arXiv:solv-int/9704014v1 21 Apr 1997

Trilinear representation and the Moutard transformation for the Tzitzéica equation

O.V.Kaptsov, Yu.V.Shan'ko

Computing Center, Academy of Sciences,
Academgorodok, 660036,Krasnoyarsk, Russia

E-mail: kaptsov@cckr.krasnoyarsk.su

Abstract

In this paper we present a trilinear form and a Darboux-type transformation to equation

$$(\ln v)_{xy} = v - 1/v^2$$

considered by Tzitzéica in 1910. Soliton solutions are constructed by dressing the trivial solution.

1. Introduction. In this work we construct exact solutions to the equation

$$(\ln v)_{xy} = v - 1/v^2. \tag{1.1}$$

This equation originated in differential geometry [1, 2] as the compatibility condition of the linear system

$$\theta_{xy} = v\theta, \quad \theta_{xx} = (v_x\theta_x + \theta_y)/v, \quad \theta_{yy} = (v_y\theta_y + \theta_x)/v. \tag{1.2}$$

This allows us to call v the potential and (1.1) the Tzitzéica equation. Any three linearly independent solutions of (1.2) generate a surface in $R^3(x^1, x^2, x^3)$

$$x^i = \theta^i(x, y), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

which is called T-surface. Tzitzéica discovered a relation between T-surfaces. He established that the map

$$\theta^i \longrightarrow \theta^i - (1+c)(\ln r)_y\theta^i_x/v - (1-c)(\ln r)_x\theta^i_y/v, \tag{1.3}$$

$$i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where c is an arbitrary constant and r is a solution of the system

$$r_{xy} = vr, \quad r_{xx} = (v_x r_x + \lambda r_y)/v, \quad r_{yy} = (v_y r_y + \lambda^{-1} r_x)/v, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\lambda = (c+1)/(c-1) \ ,$$

transforms T-surface into a new T-surface which corresponds to the potential

$$v' = -v + 2(\ln r)_x (\ln r)_y. \tag{1.5}$$

It is easy to show that equation (1.1) is the compatibility condition of the linear system (1.4)

Setting $v = exp(u)$, the Tzitzéica equation becomes Bullough-Dodd-Jiber-Shabat one

$$u_{xy} = \exp(u) - \exp(-2u). \tag{1.6}$$

The "zero curvative" representation for (1.6) has a form [3]

$$\psi_x = L\psi, \qquad \psi_y = A\psi, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$ is a vector function, L and A are the matrices

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} -u_x & 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & u_x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-2u} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{u} \\ \lambda^{-1} e^{u} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we replace the vector function ψ by $(\lambda r_y/v, r_x, r)$, then it is possible to derive from (1.7) the representation (1.4) and vice versa. The finite-gap solutions and Bäcklund transformation to equation (1.6) can be found in [4, 5]. As shown in [6], some solutions of eq.(1.6) can be expressed in terms of elliptic functions . However, an explicit formula for N-soliton solutions does not, to our knowledge, appear in the literature.

One of the helpful tools in the study of integrable equations, over the past 25 years, has been the Hirota bilinear formalism [7] (multilinear operators can be found in [8]). In recent publication we use a trilinear equation which allows us to derive multiparameter solutions of (1.1). We

introduce a special case of the Moutard transformation [9, 10] to the system (1.4) and provide the proof of the N-soliton formula.

2. τ-functions and explicit solutions.

In order to obtain the trilinear form of equation (1.1), we carry out the following change of variables:

$$v = 1 - 2(\ln \tau)_{xy}. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that, an analogous change of variables for equation

$$\triangle(\ln w) = w - 1/w^2$$

was used in [11]. Substitution of (2.1) into (1.1) yields

$$(1 - 2(\ln \tau)_{xy})^2[(\ln(\tau^2 - 2\tau\tau_{xy} + 2\tau_x\tau_y))_{xy} - 1] + 1 = 0 \qquad (2.2).$$

Multiplying (2.2) by τ^3, we obtain the trilinear equation. It turns out that, the equation (2.2) has N-soliton solutions of the form

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i + \sum_{k=2}^{N} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le N} c_{i_1 \cdots i_k} f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_k},$$

where

$$f_i = \exp(k_i x + 3y/k_i + s_i), \tag{2.3}$$

k_i, s_i are arbitrary constants and $c_{i_1 \cdots i_k}$ are constants to be determined. One can show by straightforward analysis that the one-soliton solution of (2.2) takes the form

$$\tau_1 = 1 + exp(kx + 3y/k + s),$$

with k and s being arbitrary constants.

