arXiv:solv-int/9703013v1 25 Mar 1997

WDVV and DZM

Robert Carroll
Mathematics Dept.
University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801
email: rcarroll@symcom.math.uiuc.edu

March, 1997

Abstract

We show how the Witten-Dijkgraff-Verlinde-Verlinde (WDVV) equations and the Darboux-Zakharov-Manakov (DZM) system can be characterized via a background family of functions.

1 INTRODUCTION

The background literature for WDVV in terms of topological field theory (TFT) goes back to [9, 25] for example and an extensive development appears in [10], connecting the equations to Frobenius manifolds and Egorov geometry. A recent paper [17] develops this point of view on Riemann surfaces and other recent work in [6, 19] connects matters to $N = 2$ susy Yang-Mills (YM) or Seiberg-Witten (SW) theory. On the other hand the DZM system goes back to [22, 26] for example and more recently there have been extensive developments in [4] (cf. also [1, 2, 3, 5]). We will exhibit here some of the connections between WDVV and DZM in a somewhat different abstract manner which reveals the purely algebraic character of certain features. For simplicity we do not give a survey of background ideas on Egorov geometry from [10, 11, 17] but will mention some points of contact as we go along (cf. [8, 16, 18] for an extended treatment of these matters in a broader context).

2 DZM

To see how the DZM theory can arise independently in perhaps maximum generality we follow [4]. (cf. also [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 15, 27]). Thus first a background situation here goes back to [7, 15] where the Hirota bilinear identity was derived from the D-bar framework. This connection involves algebraic techniques from Sato theory on one side and analytic techniques from D-bar on the other. Connections based on Hirota as in [7, 15], or more generally in [4], form a bridge or marriage between the two types of technique and touch

upon the intrinsic meaning of the whole business. Now for the background derivation of [7, 15] we consider the (matrix) formula

$$\bar\partial_\lambda \psi(x,\lambda,\bar\lambda) = \int\int_\Omega \psi(x,\lambda',\bar\lambda') R_0(\lambda',\bar\lambda',\lambda,\bar\lambda)\, d\lambda'\wedge d\bar\lambda'; \tag{2.1}$$

$$\bar\partial_\lambda \tilde\psi(x',\lambda,\bar\lambda) = -\int\int_\Omega R_0(\lambda,\bar\lambda,\lambda',\bar\lambda')\tilde\psi(x',\lambda',\bar\lambda')\, d\lambda'\wedge d\bar\lambda'$$

Multiply by $\tilde\psi(x',\lambda,\bar\lambda)$ on the right in the first equation and by $\psi(x,\lambda,\bar\lambda)$ on the left in the second to obtain

$$\int\int_\Omega \bar\partial[\psi(x,\lambda,\bar\lambda)\tilde\psi(x',\lambda,\bar\lambda)]\, d\lambda\wedge d\bar\lambda = -\int_{\partial\Omega}\psi(x,\lambda,\bar\lambda)\tilde\psi(x',\lambda,\bar\lambda)d\lambda = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

which is the Hirota bilinear identity when $\partial\Omega\sim C$ is a small circle around ∞.

We go next to [2, 4, 5, 27] (a complete discussion of this with details and derivations will appear in [8]). Here we go directly to [4] and take (♠♠) $\psi(\lambda,\mu,g) = g^{-1}(\mu)\chi(\lambda,\mu,g)g(\lambda)$ with $\eta = (\lambda-\mu)^{-1}$ and $g\sim g_i = exp(\sum K_i x_i)$ where $K_i(\lambda)$ are commuting meromorphic matrix functions. It is assumed now that there is some region $G\subset \mathbf{C}$ where $R(\lambda,\mu)=0$ in G with respect to λ and μ (we will take this to mean that $R=0$ whenever λ or μ are in G). Also G contains all zeros and poles of the $g(\lambda)$ and G contains a neighborhood of ∞. For $K_i(\lambda)$ a polynomial in λ this involves only $\{\infty\}\subset G$ whereas for $g=(\lambda-a)^{-1}$ it requires $\{a,\infty\}\subset G$. Some examples are also used where $g\sim exp(\sum x_i\lambda^{-i})$ with G a unit disc. For now we think of G as some region containing ∞ and $\eta=(\lambda-\mu)^{-1}$ which leads to ($g_1\sim g(\lambda,x)$ and $g_2\sim g(\lambda,x')$)

$$\bar\partial_\lambda\chi(\lambda,\mu) = 2\pi i\delta(\lambda-\mu) + \int_{\mathbf{C}} d^2\nu\, \chi(\nu,\mu)g_1(\nu)R(\nu,\lambda)g_1(\lambda)^{-1}; \tag{2.3}$$

$$\bar\partial_\lambda\chi^*(\lambda,\mu) = 2\pi i\delta(\lambda-\mu) - \int_{\mathbf{C}} d^2\nu\, g_2(\lambda)R(\lambda,\nu)g_2(\nu)^{-1}\chi^*(\nu,\mu)$$

One can also take $R(x,\lambda',\lambda) = g(x,\lambda')R_0(\lambda',\lambda)g^{-1}(x,\lambda)$ and in (2.3) we should think of R as an R_0 term and write (2.3) as

$$\bar\partial_\lambda\left[g_1(\mu)\psi(\lambda,\mu,g_1)g_1^{-1}(\lambda)\right] = 2\pi i\delta(\lambda-\mu) + \tag{2.4}$$

$$+\int d^2\nu\left[g_1(\mu)\psi(\nu,\mu,g_1)g_1^{-1}(\nu)\right]g_1(\nu)R_0(\nu,\lambda)g_1^{-1}(\lambda)$$

Then $\tilde R(\nu,\lambda) = g_1(\nu)R_0(\nu,\lambda)g_1^{-1}(\lambda)$ plays the role of R in [7] and (2.4) becomes for g_1 analytic

$$\bar\partial_\lambda\psi(\lambda,\mu,g_1) = 2\pi i g_1^{-1}(\mu)\delta(\lambda-\mu)g_1(\lambda) + \int d^2\nu\,\psi(\nu,\mu,g_1)R_0(\nu,\lambda) \tag{2.5}$$

