arXiv:solv-int/9604002v1 14 Apr 1996

Analytic Structure of the Landau-Ginzburg Equation in $2+1$ Dimensions

Daniel Stubbs*
Department of Applied Mathematics
University of Western Ontario
London, ON
N6A - 5B7

December 26, 2013

Abstract

In this paper, two methods are employed to investigate for which values of the parameters, if any, the two-dimensional real Landau-Ginzburg equation possesses the Painlevé property. For an ordinary differential equation to have the Painlevé property, all of its solutions must be meromorphic but for partial differential equations there are two inequivalent definitions, one a direct investigation of a Laurent series expansion in several complex variables and the other indirect and relying on the symmetry group of the partial differential equation. We check both methods for the Landau-Ginzburg equation in $2+1$ variables, and each one yields that this equation does not possess the Painlevé property for any values of the parameters.

The Landau-Ginzburg equation arises in a wide variety of contexts in physics but the case for which we are interested is that of the order parameter of a binary fluid,

$$\phi = \frac{c_1 - c_2}{c_1 + c_2}$$

where c_i represents that concentration of the i-th component of the fluid mixture. With the thermodynamic potential

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\left(-K|\nabla\phi|^2 + a(T - T_c)\phi^2 + \frac{b}{2}\phi^4\right)$$

*E-mail address: ds@pineapple.apmaths.uwo.ca

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} &= \frac{\delta L}{\delta\phi} \\ &= -K\nabla^2\phi + a(T-T_c)\phi + b\phi^3\end{aligned}$$

where $K > 0$ is the surface tension between the two components of the fluid, $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ are system parameters and T is the temperature of the fluid. Also, T_c is a critical temperature at which the fluid undergoes a first-order phase transition.

There are two different definitions of the Painlevé property for a partial differential equation. The first definition is indirect, and relies upon a knowledge of the symmetry group of the partial differential equation: if G is the group of continuous symmetries of a PDE, then this PDE has the Painlevé property if and only if every reduction of the PDE to an ODE by means of the group G yields an ODE which possesses the Painlevé property. It is more fully described in [1]. The second definition, formulated in [2] and discussed in greater detail in [6], is more direct: we expand the solution as a generalized Laurent series

$$\phi = \Phi^{-\alpha}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\phi_j\Phi^j$$

and then seek values of α and the ϕ_j such that this expansion is well-defined. In general, one has to place restrictions on the parameter values in order for such an expansion to exist. In each of these competing definitions, we are looking for an analytic crietria that will allow us to distinguish those equations whose solutions are, in some sense, "regular" and "well-behaved". The Painlevé property seeks to make this vague concept of regularity precise by equating it with the property of a function being single-valued over a domain in complex n-space (where n is the number of independent variables), that is, of living on a one-sheeted Riemann surface.

The direct method, particularly when the PDE has more than two independent variables, is often rather computationally intensive. In the case of the complex Landau-Ginzburg equation in one spatial variable, it was shown in [3] that only when the parameters yield the nonlinear Schrödinger equation does the Landau-Ginzburg equation have the Painlevé property. For two spatial dimensions, when we make our substitution we find that $\alpha = 1$ and the resonance analysis reveals that there should be indeterminacies at $j = -1$ (corresponding to the indeterminacy of Φ itself) and at $j = 4$. Working through the recursion relations yields

$$\Phi^{-3} \qquad \phi_0^2 = \frac{2K}{b}\left(\Phi_x^2 + \Phi_y^2\right)$$

$$\Phi^{-2} \qquad 3b\phi_0^2\phi_1 = -\phi_0\Phi_t - K\left(2\Phi_x\frac{\partial\phi_0}{\partial x} + 2\Phi_y\frac{\partial\phi_0}{\partial y} + \phi_0\nabla^2\Phi\right)$$

$$\Phi^{-1} \qquad 3b\phi_0^2\phi_2 = \frac{\partial\phi_0}{\partial t} - K\nabla^2\phi_0 - 3b\phi_0\phi_1^2 - a(T-T_c)\phi_0$$

$$\Phi^0 \qquad 2b\phi_0^2\phi_3 = \frac{\partial\phi_1}{\partial t} + \phi_2\Phi_t + K\left(\nabla^2\phi_1 + \phi_2\nabla^2\Phi + 2\left[\frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial x}\Phi_x + \frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial y}\Phi_y\right]\right) - b(\phi_1^3 + 6\phi_0\phi_1\phi_2) - a(T-T_c)\phi_1$$

$$\Phi^1 \qquad 0\cdot\phi_4 = \frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial t} + 2\phi_3\Phi_t + K\left(\nabla^2\phi_2 + 2\phi_3\nabla^2\Phi + 4\left[\frac{\partial\phi_3}{\partial x}\Phi_x + \frac{\partial\phi_3}{\partial y}\Phi_y\right]\right) - 3b(\phi_2^2\phi_0 + \phi_1^2\phi_2 + 2\phi_0\phi_1\phi_3) - a(T-T_c)\phi_2.$$