To find two-soliton solution, we insert the function

$$\tau_2 = 1 + f_1 + f_2 + p_{12} f_1 f_2, \tag{2.4}$$

into (2.2) and obtain a polynomial equation with respect to f_1, f_2. Since the coefficients of f_1, f_2 must vanish, we get many equations with respect to p_{12}. Nevertheless,

$$p_{12} = p(k_1, k_2) \equiv \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2(k_1^2 - k_1k_2 + k_2^2)}{(k_1 + k_2)^2(k_1^2 + k_1k_2 + k_2^2)} \tag{2.5}$$

satisfies all these equations.

Now we can suppose that N-soliton solution is

$$\tau_N = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i + \sum_{m=2}^{N} (\sum_{1 \le i_1 < \ldots < i_m \le N} f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_m} \prod_{1 \le j < r \le m} p(k_{i_j}, k_{i_r})), \tag{2.6}$$

where $p(k_{i_j}, k_{i_r})$ are given by (2.5). It can be checked by straightforward calculations that τ_3 satisfies (2.2). In the section 4 we shall prove that τ_N is a solution of (2.2).

It is rather interesting to find solutions which are expressed in the terms of elementary functions but differ from N-solitons. The corresponding solutions can be found using linear differential constraints [11]. In our case, these constraints are given by ordinary differential equations

$$\partial_x \prod_{1 \le m \le N} (\prod_{1 \le i_1 < \ldots < i_m \le N} (\partial_x - k_{i_1} - k_{i_2} - \ldots - k_{i_m}))\tau = 0,$$

$$\partial_y \prod_{1 \le m \le N} (\prod_{1 \le i_1 < \ldots < i_m \le N} (\partial_y - 3/k_{i_1} - 3/k_{i_2} - \ldots - 3/k_{i_m}))\tau = 0,$$

where $\partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $\partial_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. If $N = 2$, then the previous equations take the form

$$\partial_x(\partial_x - k_1)(\partial_x - k_2)(\partial_x - k_1 - k_2)\tau = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\partial_y(\partial_y - 3/k_1)(\partial_y - 3/k_2)(\partial_y - 3/k_1 - 3/k_2)\tau = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, we consider two short examples.

Example 1. Suppose that $k_1 = k_2 = k \neq 0$, then the function

$$1 + c(x - 3y/k^2)\exp(kx + 3y/k) - \frac{c^2}{12k^2}\exp(2kx + 6y/k), \qquad c \in R$$

satisfies (2.2).

Example 2. Assuming that $k_1 = ib$, $k_2 = -ib$, one can find the solution

$$\sin(bx - 3y/b) + \sqrt{3}(bx + 3y/b).$$

3. Moutard-Tzitzéica transformation. In this section we describe another way of constructing solutions to equation (1.1). This approach is based on the so-called Darboux transformation [12]. It should be noted that Moutard discovered the corresponding transformation in 1870 [9, 10].

One may define the classical Moutard transformation in the following manner. Let r and r_1 be linearly independent solutions of the equation

$$R_{xy} = v(x, y)R, \tag{3.1}$$

then the function r' satisfying the system

$$(r_1 r')_x = r_1^2 (r/r_1)_x, \qquad (r_1 r')_y = -r_1^2 (r/r_1)_y, \tag{3.2}$$

is a solution of the equation

$$R'_{xy} = v'(x, y)R', \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$v' = v - 2(\ln r_1)_{xy}. \tag{3.4}$$

It turns out that there exists a special case of the Moutard transformation for the system (1.4). We omit all calculations and present the final formula

$$r' = \frac{-2\lambda_1 r_{1_y} r_x + 2\lambda r_{1_x} r_y + (\lambda_1 - \lambda) v r r_1}{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda) v r_1}. \tag{3.5}$$

Here r, r_1 are solutions of the system (1.4) (with potential v) corresponding to different λ, λ_1 and r' satisfies (1.4) with the same λ but the modified potential (3.4). Describing this transformation, we shall say that r' is obtained from r by r_1 and call (3.5) the Moutard-Tzitzéica transformation (or MT-transformation).

