g}\left(\underline{\underline{D}}_\mu\right), \\ &\quad e^{\frac{-\vec{r}^2}{4\gamma_{i_1}}} d^3r \prod_{k=1}^{4} e^{\vec{r}_k^2/R_{i_j}^2} d^3\tau_k \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The result of the complete integration is [8]

$$F_{24}(\vec{q}) = \frac{ip\rho_c}{2\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{21}\sum_{i_1=1}^{3}\sum_{i_j,j=2-5}^{N} W_{i_1}C_{i_2}C_{i_3}C_{i_4}C_{i_5}(-1)^{n+1}\beta^n \frac{\pi}{det|A|} exp\left[-\vec{q}^2\frac{det|a|}{4det|A|}\right] \tag{17}$$

where

$$det|\underline{\underline{A}}| = \begin{vmatrix} \underline{\underline{a}} & \underline{b}^T \\ \underline{b} & c \end{vmatrix} \tag{18}$$

$$\underline{\underline{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{R_{i_1}^2}+\frac{d_{11}+d_{21}}{2B_{NN}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-d_{11}+d_{21}}{2B_{NN}} & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{R_{i_2}^2}+\frac{d_{12}+d_{22}}{2B_{NN}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{-d_{12}+d_{22}}{2B_{NN}} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{R_{i_3}^2}+\frac{d_{13}+d_{23}}{2B_{NN}} & 0 & \frac{-d_{13}+d_{23}}{2B_{NN}} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{R_{i_4}^2}+\frac{d_{14}+d_{24}}{2B_{NN}} & \frac{-d_{14}+d_{24}}{2B_{NN}} & 1 \\ \frac{-d_{11}+d_{21}}{2B_{NN}} & \frac{-d_{12}+d_{22}}{2B_{NN}} & \frac{-d_{13}+d_{23}}{2B_{NN}} & \frac{-d_{14}+d_{24}}{2B_{NN}} & \frac{1}{4\gamma_i}+\frac{n}{8B_{NN}} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{b} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d_{11}+d_{21}}{2B_{NN}} & -\frac{d_{12}+d_{22}}{2B_{NN}} & -\frac{d_{13}+d_{23}}{2B_{NN}} & -\frac{d_{14}+d_{24}}{2B_{NN}} & \frac{d_{11}+d_{12}+d_{13}+d_{14}-d_{21}-d_{22}-d_{23}-d_{24}}{2B_{NN}} & \frac{n}{2B_{NN}} \end{pmatrix}$$

To consider the elastic scattering at small momentum transfer we take into account the Coulomb interaction (see the Ref. [3]) writing

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{\pi}{p^2}\left|-n\frac{2p}{|\vec{q}|}G(\vec{q}^2)e^{i\varphi} + F_N(\vec{q})\right|^2, \tag{19}$$

where p is the laboratory momentum of the ^4He, $n = Z_{He} \cdot Z_d/137\beta$, Z_{He}, Z_d are the charge of He and d respectively, $\beta = \frac{\nu}{c}$ is the ^4He velocity in the laboratory system

$$\phi = 2n\ln(1.06/a|\vec{q}|); G(\vec{q}^2) = G_{He}(\vec{q}^2) \cdot G_d(\frac{\vec{q}^2}{4}). \tag{20}$$

$G_{He}(t)$ is the ^4He form factor, and $G_d(t)$ is the deutron form factor,

$$G_{He}(t) = e^{11.9 \cdot t},$$

$$G_d(\frac{t}{4}) = 0.34e^{141.5\frac{t}{4}} + 0.58e^{26.1\frac{t}{4}} + 0.08e^{15.5\frac{t}{4}}.$$

To perform the calculations one needs the NN elastic scattering amplitude parameters. A large number of publications both theoretical and experimental have been devoted to processes the values of the parameters. We take the values of the parameters – σ_{NN}^{tot}, α_{NN} and B_{NN} as follows: σ_{NN}^{tot} and α_{NN} were taken from the compilations [9] and [10], respectively. B_{NN} was estimated [2] using σ_{NN}^{tot} and σ_{NN}^{el} taken form the compilation [9]. We call the set of the parameters as set A. Other set of the values (set B) was taken from the paper by V.V.Avdeichikov [3], where the parameters were obtained at fitting the experimental data on ^4He d elastic scattering.




