Nonlinear Spectral Characterization of Discrete Data

M. BOITI*, J. LEON, F. PEMPINELLI*
Physique Mathématique et Théorique, CNRS, F-34095 MONTPELLIER
* Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Lecce and Sezione INFN, I-73100 LECCE.

The *explicit analytical expression* of the Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT) of a finite set of data is provided. Then a simple *recursion relation* for the NFT is constructed as a *function* of the spectral parameter. These tools provide a *complete characterization* of the nonlinear coherent structures (solitons, breathers, ...) present in numerical or experimental data representing the solution, at a given value of time, of a nonlinear evolution equation (e.g. of the nonlinear Schrödinger family).

03.40.Kf, 63.20.Pw

arXiv:solv-int/9609002v1 9 Sep 1996

Introduction. The inverse scattering (or spectral) transform (IST) is a method for constructing and solving nonlinear evolution equations (NEE) [1]. One of those, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) [2], has become a paradigm as being the model for a wide variety of physical situations. Actually the relevant equation generally turns out to be *nonintegrable*, e.g. NLS with forcing and damping, which renders necessary a numerical analysis [3]. Then, a fundamental question is the characterization of the solution, as a standard Fourier analysis will not individualize the intrinsically nonlinear structures (as solitons). The same question is even more essential when dealing with experimental data.

Another fundamental aspect is the question of the *discretization of an integrable continuous model*, which rises many interesting questions such as numerical induced chaos [4], instabilities in integrable systems [5] or round-off error growth [6].

Moreover, localized excitations (solitons) in nonlinear chains has been a subject of intense research for their obvious physical interest [7] [8] [9]. It has been proved for instance that the discreteness generically helps energy localization [10] [11], that it is a mechanism controlling wave collapse [12], and also that it allows for the existence of moving localized modes [13] or standing ones [14].

In all these studies, the existence and properties of such localized excitations result merely from a direct *observation* of the numerical solution of the model. In short a soliton is recognized from its shape, its velocity from the velocity of the point of maximum amplitude, the frequency of a breather is obtained from its Fourier spectrum, etc...

However there exists a tool, the *nonlinear Fourier transform* (NFT), which allows to characterize completely the nonlinear modes. It is based on IST and has been developed numerically either for the sine-Gordon family [15] to modelize fluxon dynamics in Josephson junctions, or for the Korteveg-de Vries model [16] to modelize water waves. In that case, the method has been successfully applied to experimental data [17], for instance to prove that solitons *generically* occur in wave trains. The drawback however is on the one side the complexity of the method, and on the other side the lack of analytical formula for the NFT.

This letter is intended to provide two results, essential for the *nonlinear analysis* of discrete data. The first one is an *analytical explicit formula* (11) for the NFT of a discrete set of data. More precisely, taking advantage of the finiteness of numerical or experimental data, we obtain the explicit expression of the Jost solutions, and hence of the complete spectrum characterization, corresponding to a *discrete finite potential*. The second one is a quite simple recursion relation (17) for a very straightforward numerical construction of the spectrum.

Everything is done in the context of the Ablowitz-Ladik spectral problem [18] (discrete version of the Zakharov-Shabat spectral problem), which means that the nonlinear Fourier analysis applies here for instance to the NLS family, that is

$$i\partial_t q(n) = q(n+1) + q(n-1) - 2q(n) \pm |q(n)|^2[q(n+1) + q(n-1)] + a(n) \qquad (1)$$

where $a(n)$ is any perturbation term. The method works without change for all nonintegrable deformations of the others integrable NEE related to the Ablowitz-Ladik spectral problem, like mKdV, Toda, self-dual network, KdV, sine-Gordon [19], or discrete Stimulated Raman Scattering [20]. Last, the tool can be successfully applied to experimental data, as soon as the measurements are assumed to represent a train of envelope wave pulses which can be considered to vanish outside a finite interval. Indeed in such a case the model equation for the envelope is likely to obey a member of the NLS family.