(actually $\tilde R\sim\tilde R_1$ here). One can also stipulate an equation (•) $\partial_i\tilde R(\lambda,\mu,x) = K_i(\lambda)\tilde R - \tilde R K_i(\mu)$. Some calculations now give (♣♣) $\chi^*(\mu,\lambda,g) = -\chi(\lambda,\mu,g)$ and, generally and

aside from the formulas (2.3), we know that the functions χ, χ^*, and the g_i are analytic in $\mathbf{C}/G$ so by Cauchy's theorem (λ, $\mu \in G$)

$$0 = -\int_{\partial G} \chi(\nu,\lambda,g_1)g_1(\nu)g_2^{-1}(\nu)\chi^*(\nu,\mu)d\nu = \int_{\partial G} \chi(\nu,\lambda)g_1(\nu)g_2^{-1}(\nu)\chi(\mu,\nu)d\nu \qquad (2.6)$$

Note here that from (2.3) one knows $\chi(\lambda,\mu) \sim (\lambda-\mu)^{-1}$ for $\lambda \to \mu$ and $\chi^*(\lambda,\mu) \sim (\lambda-\mu)^{-1}$ as well. Then from (2.6) and a residue calculation one obtains for $g_1 = g_2$ another proof of (♣♣), requiring only that the g_i be analytic in $\mathbf{C}/G$. Finally we can write (2.6) in terms of ψ via (♠♠), namely

$$0 = \int_{\partial G} \psi(\nu,\lambda,g_1)\psi(\mu,\nu,g_2)d\nu \qquad (2.7)$$

which is a more familiar form of Hirota bilinear identity (but now generalized considerably).

One can derive the DZM equations immediately from the Hirota bilinear identity (2.6) as in [4]. Thus write $g(\nu) = exp[K_i(\nu)x_i]$ with $K_i = A_i(\lambda-\lambda_i)^{-1}$ so that $g_1(\nu)g_2^{-1}(\nu) = exp[A_i(\nu-\lambda_i)^{-1}(x_i - x_i')]$. Look at (2.6) and differentiate in x_i (with x_i' fixed); then let $x_i' \to x_i$ to obtain

$$0 = \int_{\partial G} \left[\partial_i\chi(\nu,\mu,g_1)]\chi(\lambda,\nu,g_1) + \chi(\nu,\mu,g_1)\frac{A_i}{\nu-\lambda_i}\chi(\lambda,\nu,g_1)\right] d\nu \qquad (2.8)$$

Computing residues yields ($g \sim g_1$)

$$-\partial_i\chi(\lambda,\mu,g) + \chi(\lambda_i,\mu,g)A_i\chi(\lambda,\lambda_i,g) + \frac{A_i}{\mu-\lambda_i}\chi(\lambda,\mu,g) - \chi(\lambda,\mu,g)\frac{A_i}{\lambda-\lambda_i} = 0 \qquad (2.9)$$

Using the relation (♠♠) $\psi(\lambda,\mu,g) = g^{-1}(\mu)\chi(\lambda,\mu,g)g(\lambda)$ this is immediately seen to be equivalent to

$$\partial_i\psi(\lambda,\mu,g) = \psi(\lambda_i,\mu,g)A_i\psi(\lambda,\lambda_i,g) \qquad (2.10)$$

To derive the DZM system take for G a set of three identical unit discs D_i with centers at $\lambda = 0$. The functions $K_i(\lambda)$ have the form $K_i(\lambda) = A_i/\lambda$ for $\lambda \in D_i$ and $K_i(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda \notin D_i$ (the A_i are commuting matrices). Evaluating (2.10) for independent variables λ, $\mu \in \{0_i, 0_j, 0_k\}$ one obtains

$$\partial_i\psi(\lambda,\mu) = \psi(0_i,\mu)A_i\psi(\lambda,0_i),\ \partial_i\psi(\lambda,0_j) = \psi(0_i,0_j)A_i\psi(\lambda,0_i); \qquad (2.11)$$

$$\partial_i\psi(0_j,\mu) = \psi(0_i,\mu)A_i\psi(0_i,0_j);\ \partial_i\psi(0_j,0_k) = \psi(0_j,0_i)A_i\psi(0_i,0_k)$$

Now one can integrate equations containing λ, μ over ∂G with weight functions $\rho(\lambda), \tilde{\rho}(\mu)$ so there results (no sum over repeated indices)

$$\partial_i\Phi = \tilde{f}_i f_i;\ \partial_i f_j = \beta_{ji}f_i;\ \partial_i\tilde{f}_j = \tilde{f}_i\beta_{ij};\ \partial_i\beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji}\beta_{ik} \qquad (2.12)$$

where

$$\Phi = \int \tilde{\rho}(\mu)\psi(\lambda,\mu)\rho(\lambda)d\lambda d\mu;\ \beta_{ij} = (A_j)^{1/2}\psi(0_j,0_i)A_i^{1/2}; \qquad (2.13)$$

$$f_i = A_i^{1/2} \int \psi(\lambda, 0_i)\rho(\lambda)d\lambda;\ \tilde{f}_i = \int \tilde{\rho}(\mu)\psi(0_i, \mu)A_i^{1/2}d\mu$$

The system of equations (2.12) implies that

$$\partial_i\partial_j\tilde{f}_k = [(\partial_j\tilde{f}_i)\tilde{f}_i^{-1}]\partial_i\tilde{f}_k + [(\partial_i\tilde{f}_j)\tilde{f}_j^{-1}]\partial_j\tilde{f}_k; \tag{2.14}$$

$$\partial_i\partial_j\Phi = [(\partial_j\tilde{f}_i)\tilde{f}_i^{-1}]\partial_i\Phi + [(\partial_i\tilde{f}_j)\tilde{f}_j^{-1}]\partial_j\Phi$$

$$\partial_i\partial_j f_k = (\partial_i f_k)(f_i^{-1}\partial_j f_i) + (\partial_j f_k)(f_j^{-1}\partial_i f_j); \tag{2.15}$$

$$\partial_i\partial_j\Phi = \partial_i\Phi f_i^{-1}(\partial_j f_i) + \partial_j\Phi f_j^{-1}(\partial_i f_j)$$

