arXiv:solv-int/9704007v1 6 Apr 1997

The Correspondence between Discrete Surface and Difference Geometry of the KP-hierarchy[1]

Satoru SAITO

Depertment of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University,
Hachiohji, Tokyo 192-03 Japan

Abstract

The correspondence between two geometrical descriptions of the KP-hierarchy, one by discrete surface and another by difference analogue of differential geometry, is given.

PACS codes: 02.20.Tw 02.40.-k

Keywords: Difference geometry, KP-hierarchy, Moyal quantisation, Hirota equation

[1] This work is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for general Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan (No.06835023), and the Fiscal Year 1996 Fund for Special Research Projects at Tokyo Metropolitan University.

In the traditional physics the fundamental laws of nature were described in terms of differential equations. Their corresponding discrete versions were considered being approximation appropriate to compute them numerically or to avoid divergence. If there exists an integrable discrete system, on the other hand, the situation becomes completely different. A single discrete integrable equation can be associated to infinitly many continuous ones. It will be more natural to consider the discrete integrable equation being more fundamental, rather than special deformations of continuous version.

Among other discrete integrable systems the Hirota bilinear difference equation, which we abbreviate as HBDE[1], or 2D Toda equation, plays an important role in theoretical physics. This single equation describes the totality of soliton equations in the KP hierarchy. The connections of this equation were shown to algebraic curves[2], to the string correlation function in particle physics[3], to the 2D Ising model[4], to the Yang-Baxter equation of statistical lattice models[5],[6], to the Painlevé system[7], to the completely integrable cellular automatons[8].

Very recently HBDE has been given a geometrical interpretation by means of discrete geometry[9],[11] of two dimensional surface. There the HBDE turns out to be a recurrence formula satisfied by projective invariants of the Laplace sequence of the discrete analogue of the conjugate nets. On the other hand we developed a formulation of difference analogue of differential geometry[12]. The purpose of our work was to describe the Moyal quantisation as a discretisation of phase space and to provide an overall view to integrable systems. To this end we defined difference analogue of vector fields, differential forms, Lie derivatives and so on. In ref.[13] Kemmoku showed that this formalism naturally induces the infinite symmetry which characterises the KP-system. These two works, one of geometry of discrete surface and the other of difference analogue of differential geometry, were done quite independently. In fact they have nothing in common apart from the fact that both describe discrete integrable systems.

Our question we discuss in this article is if they are related. The answer is yes. In fact they are the same.

Let us begin with writing HBDE:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha f_n(l+1,m)f_n(l,m+1)+\beta f_n(l,m)f_n(l+1,m+1)\\ +\gamma f_{n+1}(l+1,m)f_{n-1}(l,m+1)=0.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where $l,m,n\in\boldsymbol{Z}$ are discrete variables, $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\boldsymbol{C}$ are parameters subject to the constraint $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0$.

The statement in ref.[9] is as follows. We consider in the 3D Euclidian space a quadrilateral $\langle Y(l,m),Y(l+1,m),Y(l,m+1),Y(l+1,m+1)\rangle$, called elementary quadrilateral. If they satisfy the discrete Laplace equation

$$Y(l+1,m+1)-Y(l,m)=A(l,m)(Y(l+1,m)-Y(l,m))+B(l,m)(Y(l,m+1)-Y(l,m)),\tag{2}$$

they form a planar quadrilateral. A collection of such planar quadrilaterals Y is called a discrete conjugate net. The Laplace transform of the net $Y\equiv Y_0$ defines the other two conjugate nets Y_1 and Y_{-1} by connecting the intersection points of the directions of the opposite edges of the four elementary quadrilaterals which intersect at $Y_0(l,m)$. The same process can be repeated to the images of the map, hence

we obtain a sequence of conjugate nets, $Y_n,\ n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$. At every step of the map there exists an invariant under the projective transformations, called a cross ratio $f_n(l, m)$, which is formed by the four points $\{Y_n(l, m-1), Y_n(l, m), Y_{n+1}(l, m), Y_{n-1}(l-1, m)\}$ of the nth map. Since the cross ratio is determined by the coefficients A_n and B_n of the Laplace map of the form (2), the sequence of the maps define a recurrence formula among the cross ratios. This formula was shown in ref.[9] being equivalent to the HBDE after some normalisation. We notice that this sequence of the map is exactly the same one found in ref.[14] in connection with the linearisation of HBDE.