Statement of the problem. Given a finite discrete set of $2(L+1)$ complex valued data $q(n), r(n)$ having significant values on $n = 0, \ldots, L$ and vanishing outside, the problem is to determine its nonlinear Fourier spectrum (the scalar case will enter as a reduction, e.g. $r = \mp\bar{q}$ to recover (1)). This is done by using these data as the *potential* of the Ablowitz-Ladik scattering (or spectral) problem:

$$\mu_{11}(n+1) - \mu_{11}(n) = q(n+1)\mu_{21}(n+1),$$

$$\mu_{21}(n+1) - k^{-1}\mu_{21}(n) = r(n+1)\mu_{11}(n+1),$$
$$\mu_{12}(n+1) - k\mu_{12}(n) = q(n+1)\mu_{22}(n+1),$$
$$\mu_{22}(n+1) - \mu_{22}(n) = r(n+1)\mu_{12}(n+1), \qquad (2)$$

for $n = -1, \ldots, L-1$. A dependence on the *spectral parameter* k is understood everywhere for the matrix valued function $\mu(k,n)$ with elements μ_{ij}.

The Jost solutions $\mu^{\pm}$ are then defined by the following discrete integral equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu^-_{11}(n) \\ \mu^-_{21}(n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\sum_{i=n+1}^{L} q(i)\mu^-_{21}(i) \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n} k^{i-n} r(i)\mu^-_{11}(i) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu^+_{11}(n) \\ \mu^+_{21}(n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=n+1}^{L} q(i)\mu^+_{21}(i) \\ \sum_{i=n+1}^{L} k^{i-n} r(i)\mu^+_{11}(i) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3b)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu^-_{12}(n) \\ \mu^-_{22}(n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=n+1}^{L} k^{n-i} q(i)\mu^-_{22}(i) \\ \sum_{i=n+1}^{L} r(i)\mu^-_{12}(i) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3c)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu^+_{12}(n) \\ \mu^+_{22}(n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^{n} k^{n-i} q(i)\mu^+_{22}(i) \\ -\sum_{i=n+1}^{L} r(i)\mu^+_{12}(i) \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (3d)$$

Nonlinear Fourier spectrum. The spectral transform of $\{q, r\}$ is then completely determined by the Jost solutions μ^+ and μ^- as follows. An essential function is the *reflection coefficient* $\alpha^{\pm}(k)$ defined as

$$\alpha^- = \sum_0^L k^i r(i)\mu^-_{11}(i), \quad \alpha^+ = \sum_0^L k^{-i} q(i)\mu^+_{22}(i). \qquad (4)$$

Due to the finiteness of the support of the potential $\{q, r\}$, the Jost eigenfunction, and hence $\alpha^{\pm}(k)$, can be defined in the whole complex k-plane. Then the knowledge of the reflection coefficient in the complex plane allows to determine completely the nonlinear Fourier spectrum, which consists of a continuous part (*radiation*) related to the values of $\alpha^{\pm}$ on the unit circle $|k| = 1$, and of a discrete part (*solitons*) constituted by the poles k_j^- of α^- in $|k| > 1$ and k_j^+ of α^+ in $|k| < 1$. It is our purpose here to give an explicit formula for $\alpha^{\pm}$ in terms of the complex variable k.

Explicit solution. Introducing, for (k, n) the new functions

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu^{\pm}_{11} \\ \nu^{\pm}_{21} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu^{\pm}_{11} \\ k^n \mu^{\pm}_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu^{\pm}_{12} \\ \nu^{\pm}_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k^{-n}\mu^{\pm}_{12} \\ \mu^{\pm}_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (5)$$

the integral equations (3) become explicitly solvable in terms of the new matrix $\nu(k, n)$. This solution can be written in (k, n)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu^-_{11} \\ \nu^-_{21} \end{pmatrix} = X \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu^+_{11} \\ \nu^+_{21} \end{pmatrix} = X \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (6)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu^-_{12} \\ \nu^-_{22} \end{pmatrix} = X \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu^+_{12} \\ \nu^+_{22} \end{pmatrix} = X \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^+ \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (7)$$

The matrix $X(n)$ reads

$$X(n) = \prod_{i=n+1}^{L} (1 - A_i), \quad A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k^{-i} q(i) \\ k^i r(i) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad (8)$$

with $X(L) = 1$, or else

$$X(n) = 1 - \sum_{\text{odd } l=1}^{L-n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_l \\ R_l & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\text{even } l=2}^{L-n} \begin{pmatrix} U_l & 0 \\ 0 & V_l \end{pmatrix} \qquad (9)$$

with the following definitions of the functions of the two variables (l, n) :

$$Q_l = \sum k^{-j_1} q(j_1) k^{j_2} r(j_2) \ldots k^{-j_l} q(j_l),$$
$$R_l = \sum k^{j_1} r(j_1) k^{-j_2} q(j_2) \ldots k^{j_l} r(j_l)$$
$$U_l = \sum k^{-j_1} q(j_1) k^{j_2} r(j_2) \ldots k^{j_l} r(j_l),$$
$$V_l = \sum k^{j_1} r(j_1) k^{-j_2} q(j_2) \ldots k^{-j_l} q(j_l). \qquad (10)$$