The first system in (2.14) is the matrix DZM equation with the first system in (2.15) as its dual partner. At this stage the development is purely abstract; no reference to Egorov geometry or TFT is involved. In this note we will refer to

$$\partial_i f_j = \beta_{ji}f_i\ (i \neq j);\ \partial_i\beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji}\beta_{ik}\ (i \neq j \neq k);\ \beta_{ij} = \beta_{ji}; \tag{2.16}$$

as a (reduced) DZM system (see Remark 3.1 for the last condition). In addition one will want a condition

$$\partial\beta_{ij} = \partial f_j = 0\ \ (\partial = \sum \partial_k) \tag{2.17}$$

discussed below (cf. Remark 3.1 in particular). Note that

$$\partial\beta_{jk} = \sum_i \beta_{ji}\beta_{ik} = B_{jk}^2 \tag{2.18}$$

so (2.17) for β_{ij} implies that $B^2 = 0$ where $B = (\beta_{ij})$. One recalls also that

$$\partial_k\beta_{ij} = \beta_{ik}\beta_{kj}\ (i \neq j \neq k);\ \partial\beta_{ij} \equiv \partial_i\beta_{ij} + \partial_j\beta_{ji} + \sum_{m\neq i,j} \beta_{im}\beta_{mj} = 0\ (i \neq j) \tag{2.19}$$

are referred to as Lamé equations. They correspond to vanishing conditions $R_{ij,ik} = 0$ and $R_{ij,ij} = 0$ respectively for the curvature tensor of the associated Egorov metric (see Section 3). Compatibility conditions for the equations (2.15) give the equations for rotation coefficients β_{ij} as in (2.12). One has the freedom to choose the weight function $\tilde{\rho}$ keeping the rotation coefficients invariant, and this is described by the Combescure symmetry transformation

$$(\tilde{f}_i')^{-1}\partial_i\tilde{f}_j' = \tilde{f}_i^{-1}\partial_i\tilde{f}_j \tag{2.20}$$

Similarly the dual DZM system admits $(\partial_i f_j')(f_i')^{-1} = (\partial_i f_j)f_i^{-1}$. The function Φ is considered as a wave function for two linear problems (with different potentials) corresponding to the DZM and dual DZM systems. A general Combescure transformation changes solutions for both the original system and its dual (i.e. both ρ and $\tilde{\rho}$ change). We note also that, according to [23, 24], the theory of Combescure transformations coincides with the theory of integrable diagonal systems of hydrodynamic type.

It is natural now to ask whether some general WDVV equations (see below) arise directly from DZM as formulated here, without explicit reference to Egorov geometry etc. We emphasize however that the role of Egorov geometry and its many important connections to integrable systems, TFT, etc. is fundamental here (cf. Section 3); we are in fact using the Egorov geometry to isolate some algebraic features, after which the geometry disappears (cf. here [19] for example where the need for a general context is indicated). In this direction consider a scalar situation where $f_j \sim \psi_j$ and assume $\beta_{ij} = \beta_{ji}$ in (2.12) as indicated in (2.16). Then $\partial_i f_j = \beta_{ji} f_i$ and $\partial_j f_i = \beta_{ij} f_j$ which implies $\partial_i f_j^2 = \partial_j f_i^2$. This corresponds to the existence of a function G such that $f_i^2 = \partial_i G = G_i$. Then look at (2.15) where (no sums)

$$f_k \partial_i \partial_j f_k = \frac{f_k f_i \partial_i f_k \partial_j f_i}{f_i^2} + \frac{f_k f_j \partial_j f_k \partial_i f_j}{f_j^2} \Rightarrow \tag{2.21}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_k \partial_i \partial_j f_k = \frac{G_{ki} G_{ij}}{4 G_i} + \frac{G_{kj} G_{ji}}{4 G_j}$$

Also from $2 f_k \partial_j f_k = G_{kj}$ one gets $2 \partial_i f_k \partial_j f_k + 2 f_k \partial_i \partial_j f_k = G_{kji}$. Similarly $2 f_i \partial_j f_i = G_{ij}$ implies $2 \partial_k f_i \partial_j f_i + 2 f_i \partial_k \partial_j f_i = G_{ijk}$ and $2 f_j \partial_i f_j = G_{ji}$ implies $G_{jik} = 2 \partial_k f_j \partial_i f_j + 2 f_j \partial_k \partial_i f_j$. Hence, using (2.21), we get

$$2 f_k^2 G_{ijk} = 4 f_k^2 \partial_i f_k \partial_j f_k + 4 f_k^3 \partial_i \partial_j f_k = G_{ik} G_{jk} + f_k^2 \left[\frac{G_{ki} G_{ij}}{G_i} + \frac{G_{kj} G_{ji}}{G_j} \right] \tag{2.22}$$

This implies

$$2 G_{ijk} = \frac{G_{ik} G_{jk}}{G_k} + \frac{G_{ki} G_{ij}}{G_i} + \frac{G_{kj} G_{ji}}{G_j} \tag{2.23}$$

Similarly, $\tilde{f}_i^2 = \partial_i \tilde{G}$ and

$$\partial_i \Phi = \tilde{f}_i f_i \Rightarrow f_i \tilde{f}_i \partial_j \partial_i \Phi = \frac{1}{2} \left(G_i \tilde{G}_{ij} + \tilde{G}_i G_{ij} \right) \tag{2.24}$$

while from (2.14) - (2.15) one has

$$\partial_j \partial_i \Phi = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_i \partial_j \tilde{f}_i + f_j \partial_i \tilde{f}_j \\ \tilde{f}_i \partial_j f_i + \tilde{f}_j \partial_i f_j \end{array} \right. \tag{2.25}$$

Consequently

$$f_i f_j \partial_i \partial_j \Phi = \frac{1}{2} (f_i \tilde{f}_j + f_j \tilde{f}_i) G_{ij}; \tag{2.26}$$

$$\tilde{f}_i \tilde{f}_j \partial_i \partial_j \Phi = \frac{1}{2} (f_i \tilde{f}_j + f_j \tilde{f}_i) \tilde{G}_{ij}$$

Further

$$\beta_{ij} = \beta_{ji} = \frac{\partial_i f_j}{f_i} = \frac{\partial_j f_i}{f_j} \Rightarrow f_i f_j \beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} G_{ij};\ \tilde{f}_i \tilde{f}_j \beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{G}_{ij} \tag{2.27}$$

Note also from (2.12) $\partial_i\beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji}\beta_{ik},\ \partial_k\beta_{ij} = \beta_{ik}\beta_{kj}$, and $\partial_j\beta_{ik} = \beta_{ij}\beta_{jk}$, while from (2.27) we have

$$(\partial_k f_i)f_j\beta_{ij} + f_i(\partial_k f_j)\beta_{ij} + f_i f_j\partial_k\beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}G_{ijk} \tag{2.28}$$

This leads to

$$\frac{1}{2}G_{ijk} = \begin{cases} f_k f_j\beta_{ki}\beta_{ij} + f_k f_i\beta_{ij}\beta_{jk} + f_i f_j\beta_{ik}\beta_{kj} \\ f_k f_j\partial_i\beta_{kj} + f_k f_i\partial_j\beta_{ik} + f_i f_j\partial_k\beta_{ij} \end{cases} \tag{2.29}$$

Such relations seem interesting in themselves.

