arXiv:q-alg/9608007v1 7 Aug 1996

QMW-PH-96-18
q-alg/9608007

July 1996

Ohtsuki's Invariants are of Finite Type

A. Kricker[1] and B. Spence[2]

Abstract

Using a vanishing condition on certain combinations of components of the Jones polynomial for algebraically split links we show that Ohtsuki's invariants of integral homology three spheres are of finite type. We further show that the corresponding manifold weight system is given by the expected Lie algebraic construction.

[1] Department of Physics, Queen Mary and Westfield College, London E1 4NS, UK. On leave from the School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville 3052 Australia. Email: A.Kricker@qmw.ac.uk

[2] Department of Physics, Queen Mary and Westfield College, London E1 4NS, UK. Email: B.Spence@qmw.ac.uk.

1 Introduction

Inspired by work in the theory of Vassiliev invariants of knots, the theory of invariants of three-manifolds has developed rapidly of late. In particular, there has been much work done on *finite-type* invariants of integral homology three-spheres (**Z**HSs) (see the revised version of [1] for a survey and references up to March 1996). Much of this work generalises to rational homology three-spheres with little added complexity.

Ohtsuki [2] introduced finite type invariants of **Z**HSs (see also [3]). They are characterised as follows. Consider a pair consisting of a **Z**HS M and an embedded, algebraically-split, ± 1-framed link L in M. Write M_L for the **Z**HS obtained via Dehn surgery on L. A ZHS-invariant λ is said to be *of finite type of order* $\leq n$ if

$$\sum_{L' \subseteq L} (-1)^{\#L'} \lambda(M_{L'}) = 0 \tag{1}$$

when $\#L > n$, where $\#L$ is the number of components of the link L. It is sufficient for this to be true for link embeddings in S^3. (Such an invariant is said to be of *finite type of order* n if further it is not of finite type of order m for any $m < n$.) Ohtsuki has also suggested a candidate sequence of finite-type **Z**HS invariants $\{\lambda_n\}$ [4] (see also [5]). He extracted the $\{\lambda_n\}$ as a certain number-theoretic limit of the Reshetikhin-Turaev sl_2 invariant [6], when presented for **Z**HSs. His work generalised H.Murakami's [7] identification of Casson's invariant as the first non-trivial invariant, λ_1.

In a separate important development, a universal finite-type **Z**HS-invariant analogous to, and built from, Kontsevich's construction for Vassiliev invariants [8], has been presented in [9]. It takes values in a certain vector space built from trivalent graphs. It was established in [10] that this invariant has a universal property for finite-type invariants of **Z**HSs (although it is defined for arbitrary manifolds).

An outstanding problem is to present the $\{\lambda_n\}$ invariants of Ohtsuki in terms of the universal invariant of [9]. This would be analogous to the well-known presentation of the quantum group knot invariants in terms of the Kontsevich construction [11, 12].

First, we will show here that the invariants $\{\lambda_n\}$ are of finite type. Evidence for this has already been given by Rozansky [13, 14], using arguments from perturbative Chern-Simons theory. Our discussion utilises an explicit Kontsevich-type construction, which we believe will also contribute towards

a solution of the problem noted above. We will further confirm that the manifold weight system of each Ohtsuki invariant is given in terms of the sl_2 weight system for trivalent graphs. This provides substantial support for Conjecture 7.3 of [9], namely that the series $\sum_{n=0} \lambda_n (e^h - 1)^n$ is recovered from the universal finite-type **Z**HS invariant by "substituting" sl_2 into the graphs in the image of that invariant.

2 The Finite-Type Argument

In the following we will consider invariants to be linearly extended over formal linear combinations. Namely, if we have some formal sum over links $C = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i L_i$, and some link invariant I, then $I(C) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i I(L_i)$, with a similar construction for manifold invariants. We shall also write $M_C = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i M_{L_i}$.