We should now use the first four equations of this set to successively eliminate ϕ_0, ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 from the final equation. This equation will then contain only Φ and its various derivatives with respect to x, y and t. Since $\Phi(x,y,t)$ is arbitrary, the coefficient of every independent term in that equation must vanish. This will give restrictions on the values of the parameters for which the generalized Laurent series is a valid expansion. In order to simplify these calculations, we often exploit a fundamental result from the theory of functions of several complex variables, specifically that none of the derivatives of $\Phi(x,y,t)$ vanish on the singular hypersurface $\Phi(x,y,t)=0$. Hence we can use the implicit function theorem to eliminate one of the independent variables, say t, and re-write Φ as $t-\Psi(x,y)$. The coefficients ϕ_j then become functions only of x and y, and our new set of recursion formulæ become

$$\Phi^{-3} \qquad \phi_0^2 = \frac{2K}{b}\left(\Psi_x^2 + \Psi_y^2\right)$$

$$\Phi^{-2} \qquad 3b\phi_0^2\phi_1 = -\phi_0 + K\left(2\Psi_x\frac{\partial\phi_0}{\partial x} + 2\Psi_y\frac{\partial\phi_0}{\partial y} + \phi_0\nabla^2\Psi\right)$$

$$\Phi^{-1} \qquad 3b\phi_0^2\phi_2 = -K\nabla^2\phi_0 - 3b\phi_0\phi_1^2 - a(T-T_c)\phi_0$$

$$\Phi^0 \qquad 2b\phi_0^2\phi_3 = \phi_2 + K\left(\nabla^2\phi_1 - \phi_2\nabla^2\Psi - 2\left[\frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial x}\Psi_x + \frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial y}\Psi_y\right]\right) - b(\phi_1^3 + 6\phi_0\phi_1\phi_2) - a(T-T_c)\phi_1$$

$$\Phi^1 \qquad 0\cdot\phi_4 = 2\phi_3 + K\left(\nabla^2\phi_2 - 2\phi_3\nabla^2\Psi - 4\left[\frac{\partial\phi_3}{\partial x}\Psi_x + \frac{\partial\phi_3}{\partial y}\Psi_y\right]\right) - 3b(\phi_2^2\phi_0 + \phi_1^2\phi_2 + 2\phi_0\phi_1\phi_3) - a(T-T_c)\phi_2.$$

Successively solving these equations for the $\phi_i(x,y)$ yields

$$\phi_0 = \pm\sqrt{\frac{2K}{b}}\sqrt{\Psi_x^2+\Psi_y^2}$$

$$\phi_1 = \pm\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{K}{2b}}\left(\Psi_x^2+\Psi_y^2\right)^{-1/2}\left[\nabla^2\Psi + 2\left(\Psi_x\frac{\Psi_x\Psi_{xx}+\Psi_y\Psi_{yy}}{\Psi_x^2+\Psi_y^2} + \Psi_y\frac{\Psi_x\Psi_{xy}+\Psi_y\Psi_{yy}}{\Psi_x^2+\Psi_y^2}\right)\right]$$

$$\phi_2 = \mp\frac{a(T-T_c)}{3\sqrt{2bK}} \mp \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{K}{2b}}\left(\Psi_x^2+\Psi_y^2\right)^{-1}\left\{\nabla^2\sqrt{\Psi_x^2+\Psi_y^2} + \frac{1}{6}\left(\Psi_x^2+\Psi_y^2\right)^{-1/2}\times\right.$$

$$\left[\nabla^2\Psi + 2\left(\Psi_x\frac{\Psi_x\Psi_{xx}+\Psi_y\Psi_{yy}}{\Psi_x^2+\Psi_y^2} + \Psi_y\frac{\Psi_x\Psi_{xy}+\Psi_y\Psi_{yy}}{\Psi_x^2+\Psi_y^2}\right)\right]^2\Bigg\}$$

The formulæ obtained for ϕ_3 and ϕ_4 are extremely complex and not particularly illuminating; the final result is that there are *no* parameter values such that the expression for ϕ_4 in terms of $\Psi(x,y)$ and its derivatives vanishes identically. Thus the original expansion lacks the required two arbitrary functions, since only $\Phi(x,y,t)$ is arbitrary but the general solution to a second-order PDE should possess two arbitrary functions. Hence the Landau-Ginzburg equation fails to have the Painlevé property for PDEs as defined by the direct method of [2].

A basis of vector fields for the Lie algebra of the symmetry group of the two-dimensional Landau-Ginzburg equation can be calculated by means of a variety of symbolic algebra packages. Such a calculation yields the following list of generators:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v}_0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\\
\mathbf{v}_i &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad i=1,2\\
\mathbf{L} &= x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\\
\mathbf{D} &= x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \phi\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \quad a=0
\end{aligned}$$

This leads to the group $G = (E(2)\oplus\{\mathbf{v}_0\})\vdash\{\mathbf{D}\}$, where $E(2)$ is the group of Euclidean motions in the plane. We must now determine what ordinary differential equations arise from using the above vector fields to reduce the Landau-Ginzburg PDE. In order to perform this reduction we first determine all subgroups of the complete symmetry group G whose generic orbits have codimension one. From this knowledge we can then calculate the so-called symmetry variables $\eta(x,y,t)$ and $\rho(x,y,t)$, and then make the following transformation

$$\phi = \rho(x,y,t)\tilde{\phi}(\eta(x,y,t))$$

to obtain a first or second order ordinary differential equation. A more comprehensive account of this symmetry reduction procedure can be found in [4]. This procedure leads to the following table:

$\mathbf{v}_0$	$\eta = t$	$\rho = 1$	$a\neq 0$
$\mathbf{v}_i$	$\eta = x$	$\rho = 1$	$a\neq 0$
$\mathbf{v}_0\otimes\mathbf{v}_i$	$\eta = v(x+vt)$	$\rho = 1$	$a\neq 0$
$\mathbf{L}$	$\eta = r$	$\rho = 1$	$a\neq 0$
$\mathbf{L}$	$\eta = \theta$	$\rho = 1/r$	$a=0$
$\mathbf{L}$	$\eta = -2B/(1+B^2)[\theta + B\log r]$	$\rho = 2B/(r\sqrt{1+B^2})$	$a=0$
$\mathbf{D}$	$\eta = t/(2x^2)$	$\rho = 1/x$	$a=0$

Making the requisite transformations yields the following differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\tilde{\phi}}{d\eta} - a(T-T_c)\tilde{\phi} - b\tilde{\phi}^3 &= 0 \\
K\frac{d^2\tilde{\phi}}{d\eta^2} - a(T-T_c)\tilde{\phi} - b\tilde{\phi}^3 &= 0 \\
K\frac{d^2\tilde{\phi}}{d\eta^2} + \frac{d\tilde{\phi}}{d\eta} - \frac{1}{v^2}\left(a(T-T_c)\tilde{\phi} + b\tilde{\phi}^3\right) &= 0 \\
\frac{d^2\tilde{\phi}}{d\eta^2} + \frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d\tilde{\phi}}{d\eta} - \frac{a(T-T_c)}{K}\tilde{\phi} - \frac{b}{K}\tilde{\phi}^3 &= 0 \\
\frac{d^2\tilde{\phi}}{d\eta^2} + \tilde{\phi} - \frac{b}{K}\tilde{\phi}^3 &= 0 \\
\frac{d^2\tilde{\phi}}{d\eta^2} + \frac{d\tilde{\phi}}{d\eta} + \frac{B^2+1}{4B^2}\tilde{\phi} - \frac{b}{K}\tilde{\phi}^3 &= 0 \\
\eta^2\frac{d^2\tilde{\phi}}{d\eta^2} + \left(5\eta^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{d\tilde{\phi}}{d\eta} + 2\tilde{\phi} - \frac{b}{K}\tilde{\phi}^3 &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

The first equation always possess the Painlevé property by virtue of a theorem of Fuchs that first-order ODEs which are analytic in both the dependent and independent variables only have poles of finite order as their movable singularities. The second and fifth equations in the list also possess the Painlevé property, but the condition for the third equation to be Painlevé is that

$$a = -\frac{2v^2}{9K(T-T_c)}$$

and so the equation cannot be Painlevé in a manner independent of the symmetry reduction. The fourth and seventh never have the property because of the presence of the independent variable in the coefficients and the sixth is Painlevé only when $B = \pm 3i$. Hence, when $a = 0$ or when $a \neq 0$, not all of the ordinary differential equations possess the Painlevé property and so, by the indirect, group-theoretic criterion, the two-dimensional Landau-Ginzburg equation does not possess the Painlevé property for any values of the parameters.

Despite the failure of the Landau-Ginzburg equation to possess the Painlevé property in either the direct or indirect sense, we are still in a position to learn valuable information about the analytic structure of the Landau-Ginzburg equation. The seven ordinary differential equations listed above, and particularly the first four which are the only ones relevant for the case of $a \neq 0$, can all be subjected to further investigation in order to understand the precise manner in which they fail to be single-valued, and also to see if the multi-sheeted character of their Riemann surfaces eventually leads to the formation of a natural boundary in the complex plane beyond which solutions cannot be analytically continued. For instance, it is shown in [5] that for

the case of the Henon-Heiles system with non-integrable parameter values, the singularites arrange themselves into self-similar spirals with a fractal-like structure and so cause the formation of a natural boundary. One possible area of further investigation could then be to compare the numerical results obtained from integrating the above ODEs in the complex plane with the results obtained from the integration of the Landau-Ginzburg equation itself, using the spectral method discussed below. Another would be consist in allowing the system parameters, such as a, b and K, to depend on either the spatial or temporal variables x, y and t.

References

[1] McLeod J and Olver P *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **14**, 488 (1983)

[2] Weiss J, Tabor M and Carnevale G *J. Math. Phys.* **24**, 522 (1983)

[3] Keefe, L *Phys. Fluids* **29**, 3135 (1986)

[4] Olver, P *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations.* Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1986

[5] Chang Y, Tabor M and Weiss J *J. Math. Phys.* **23**, 531 (1982)

[6] Tabor, M *Chaos and Integrability in Nonlinear Dynamical Systems: An Introduction* New York: Wiley, 1989