Now one can reverse the arguments and, starting with G satisfying (2.23), define $\beta_{ij} = (1/2)[G_{ij}/(G_iG_j)^{1/2}] = \beta_{ji}$. Then immediately

$$\partial_k\beta_{ij} = \frac{G_{ijk}}{2(G_iG_j)^{1/2}} - \frac{G_{ij}}{4(G_iG_j)^{3/2}}\left[G_{ik}G_j + G_iG_{jk}\right] = \tag{2.30}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4(G_iG_j)^{1/2}}\left[\frac{G_{ik}G_{jk}}{G_k} + \frac{G_{ki}G_{ij}}{G_i} + \frac{G_{kj}G_{ji}}{G_j}\right] - \frac{G_{ij}}{4(G_iG_j)^{1/2}}\left[\frac{G_{ik}}{G_i} + \frac{G_{jk}}{G_j}\right] =$$

$$= \frac{G_{ik}G_{jk}}{4G_k(G_iG_j)^{1/2}} = \beta_{ik}\beta_{kj}$$

Also for $f_i = \sqrt{G_i}$ one has

$$\partial_j f_i = \frac{G_{ij}}{2(G_i)^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{2G_i^{1/2}}2(G_iG_j)^{1/2}\beta_{ij} = \beta_{ij}f_j \tag{2.31}$$

which is the reduced DZM system (2.16). This shows that (2.22) characterizes reduced DZM and we have

THEOREM 2.1. Referring to (2.16) as the (reduced) DZM system we stipulate N indices. Then a solution of DZM yields a function G satisfying (2.23), (2.27), (2.28), and (2.29) for example. Conversely given G satisfying (2.23) one can define $\beta_{ij} = (1/2)[G_{ij}/(G_iG_j)^{1/2}]$ such that (2.30) and (2.31) hold for $f_i = (G_j)^{1/2}$, which corresponds to reduced DZM.

REMARK 2.2. One can also develop an analogy of (2.22) in a matrix situation (i.e. $f_j,\ \beta_{ij}$, etc. are matrices). However this requires commutativity assumptions $f_if_j = f_jf_i$ for example, along with $G_{ij} = G_{ji}$ and e.g. $f_k^{-1}G_{ij} = G_{ij}f_k^{-1}$. We do not pursue this here.

In order to go from (2.23) to (reduced) DZM to WDVV in a purely algebraic manner one takes a basis of solutions f_{jp} for $\partial_i f_j = \beta_{ji}f_i$ Here we assume N variables x^i which will be denoted by u^i in conformity with standard notation involving WDVV and Egorov geometry. Classical theory cited in [17] for example yields $N(N-1)/2$ functions β_{ij} $(i \neq j)$ depending on $N(N-1)/2$ arbitrary functions of one variable, along with N functions f_i depending on N arbitrary functions $\hat{f}_i(u^i) = f_i(0,\cdots,0,u^i,0,\cdots,0)$ determining initial values. Then one chooses a basis of solutions $f_{ip},\ 1 \leq p \leq N$, associated e.g. to N successive choices of $\hat{f}_i$ accompanied by $N-1$ zeros, and we can write (♣) $f^2_{jp} = \partial_j G^p = G^p_j$. One

should examine this a little more extensively. Thus assume the β_{ij} are given and consider the equations for f_i in (2.16) - (2.17) (cf. Section 3 and $(\bullet\bullet\bullet)$ therein for more details). For $N = 2$ there is one β_{12} and two equations $\partial_1 f_2 = \beta_{12} f_1$ and $\partial_2 f_1 = \beta_{12} f_2$, leading to $(\bullet\bullet)$ $\partial_1\partial_2 f_2 - (\partial_2 log\beta_{12})\partial_1 f_2 - \beta_{12}^2 f_2 = 0$; $\partial_1\partial_2 f_1 - (\partial_1 log\beta_{12})\partial_2 f_1 - \beta_{12}^2 f_1 = 0$ for which a basis of solutions $f_1^1 = f_{11}$, $f_1^2 = f_{12}$, $f_2^1 = f_{21}$, and $f_2^2 = f_{22}$ can be envisioned (roughly speaking these are hyperbolic equations for which two arbitrary functions should appear in the integration). For $N = 3$ we have β_{12}, β_{13}, and β_{23} with

$$\partial_1 f_2 = \beta_{21} f_1;\ \partial_1 f_3 = \beta_{31} f_1;\ \partial_2 f_3 = \beta_{23} f_2; \tag{2.32}$$

$$\partial_3 f_2 = \beta_{23} f_3;\ \partial_3 f_1 = \beta_{13} f_3;\ \partial_2 f_1 = \beta_{12} f_2$$

leading to equations similar in form to $(\bullet\bullet)$ plus others of third order for exmple. However it is better to simply think of (2.32) as a system of $N = 3$ ordinary differential equations of first order. To see this we use the stipulation $\partial f_j = 0 = (\sum \partial_k) f_j$ from (2.17) so that for $N = 3$ we can write e.g.

$$\partial_1 f_2 = \beta_{21} f_1;\ \partial_1 f_3 = \beta_{31} f_1;\ \partial_1 f_1 = -\partial_2 f_1 - \partial_3 f_1 = -\beta_{12} f_2 - \beta_{13} f_3 \tag{2.33}$$

or equivalently

$$\partial_1 \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta_{12} & -\beta_{13} \\ \beta_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{13} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.34}$$

For such systems there is a well known integration theory leading to a basis f_{jp} as indicated earlier.

REMARK 2.3. More generally (cf. Section 3) we will want a basis of solutions of $\partial_i f_j = \beta_{ji} f_i$ satisfying $\partial f_j = z f_j$ for a "spectral parameter" z and the construction is essentially the same. Thus for $N = 3$ for example, in place of (2.33) - (2.34) one has $\partial_1 f_1 = z f_1 - \partial_2 f_1 - \partial_3 f_1 = z f_1 - \beta_{12} f_2 - \beta_{13} f_3$ leading to (2.34) with a z in the matrix $(1,1)$ position. In this situation we can provide functions $G^p(z)$ $(\equiv G^p(z, t_k))$, $1 \le p \le N$, satisfying (2.23) with

$$\partial f_{jp} = (\sum \partial_k)(G_j^p)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k (G_j^p)^{-1/2} G_{jk}^p = z(G_j^p)^{1/2} \Rightarrow \sum_k G_{jk}^p = 2z G_j^p \tag{2.35}$$

For $z = 0$ this reduces to $\sum_k G_{jk}^p = 0$.