Figure 2: The ^4He d differential cross sections at $P_l = 19.8GeV/c$. Points are the experimental data [3], lines are our calculations using the set of values A.

At the begining let us study the role of the different parametrizations of the modules of the wave function of ^4He. The top figure 2 gives the ^4He d elastic scattering differential

cross section calculated with the simplest parametrization I and set A. The bottom figure presents the ratio of two calculations with parametrization II and I. As seen, the difference between the calculations is at the lavel of 3%. It is much smaller than the difference between the experimental data and the calculations. Thus in the following we will use only the parametrization I for simplicity.

The differential cross sections calculated with two sets of the NN amplitude parameters A and B in a comparison with the experimental data [3] are shown in figure 3. As one can see, the set B reproduces the data quite well. At the same time it is failed to describe the other reactions like $p\,{}^4He$ scattering. The set A leads to a big disagreement with the experimental data especially at large values of the momentum transfer t. So, the main problem of the analysis is a choice of the NN parameters.




Figure 3: The ${}^4He$ d differential cross sections at $P_l = 19.8 GeV/c$. Points are the experimental data [3]. Solid and dashed lines are our calculations using the set of values B and A, respectively.

In Ref. [11] the deutron - deutron scattering at momentum 8.9 GeV/c was analyzed. The authors used two sets of the NN-parameters presented in the table 2 as C and D sets. Both sets allowed to describe the dd-scattering quite well (see Ref. [11]).

Table 2: The $NN-$amplitude parameter sets used in the calculations

	σ^{el}_{NN} mb	σ^{t}_{PP} mb	σ^{t}_{NP} mb	σ^{t}_{NN} mb	B_{NN} $(GeV/c)^{-2}$	α_{NN}
A	12	41.67	42.04	41.86	7.39	-0.33
B				41	7.9	-0.55
C				42.4	6.3	-0.43
D				42.4	7.3	-0.43

As seen from the Fig. 4 both sets give a satisfactory description of the ^4He p elastic scattering except the region of large t.




Figure 4: The differential ^4He p elastic scattering at $P_L = 17.8$ GeV/c. Points are the experimental data [12]. Lines are our calculations with the different sets of NN-amplitude parameters.

The differential cross sections of the ^4He d elastic scattering calculated with the sets C and D are shown in Fig. 5 in a comparison with the experimental data [3]. As seen, we have a big disagreement with the data in the region of the diffraction minimum and also in the region of small momentum transfer t with the set D. Inverse situation takes place with the set C, the calculation are above the experimental data at small t and above the data at large t.




Figure 5: The differential ^4He d elastic scattering at $P_L = 19.8$ GeV/c. Points are the experimental data [3]. Short dasahed and long dashed lines are our calculations using the sets C and D, respectively. Solid lines – calculations performed with inclusion of the 12-quark bag state of ^4He and with the parameter set C.

Summing up we conclude that it is impossible to describe simultaneously the $p\,^4He$ and $d\,^4He$ elastic scattering cross sections using the same set of the NN-amplitude parameters. The parameters what are quite well for understanding dd-scattering [11] can not be applied in the case of $d\,^4He$ scattering.

2 Manifestation of the twelve quark bag admixture

Let us neglect all transition amplitudes like that $|4N> \;\rightarrow |12q>$, $|12q> \;\rightarrow |4N>$ following papers [1, 2]. In this case the $d\,^4He$ scattering amplitude will be

$$F_{d\ ^4He} = (1 - w_{12q})\, F_{d,4N} + w_{12q} F_{d,12q} \tag{21}$$

where $F_{d,4N}$ is the Glauber amplitude of the deutron - four nucleon scattering given by the Eq. (11), $F_{d,12q}$ is the deutron - $12q$ bag scattering amplitude, and w_{12q} is the weight

of the $12q$ bag quark state in the ground state of the ^4He nucleus. The values of the $12q$ bag weight was estimated in our previous paper [2] as $w_{12q} = 10.5\%$.