The Ohtsuki invariants $\{\lambda_n\}$ are constructed from derivatives of the Jones polynomial for framed knots. Define $V(L,h)$ to be the $\mathbf{Q}[[h]]$ valued knot invariant defined by

$$\begin{aligned} e^h V(L_+,h) - e^{-h} V(L_-,h) &= (e^{\frac{h}{2}} - e^{-\frac{h}{2}}) V(L_0,h), \\ V(\phi,h) &= (e^{\frac{h}{2}} + e^{-\frac{h}{2}})^{-1}, \quad V(O,h) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

As usual, L_+, L_- and L_0 are three links which differ only in a ball where they are an overcrossing, an undercrossing, and an orientation-preserving smoothing of that crossing, respectively. O is the unknot. The following definitions will prove useful (we follow the notation of [5] and [1]). Let

$$\begin{aligned} X(L,h) &= (e^{\frac{h}{2}} + e^{-\frac{h}{2}})^{1-\#L} V(L,h) \\ &= [2]^{1-\#L} V(L,h), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where the quantised integer $[n]$ is defined by

$$[n] = \frac{e^{hn/2} - e^{-hn/2}}{e^{h/2} - e^{-h/2}}, \tag{4}$$

and $\#L$ is the number of components of the link L. Now, for some link L, define

$$\Phi(L,h) = \sum_{L' \subseteq L} (-1)^{\#L - \#L'} X(L',h) \tag{5}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_n(L)}{n!} (e^h - 1)^n. \tag{6}$$

Ohtsuki's invariants $\{\lambda_n\}$ are constructed using the functions $\phi_i(L)$ defined by

$$\phi_i(L) = \frac{(-2)^{\#L}}{(\#L+i)!}\Phi_{\#L+i}(L). \tag{7}$$

We will use the multi-index notation employed by Ohtsuki in [4], which utilises bold-face letters for multi-indices, with the following conventions. For $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_\mu)$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, ..., k_\mu)$, and a scalar x, we put

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{k}| &= k_1 + k_2 + ... + k_\mu, \\ \#\mathbf{k} &= \mu, \\ \mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} &= (j_1+k_1, j_2+k_2, ..., j_\mu+k_\mu), \\ \mathbf{kj} &= (k_1 j_1, k_2 j_2, ..., k_\mu j_\mu), \\ x\mathbf{k} &= (xk_1, xk_2, ..., xk_\mu), \\ \mathbf{x} &= (x, x, ..., x). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The characterisation of the $\{\lambda_n\}$ we shall be exploiting here is due to Lin and Wang [5]. In the phrasing of the theorem, we shall denote by L^n the zero-framed nth-parallel of the link L. That is, we take the link that we get by replacing each component by n parallel components, all having linking number zero with each other, and each with the same framing as the original component. Suppose that L has the number of components $\#L = \mu$. We index sublinks $L^{\mathbf{i}} \subseteq L^n$ by μ-tuplets $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_\mu)$, where i_p, for $p = 1, ..., \mu$, counts the number of parallels of the p-th component of L which appear in the sublink. (Note that in the sum over sublinks of L^l below, each distinct μ-tuplet is only counted *once*.) Then we have the following formulation of Ohtsuki's invariants:

Theorem 1 ([5]) *Let S^3_L be the integral homology 3-sphere resulting from Dehn surgery on some algebraically-split, ± 1-framed link L embedded in S^3. Then there exist constants $\{\nu_{f_p,i_p,m_p}\}$ such that*

$$\lambda_n(S^3_L) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{L' \subseteq L^l} \frac{\phi_l(L')}{(-2)^{\#L'}} \left\{ \prod_{q=1}^{\mu} (-f_q) \left(\sum_{m_1+...+m_\mu = n-l} \prod_{p=1}^{\mu} \nu_{f_p,i_p,m_p} \right) \right\}. \tag{9}$$

In the above, $f_q = \pm 1$ is the framing of the $q-$th component of L, and the constants $\{\nu_{f_p,i_p,m_p}\}$ are defined recursively in [5]. Their precise form in general will not be of direct concern to us here.