REMARK 2.4. One (degenerate) road to WDVV goes as follows. Set

$$c_{ijk} = \sum \left(\frac{G_n^i G_n^j G_n^k}{G_n^1} \right)^{1/2} \tag{2.36}$$

The WDVV equations can be written as

$$\eta^{rs} c_{rjk} c_{\ell ms} = \eta^{rs} c_{rj\ell} c_{kms};\ \eta_{ij} = c_{1ij} = \eta_{ji} = constant \tag{2.37}$$

for which some discussion is given below. Then putting (2.36) in (2.37) we obtain (cf. (♣))

$$\eta^{rs}\sum_n\left(\frac{G^r_nG^j_nG^k_n}{G^1_n}\right)^{1/2}\sum_p\left(\frac{G^\ell_pG^m_pG^s_p}{G^1_p}\right)^{1/2}= \tag{2.38}$$

$$=\eta^{rs}\sum_a\left(\frac{G^r_aG^j_aG^\ell_a}{G^1_a}\right)^{1/2}\sum_b\left(\frac{G^k_bG^m_bG^s_b}{G^1_b}\right)^{1/2}$$

Changing indices $a\to n$ and $b\to p$ one has

$$0=\eta^{rs}\sum\left(\frac{G^r_nG^j_nG^m_pG^s_p}{G^1_nG^1_p}\right)^{1/2}\left[(G^k_nG^\ell_p)^{1/2}-(G^\ell_nG^k_p)^{1/2}\right] \tag{2.39}$$

and one needs conditions which guarantee (2.39). Assume there is a functional relation $G^p=G^p(\hat{G})$ for some function $\hat{G}$ (with no a priori restrictions on $\hat{G}$). Then $\partial_nG^k=\partial_{\hat{G}}\partial_n\hat{G}$ and this yields (we write G for $\hat{G}$ for simplicity)

$$\frac{G^k_n}{G^k_p}=\frac{\partial_GG^k\partial_nG}{\partial_GG^k\partial_pG}=\frac{\partial_nG}{\partial_pG}=\frac{\partial_GG^\ell\partial_nG}{\partial_GG^\ell\partial_pG}=\frac{G^\ell_n}{G^\ell_p} \tag{2.40}$$

which gives (2.39). However we will see in Remark 3.3 that the stipulation $G^p=G^p(\hat{G})$ leads to a degenerate geometrical situation.

3 WDVV

As background for WDVV we refer first to [11] where one considers a 2D TFT with N primary fields ϕ_i and double correlation functions $<\phi_i\phi_j>=\eta_{ij}=\eta_{ji}$ (cf. also [12, 13, 14, 20, 21] for detailed information on some low dimensional situations). The triple correlators $c_{ijk}=<\phi_i\phi_j\phi_k>$ determine the structure of the operator algebra of the model via

$$\phi_i\cdot\phi_j=c^k_{ij}\phi_k;\ c^k_{ij}=\eta^{km}c_{ijm};\ (\eta^{ij})=(\eta_{ij})^{-1} \tag{3.1}$$

along with $<\cdots\phi_i\phi_j\cdots>=c^k_{ij}<\cdots\phi_k\cdots>$. This is a commutative algebra with unity ϕ_1 where $c_{1ij}=\eta_{ij}$ with $c^i_{1j}=\delta^i_j$. The symmetry of c_{ijk} is equivalent to $<ab,c>=<a,bc>$ and such algebras ($a,b,c\in A$) are called Frobenius algebras (FA). It is of concern here to consider algebras $A(t)$, $(t=t^1,\cdots,t^N)$ with $c_{ijk}=c_{ijk}(t)$ and $\eta_{ij}=constant$ (we assume here that $A(t)$ has no nilpotents - decomposable case). Then in the TFT situation one can write $c_{ijk}=\partial_i\partial_j\partial_kF(t)$ for a function F called the primary free energy. The conditions of associativity give rise to the WDVV equations

$$\eta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial^3F}{\partial t^\mu\partial t^\beta\partial t^\gamma}\frac{\partial^3F}{\partial t^\alpha\partial t^\lambda\partial t^\nu}=\eta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial^3F}{\partial t^\mu\partial t^\beta\partial t^\alpha}\frac{\partial^3F}{\partial t^\gamma\partial t^\lambda\partial t^\nu} \tag{3.2}$$

Connections to Egorov geometry arise via the Darboux-Egorov (DE) integrable system (cf. (2.16))

$$\partial_k\gamma_{ij}(u) = \gamma_{ik}(u)\gamma_{kj}(u)\ (i \neq j \neq k - no\ sum);\ \partial\gamma_{ij} = (\sum_1^N \partial_k)\gamma_{ij} = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

with $\gamma_{ij}(u) = \gamma_{ji}(u)$ for $i \neq j$ (evidently $\gamma_{ij} \sim \beta_{ij}$ of (2.16) - (2.17)). The u^i are new coordinates $u^i = u^i(t)$ defined via

$$c_{ij}^k(t) = \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{\partial t^k}{\partial u^m}\frac{\partial u^m}{\partial t^i}\frac{\partial u^m}{\partial t^j} \tag{3.4}$$

and the functions $\gamma_{ij}(u)$ are expressed via components of the metric η_{ij} where

$$g_{ij}(u) = \eta_{km}\frac{\partial t^k}{\partial u^i}\frac{\partial t^m}{\partial u^j} \equiv g_{ii}\delta_{ij};\ \gamma_{ij}(u) = \frac{\partial_j\sqrt{g_{ii}(u)}}{\sqrt{g_{jj}(u)}} = \gamma_{ji}(u) \tag{3.5}$$