$F_{d,12q}$ amplitude can be estimated as d p one.

$$F_{d,12q} = \frac{ip}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{3} W_j \int d^2b e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}} \left[\gamma_{N,12q}(\vec{b}-\frac{\vec{s}}{2}) + \gamma_{N,12q}(\vec{b}+\frac{\vec{s}}{2}) - \right.$$

$$\left. \gamma_{N,12q}(\vec{b}-\frac{\vec{s}}{2})\gamma_{N,12q}(\vec{b}+\frac{\vec{s}}{2})\right] e^{\frac{-\vec{r}^2}{4\gamma_j}} d^3r \tag{22}$$

Using the gaussian parametrization for the nucleon - 12q bag scattering amplitude

$$\gamma_{N,12q}(\vec{b}) = \frac{\sigma^t_{12q}}{4\pi B_{12q}} \cdot e^{\frac{-\vec{b}^2}{2B_{12q}}}, \tag{23}$$

all intergations in the Eq. (22) can be done exactly. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
F^1_{d,12q} &= \int d^2b e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}} \gamma_{N,12q}(\vec{b}-\frac{\vec{s}}{2}) e^{\frac{-\vec{r}^2}{4\gamma_j}} d^3r \\
&= \frac{\sigma^t_{12q}}{4\pi B_{12q}} \int d^2b e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}} e^{\frac{-\left(\vec{b}-\frac{\vec{s}}{2}\right)^2}{2B_{12q}}} e^{\frac{-\vec{r}^2}{4\gamma_j}} d^2s dz \\
&= \frac{\sigma^t_{12q}}{4\pi B_{12q}} \int d^2b e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}} exp\left[-\left(\frac{2B_{12q}+\gamma_j}{8B_{12q}\gamma_j}\right)\left(s^2 - \frac{2\gamma_j}{2B_{12q}+\gamma_j}\vec{b}\right)^2\right] \\
&\quad exp\left[-\frac{\vec{b}^2}{2B_{12q}+\gamma_j}\right] e^{\frac{-\vec{z}^2}{4\gamma_j}} d^2s dz \\
&= \frac{\sigma^t_{12q}}{4\pi B_{12q}} \left(\frac{8B_{12q}\gamma_j\pi}{2B_{12q}+\gamma_j}\right)(4\gamma_j\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int d^2b e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}} exp\left[-\frac{\vec{b}^2}{2B_{12q}+\gamma_j}\right] \\
&= \frac{\sigma^t_{12q}}{4\pi B_{12q}} \left(\frac{8B_{12q}\gamma_j\pi}{2B_{12q}+\gamma_j}\right)(4\gamma_j\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2B_{12q}+\gamma_j)\,\pi exp\left[-\left(\frac{2B_{12q}+\gamma_j}{4}\right)\vec{q}^2\right] \\
&= 2\pi\gamma_j\sigma^t_{12q}(4\gamma_j\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} exp\left[-\left(\frac{2B_{12q}+\gamma_j}{4}\right)\vec{q}^2\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The second is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
F^2_{d,12q} &= \int d^2b e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}} \gamma_{N,12q}(\vec{b}-\frac{\vec{s}}{2})\gamma_{N,12q}(\vec{b}+\frac{\vec{s}}{2}) e^{\frac{-\vec{r}^2}{4\gamma_j}} d^3r \\
&= \left(\frac{\sigma^t_{12q}}{4\pi B_{12q}}\right)^2 \int d^2b e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}} e^{\frac{-\left(\vec{b}-\frac{\vec{s}}{2}\right)^2}{2B_{12q}}} e^{\frac{-\left(\vec{b}+\frac{\vec{s}}{2}\right)^2}{2B_{12q}}} e^{\frac{-\vec{r}^2}{4\gamma_j}} d^2s dz \\
&= \left(\frac{\sigma^t_{12q}}{4\pi B_{12q}}\right)^2 \int d^2b e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}} e^{-\frac{\vec{b}^2}{B_{12q}}} exp\left[-\left(\frac{B_{12q}+\gamma_j}{4B_{12q}\gamma_j}\right)\vec{s}^2\right] e^{\frac{-\vec{z}^2}{4\gamma_j}} d^2s dz \\
&= \left(\frac{\sigma^t_{12q}}{4\pi B_{12q}}\right)^2 (4\gamma_j\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{4B_{12q}\gamma_j\pi}{B_{12q}+\gamma_j}\right)(B_{12q}\pi) e^{-\frac{B_{12q}}{4}\vec{q}^2} \\
&= \frac{\left(\sigma^t_{12q}\right)^2\gamma_j}{4\pi\left(B_{12q}+\gamma_j\right)} e^{-\frac{B_{12q}}{4}\vec{q}^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