The sums run on all possible different l indices j_i, ordered for each value of (l, n) as $n + 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_l \le L$.

Then we may compute from $X(n)$ the reflection coefficients $\alpha^{\pm}(k)$ which from their definition (4) read

$$\alpha^-(k) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{L} k^i r(i) X_{11}(i)}{1 - \sum_{i=0}^{L} k^i r(i) X_{12}(i)},$$
$$\alpha^+(k) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{L} k^{-i} q(i) X_{22}(i)}{1 - \sum_{i=0}^{L} k^{-i} q(i) X_{21}(i)}. \qquad (11)$$

Note: the reduction $r(n) = \pm\bar{q}(n)$ leading e.g. to the NEE (1), has the counterpart [20] $\alpha^+(1/k) = \pm\overline{\alpha^-}(\bar{k})$. These two relations are indeed compatible with (11).

Data reconstruction. The data $\{r, q\}$ can be reconstructed from their spectral transform $\{\alpha^+, \alpha^-\}$ because the solutions $\mu^{\pm}(k, n)$ of (3) also solve [20]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu^{\pm}_{11}(k) \\ \mu^{\pm}_{21}(k) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{\zeta^{-n} d\zeta \alpha^-(\zeta)}{\zeta - (1 \mp 0)k} \frac{k}{\zeta} \begin{pmatrix} \mu^-_{12}(\zeta) \\ \mu^-_{22}(\zeta) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+ \sum_j (k_j^-)^{-n} \underset{k_j^-}{\mathrm{Res}}\{\alpha^-\} \frac{k}{k_j^-} \frac{1}{k_j^- - k} \begin{pmatrix} \mu^-_{12}(k_j^-) \\ \mu^-_{22}(k_j^-) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (12a)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu^{\pm}_{12}(k) \\ \mu^{\pm}_{22}(k) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{\zeta^{n} d\zeta \alpha^+(\zeta)}{\zeta - (1 \mp 0)k} \begin{pmatrix} \mu^+_{11}(\zeta) \\ \mu^+_{21}(\zeta) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$- \sum_j (k_j^+)^{n} \underset{k_j^+}{\mathrm{Res}}\{\alpha^+\} \frac{1}{k_j^+ - k} \begin{pmatrix} \mu^+_{11}(k_j^+) \\ \mu^+_{21}(k_j^+) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (12b)$$

where the integral on ζ runs on the unit circle.

The system (2) at order zero in k then gives

$$q(n+1) = -\mu_{12}^{-}(n)^{(-1)}, \quad r(n+1) = -\mu_{21}^{+}(n)^{(1)}, \quad (13)$$

where $\mu_{12}^{-}(n)^{(-1)}$ is the coefficient of k^{-1} in the Laurent expansion for $k \to \infty$ of $\mu_{12}^{-}(k,n)$, and $\mu_{21}^{+}(n)^{(1)}$ the coefficient of k in the Taylor expansion for $k \to 0$ of $\mu_{21}^{+}(k,n)$.

These formulae are useful to prove the *consistency* of the method, that is that the reconstructed data are the same as the starting ones (in particular that they stay in the class of finite support). We do not develop this lengthy proof here but rather make the following remark: the above formulae allows one to *filter* the data just by setting to zero any part of the spectrum. For instance, if one needs information on the underlying nonlinear coherent structures, one may set to zero the contribution $\alpha^{\pm}(\zeta),\ |\zeta| = 1$ to the radiation, and then solve (12). Of course, doing this the reconstructed data do not stay in the class of *finite support potentials*, and this procedure will be meaningful for potentials going to zero fast enough at both ends.