The following function will prove useful

$$F^{nl}(L,\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) = \frac{1}{(-2)^{|\mathbf{j}|}}\prod_{q=1}^{\mu}(-f_q)^{i_q}\sum_{|\mathbf{im}|=n-l}\prod_{p=1}^{\mu}(\nu_{f_p,j_p,m_p})^{i_p}, \tag{10}$$

where in the summation m_k is fixed at 0 when $i_k = 0$. Ohtsuki's invariants can now be expressed as

$$\lambda_n(S^3_L) = \sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{0}}^{l\mathbf{1}}\phi_l(L^{\mathbf{j}})F^{nl}(L,\mathbf{1},\mathbf{j}). \tag{11}$$

We note the following technical identity

$$F^{nl}(L,\{1,i_2,\ldots,i_\mu\},\{0,j_2,\ldots,j_\mu\}) \;=\; F^{nl}(L,\{0,i_2,\ldots,i_\mu\},\{0,j_2,\ldots,j_\mu\}). \tag{12}$$

This requires the result (see [5]) $\nu_{f,0,m} = -f\delta_{m0}$. The identity (12) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
LHS\,(12) &= \frac{1}{(-2)^{|\mathbf{j}|}}\prod_{q=2}^{\mu}(-f_q)^{i_q}(-f_1)\sum_{m_1+i_2m_2+\ldots+i_\mu m_\mu=n-l}\nu_{f_1,0,m_1}\prod_{p=2}^{\mu}(\nu_{f_p,j_p,m_p})^{i_p}\\
&= \frac{1}{(-2)^{|\mathbf{j}|}}\prod_{q=2}^{\mu}(-f_q)^{i_q}(-f_1)^2\sum_{m_2i_2+\ldots+m_\mu i_\mu=n-l}\prod_{p=2}^{\mu}(\nu_{f_p,j_p,m_p})^{i_p}\\
&= \frac{1}{(-2)^{|\mathbf{j}|}}\prod_{q=2}^{\mu}(-f_q)^{i_q}\sum_{m_2i_2+\ldots+m_\mu i_\mu=n-l}\prod_{p=2}^{\mu}(\nu_{f_p,j_p,m_p})^{i_p}\\
&= RHS\,(12)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where we have used the fact that as all framings are ± 1, they square to one.

To prove that the invariants $\{\lambda_n\}$ are of finite type we need the following theorem on the vanishing of the ϕ_i.

Theorem 2 *Let L be an algebraically split link. If $\#L > 3n$, then*

$$\phi_n(L) = 0. \tag{14}$$

(This result for $n = 1$ appeared in [15], and the result for $n = 2$ was quoted in [1].) The proof of Theorem (2) will occupy the next section.

With this understanding, we can turn to the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 3 *λ_n is finite type of order $\leq 3n$.*

Proof.
Suppose we have a link with $\mu = \#L > 3n$. Then

$$\sum_{L' \subseteq L} (-1)^{\#L'} \lambda_n(S^3_{L'}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{1}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{i}|} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{0}}^{l\mathbf{i}} \phi_l(L^{\mathbf{j}})\, F^{nl}(L, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}), \tag{15}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{0}}^{l\mathbf{1}} \phi_l(L^{\mathbf{j}})\, G^{nl}(L, \mathbf{j}), \tag{16}$$

where (16) *defines* the function G as the coefficient of the appropriate ϕ in the expression. Now we show that if at least one of j_p are zero, then $G^{nl}(L, \mathbf{j}) = 0$. Take some μ-tuplet $\mathbf{j}$ whose first m indices are zero, with the remaining indices non-zero. From the above we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G^{nl}(L, \{0, \ldots, 0, j_{m+1}, \ldots, j_\mu\}) \\ = & \sum_{\{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{1}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{i}|} F^{nl}(L, \{i_1, \ldots, i_m, 1, \ldots, 1\}, \{0, \ldots, 0, j_{m+1}, \ldots, j_\mu\}), \\ = & \ 0, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where in the final step we have used (12). Hence

$$\sum_{L' \subseteq L} (-1)^{\#L'} \lambda_n(S^3_{L'}) = (-1)^{\#L} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}}^{l\mathbf{1}} \phi_l(L^{\mathbf{j}})\, F^{nl}(L, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}). \tag{18}$$