The difference analogue of differential geometry was discussed in ref.[12] as follows. Let $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}$ be vectors in $\boldsymbol{R}^n$ and define a difference vector field associated with a $\boldsymbol{C}^\infty$ function $v(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{a})$ by

$$\boldsymbol{X}^D := \int d\boldsymbol{a}\ v(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{a}) \nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}}, \tag{3}$$

where the difference operator is given by

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}} := \frac{1}{\lambda} \sinh(\lambda \sum_j a_j \partial_{x^j}). \tag{4}$$

We also define the operator $\Delta^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ dual of $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ under the following inner product

$$\langle \Delta^{\boldsymbol{a}} | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{b}} \rangle = \delta(\boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{b}). \tag{5}$$

Then a difference one form is defined by (see ref.[12] for more detail)

$$\Omega^D := \int d\boldsymbol{a}\ w(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{a}) \Delta^{\boldsymbol{a}}, \tag{6}$$

which gives rise to an invariant upon taking the inner product with a vector field:

$$\langle \Omega^D | \boldsymbol{X}^D \rangle = \int d\boldsymbol{x} \int d\boldsymbol{a}\ w(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{a}) v(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{a}). \tag{7}$$

Extending the space to the $2n$ dimensional phase space, $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) \in \boldsymbol{R}^n \times \boldsymbol{R}^n$, a difference analogue of Hamiltonian vector field is defined as

$$\boldsymbol{X}_A^D := \int d\boldsymbol{a}_1 d\boldsymbol{a}_2\ v_A(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}; \boldsymbol{a_1}, , \boldsymbol{a}_2) \nabla_{\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2}, \tag{8}$$

where

$$v_A(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}; \boldsymbol{a_1}, \boldsymbol{a}_2) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{2n} \int d\boldsymbol{b}_1 d\boldsymbol{b}_2\ e^{-i(\boldsymbol{a_1}\boldsymbol{b}_2 - \boldsymbol{a}_2\boldsymbol{b}_1)} A(\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{b}_1, \boldsymbol{p} + \lambda \boldsymbol{b}_2). \tag{9}$$

The operation of the Hamiltonian vector field to a function on the phase space yields the Moyal bracket[15] :

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{X}_A^D B(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sin\left\{\lambda \left(\partial_{\boldsymbol{x}_A} \partial_{\boldsymbol{p}_B} - \partial_{\boldsymbol{x}_B} \partial_{\boldsymbol{p}_A}\right)\right\} A(\boldsymbol{x}_A, \boldsymbol{p}_A) B(\boldsymbol{x}_B, \boldsymbol{p}_B) \Big|_{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}} \\ &=: i\{A, B\}_M. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

It is apparent from this expression that in the continuous limit of the phase space $\lambda \to 0$ we recover the classical Poisson bracket.

The above definition of the Hamiltonian vector fields enables us to extend the concept of the Lie derivative in the differential geometry to the discrete phase space. In fact we can show directly the following operator relation between two vector fields:

$$\left[\boldsymbol{X}_A^D, \boldsymbol{X}_B^D\right] =, \boldsymbol{X}_{-i\{A,B\}_M}^D. \tag{11}$$

This exhibits a large symmetry generated by the Hamiltonian vector fields, which should be common in the physical systems formulated in our prescription. This algebra itself was discussed[17][18] in various contexts including some geometrical arguments.