Recursion relation. From its very definition (9), the matrix $X(n)$ can actually be considered as a function also of the *variable* L (remember that L is the dimension of the data support). We shall then denote it by $X^L(n)$ and for instance $X^m(n)$ has to be understood as obtained from the data of $\{q(n), r(n)\}$ for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, and zero for $n \notin [0, m]$. Then our purpose here is to derive a recursion relation for the matrix elements of $X^m(n)$, in order to obtain a recursion relation for the corresponding spectral data $\alpha_m^{\pm}(k)$, spectral transform of $\{q(n), r(n)\}$ for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, m$.

The spectral transform (11) is first conveniently rewritten as

$$\alpha_m^{-} = \frac{S_m}{1 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} p_{j+1} S_j}, \quad \alpha_m^{+} = \frac{P_m}{1 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} s_{j+1} P_j}, \quad (14)$$

with the following definitions

$$S(m) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} s(n) X_{11}^m(n), \; P(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} p(n) X_{22}^m(n),$$
$$p(k,n) = k^{-n} q(n), \; s(k,n) = k^n r(n). \quad (15)$$

Then only the diagonal elements of $X^m(n)$ have to be considered.

By a careful rewriting of the element $X_{11}^{m+1}(n)$ out of (9), and by using that obviously $X_{11}^n(n) = X_{11}^{n+1}(n) = 1$, we obtain

$$X_{11}^{m+1}(n) = X_{11}^m(n) + s(m+1) \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} p(j+1) X_{11}^j(n). \quad (16)$$

A similar recursion relation is immediately deduced for $S(m)$ defined above, and consequently for α_m^{-}. The same computation being made for $X_{22}^{m+1}(n)$ leads finally to

$$\alpha_0^{-} = r(0), \quad \alpha_{m+1}^{-} = \frac{s_{m+1} + \alpha_m^{-}}{1 + p_{m+1}\alpha_m^{-}},$$
$$\alpha_0^{+} = q(0), \quad \alpha_{m+1}^{+} = \frac{p_{m+1} + \alpha_m^{+}}{1 + s_{m+1}\alpha_m^{+}}. \quad (17)$$

If the data to be analyzed are given on $[0, L]$, the spectral transform $\alpha^{\pm}(k)$ is constructed from the above recursion relation by running m from 0 to L. To illustrate the preceding results we consider two examples.

1st Example: two-point data. In the case of two-point information ($L = 1$), by direct application of the above formula, we get

$$\alpha_1^{-} = \frac{r(0) + k r(1)}{1 + k^{-1} q(1) r(0)}, \quad \alpha_1^{+} = \frac{q(0) + k^{-1} q(1)}{1 + k q(0) r(1)}. \quad (18)$$

An obvious and natural consequence is that two point data *cannot* represent a pure soliton solution which would require that $\alpha^{\pm}$ vanish on the unit circle $|k| = 1$. The important information is that one can readily conclude about the presence of a soliton if $|q(1)r(0)| > 1$ (which ensures a pole of α^{-} in $|k| > 1$) or/and if $|q(0)r(1)| > 1$ (which ensures a pole of α^{+} in $|k| < 1$).

2nd Example: truncated soliton. We consider the one soliton solution (reduction $r = -\overline{q}$) centered on $m = n_0$ and cut to the left of $m = 0$ and to the right of $m = L$ defined by (η_0, θ_0 arbitrary constants and $b > 0$)

$$q(m) = \frac{e^{i\eta_0 m + \theta_0}}{\tau_m}, \qquad \tau_m = \frac{\cosh(bm - bn_0)}{\sinh b} \quad (19)$$

for $m = 0, 1, \cdots, L$ and $q(m) = 0$ for $m < 0$ and $m > L$. By using the recursion formula (17) the spectral transform can be explicitly computed giving ($\xi = k e^{-i\eta_0}$)

$$\alpha_L^{+} = -e^{i\theta_0} \xi^{-L} \frac{\xi^{L+2}\tau_L - \xi^{L+1}\tau_{L+1} - (\xi\tau_{-1} - \tau_0)}{\xi^{L+2} - (\xi\tau_{L+1} - \tau_L)(\xi\tau_{-1} - \tau_0)}. \quad (20)$$

A simple analysis for $L \gg n_0$ shows that α_L has a pole for $|k| < 1$ if and only if $n_0 > 0$. This means that the presence of a soliton in a wave train is detected with this method by analyzing only a *finite portion* of it. In the example considered, it is in fact enough to catch half of the soliton.