It can be shown that the Moutard-Tzitzéica transformation has the property of permutability . To define more exactly this property it is necessary to introduce some notations. Denote by $r^0(\lambda)$ a solution of the system (1.4) with the potential v^0. Let S be the skew-symmetric map

$$S(\mu,\lambda)=\frac{2\mu r^0(\mu)_y r^0(\lambda)_x-2\lambda r^0(\mu)_x r^0(\lambda)_y+(\lambda-\mu)v^0 r^0(\mu)r^0(\lambda)}{(\mu+\lambda)v^0} \quad (3.6)$$

Then the solution $r^1(\lambda_1,\lambda)$ obtained from $r^0(\lambda)$ by $r^0(\lambda_1)$ takes the form

$$r^1(\lambda_1,\lambda)=S(\lambda_1,\lambda)/r^0(\lambda_1). \quad (3.7)$$

This solution corresponds to the potential

$$v^1(\lambda_1)=v^0-2(\ln r^0(\lambda_1))_{xy}. \quad (3.8)$$

We can apply the MT-transformation once again and obtain a new solution $r^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda)$ from $r^1(\lambda_1,\lambda)$ by means of $r^1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$. The solution $r^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda)$ corresponds to the potential

$$v^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=v^1(\lambda_1)-2(\ln r^1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2))_{xy}.$$

On the other hand, we can first obtain the solution $r^1(\lambda_2,\lambda)$ from $r^0(\lambda)$ by $r^0(\lambda_2)$ and then transform this solutions by means of $r^1(\lambda_2,\lambda_1)$. It leads to the solution $r^2(\lambda_2,\lambda_1,\lambda)$ corresponding to the potential

$$v^2(\lambda_2,\lambda_1)=v^1(\lambda_2)-2(\ln r^1(\lambda_2,\lambda_1))_{xy}.$$

The property of permutability means that

$$v^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=v^2(\lambda_2,\lambda_1),$$

$$r^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda)=r^2(\lambda_2,\lambda_1,\lambda).$$

Lemma 1. The functions $v^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$, $r^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda)$ are invariant under the transformation $\lambda_1\to\lambda_2$, $\lambda_2\to\lambda_1$ and can be written as

$$v^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=v^0-(\ln S(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)^2)_{xy}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$r^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda)=\frac{r^0(\lambda)S(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)+r^0(\lambda_1)S(\lambda_2,\lambda)-r^0(\lambda_2)S(\lambda_1,\lambda)}{S(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}. \quad (3.10)$$


Proof: It is easy to see that the relations (3.6),(3.7) and (3.8) lead to

$$v^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=v^0-2(\ln r^0(\lambda_1))_{xy}-2(\ln S(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)/r^0(\lambda_1))_{xy}=$$

$$=v^0-(\ln S(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)^2)_{xy}.$$

The equality (3.10) follows from the theorem of permutability for the Moutard transformation [13].

The property of permutability can be represented by means of the commuting diagram



It is possible to apply the MT-transformation for constructing solutions $r^3(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda),\ldots,\ r^n(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n,\lambda)$ with the corresponding potentials $v^3(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3),\ldots,v^n(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)$. The recurrence relations for these functions are

$$r^{n+1}(\bar\lambda,\lambda_{n+1},\lambda)=\frac{S^n(\bar\lambda,\lambda_{n+1},\lambda)}{r^n(\bar\lambda,\lambda_{n+1})} \quad (3.11)$$

$$v^{n+1}(\bar\lambda,\lambda_{n+1})=v^n(\bar\lambda)-2(\ln r^n(\bar\lambda,\lambda_{n+1}), \quad (3.12)$$

where $\bar\lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)$, and $S^n(\bar\lambda,\lambda_{n+1},\lambda)$ is defined by

$$\frac{2\lambda_{n+1}r^n(\bar\lambda,\lambda_{n+1})_y r^n(\bar\lambda,\lambda)_x-2\lambda r^n(\bar\lambda,\lambda_{n+1})_x r^n(\bar\lambda,\lambda)_y}{(\lambda+\lambda_{n+1})v^n(\bar\lambda)}+$$

$$+(\lambda-\lambda_{n+1})r^n(\bar\lambda,\lambda_{n+1})r^n(\bar\lambda,\lambda)/(\lambda+\lambda_{n+1}). \quad (3.13)$$

The following theorem holds:

Theorem 1. The functions $(v^n(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n),\ r^n(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n,\lambda)$ are invariant under an arbitrary permutation of $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n$.