We see then that (18) is a sum of terms, each with an expression ϕ_l with $l \leq n$, evaluated on links with more than $3n$ components. These vanish by Theorem 2. Thus the expression on the left-hand side of (18) vanishes when $\#L > 3n$, and we see that λ_n is of finite type of order $\leq 3n$.

□

This argument provides an upper bound for the order of λ_n. Note from Theorem 2 that when $\#L = 3n$ the expression on the right-hand side of eqn.(18) has precisely one term, which is when $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{1}$ and $l = n$ in the double

summand. Using the fact that $\nu_{f,1,0} = 2$ (see [5]), one then finds that for the case when $\#L = 3n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{L' \subseteq L} (-1)^{\#L'} \lambda_n(S^3_{L'}) &= (-1)^n \phi_n(L^{\mathbf{1}}) F^{nn}(L, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \\ &= (-1)^n f_L \phi_n(L), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $f_L = \prod_{p=1}^{3n} f_p$. We will use eqn.(19) in Section 4 to show that the λ_n are of order *exactly* $3n$.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

We begin by presenting the $\{\phi_i\}$ in terms of Kontsevich's universal invariant [8]. Kontsevich's invariant is a chord diagram invariant, presented initially for knots but subsequently generalised to links and tangles. Different normalisations of the invariant exist, which are useful in different contexts. We will use the tangle invariant $\hat{Z}$ as it appears in [9, 18] . This normalisation factors the quantum group tangle invariants of Reshetikhin and Turaev [11] . We denote by ν the value of $\hat{Z}$ on the unknot. We will also use the invariant $\hat{Z}'$, related to $\hat{Z}$ by

$$\hat{Z}(L) = (\nu)^{\mu} \# \hat{Z}'(L), \tag{20}$$

meaning we connect-sum a copy of ν into each Wilson loop in the image of $\hat{Z}'(L)$.

Now, in the usual way, choosing a Lie algebra with a non-degenerate, symmetric, invariant metric, we can define a weight system mapping the space of chord diagrams $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ into the rationals $\mathbf{Q}$, $\gamma : \hat{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathbf{Q}$. This is defined by substituting the Lie algebra into the graph, and the representation into the Wilson loop, and the grade of a chord diagram D can be indexed in the weight system by defining $\Gamma : \hat{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathbf{Q}[[h]]$ by $\Gamma(D) = \gamma(D) h^{deg(D)}$ (see [16] for example). In this work we shall choose the algebra sl_2 with metric coming from the trace Tr_{fun} in the *fundamental* representation ρ, $< x, y >= \mathrm{Tr}_{fun}(\rho(x)\rho(y))$, for $x, y \in sl_2$. We have

Lemma 4 ([17]) $\Gamma(\nu) = [2]$.

The following result is a slight modification of Theorem 2.3.1 of [18]. It differs only in that here the invariant quadratic tensor which results from our choice of metric is one-half of the tensor used to build weight systems

in [18]. This means that at grade n, there is a multiplicative factor of 2^{-n}. This simply scales the appearance of h in the statement as $h \to h/2$. Recall V from eqn. (2).

Theorem 5 ([18])

$$V(L) = \frac{1}{[2]}\Gamma(\hat{Z}(L_0)), \tag{21}$$

where L_0 is the zero-framed version of L, i.e. the link L with the framing of each of its components set to zero.

