arXiv:solv-int/9704013v1 19 Apr 1997

Some eigenstates for a model associated with solutions of tetrahedron equation. IV. String—particle marriage

I.G. Korepanov

Chelyabinsk University of Technology
76 Lenin av., Chelyabinsk 454080, Russia

April 1997

Abstract

This paper continues the series begun with works solv-int/9701016, solv-int/9702004 and solv-int/9703010. Here we construct more sophisticated strings, combining ideas from those papers and some considerations involving solutions of tetrahedron equation due to Sergeev, Mangazeev and Stroganov.

Introduction

In this work we continue the study, begun in [1, 2, 3], of eigenstates of the model based on solutions to the tetrahedron equation. In the paper [3], we have been using a kagome lattice made up of five-legged 'R-operators' for string construction. The idea of the present paper is that probably we will be able to achieve more using a kagome lattice made up of six-legged 'S-operators' instead.

Here we will be considering the infinite in all plane directions kagome lattice. Let us imagine it as situated in a horizontal plane, having an S-operator in each its vertex and using four of six S-operator legs as lattice edges. Two other legs of an S-operators are vertical. The S-operators form in such way some *auxiliary transfer matrix*. We will apply some vector—namely, a "one-particle" vector from [1]—to lower ends of S-operators, while a string will be posed, in a sense, within the plane, as in [3]. The result will be new eigenstates for the same "hedgehog" transfer matrix as in papers [1, 2, 3].

Such "hedgehog" transfer matrix is made up of S-operators that arise in the "static limit" of a more general construction due to Sergeev, Mangazeev and Stroganov (SMS), see paper [4] (operators are called there 'R' instead of 'S'). However, the auxiliary transfer matrix will be made of some *other* special case of SMS operators described in Sections 1 and 2 below.

As for the rest of the paper, in Section 3 we show that a particle in absence of a string just vanishes, while their "marriage" produces in Section 4 new nontrivial strings. Discussion is given in Section 5.

1 Tetrahedron equation: a special case

As it is known from the paper [4], the solution of the tetrahedron equation is parameterized by dihedral angles $\vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_6$ (of which five are independent) between four planes in the three-dimensional euclidean space. The equation looks like

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{123}(\vartheta_1,\vartheta_2,\vartheta_3)\, S_{145}(\vartheta_1,\vartheta_4,\vartheta_5)\, S_{246}(\pi-\vartheta_2,\vartheta_4,\vartheta_6)\, S_{356}(\vartheta_3,\pi-\vartheta_5,\vartheta_6) \\ &= S_{356}(\vartheta_3,\pi-\vartheta_5,\vartheta_6)\, S_{246}(\pi-\vartheta_2,\vartheta_4,\vartheta_6)\, S_{145}(\vartheta_1,\vartheta_4,\vartheta_5)\, S_{123}(\vartheta_1,\vartheta_2,\vartheta_3), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the subscripts of S number the spaces where that operator acts.

Let us imagine that those four planes pass through the center of a unit sphere. Then an alternative way of parameterizing, say, the operator S_{123} is by using the edges a_1, a_2 and a_3 of the spherical triangle instead of ϑ_1, ϑ_2 and ϑ_3. Each vertex of the triangle is, naturally, the intersection point of the sphere and a pair of planes belonging to the operator S_{123}.

We will assume that the operator S_{123} in the equation (1) is one of the hedgehogs of the "hedgehog transfer matrix", while the other S-operators belong to the auxiliary kagome transfer matrix. Recall that we are considering the hedgehogs corresponding to the *static limit* in terms of [4]. This means that the sides a_1, a_2 and a_3 of the spherical triangle are infinitely small. Let this infinitely small spherical triangle be situated at the north pole of the sphere.

Now let us fix a particular place for the fourth plane (that doesn't pass through the north pole). Namely, let this plane *intersect the sphere through its equator*. The resulting restrictions on the angles ϑ are the following:

$$\vartheta_1+\vartheta_2+\vartheta_3=\pi, \qquad \vartheta_4=\vartheta_5=\vartheta_6=\frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{2}$$

We will see that (2) yields the degenerate operators S_{145}, S_{246} and S_{356}, but this only helps to perform the construction.

2 The gauge for "doubly rectangular" S-operators

The explicit form for operators entering in equation (1) can be found in section 5 of the work [4]. In the same work, quite a bit is said about different gauges for those operators. We will work with the following gauge for our "doubly rectangular"—i.e. having two of three angles ϑ equal to $\pi/2$—operators: first take them as in section 5 of [4], and then change as described in the following paragraph.

Consider e.g. the operator $S_{145} = S_{145}(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_4, \vartheta_5) = S_{145}(\vartheta_1, \pi/2, \pi/2)$. Its legs corresponding to the spaces number 4 and 5 lie on the edges of the kagome lattice, in the horizontal plane (see Introduction). Let us perform a conjugation in both those spaces with the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, that is, let us change

$$S_{145} \to \mathbf{1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot S_{145} \cdot \mathbf{1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Let us perform the similar transformations for S_{246} in the spaces 4 and 6, and for S_{356} in the spaces 5 and 6. Note that such gauges are consistent with the tetrahedron equation (1), and that the gauge of S_{123} does not change.