Next one recalls that a diagonal metric $ds^2 = \sum_1^N g_{ii}(u)(du^i)^2$ determines curvilinear orthogonal coordinates in a Euclidean space if and only if its curvature vanishes. This is called an Egorov metric if the rotation coefficients $\gamma_{ij} = (\partial_j\sqrt{g_{ii}(u)}/\sqrt{g_{jj}(u)})$ for $(i \neq j)$ satisfy $\gamma_{ij}(u) = \gamma_{ji}(u)$. Equivalently a potential $V = V(u)$ exists such that $g_{ii}(u) = \partial_i V(u)$ $(i = 1, \cdots, N)$. Vanishing of the curvature of the Egorov metric is equivalent to the integrable system (3.3) where (3.3) corresponds to the compatibility conditions of the system $(\bullet\bullet\bullet)$ $\partial_j\psi_i = \gamma_{ij}\psi_j$ $(i \neq j\ -\ no\ sum)$; $\partial\psi_j = z\psi_j$, which is related to the N-wave interaction system. Note here that compatibility requires

$$\partial\partial_j\psi_i = (\partial\gamma_{ij})\psi_j + \gamma_{ij}\partial\psi_j = (\partial\gamma_{ij})\psi_j + z\gamma_{ij} = \partial_j\partial\psi_i = z\partial_j\psi_i = z\gamma_{ij}\psi_j \tag{3.6}$$

so $\partial\gamma_{ij} = 0$. The Egorov zero curvature metric ds^2 is called ∂-invariant if $\partial g_{ii}(u) = 0$ for $i = 1, \cdots, N$ and then it can be specified uniquely by its rotation coefficients (no arbitrary constants) via solution of the system $(\bullet\bullet\bullet)$ for $z = 0$ (in particular $\partial\psi_j = 0$ as in (2.17)). The same is true for the corresponding flat coordinates t^i. Thus consider the system $(\spadesuit)$ $\partial_j\psi_i = \gamma_{ij}\psi_j$ with $\partial\psi_i = 0$ for some solution $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ji}$ of $(\bullet\bullet\bullet)$. Then via $\gamma_{ij} = \partial_j\sqrt{g_{ii}}/\sqrt{g_{jj}}$ and $\partial g_{ii} = 0$ it follows that $\psi_i = \sqrt{g_{ii}}$ is a solution of $(\spadesuit)$. Conversely any solution ψ of $(\spadesuit)$ determines a ∂ invariant Egorov metric with the same rotation coefficients via $g_{ii} = (\psi_{i1})^2$. Let $\psi_{i1}(u), \cdots, \psi_{iN}$ be a basis in the space of solutions of $(\spadesuit)$ (cf. Section 2); then one can show that the scalar product $\eta_{ij} = \sum_1^N \psi_{mi}(u)\psi_{mj}(u)$ is nondegenerate and does not depend on u (cf. [8] for details). The flat coordinates t^i are then determined by quadratures from the system

$$\partial_i t^j = \psi_{i1}\psi_i^j \equiv \sqrt{g_{ii}}\psi_i^j;\ \psi_i^j = \eta^{jk}\psi_{ik} \tag{3.7}$$

and one notes that $t_1 = \eta_{1i}t^i = V$ is the potential of this metric. Indeed one obtains t^j via (3.7) (i.e. $\partial_i t^j = \psi_{i1}\psi_i^j = \sqrt{g_{ii}}\eta^{jk}\psi_{ik}$) and in particular

$$\partial_i t_1 = \partial_i\eta_{1j}t^j = \sqrt{g_{ii}}\eta_{1j}\eta^{jk}\psi_{ik} = \sqrt{g_{ii}}\psi_{i1} = g_{ii} = \psi_{i1}^2 = \partial_i V \sim t_1 = V \tag{3.8}$$

Note here from $\partial_j\psi_i = \gamma_{ij}\psi_j$ and $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ji}$ one has $(\partial_j\psi_i/\psi_j) = (\partial_i\psi_j/\psi_i)$ which implies $\partial_j\psi_i^2 = \partial_i\psi_j^2$; hence in particular this holds for $\psi_j = \psi_{j1}$. It follows that ds^2 is in fact a ∂ invariant Egorov metric of zero curvature. Finally one proves in [11] that any solution of the WDVV equations (in the decomposable case) is determined by a solution $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ji}$ of the integrable system (3.3) and by N arbitrary constants via $g_{ii} = (\psi_{i1})^2$, $\eta_{ij} = \sum_1^N \psi_{mi}\psi_{mj}$, (3.7), and the formula

$$c_{ijk}(t) = \sum_1^N \frac{\psi_{mi}\psi_{mj}\psi_{mk}}{\psi_{m1}} \tag{3.9}$$

One has also the orthogonality conditions

$$\frac{\partial t^j}{\partial u^i} = g_{ii}\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t^k}\eta^{kj} \sim g_{ii}\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t^p} = \frac{\partial t^j}{\partial u^i}\eta_{jp} \ (i = 1, \cdots, N) \tag{3.10}$$

and the transformations

$$\xi_i = \sum_1^N g_{jj}^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial t^i}\psi_j; \ \psi_i = \sum g_{ii}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\partial t^j}{\partial u^i}\xi_j \tag{3.11}$$

Note that since $\psi_i^2 = \partial_i V$ one can distinguish between the ψ_{ip} via different functions V^p as in Theorem 2.1. Then for $\psi_{ip} \sim (\partial_i V^p)^{1/2}$ one can define the ξ_i^p as

$$\xi_i^p = \sum \psi_{jp}\psi_{ji} = \sum \left(\partial_j V^p \partial_j V^i\right)^{1/2} \tag{3.12}$$

which will agree with the definition via $\xi_i^p = \sum(\partial u^j/\partial t^i)\psi_{jp}\psi_{j1}$ (cf. (3.10)). Note also

$$\xi_i = g_{ii}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum g_{jj}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\partial t^k}{\partial u^j}\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial t^i}\xi_k \tag{3.13}$$

$$u_i^m = \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial t^i} \sim \frac{\psi_{mi}}{\psi_{m1}} \tag{3.14}$$

This material is all gathered together in [8] where many details are spelled out.

REMARK 3.1. In connection with the Lamé equations (2.19) let us note the following. From $\beta_{ij} = \partial_j f_i/f_j = (1/2)[G_{ij}/(G_iG_j)^{1/2}]$ we have

$$\partial\beta_{ij} = \sum_m \beta_{ik}\beta_{kj} = \partial_i\beta_{ij} + \partial_j\beta_{ji} + \sum_{m\neq i,j}\beta_{mi}\beta_{mj} \tag{3.15}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4(G_iG_j)^{1/2}}\sum_m\left(\frac{G_{mi}G_{mj}}{G_m}\right) = 0$$

Consequently

THEOREM 3.2. The condition $\partial\beta_{ij} = 0$ of (2.19) or (3.15) corresponds to $B^2 = 0$

where B is the matrix $B = (\beta_{ij})$. Then fitting our functions G^p or G to the geometric environment involves a stipulation

$$0 = \sum_m \left(\frac{G_{im} G_{mj}}{G_m} \right) \tag{3.16}$$

together with (2.23).