Conclusion. The method is quite simple to use and it is of wide application: the formulae (11) provide an explicit function of the complex variable k which allows to completely characterize the nonlinear Fourier spectrum of the data $\{r(n), q(n)\}$. In particular the roots of the denominator of α^{-} in the region $|k| > 1$, and those of α^{+} in $|k| < 1$, furnish the nonlinear coherent structures present in the data. For practical applications, the recursion relations (17) provide a quite efficient numerical

code to generate the nonlinear Fourier spectrum of any finite data. These relations possess a continuous counterpart which will be studied, together with details and applications, in a forthcoming paper.

Aknowledgements. MB and FP are grateful to the *Laboratoire de Physique Mathématique et Théorique* for hospitality and to the CNRS for support. This work is part of the contract *Dynamique nonlinéaire et chaines moléculaires - UM2*.

---

[1] M. J. Ablowitz, D. J. Kaup, A. C. Newell, H. Segur, Stud. Appl. Math. **53**, 249 (1974); F. Calogero, A. Degasperis *Spectral Transform and Solitons*, North Holland (Amsterdam 1982); M. J. Ablowitz, P. Clarkson, *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolutions and Inverse Scattering*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1992)

[2] V.E. Zakharov, A.B. Shabat, Sov. Phys. JETP **34**, 62 (1972)

[3] V.G. Makhankov, Sov. J. Part. Nucl., **14**, 50 (1983)

[4] B.M. Herbst, M.J. Ablowitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62** 2065 (1989)

[5] M.G. Forest, C.G. Goedde, A. Sinha, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2722 (1992)

[6] M.J. Ablowitz, C, Schober, B.M. Herbst, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 2683 (1993)

[7] see e.g. M. Remoissenet *Waves called solitons*, (Springer 1995); M. Barthes, J. Leon (Eds), *Nonlinear Coherent Structures*, Lect. Not. Phys. **353** (Springer, Berlin 1990); M. Peyrard (Ed.), *Nonlinear excitations in biomolecules*, Les Editions de Physique, Springer (1995); K.H. Spatschek and F.G. Mertens, (Eds) *Nonlinear Coherent Structures in Physics and Biology*, Plenum (New York 1994).

[8] M. Toda, *Theory of Nonlinear Lattices*, Springer (Berlin, 1981)

[9] A.J. Sievers, S. Takeno, Phys. Rev. Lett., **61**, 970 (1988)

[10] T. Dauxois and M. Peyrard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** 3935-3938 (1993); T. Dauxois, M. Peyrard and C.R. Willis, Phys. Rev. E **48**, 4768 (1993)

[11] S. Flach, K. Kladko and C.R. Willis, Phys. Rev. E **50**, 2293-2303 (1994); S. Flach, Phys. Rev. E **50**, 3134-3142 (1994)

[12] D. Cai, A.R. Bishop, N. Gronbech-Jensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 591 (1994)

[13] Ch. Claude, Yu.S. Kivshar, O. Kluth, K.H. Spatschek, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 14228 (1993)

[14] Yu.S. Kivshar, M. Haelterman and A.P. Sheppard, Phys. Rev. E **50**, 3161-3170 (1994)

[15] E.A. Overman II, D.W. McLaughlin, A.R. Bishop, Physica D **19**, 1 (1986); A.R. Bishop, M.G. Forest, D.W. McLaughlin, E.A. Overman II, Physica D **23**, 293 (1986).

[16] A.R. Osborne, J. Comp. Phys., **94**, 284 (1991); A. Provenzale, A.R. Osborne, J. Comp. Phys., **94**, 314 (1991); A.R. Osborne, E. Segre, Physica D **44**, 575 (1990)

[17] A.R. Osborne, E. Segre, G. Boffetta, L. Cavalieri, Phys. Rev. Lett., **67**, 592 (1991)

[18] M.J. Ablowitz, J. F. Ladik, J. Math. Phys. **16**, 598 (1975); ibid. **17**, 1011 (1976)

[19] M.J. Ablowitz, H. Segur, *Solitons and the inverse scattering transform*, SIAM (Philadelphia 1981).

[20] M. Boiti, J. Leon, F. Pempinelli, *Nonlinear discrete systems with nonanalytic dispersion relations*, Preprint PM95/37 (Montpellier 1995), to appear in J. Math. Phys.