As we consider a physical phase space the pairing of a vector field with a difference one form is expected to mean an expectation value of a physical quantity. It is accomplished by adopting the Wigner distribution function[19] $F(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p})$ to specify the physical state and by defining the difference one form by

$$\Omega_F^D := \int d\boldsymbol{a}_1 d\boldsymbol{a}_2 \int d\boldsymbol{b}_1 d\boldsymbol{b}_2 \; e^{i(\boldsymbol{a_1}\boldsymbol{b}_2 - \boldsymbol{a}_2\boldsymbol{b}_1)} F(\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{b}_1, \boldsymbol{p} + \lambda \boldsymbol{b}_2) \Delta^{\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2}. \tag{12}$$

The expectation value of a physical quantity, say $A(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p})$, is obtained by calculating the inner product $\langle \Omega_F^D | \boldsymbol{X}_A^D \rangle$.

Two types of prescriptions of discrete analogue of differential geometry are explained in above briefly. We notice that in the geometrical approach a real discretisation of two dimensional surface is investigated while in the other approach a formal discretisation of phase space with arbitrary dimensionality is the subject of the study. The space which is discretised is different. Moreover the meaning of discretisation of the phase space do not correspond to real discretisation of $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$ separately, since only their product must have a finite minimum λ. In fact uncertainty relations can be proved for the product of their square mean values[15]. There seems little hope to find direct correspondence between these two discretisations.

What we like to discuss in the following is that, contrary to this naive observation, they are simply different view of the same object. For this purpose let us recall the two different view of the KP-hierarchy. One is given by (1) in which three discrete variables l, m, n are used. The other representation is possible by using the KP-flow parameters $\{t_n | n \in \boldsymbol{N}\}$ and splitting (1) into many soliton equations through reduction of variables. The variables are related each other by the Miwa transformation[16]:

$$t_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_j k_j z_j^n, \qquad n \in \boldsymbol{N} \tag{13}$$

where z_j's are arbitrary parameters and

$$l = p + q + r - \frac{3}{2}, \quad m = -p - \frac{1}{2}, \quad n = p + q - 1 \tag{14}$$

with (p, q, r) being arbitrary three out of k_j's in (13). We know that the geometry of the discrete surface was described by means of the shift operators $e^{\partial_l}, e^{\partial_m}$, whereas the third shift operator e^{∂_n} generates the sequence of Laplace transforms. The question is if they correspond to some difference vector fields in the form of (8) when they are translated into the language of the other variables t_n's.

After the change of variables according to (13) we have

$$e^{\partial_{k_j}} = \exp\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z_j^n \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n}\right]. \tag{15}$$

The right hand side can be interpreted as a simultaneous shifts to all directions. The amount of the shift along the t_n direction is $\frac{1}{n}z_j^n$. The antisymmetric combination of $e^{\partial_{k_j}}$ forms a vector field with a constant weight function. Since l, m, n are linear combinations of k_j's, the shifts to these directions are produced by the shifts to the t_n directions by $\frac{1}{n}(z_p^n + z_q^n + z_r^n)$, $-\frac{1}{n}z_p^n$, $\frac{1}{n}(z_p^n + z_q^n)$ respectively.

From this consideration it is convenient to introduce a shift vector field:

$$\boldsymbol{X}_A^S := \int d\boldsymbol{a}\ A(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{a}) e^{\lambda \sum_n a_n \partial_{t_n}}. \tag{16}$$

We can convince ourselves that the shift vector fields form an algebra among themselves:

$$[\boldsymbol{X}_A^S,\ \boldsymbol{X}_B^S] = \boldsymbol{X}_{-\{A,B\}_S}^S, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \{A, B\}_S \quad := \quad & \int d\boldsymbol{b}\ \left(\exp\left[\lambda\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{a}}{2} - \boldsymbol{b}\right)\partial_{\boldsymbol{t}_A}\right] - \exp\left[\lambda\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{a}}{2} + \boldsymbol{b}\right)\partial_{\boldsymbol{t}_B}\right]\right) \\ & \times A\left(\boldsymbol{t}_A, \frac{\boldsymbol{a}}{2} + \boldsymbol{b}\right) B\left(\boldsymbol{t}_B, \frac{\boldsymbol{a}}{2} - \boldsymbol{b}\right)\Big|_{\boldsymbol{t}_A = \boldsymbol{t}_B = \boldsymbol{t}}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