Now let us forget about the old gauge of the operators S_{145}, S_{246} and S_{356}, and use these notations for the operators in the *new* gauge. The explicit form of each of these operators is like this:

$$S_{\dots} = \frac{1 - \tan(\vartheta/4)}{\cos(\vartheta/2)} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\vartheta/2) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\vartheta/2) & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \sin(\vartheta/2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos(\vartheta/2) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

$$B = \frac{\sqrt{\sin\vartheta}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1+i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1-i \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

$$C = \frac{\sqrt{\sin\vartheta}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1-i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1-i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1+i \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\vartheta/2) & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & \cos(\vartheta/2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos(\vartheta/2) & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \sin(\vartheta/2) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} \vartheta = \vartheta_1 & \text{for } S_{145}, \\ \vartheta = \vartheta_2 & \text{for } S_{246}, \\ \vartheta = \vartheta_3 & \text{for } S_{356}. \end{array}$$

Matrix A is the matrix of weights for all configurations of horizontal spins of an S-operator, if the spins at the vertical edges are both fixed and equal 0. Similarly, matrix B corresponds to the lower spin 1 and the upper spin 0; matrix C—to the lower spin 0 and the upper spin 1; and matrix D—to the lower spin 1 and the upper spin 1.

We see that all of these matrices except D *conserve the total spin*, or, in the other terminology, the "number of particles", within the horizontal plane.

3 Disappearance of particle in absence of a string

Now let us apply the auxiliary kagome transfer matrix made up of the operators S_{145}, S_{246} and S_{356}, to the one-particle vector from the papers [1, 2]. We will choose the following boundary conditions at the horizontal infinity: all spins at the "far enough" horizontal edges are zero.

Let us show that in this case the spin at *all* horizontal edges are zero. Recall that the one-particle state is a linear combination of tensor products of spins, of which *one* equals unity, and the others are zero. This means that matrix D (see the end of section 2)—the only one that can change the total spin—cannot appear twice. In other words, non-zero spin cannot be created somewhere and then annihilated somewhere else, thus all the horizontal edges possess zero spins.

This conclusion implies that only *upper left* entries of the matrices $A, \ldots, D$ are playing rôle. For a given S-operator, four such elements form a matrix

$$\frac{1-\tan(\vartheta/4)}{\cos(\vartheta/2)} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\vartheta/2) & \frac{1+i}{2}\sqrt{\sin\vartheta} \\ \frac{1-i}{2}\sqrt{\sin\vartheta} & \sin(\vartheta/2) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

that transforms a two-dimensional vector at the lower vertical edge to the one at the upper vertical edge, and each S-operator of the kagome lattice does this independently.

The remarkable property of the matrix (7) is its *degeneracy*. Thus, the whole lattice transfer matrix applied to the one-particle state yields an *infinite sum of*

vectors proportional to a fixed vector. This sum must be inevitably equal to zero, due to the infiniteness of lattice in all directions, the fact that a one-particle vector just acquires some scalar factors under lattice translations, and a logical assumption

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a^n = 0$$

for the sum of an infinite in both directions geometrical progression.

4 The marriage: an example of a string

The matrix (7) is not the only degenerate one. Formulae (3–6) show really that if we fix *any* values (0 or 1) for the four horizontal spins of an S-operator, then the 2×2-matrix corresponding to the two remaining vertical edges is degenerate. Imagine now that we have fixed the spins at all horizontal edges of *all operators*. Then edges with the spin 1 form "strings", and a remarkable conclusion is that a configuration of those strings determines the "outgoing" vector at the upper vertical edges up to a scalar factor.

Remark. However, the "incoming" vector at the lower edges can cause this scalar factor to equal 0 for some string configurations. For example, for a one-particle incoming vector, there can be no closed strins, because they require at least two matrices D to be involved, as explained in Section 3.

We can propose the following example of a string-like state resulting from the "marriage" of a one-particle state and a string within the horizontal plane. The one-particle state is applied to the lower edges, as already explained. It is supposed that the string is "born" in the vertex where the particle is applied, due to the matrix D which is allowed to change by 2 the total "horizontal" spin. The form of the string at infinity let be fixed by two given half-lines, each going along the edges of the lattice in one of the east, north, or north-east directions.

5 Discussion

In this paper, we have presented the simplest nontrivial states of the model on infinite lattice that are, in essence, strings from [3] fertilized by nontrivial momentum particles of [1, 2]. The main point is that such string, in contrast with the ones from [3], are not just invariant under the shifts along themselves, but acquire some nontrivial multipliers.

It is clear that really a quite immense zoo of such states can be constructed. It seems also that a string from Section 4 can be made *closed* and put in a *finite* lattice.

From the purely mathematical point of view, our construction of some species of the zoo of $2+1$-dimensional model eigenstates can be regarded a modest step from the $1+1$-dimensional quantum groups to the studying of higher-dimensional integrability.

References

[1] I.G. Korepanov, *Some eigenstates for a model associated with solutions of tetrahedron equation*, solv-int/9701016, 7p.

[2] I.G. Korepanov, *Some eigenstates for a model associated with solutions of tetrahedron equation. II. A bit of algebraization*, solv-int/9702004, 8p.

[3] I.G. Korepanov, *Some eigenstates for a model associated with solutions of tetrahedron equation. III. Tetrahedral Zamolodchikov algebras and perturbed strings*, solv-int/9703010, 7p.

[4] S.M. Sergeev, V.V. Mangazeev and Yu.G. Stroganov, *The vertex formulation of the Bazhanov-Baxter Model*, hep-th/9504035, 20 p.