We can use result this to obtain a formula for X as follows. (We use the simple identity $\Gamma(D_1 \# D_2) = \Gamma(D_1)\Gamma(D_2)\frac{1}{2}$ for chord diagrams D_1, D_2.)

$$\begin{aligned} X(L) &= [2]^{1-\mu} V(L) \\ &= \frac{1}{[2]^{\mu}}\Gamma(\hat{Z}(L_0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{[2]^{\mu}}\Gamma((\nu)^{\mu} \# \hat{Z}'(L_0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{\mu}}\Gamma(\hat{Z}'(L_0)). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Now we use this to obtain a formula for Φ. For this we need two related operators. In the following, a 'leg' is an edge of the graph of some chord diagram that terminates in a univalent vertex.

Definition 6 *Choose a component C of L. Define an operator $\varepsilon_C : \hat{\mathcal{A}} \to \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ on chord diagrams D by*

$$\varepsilon_C(D) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if the graph of } D \text{ has any legs on} \\ & \text{the Wilson loop corresponding to } C, \\ D_C & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

where D_C is the diagram D with the Wilson loop corresponding to C removed.

Define another operator $\tilde{\varepsilon}_C : \hat{\mathcal{A}} \to \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, on chord diagrams by

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_C(D) = \begin{cases} D & \text{if the graph of } D \text{ has any legs on} \\ & \text{the Wilson loop corresponding to } C, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

Then

Lemma 7 ([9])

$$\hat{Z}'(L\backslash C) = \varepsilon_C(\hat{Z}'(L)), \tag{25}$$

and

Lemma 8

$$\begin{aligned} X(L) - X(L\backslash C) &= \frac{1}{2^{\#L}}\Gamma(\hat{Z}'(L_0)) - \frac{1}{2^{\#L-1}}\Gamma(\hat{Z}'(L_0\backslash C)), \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{\#L}}\Gamma(\hat{Z}'(L_0) - 2\varepsilon_C(\hat{Z}'(L_0))), \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{\#L}}\Gamma(\tilde{\varepsilon}_C(\hat{Z}'(L_0))). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

(We have used the fact that $\Gamma(O \cup D) = 2\Gamma(D)$, where O is the chord diagram with empty graph.)

Now notice that $\Phi(L)$ can be expressed as

$$\Phi(L) = X(\delta_1 \dots \delta_\mu L), \tag{27}$$

where $\delta_p L = L - L\backslash L_p$, with L_p the pth component of L. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(L) &= \frac{1}{2^\mu}\Gamma(\tilde{\varepsilon}_1(\dots(\tilde{\varepsilon}_\mu(\hat{Z}'(L_0))))), \\ &= \frac{1}{2^\mu}\Gamma(P(\hat{Z}'(L_0))), \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where P is the projector which annihilates any chord diagram which has a component without legs attached.

Finally, let us note that the Kontsevich invariant has special properties when evaluated on algebraically-split and zero-framed links (note that a *chord* is a component of the graph of a chord diagram without trivalent vertices):

Lemma 9 *(i) Suppose that two components of some link L have linking number zero. Then $\hat{Z}'(L)$ lies in the subspace generated by chord diagrams which do not contain any chords whose two ends terminate on the two Wilson loops corresponding to the zero-linked components.*
(ii) If some component of L is zero-framed, then $\hat{Z}'(L)$ lies in the subspace generated by chord diagrams which do not contain any chords whose two ends lie on the Wilson loop corresponding to the zero-framed component.

This Lemma is a straightforward exercise in the theory of the Kontsevich integral, and is implicit in various works in the literature (for example see Section 5.4 in [10]). We indicate the logic here. Every link can be presented as the closure of a string link tangle (a tangle where every component finishes vertically above its other end). The chord diagrams on n-stringed string link tangles form an algebra generated by its primitive elements (*i.e.* those diagrams with connected graph), under the usual tangle multiplication. On string link tangles the Kontsevich invariant appears as an exponential of primitive elements. It is straightforward to identify the coefficients of the diagrams with single chords in the logarithm of the invariant with the appropriate linking numbers.