We also introduce a gauge covariant shift operator, which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{X}_{k_j}^S[U] \quad := \quad & U(\boldsymbol{k}) e^{\partial_{k_j}} U^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ =: \quad & u(\boldsymbol{t}) e^{\sum_n \frac{1}{n} z_j^n \partial_{t_n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Here $U(\boldsymbol{k})$ is a function of $\boldsymbol{k} = \{k_1, k_2, \cdots\}$ and we defined $u(\boldsymbol{t})$ by

$$u(\boldsymbol{t}) = U(\boldsymbol{k}) U^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k}'), \qquad \boldsymbol{k}' := \{k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_j + 1, k_{j+1}, \cdots\}. \tag{20}$$

The correspondence of $\boldsymbol{X}_{k_j}^S[U]$ to $\boldsymbol{X}_A^S$ of (16) is achieved by choosing

$$A(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{a}) = u(\boldsymbol{t}) \prod_n \delta\left(a_n - \frac{1}{\lambda n} z_j^n\right). \tag{21}$$

These new operators enable us to translate various terms in the KP-hierarchy in the language of t_n's into the language of k_j's. We will give a list of such translation in the following.

1. Vertex operator I:

   First we consider the case

   $$U(\boldsymbol{k}) = \prod_{l \neq j} \left(1 - q\frac{z_l}{z_j}\right)^{k_l k_j} \tag{22}$$

   and let

   $$t_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_j k_j q^n z_j^n, \qquad |q| < 1, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots. \tag{23}$$

   Then we find

   $$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{X}_{k_j}^S[U] \quad = \quad & \prod_{l \neq j} \left(1 - q\frac{z_l}{z_j}\right)^{-k_l} e^{\partial_{k_j}} \\ = \quad & (1-q)^{k_j} \exp\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n z_j^{-n}\right] \exp\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} q^n z_j^n \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

   This last expression, after devided by the factor $(1-q)^{k_j}$ and taking the $q \to 1$ limit, is the one called the vertex operator in the soliton theory.

2. Vertex operator II:

We can generalise the vertex operator by making double the space of t_n's. For this we define

$$U(\boldsymbol{k}) := \prod_{l\neq j}\left(1-\frac{\bar{z}_l}{z_j}\right)^{k_l k_j}\left(1-\frac{\bar{z}_j}{z_l}\right)^{-k_l k_j} \tag{25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &:= \frac{1}{n}\sum_j k_j z_j^n, \quad n=1,2,\cdots, \qquad t_0 := \sum_j k_j \ln z_j \\ \bar{t}_n &:= \frac{1}{n}\sum_j k_j \bar{z}_j^{-n}, \quad n=1,2,\cdots, \qquad \bar{t}_0 := -\sum_j k_j \ln \bar{z}_j, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where we added the 0-th components t_0 and $\bar{t}_0$ as well. In terms of t_n's

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{X}^S_{k_j}[U] &= \exp\left[-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(t_n\bar{z}_j^{-n}-\bar{t}_n z_j^n)\right] \\ &\quad \times \exp\left[\ln z_j\partial_{t_0}-\ln\bar{z}_j\partial_{\bar{t}_0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}(z_j^n\partial_{t_n}+\bar{z}_j^{-n}\partial_{\bar{t}_n})\right] \\ &=: e^{iX_+(z_j,\bar{z}_j)}e^{iX_-(z_j,\bar{z}_j)}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The last expression is given to remind the relation of the operator to the string coordinates $X_\pm$ [3]. Note that this has a symplectic sturcture such that $(t_n,\bar{t}_n)$ form a symplectic pair of the infinite dimensional phase space as it is implied in (9). Therefore it satisfies the Moyal algebra (11), rather than (17).

3. Bäcklund transformation:

The Bäcklund transformation is generated by the operators of the form:

$$B(z_j,z_l) = \boldsymbol{X}^S_{k_j}[U]\boldsymbol{X}^S_{-k_l}[U]. \tag{28}$$

They form an algebra which follows to (11).