REMARK 3.3. Let us reconsider the resolution $f^2_{jp} = G^p_j$ with $GP = G^p(\hat{G})$ of Remark 2.3 with regard to the geometrical meaning connected with $\gamma_{ij} \sim \beta_{ij}$, $\psi_j \sim f_j$, and $u^m_j = \partial u^m / \partial t^j \sim (\psi_{mj}/\psi_{m1})$ from (3.14). One obtains then from (2.40)

$$\frac{G^k_n}{G^k_p} = \frac{f^2_{nk}}{f^2_{pk}} = \frac{\partial_n \hat{G}}{\partial_p \hat{G}} = \frac{f^2_{n\ell}}{f^2_{p\ell}} = \frac{G^\ell_n}{G^\ell_p} \tag{3.17}$$

so (3.14) involves

$$\frac{f_{nk}}{f_{n\ell}} \sim \frac{f_{n1} u^n_k}{f_{n1} u^n_\ell} = \frac{f_{pk}}{f_{p\ell}} = \frac{f_{p1} u^p_k}{f_{p1} u^p_\ell} \Rightarrow \tag{3.18}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{u^n_k}{u^n_\ell} = \frac{u^p_k}{u^p_\ell} \equiv \frac{u^n_k}{u^p_k} = \frac{u^n_\ell}{u^p_\ell} = \alpha \equiv \frac{\partial u^n}{\partial t^k} = \alpha \frac{\partial u^p}{\partial t^k}$$

Hence the Jacobian of transformation $t^i \to u^j$, namely $J = (\partial(u^j)/\partial(t^i))$ will be "totally" degenerate. Consequently $G^p = G^p(\hat{G})$ is not a resolution with significant meaning and we will now use the underlying geometry to obtain WDVV, while eventually phrasing matters in terms of G^p (but $G^p \neq G^p(\hat{G})$).

To produce a mechanism leading from DZM to WDVV via the G^p we first recall a few more formulas from [8, 10, 11]. First note that (3.4) corresponds to

$$\frac{\partial u^p}{\partial t^i} \frac{\partial u^p}{\partial t^j} = c^k_{ij} \frac{\partial u^p}{\partial t^k} \tag{3.19}$$

since

$$\sum c^k_{ij} \frac{\partial u^p}{\partial t^k} = \sum \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial t^i} \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial t^j} \left(\sum \frac{\partial t^k}{\partial u^m} \frac{\partial u^p}{\partial t^k} \right) = \frac{\partial u^p}{\partial t^i} \frac{\partial u^p}{\partial t^j} \tag{3.20}$$

The WDVV equations (2.37) can be also expressed as

$$c^k_{ij} c^m_{\ell k} = c^k_{\ell j} c^m_{ik} \tag{3.21}$$

and this (plus $\partial_i c^m_{jk} = \partial_j c^m_{ik}$) follow as compatibility conditions for (♣♣♣) $\partial_i \xi_j = z \sum c^k_{ij} \xi_k$. Here ξ_j, as given in (3.11) via (♠♠♠) $\xi_i = \sum (\partial u^j / \partial t^i) \psi_j \psi_{j1}$, will not do since (3.11) corresponds to $z = 0$ and we must go to the z dependent ψ_j (note however that $z = 0$ is needed to produce a uniquely defined zero curvature Egorov metric). A direct calculation is conceivable but the argument below will suffice to characterize WDVV via the G^p_j.

First we note that it is really quite wise to follow [10, 11] in writing t^α and u^i, with summation on repeated Greek indices understood. This will enable us to make sense of formulas involving derivatives of $\xi_i \sim \xi_\alpha$ or ψ_j with respect to t^β and u^i together. In this context then let us isolate some key features of the WDVV - DZM correspondence from [10, 11]. To begin we elaborate on the introduction of the spectral parameter z in $(\bullet\bullet\bullet)$ and in the equations

$$\partial_\alpha \xi_\beta = z c^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(t)\xi_\gamma \ (\partial_1 \xi_\beta = z\xi_\beta) \tag{3.22}$$

In an ad hoc spirit an elementary calculation shows that compatibility of (3.22) is equivalent to WDVV in the form (3.21) along with $(\bullet\clubsuit\bullet)$ $\partial_\delta c^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha c^\gamma_{\delta\beta}$. Similarly compatibility of $(\bullet\bullet\bullet)$ is equivalent to the DE system (3.3) (cf. (3.6)). Hence let us go to the $\psi_{jp}(z) \sim f_{jp}(z) \equiv f_{jp}(z, t_k)$ of Remark 2.3 with $G^p_j(z) \equiv G^p_j(z, t_k)$ $(1 \le j, p \le N)$. From [10, 11] we let M be a space of parameters t^α and consider a Frobenius algebra (FA) deformation $t^\alpha \to \tilde{t}_\alpha(t, z)$ (cf. [10, 11] for the language of FA and Frobenius manifolds (FM)). For ∇ the Levi-Cività connection of the $z = 0$ metric one writes $\tilde{\nabla}_u(z)v = \nabla_u v + zu\cdot v$ $(\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha(z) \sim \partial/\partial\tilde{t}_\alpha)$ and then $\tilde{\nabla}$ is flat, uniformly in z, if and only if WDVV holds with $c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\partial_\gamma F$. Here the $c^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are based on the $z = 0$ metric and WDVV as in (3.21) for example expresses an associativity condition for the corresponding FA. Further any ξ_α satisfying (3.22) is a gradient $\xi_\alpha = \partial_\alpha\tilde{t}$ for some function $\tilde{t}$ and one obtains a fundamental system of solutions of (3.22) via $\xi^\beta_\alpha = \partial_\alpha\tilde{t}_\beta$. Actually the $\tilde{t}$ are specified via

$$\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\tilde{t} = z c^\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\epsilon\tilde{t} \tag{3.23}$$

and one will have a coordinate family $\tilde{t}_\gamma$ $(1 \le \gamma \le N)$ with $\xi^\gamma_\beta = \partial_\beta\tilde{t}_\gamma$, where $\tilde{t}_\gamma = t^\gamma + zv^\gamma + O(z^2)$. The v^γ are uniquely determined up to a transformation $v^\gamma \to v^\gamma + T^\gamma_\beta t^\beta$ for T a constant matrix. Further there is a formula

$$z^{\frac{d}{2}-1}\frac{\partial\tilde{t}(t(u), z)}{\psi_{i1}} = \psi_i(u, z) \tag{3.24}$$

which establishes a $1-1$ correspondence between solutions of $(\bullet\bullet\bullet)$ and (3.22). Here d is a scaling parameter arising the consideration of self similar solutions of WDVV and we take it to be 2 here in order to eliminate the z factor in (3.24) (cf. [10, 11, 16, 18] for a more comprehensive treatment of WDVV).