With this understanding, the proof of Theorem 2 now follows from a simple counting argument.

Proof of Theorem 2.

Consider a link L with μ components. Recall that Φ is of the form $\Phi(L) = \frac{1}{2^\mu}\Gamma(P(\hat{Z}'(L_0)))$. Observe from Lemma 9 that as the whole link L_0 is zero-framed and algebraically-split then $\hat{Z}'(L_0)$ takes values in the subspace generated by chord diagrams *without chords*. We then ask: what is the least grade, in this subspace, of a chord diagram which is not annihilated by P, *i.e.* a diagram with legs on all components?

Every Wilson loop thus needs at least one leg terminating on it. Since there are no chords, the other end of this edge must end in a trivalent vertex. This implies that there must be at least *two* legs ending on each Wilson loop, because of the anti-symmetry of that trivalent vertex.

The least number of trivalent vertices that can provide two legs for every component is $\frac{2\mu}{3}$. Such a diagram has grade $\frac{\mu}{3} + \mu$. Thus Φ evaluated on a link L with μ components is of order at least $h^{\mu+\frac{\mu}{3}}$. In other words

$$\phi_i(L) = 0 \quad \text{if } 3i < \mu, \tag{29}$$

proving Theorem 2.

□

4 The weight system of the invariants $\{\lambda_n\}$

In this section we shall show that the manifold weight system (or 'corresponding linear form' [10]) of λ_n is evaluated by 'substituting' sl_2 into certain trivalent graphs. A corollary of this result is that the invariants $\{\lambda_n\}$ are of order $3n$ exactly.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space spanned by homeomorphism classes of integral homology three-spheres. Consider an algebraically split, ± 1-framed link embedded in some $\mathbf{Z}$HS M. Define

$$\delta(L) = \sum_{L' \subseteq L} (-1)^{\#L'} L'. \tag{30}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{F}_n$ the subspace of $\mathcal{M}$ generated by vectors of the form $M_{\delta(L)}$ where $\#L = n$. This provides a filtration on $\mathcal{M}$. In this notation the condition that an invariant I be of finite-type of order $\leq n$ is that $I(\mathcal{F}_{n+1}) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{D}_n$ be the $\mathbf{Q}$-vector space spanned by trivalent vertex-oriented graphs with $2n$ vertices, modulo the usual AS and IHX relations [9]. In [19] a surjective linear mapping $O^*_{3n} : \mathcal{D}_n \to \mathcal{F}_{3n}/\mathcal{F}_{3n+1}$ was constructed (it was shown in [10] that this mapping is an isomorphism). Thus invariants I of order $3n$ give rise to well-defined manifold weight systems via

$$I \circ O^*_{3n} : \mathcal{D}_n \to \mathbf{Q}. \tag{31}$$

Here we shall consider the manifold weight systems arising from the Ohtsuki invariants, given by

$$\Lambda_n = \lambda_n \circ O^*_{3n}. \tag{32}$$

Let us first recall the map O^*_{3n}. Let $G \in \mathcal{D}_n$ be a trivalent vertex-oriented graph with $2n$ vertices and $3n$ edges. Present G as a graph diagram in the plane (*i.e.* embedded with double points labelled with crossing information, and cyclic orientation at trivalent vertices, assumed counter-clockwise). We construct a formal sum of $3n$-component links from this ([10], [19]). First, replace every vertex with a difference of three-component links as in Fig. 1, with each of the link components being associated to a different incoming vertex edge.

Then we connect sum pairs of link components whenever their corresponding vertex edges connect two trivalent vertices, with the joining pairs of arcs lying along the connecting edge. From a graph with $2n$ vertices,




Figure 1: The Borromean difference

this yields a sum of 2^{2n} links, each with $3n$-components, taken to be zero-framed. Call this sum $\beta(G)$. Now alter the framing of each component to $+1$, and call this formal sum of links $\tilde{\beta}(G)$. It is a simple exercise to show that $\delta(\tilde{\beta}(G)) = (-1)^n \tilde{\beta}(G)$. The vector $O^*_{3n}(G)$ is defined as the image of $S^3_{\tilde{\beta}(G)}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{3n}/\mathcal{F}_{3n+1}$.