Proof by induction. For $n=2$ it follows from Lemma 1. If we assume the Theorem to be true up to n, then owing to (3.11)-(3.13), the functions $v^{n+1}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{n+1}),\ r^{n+1}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{n+1},\lambda)$ are invariant under an arbitrary permutation of $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n$. In order to prove the Theorem it is sufficient to establish that

$$v^{n+1}(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda_n,\lambda_{n+1}) = v^{n+1}(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda_{n+1},\lambda_n),$$

$$r^{n+1}(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda_n,\lambda_{n+1},\lambda) = r^{n+1}(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda_{n+1},\lambda_n,\lambda),$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}=(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{n-1})$. The last formulae follow from the obvious analogues of the (3.9), (3.10):

$$v^{n+1}(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda_n,\lambda_{n+1}) = v^{n-1}(\tilde{\lambda})(\ln S^n(\bar{\lambda},\lambda_n,\lambda_{n+1}))_{xy},$$

$$r^{n+1}(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda_n,\lambda_{n+1},\lambda) = r^{n-1}(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda) \quad +$$
$$+\frac{r^{n-1}(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda_n)S^n(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda_{n+1},\lambda) - r^{n-1}(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda_{n+1})S^n(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda_n,\lambda)}{S^n(\tilde{\lambda},\lambda_n,\lambda_{n+1})}.$$

As noted above, if τ^0 is a solution of the equation (2.2), then the function

$$v^0 = 1 - 2(\ln\tau^0)_{xy}$$

satisfies to the Tzitzéica equation (1.1). The iterated τ-functions are defined as:

$$\tau^n(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n) = r^{n-1}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\tau^{n-1}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{n-1}), \tag{3.14}$$

where $r^{n-1}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)$ given by (3.11).

Lemma 2. The iterated τ-functions satisfy the following relations

$$v^n(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n) = 1 - 2(\ln\tau^n(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n))_{xy}. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. For $n = 1$ we have

$$v^1(\lambda_1) = v^0 - 2(\ln r^0(\lambda_1))_{xy} = 1 - 2(\ln \tau^0)_{xy} - 2(\ln r^0(\lambda_1))_{xy}.$$

If we assume (3.15) to be true up to n, then from (3.12), one can obtain

$$v^{n+1}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}) = v^n(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) - 2(\ln r^n(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}))_{xy} =$$

$$= 1 - 2(\ln \tau^n(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n))_{xy} - 2(\ln r^n(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}))_{xy} =$$

$$= 1 - 2(\ln \tau^n(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) r^n(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1})_{xy} =$$

$$= 1 - 2(\ln \tau^{n+1}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}))_{xy}.$$

Lemma 3. The function $\tau^n(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ is a skew- symmetric map, i.e.,

$$\tau^n(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_i, \ldots, \lambda_j, \ldots, \lambda_n) = -\tau^n(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_j, \ldots, \lambda_i, \ldots, \lambda_n), \quad \forall i, j.$$

Proof. As a consequence of (3.7) and (3.14), one easily computes

$$\tau^1(\lambda_1) = r^0(\lambda_1)\tau^0,$$

$$\tau^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = r^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\tau^1(\lambda_1) = \frac{S(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}{r^0(\lambda_1)}\tau^1(\lambda_1) = S(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\tau^0. \quad (3.16)$$

Since $S(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is a skew-symmetric function, τ^2 is a skew-symmetric map. Assuming $\tau^n(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ is skew-symmetric, we will prove that $\tau^{n+1}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1})$ is skew-symmetric too. According to (3.14), the function $\tau^{n+1}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1})$ is skew-symmetric with respect to $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Denote by $\tilde{\lambda}$ the vector $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1})$. It suffices to prove that

$$\tau^{n+1}(\tilde{\lambda}, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}) = -\tau^{n+1}(\tilde{\lambda}, \lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_n).$$

