arXiv:physics/0412038v1 [physics.class-ph] 6 Dec 2004

Unipolar components travelling at the speed of light *in vacuo*

Charles Varin* and Michel Piché
Centre d'optique photonique et laser, Université Laval, Québec, Qc, G1K 7P4, Canada
(Dated: February 17, 2014)

In this letter, we describe a physical situation where the time-integral of the electric field of an electromagnetic wave packet is not zero. More specifically, we demonstrate that the non-oscillating component of the Fourier spectrum, i.e. the component resulting from the Fourier transform of the electric field evaluated at $\omega = 0$, can be associated to the area of an unipolar electromagnetic pulse that travels in free space at the speed of light. This feature offers the possibility for this field component to interact with matter over extended regions of space.

The numerical value obtained by the integration of the electric field of a wave packet from $t = -\infty$ to $t = \infty$ can be associated to the amplitude of the non-oscillating component of the Fourier spectrum $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \omega = 0)$, that is :

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \omega = 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)\, dt, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the electric field vector and $\mathbf{r}$ is the position in space. From a geometrical point of view, Eq. (1) gives the area, or the temporal average, of the signal. According to Kim *et al.* [1], $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \omega = 0)$ corresponds to a static field whose amplitude must fall off as $1/|\mathbf{r}|^2$. It is thus believed that for a wave packet of finite dimensions propagating far from its source the integral given at Eq. (1) must be zero everywhere in space. However, we suspect that this interpretation is incorrect.

The main doubt we have with the widely accepted interpretation of Kim *et al.* [1] concerns the fact that, in free space, the electric field vector is proportional to the time derivative of the vector potential, i.e. $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\partial_t \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, where $\partial_t \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \partial \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)/\partial t$ [2]. Consequently, we can write :

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, -\infty) - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, \infty) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t')\, dt'. \tag{2}$$

If we combine Eqs. (1) and (2), we immediately see that :

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \omega = 0) = \frac{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, -\infty) - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, \infty)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}. \tag{3}$$

We hence observe that $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \omega = 0)$ is related to the net variation of the strength of the vector potential from $t = -\infty$ to $t = \infty$. As far as we know, the vector potential does not have to fall off as fast as $1/|\mathbf{r}|^2$ far from a bounded source of radiation. Why should $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \omega = 0)$ be zero for all $\mathbf{r}$? Let us now push this analysis a little further.

In principle, any electromagnetic waveform can be generated [2, 3]. For example, if an arbitrary current $J(t)$ circulates into a conducting wire, a wave packet whose electric field is proportional to the temporal variations of the driving signal will be emitted. Assuming that the center of such a wire (of finite length Δl and radius a) is located at the origin $\mathbf{r} = (0, 0, 0)$ of a set of cylindrical coordinates $\mathbf{r} = (r, \theta, z)$ with a uniform current flowing along the z-axis, the vector potential propagating at a great distance from the source is written as follows [2] :

$$\mathbf{A}(r, z, t) \simeq \Pi(z) \frac{a^2 \mu_0 \Delta l}{4\, r} \left[J(t) \right]_{\mathrm{ret}} \mathbf{a}_z, \tag{4}$$

where $\Pi(z) = 1$ for $-\Delta l \leq z \leq \Delta l$ and 0 otherwise, μ_0 is the permeability of free space, $\mathbf{a}_z$ is a unit vector oriented along the wire (lying on the z axis), and $[\ldots]_{\mathrm{ret}}$ means that the quantity within the brackets must be expressed in terms of the retarded time $t_{\mathrm{r}} = t - r/c$, with c being the speed of light *in vacuo*. Combining Eqs. (3) and (4), we calculated the non-oscillating component of the field and we obtained this :

$$\mathbf{E}(r, z, \omega = 0) = \Pi(z) \frac{a^2 \mu_0 \Delta l}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{J(-\infty) - J(\infty)}{r} \right) \mathbf{a}_z. \tag{5}$$

It thus appears that the time integral of the electric field vanishes when there is no net variation of current in the wire, that is when $J(-\infty) = J(\infty)$. Globally, this is what we expect to happens. However, if the current fluctuations are sufficiently separated, the radiation field appears as a series of isolated pulses (see Fig. 1). These electromagnetic wave packets of finite energy carry a non-oscillating component. Concordantly, if $J(t) = J_0 - \Delta J \,\mathrm{erf}[\, t/\Delta t\,]$ – corresponding to the decrease of a steady current from the value $J_0 + \Delta J$ to a new value $J_0 - \Delta J$ within a certain time interval Δt – we find (far from the source) :

$$\mathbf{E}(r, z, t) \simeq \Pi(z) \frac{a^2 \mu_0 \Delta l \Delta J}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Delta t} \frac{\exp\left(-t_{\mathrm{r}}^2/\Delta t^2\right)}{r} \mathbf{a}_z, \tag{6}$$

and :

$$\mathbf{E}(r, z, \omega = 0) = \Pi(z) \frac{a^2 \mu_0 \Delta l \Delta J}{2\sqrt{2\pi}\, r} \mathbf{a}_z. \tag{7}$$

Hence, the non-oscillating Fourier component of the field radiated by a bounded conducting wire does not vanish in the far field, locally (see Eq. (7)). It seems here that $\mathbf{E}(r, z, \omega = 0)$ corresponds to the area of an unipolar




FIG. 1: Momentary variations of current in a bounded conducting wire (top) and the corresponding radiated electric field (bottom). Globally, the temporal average of the radiated field is zero. But, when the delay between two successive variations of current is sufficiently large ($t_2 - t_1 >> \Delta t$), the field appears as two isolated unipolar pulses, separated in space by a distance equal to $c\,(t_2 - t_1)$.

electromagnetic pulse that travels in free space at the speed of light and whose amplitude falls off as $1/r$ far from the source. We Recall that the radiated electric field is proportional to $\partial_t J(t)$ – *via* $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\partial_t \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, the field given at Eq. (6) is thus free of any static field, i.e. a field whose amplitude is constant in time (see also Brabec and Krausz [4]).

Thus, in this letter we have shown that the non-oscillating component of the Fourier spectrum $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \omega = 0)$ is proportional to the net change in the strength of the vector potential, from $t = -\infty$ to $t = \infty$. This relation reveals that the temporal average of an electromagnetic signal can vanish or not, depending on how it has been produced. Consequently, we observe that the derivation provided by Belenov and Nazarkin [5] corresponds to a special family of wave packets, namely zero-area pulses, whose vector potential has the same strength at $t = \pm\infty$. Here, we have described the case of a bounded conducting wire. We have shown that the variations of current inside the wire produce a series of unipolar electromagnetic pulses that travel in free space at the speed of light ; the amplitude of these pulses falls off as $1/r$ far from the wire. Locally, the temporal average of an isolated unipolar pulse is not zero. Consequently, we are convinced that the non-oscillating component of an electromagnetic field can interact with matter over extended regions of space.

C. Varin and M. Piché thank Les fonds de recherche sur la nature et les technologies (Québec), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Canada), and the Canadian Institute for Photonic Innovations for their financial support.

* Electronic address: charles.varin@phy.ulaval.ca

[1] K. J. Kim, K. T. McDonald, and G. V. Stupakov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3210 (2000).
[2] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (Wiley, New York, NY, 1999), 3rd ed.
[3] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, and M. Sands, *Lectures on Physics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1977).
[4] T. Brabec and F. Krausz, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 545 (2000).
[5] E. M. Belenov and A. V. Nazarkin, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **11**, 168 (1994).