The evaluation we require is

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n(G) &= \lambda_n(S^3_{\tilde{\beta}(G)}) \\ &= \lambda_n(S^3_{\delta((-1)^n \tilde{\beta}(G))}). \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

From eqn. (19), noting that all framings are 1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n(G) &= (-1)^n \phi_n((-1)^n \tilde{\beta}(G)) \\ &= (-2)^{3n} \frac{\Phi_{4n}(\tilde{\beta}(G))}{(4n)!} \\ &= (-1)^n Grad_{4n}(\Gamma(P(\hat{Z}'(\beta(G))))), \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where $Grad_{4n}$ means take the coefficient of h^{4n}, and we have the used the equality (28). In the last equation above, we have used the fact that here $\Phi_i(\tilde{\beta}(G)) = 0$ for $i < 4n$ by Theorem 2. Thus we can identify Φ_{4n} with the coefficient of h^{4n} (this is not the case in general, due to the different choices of expansion parameters).

An analysis of the series $\hat{Z}(\beta(G))$ has been performed in [10]. Following this reference, we will say that a chord diagram has *i-filter* $\geq n$ if it is in the subspace spanned by chord diagrams with at least n trivalent vertices on their associated graphs. We will also use a linear mapping $\eta : \mathcal{D}_n \to \mathcal{A}(\coprod (S^1)^{3n})_{4n}$ defined simply by breaking each edge of some graph $G \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and inserting a Wilson loop, as in Fig. 2.

Lemma 10 ([10], eqn. (5.4))

$$\hat{Z}(\beta(G)) = \eta(G) + (\textit{elements of i-filter} > 2n). \tag{35}$$




Figure 2: Inserting a Wilson loop

The function $\hat{Z}'$ is obtained from $\hat{Z}$ by connect-summing a ν^{-1} into each component. Now, ν has the form $1 +$ (elements of grade≥ 1), and hence its inverse will take the same form. Thus, at order $4n$, Lemma 10 holds true if we replace $\hat{Z}$ by $\hat{Z}'$. Similarily, choosing zero-framing for each component does not affect the result (10) at grade $4n$ either, as this just multiplies $\hat{Z}'$ by some exponential of the chord diagram with one isolated chord.

Finally, note that at order $4n$, elements of i-filter$> 2n$ are in the kernel of the projector P, as they have less than $6n$ legs (the diagram requires $6n$ legs to put two legs on every Wilson loop).

Thus,

$$\Lambda_n(G) = (-1)^n \Gamma(\eta(G)). \tag{36}$$

Given that Γ is defined from sl_2 with metric coming from the trace in the fundamental representation, $\Gamma(\eta(G))$ is just equivalent to substituting the Lie algebra into the graph G, in the usual fashion.

Finally, noting that the Lie algebraic weight systems are non-trivial, we can see from Theorem 3 and equation (36) that the following is true:

Theorem 11 *λ_n is of finite type of order exactly $3n$.*

At this point it is worth comparing the weight system we have calculated for the $\{\lambda_n\}$ with what we would expect if Conjecture (7.3) of [9] were correct. Denote by $\hat{\Omega}$ the universal invariant of [9]. A specific case of their conjecture is

Conjecture 12 ([9]) *Let M be an integral homology three sphere. Then*

$$1 + \sum_{m=1} \lambda_m (e^h - 1)^m = \Gamma(\hat{\Omega}(M)). \tag{37}$$

The calculation of the weight system for the universal invariant appears in [10] (Lemma 5.2):

Lemma 13 ([10]) *For graphs $G \in \mathcal{D}_n$,*

$$\Omega_n(S^3_{\tilde{\beta}(G)}) = (-1)^n G. \tag{38}$$

Thus our result, eqn. (36), represents significant support for the conjecture in the case sl_2. What one can ask further, by analogy with the case for knots, is the question: Is $Grad_n(1 + \sum_{m=0} \lambda_m (e^h - 1)^m)$ canonical?