4. Linearisation of HBDE:

HBDE can be derived as an integrability condition of the following linear problem[20]:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{X}^S_l[U]|\Phi\rangle &= c_+\boldsymbol{X}^S_{l+n}[V]|\Phi\rangle \\ \boldsymbol{X}^S_m[V]|\Phi\rangle &= c_-\boldsymbol{X}^S_{m-n}[U]|\Phi\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

It was shown in ref.[20] that under the gauge condition $V(l,m,n)=U(l,m,n-1)$, the gauge potential $U(l,m,n)$ satisfies HBDE (1) with $\gamma=-c_+c_-\alpha$. The gauge potential U in the covariant shift operator has an obvious geometrical meaning as a connection. HBDE is nothing but a geometrical constraint imposed on the connection. We have also shown that this set of linear equations reduce to the Lax type of equation for the Toda lattice in an appropriate continuous limit[21]. It is apparent that writing the equations by using t_n's we will get infinitely many inverse problems depending on the way of reduction of the variables.

5. Baker-Akhiezer function:

   The Baker-Akhiezer function of the KP-hierarchy was given explicitly in terms of the solution τ of HBDE. It can be interpreted within our formulation as a wave function in the form:

$$|\Psi(z_j)\rangle = \boldsymbol{X}^S_{k_j}[\tau^{-1}U_0]|0\rangle \tag{30}$$

   where U_0 is the one of (22).

We have shown various objects in the KP theory expressed differently by using two different coordinates, one of discrete surface k_j's and the other the soliton coordinates t_n's. In all examples they are translated from one to the other by the operator $\boldsymbol{X}^S_{k_j}[U]$. This operator itself possesses two faces, one is a gauge covariant shift operator in the former coordinates. At the same time it represents a difference analogue of vector field in the latter. If we let U be an arbitrary function of t_n's or k_j's, it generates a large symmetry algebra as given by (11). From the view point of geometry this algebra should describe some topologial nature of the discrete surface as k_j's are restricted to p, q, r. An extension to higher dimensional hyper surface must be straight forward. We know that these two sets of coordinates, t_n's and k_j's, are linearly dependent each other by the Miwa transformation (13). Nevertheless it is still not known what is the meaning of k_j's in the space of soliton coordinates and what is the role played by t_n's on the discrete surface.

Besides two approaches discussed in this paper there exist some other discrete approaches to the KP hierarchy or its generalisations[22],[23]. There have been also many works which explore geometrical nature of Moyal quantisation [24][25][26][27]. An interpretation of the analytical approach to rather general integrable systems [23] by means of geometry of higher dimensional surfaces was pointed out recently in ref.[10]. It is desirable to clarify correlation among these different approaches and get insight underlying all discrete integrable systems.

In the forthcoming paper we will discuss the symmetry generated by the covariant shift operators and also their connection to the Yang-Baxter relation from the view we discussed recently[28].

The author would like to thank Prof. Y.Ohnita for pointing out ref.[11], to Prof. T.Tokihiro ref.[10], to Dr. K.Yoshida ref.[9]. He would also like to thank Drs. R.Kemmoku and S.Matsutani for discussions and comments.

References

[1] R. Hirota, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **50**, 3787 (1981); in "Nonlinear Integrable Systems" *ed.* M.Jimbo and T.Miwa (World Scientific, 1983) p.17.

[2] M.Sato and Y.Sato, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (Kyoto Univ.) **388**, 183 (1980); *ibid* **414**, 181 (1981); M.Sato, *ibid* **433**, 30 (1981).

[3] S.Saito, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1798 (1987); Phys. Rev. **D36**, 1819 (1987); Phys. Rev. **D37**, 990 (1988) ;

K.Sogo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **56**, 2291 (1987).

[4] B.McCoy and T.T.Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45** (1980) 675.

[5] A.Kuniba, T.Nakanishi and J.Suzuki, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 9** (1994) 5215, 5267;
A.Kuniba, S.Nakamura and R.Hirota, J. Phys. **A**: Math. Gen. **29** (1996) 1759;
A.Klümper and P.Pearce, Physica **A 183** (1992) 304.