With this background we pick now $G^p_j(z) \sim f^2_{jp}(z) \sim \psi^2_{jp}(z)$ as in Remark 2.3, so $(\bullet\bullet\bullet)$ is satisfied, along with (3.3). Note this implies $\partial\beta_{ij} = 0$ so (3.15) is automatic. From (3.24) we then obtain $\tilde{t}_\alpha(u, z)$ and ξ^α_i via

$$\xi^\alpha_i = \partial_i\tilde{t}_\alpha = \psi_{i1}\psi^\alpha_i = \psi_{i1}\eta^{\alpha,k}\psi_{ik} \tag{3.25}$$

which reduces to (3.7) for $z = 0$. This leads to (3.22) whose compatibility implies WDVV. Hence

THEOREM 3.4. Let $G^p_j \sim f^2_{jp} \sim \psi^2_{jp}$ be functions of z as in Remark 2.3, satisfying

(2.23) and (2.35). Then one obtains ($\bullet\bullet\bullet$), and (3.3) holds for $\gamma_{ij} \sim \beta_{ij}$, which implies that (3.15) is automatic. Further one obtains WDVV with c_{ijk} as in (2.36) by means of the map $\psi_{jk} \to \xi_i^\alpha$ of (3.25). This means that such G_j^p characterize WDVV as well as reduced DZM with (2.17).

REMARK 3.5. For convenience we list together the stipulations on the G^p. Thus generically

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathbf{A}) & \sum_k G_{jk} = 2zG_j \\ (\mathbf{B}) & 2G_{ijk} = \frac{G_{ik}G_{kj}}{G_k} + \frac{G_{ki}G_{ij}}{G_i} + \frac{G_{kj}G_{ji}}{G_j} \end{array} \tag{3.26}$$

Then it follows that (**C**) $\sum_m [(G_{im}G_{mj})/G_m] = 0$ and to see this we note from (3.26) that

$$2zG_{ij} = \sum_k G_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}\left\{ \frac{G_{ij}}{G_i}\sum_k G_{ki} + \frac{G_{ji}}{G_j}\sum_k G_{kj} + \sum_k \frac{G_{ik}G_{kj}}{G_k} \right\} =$$

$$= 2zG_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_k \frac{G_{ik}G_{kj}}{G_k} \tag{3.27}$$

In terms of the metric $g_{ii} \sim \psi_{i1}^2 \sim f_{i1}^2 \sim G_i^1$ the conditions $(\mathbf{A}) - (\mathbf{B})$ for G^1 can be written in the form

$$2zg_{jj} = \sum_k \partial_k g_{jj};\ \ 2\partial_i\partial_k g_{jj} = \frac{\partial_i g_{kk}\partial_j g_{kk}}{g_{kk}} + \frac{\partial_k g_{ii}\partial_j g_{ii}}{g_{ii}} + \frac{\partial_k g_{jj}\partial_i g_{jj}}{g_{jj}} \tag{3.28}$$

where $G_{ji}^1 = \partial_i g_{jj} = G_{ij}^1 = \partial_j g_{ii}$, etc.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to thank Y. Nutku for stimulating conversations on WDVV and related topics.

References

[1] L. Bogdanov and B. Konopelchenko, Jour. Phys. A, 28 (1995), L173-L178

[2] L. Bogdanov, Teor. Mat. Fizika, 99 (1994), 177-184

[3] L. Bogdanov, Physica 87D (1995), 58-63

[4] L. Bogdanov and B. Konopelchenko, Jour. Phys. A, 30 (1997), 1591-1603 (solv-int 9606007); solv-int 9609009

[5] L. Bogdanov and S. Manakov, Jour. Phys. A, 21 (1988), L537-L544

[6] G. Bonelli and M. Matone, hep-th 9605090

[7] R. Carroll and B. Konopelchenko, Lett. Math. Phys., 28 (1993), 30-319

[8] R. Carroll, Algebraic and spectral connections for integrable systems, in preparation

[9] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B, 352 (1991), 59-86

[10] B. Dubrovin, Integrable systems and quantum groups, Lect. Notes Math. 1620, Springer, 1996, pp. 120-348

[11] B. Dubrovin, Nucl. Phys. B, 379 (1992), 627-689; Comm. Math. Phys., 145 (1992), 195-207

[12] E. Ferapontov, C. Galvão, O. Mokhov, and Y. Nutku, Preprint, Feb. 1996

[13] C. Galvão and Y. Nutku, Preprint, April 1996

[14] J. Kalayci and Y. Nutku, Phys. Lett. A, 227 (1997), 177-182

[15] B. Konopelchenko, Solitons in multidimensions, World Scientific, 1993

[16] A. Kresch, alg-geom 9703015

[17] I. Krichever, hep-th 9611158

[18] Yu. Manin and S. Merkulov, alg-geom 9702014

[19] A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, and A. Morozov, hep-th 9607109, 9701014, and 9701123

[20] O. Mokhov and E. Ferapontov, hep-th 9505180

[21] O. Mokhov, Adv. Sov. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., 1995

[22] S. Novikov, S. Manakov, L. Pitaevskij, and V. Zakharov, Theory of solitons, Plenum, 1984

[23] S. Tsarev, Math. Izves. USSR, 37 (1991), 397-419

[24] S. Tsarev, Applications of analysis and geometric methods to nonlinear differential equations, Kluwer, 1993, pp. 241-249

[25] E. Witten, Surveys Diff. Geom., 1 (1991), 243-310

[26] V. Zakharov and S. Manakov, Funkts. Anal. Prilozh., 19 (1985), 11-25

[27] A. Zenchuk and S. Manakov, Teor. Mat. Fizika, 105 (1995), 371-382