We finish by noting that with the understanding of the $\{\phi_i\}$ presented in this work, the identification of the coefficient of the theta-curve in Ω_1 with Casson's invariant is straightforward, requiring only the identification of the various contributing summands with known quantities (see [20] for a more technical approach).

Acknowledgements.

AK acknowledges the support of an Australian Postgraduate Research Award, an International Collaborative Research Scholarship and support from the School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Melbourne. He also thanks the string theory group at QMW for their hospitality during the course of this work. BS was supported by a UK EPSRC Advanced Fellowship.

Note Added: After circulating this preprint, we were informed by T. Ohtsuki that he has recently found a proof of Conjecture (12) in the setting of rational homology three-spheres.

References

[1] X.-S. Lin, *Finite type invariants of integral homology 3-spheres: A Survey*, preprint q-alg/9510003.

[2] T. Ohtsuki, *Finite type invariants of integral homology 3-spheres*, Jour. of Knot Theory Rami. **5** (1996) 101-115.

[3] S.Garoufalidis, *On finite-type three-manifolds I,* M.I.T. preprint 1995, to appear in Jour. of Knot Theory Rami..

[4] T. Ohtsuki, *A polynomial invariant of integral homology 3-spheres,* Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **117** (1995).

[5] X.-S. Lin and Z. Wang, *On Ohtsuki's invariants of integral homology 3-spheres I*, preprint q-alg/9509009.

[6] N. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev, *Invariants of* 3*-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **103**, 547–597 (1991).

[7] H. Murakami, *Quantum SU(2)-invariants dominate Casson's SU(2)-invariant,* Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **115** (1994).

[8] M. Kontsevich, *Vassiliev's knot invariants*, Advances in Soviet Mathematics, **16**, 137–150 (1993).

[9] T. Q. T. Le, J. Murakami and T. Ohtsuki, *On a universal invariant of 3-manifolds*, preprint q-alg/9512002.

[10] T. Q. T. Le, *An invariant of integral homology 3-spheres which is universal for all finite type invariants*, preprint, January 1996 (available from http://poincare.math.buffalo.edu/∼letu/).

[11] C.Kassel and V.Turaev, *Chord diagram invariants of tangles and graphs*, Strasbourg preprint June 1995.

[12] T. Q. T. Le and J. Murakami, *Representations of the category of tangles by Kontsevich's iterated integral*, Commun. Math. Phys., **168**, 535–562 (1995).

[13] L. Rozansky, *The trivial connection contribution to Witten's invariant and finite type invariants of rational homology spheres*, preprint q-alg/9503011.

[14] L. Rozansky, *Witten's invariants of rational homology spheres at prime values of K and trivial connection contribution*, preprint q-alg/9504015.

[15] J. Hoste, *A formula for Casson's invariant*, Tran. AMS, **297** (1986).

[16] D. Bar-Natan, *On the Vassiliev knot invariants*, Topology, **34**, 423–472 (1995).

[17] T.Q.T.Le and J.Murakami, *Parallel version of the universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant*, preprint 1994 (available from http://poincare.math.buffalo.edu/∼letu/).

[18] T.Q.T. Le and J.Murakami, *Kontsevich integral for HOMFLY polynomials and relations between multiple zeta values,* Top. Appl., **62**, 193–206 (1995).

[19] S. Garoufalidis and T. Ohtsuki, *On finite-type 3-manifold invariants III: manifold weight systems*, preprint August 1995 (available from http://jacobi.math.brown.edu/∼stavrosg/).

[20] T.Q.T.Le, H.Murakami, J.Murakami and T.Ohtsuki, *A three-manifold invariant via the Kontsevich integral*, preprint, MPI/95-62.