[6] I.Krichever, O.Lipan, P.Wiegmann and A.Zabrodin, 'Quantum Integrable Systems and Elliptic Solutions of Classical Discrete Nonlinear Equations', hepth/9604080.

[7] A.Ramani, '*The Grand Scheme for Discrete Painlevé Equations*' , a talk presented at the international symposium "*Advances in Soliton Theory and its Applications ⋯ The 30th Anniversary of the Toda Lattice ⋯*", held in Hayama, Japan, Dec. 1 - 4, 1996.

[8] T.Tokihiro, D.Takahashi, J.Matsukidaira and J.Satsuma, Phys. Rev. **76** (1996) 3247, and talk presented at the the international symposium "*Advances in Soliton Theory and its Applications ⋯ The 30th Anniversary of the Toda Lattice ⋯* ", held in Hayama, Japan, Dec. 1 - 4, 1996.

[9] A.Doliwa, 'Geometric Discretisation of the Toda System', preprint ROME1-1160/96, solv-int/9612006;

[10] A.Doliwa and P.M.Santini, 'Multidimensional Quadrilateral Lattices are Integrable', preprint ROME1-1162/96, solv-int/9612007.

[11] A.Bobenko and U.Pinkall, J.Diff. Geom. **43** (1996) 527.

[12] R.Kemmoku and S.Saito, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65** (1996) 1881.

[13] R.Kemmoku, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66** (1997) 51; Tokyo Metropolitan University Doctor thesis (1997). Similar argument is also given in [24].

[14] S.Saito and N.Saitoh, 'Laplace, Bäcklund and Gauge Transformations in the Two-dimensional Toda Lattice', Phys. Lett. **A 123** (1987) 283.

[15] J.E.Moyal, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **45** (1949) 90.

[16] T. Miwa, Proc. Jpn. Acad. **58A**(1982) 9 ; E.Date, M. Jimbo and T.Miwa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **51** (1982) 4116, 4125.

[17] D.B.Fairlie, P.Fletcher and C.K.Zachos, J.Math. Phys. **31** (1990) 1088.

[18] E. Floratos, Phys. Lett. **B228** (1989) 335.

[19] E.Wigner, Phys. Rev. **40** (1932) 749.

[20] S.Saito and N.Saitoh, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987) 1052 ; N.Saitoh and S.Saito, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. **56** (1987) 1664 ; J. Phys. A: Math.Gen. **23** (1990) 3017.

[21] T.Nakajima and S.Saito, Phys. Lett. **A 181** (1993) 17.

[22] M.J.Ablowitz, Stud. Appl. Math. **58** (1978) 17;
B.Kupershmidt, Lett. Math. Phys. **20** (1990) 19;
J.Gibbos and B.A.Kupershmidt, Phys. Lett. **A 165** (1992) 105;
V.G.Papageorgiu, F.W.Nijhoff and H.W.Capel, Phys. Lett. **A 147** (1990) 106;
R.Hirota, S.Tsujimoto and T.Imai, in "Future Directions of Nonlinear Dynamics in Physical and Biological Systems", *ed.* P.L.Christiansen et al. (Plenum, New York, 1993) p17.

[23] L.V.Bogdanov and B.G.Konopelchenko, 'Analytic-bilinear Approach to Integrable Hierarchies. I. Generalised KP-hierarchy', preprint solv-int/9609009.

[24] I.A.B.Strachan, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. **28** (1995) 1967.

[25] F.Bayen, M.Flato, C.Fronsdal, A.Lichnerowicz and D.Sternheimer, Ann. Phys. **111** (1978) 61, 111.

[26] K.Takasaki, J.Geom. Phys. **14** (1994) 111, 332.

[27] T.A.Osborn and F.H.Molzahn, Ann. Phys. **241** (1995) 79;
E.Gozzi and M.Reuter, Intn. J. Mod. Phys. **A9** (1994) 2191, 5801.

[28] S.Saito, 'Old Duality Solves the Yang-Baxter Equation', submitted for publication (1996).