The d $12q$ bag amplitude will be

$$F_{d,12Q} = \frac{ip}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{3} W_i \left(4\pi\gamma_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[2\pi\gamma_i \sigma_{12q}^t e^{-\left(\frac{2B_{12q}+\gamma_i}{4}\right)q^2} - \frac{(\sigma_{12q}^t)^2 \gamma_i}{4(B_{12q}+\gamma_i)} e^{-\frac{B_{12q}}{4}q^2} \right]. \qquad (26)$$

The values of the nucleon-$12q$ bag amplitude parameters were estimated in the Ref. [2]: $w_{12q} = 10.5\%$, $\sigma_{12q}^t = 34mb$ and $B_{12q} = 23\,(GeV)^{-2}$. These values have been used with the parameter set C for the calculations of the differential cross section with and without the $12q$ bag admixture shown in the Fig. 5. One can see that when the $12q$ bag admixture is included in the calculations it gives a good description of the data at small and large values of t. The discrepancy between the calculations and the experimental data in the region $0.09 \leq |t| \leq 0.13$ $(GeV/c)^{-2}$ can be erased at taking into account the D-wave of the deutron [5].

Conclusion

1. Within the framework of the Glauber theory it is impossible to describe simultaneously the $p\,^4He$ and $d\,^4He$ elastic scattering cross sections using the same set of the NN-amplitude parameters.

2. The 12q bag admixture to the ground state of the ^4He nucleus manifests itself in the $d\,^4He$ elastic scattering in all region of the momentum transfer. At small t the effect can be at the level of ~ 10 %. At large t it can be ~ 30 %.

3. To study the effect at an experiment it is needed to measure the $d\,^4He$ elastic cross section with absolute normalization accuracy better than 10 %.

V.V.Uzhinskii thanks RFBR (grand No 00-01-00307, 01-02-16431) and INTAS (grand No 00-00366) for their financial support. A.M. Mosallem is thankful to Profs. A.B.B. Kalil and K.M. Hanna for support, and JINR officials for hospitality.

References

[1] L.G. Dakno, N.N. Nikolaev // Nucl. Phys. **A436** (1985) 653-687.

[2] A.M. Mosallem, V.V.Uzhinskii // JINR Preprint **E2-2002-127** (2002), Dubna.

[3] V.V.Avdeichikov et al.// JINR Preprint **1-12186** (1979), Dubna.

[4] R.J. Glauber // Lectures in theoretical physics. W.E. Brittin, L.G. Dunham (eds.). Vol. 1, p.315, New York: Interscience 1959; A.G. Sitenko // Ukr. Fiz. Zh. **4**,152 (1959)

[5] V.I.Inozemtsev // JINR Preprint **P2-10525** (1977), Dubna.

[6] V. Franco // Phys. Rev. **175** (1968) p. 1376.

[7] W. Czyz, L.C. Maximon // Ann of Phys. (N.Y.) **52** (1969) p. 59.

[8] O.Kofoed-Hansen // Nuovo Cimento **60A** (1969) p. 621.

[9] V. Flaminio, W.G. Moorhead, D.R.O. Morrison, N. Rivoire.//*Compilation of cross–sections,* roma *: p and $\bar{p}$ induced reactions*, CERN–HERA 84-01,1984.

[10] O. Benary, L.R. Price, G. Alexander. *NN and ND interactions* [*above* 0.5GeV/c] —a compilation, UCRL-20000NN, 1970.

[11] L.S.Azhgirei et al.// Nucl. Phys. **A305** (1978) p. 397.

[12] V.G.Ableev et al.// JINR preprint **1-82-332** (1982), Dubna.