From (3.13) it follows that $S^n(\tilde{\lambda}, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1})$ is skew-symmetric with respect to λ_n, λ_{n+1}. Since there exist obvious analogs of (3.16) for τ^{n+1}, we obtain

$$\tau^{n+1}(\tilde{\lambda}, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}) = S^n(\tilde{\lambda}, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1})\tau^{n-1}(\tilde{\lambda}) =$$

$$= -S^n(\tilde{\lambda}, \lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_n)\tau^{n-1}(\tilde{\lambda}) = -\tau^{n+1}(\tilde{\lambda}, \lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_n).$$

We will write the map $\tau^n(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)$ as: $\tau^1(\lambda_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge\tau^1(\lambda_n)$. It turns out that this map is multilinear, i.e.,

$$\tau^1(\lambda_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge(a\tau_1^1(\lambda_i)+b\tau_2^1(\lambda_i))\wedge\cdots\tau^1(\lambda_n)=$$

$$=a\tau^1(\lambda_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge\tau_1^1(\lambda_i)\wedge\cdots\tau^1(\lambda_n)+b\tau^1(\lambda_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge\tau_2^1(\lambda_i)\wedge\cdots\tau^1(\lambda_n),$$

$$\forall a,b\in C,\quad \forall i=1,\dots,n.$$

The basic properties of the map $\tau^1(\lambda_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge\tau^1(\lambda_n)$ are stated below.

Theorem 2. The map $\tau^n=\tau^1(\lambda_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge\tau^1(\lambda_n)$ is multilinear and skew-symmetric.

Proof. By Lemma 3 it suffices to prove that $\tau^1(\lambda_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge\tau^1(\lambda_n)$ is a multilinear map. Since the map is skew-symmetric, it is enough to establish the linearity of τ^n with respect to $\tau^1(\lambda_n)$. This statement is proved by induction. Obviously, $r^1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ is a linear function of $r^0(\lambda_2)$. Suppose that $r^n(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{n+1})$ is a linear function with respect to $r^0(\lambda_{n+1})$. Then it follows from (3.11) and (3.13) that $r^{n+1}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{n+2})$ is a linear function of $r^0(\lambda_{n+2})$.

Remarks: We derived the Moutard-Tzitzéica transformation assuming $\lambda_1\neq\lambda$. In the case of $\lambda_1=\lambda$, we can introduce the following transformation

$$r'=r-\frac{-2r_x(\lambda r_{y\lambda}+r_y)+2\lambda r_y r_{x\lambda}}{vr}. \tag{3.17}$$

It can be checked that (3.17) transforms solutions of (1.4) (with the potential v) in solutions of (1.4) with the modified potential

$$v'=v-2(\ln r)_{xy}.$$

Verification of N-soliton formula. In this section we will obtain N-soliton solution by means of the Moutard-Tzitzéica transformation. For this purpose, we introduce a new parameter a by $\lambda=a^3$ and rewrite the Moutard-Tzitzéica transformation as

$$r^1(a_1,a)=\frac{\Theta^0(r^0(a_1),r^0(a))}{r^0(a_1)},$$

where $\Theta^0(r^0(a_1), r^0(a))$ is given by

$$\frac{2r^0(a_1)_{xx}r^0(a)_x - 2r^0(a_1)_x r^0(a)_{xx} + (a^3 - a_1^3)r^0(a_1)r^0(a)}{(a^3 + a_1^3)}. \tag{4.1}$$

It follows from the system (1.4) that the function (4.1) is equivalent to (3.6).

The iterated functions $r^n(a_1, \ldots, a_n, a)$ are defined by recurrence relations

$$r^n(a_1, \ldots, a_n, a) = \frac{\Theta^{n-1}(r^{n-1}(1, \ldots, a_n), r^{n-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a))}{r^{n-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Here the function Θ^{n-1} is given by the formula (4.1), in which a_1, $r^0(a_1)$, $r^0(a)$ are replaced by a_n, $r^{n-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$, $r^{n-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a)$.

If we set

$$r^0(a) = R(a) \equiv \exp(ax + a^{-1}y),$$

we get

$$\Theta^1(R(a_1), R(a)) = \frac{2(aa_1^2 - a_1a^2) + a^3 - a_1^3}{a^3 + a_1^3} R(a_1)R(a) =$$

$$= \sigma(a_1, a)R(a_1)R(a),$$

where the function $\sigma(a_1, a)$ determined by

$$\sigma(a_1, a) = (a - a_1)/(a_1 + a).$$

Thus, the corresponding function $r^1(a_1, a)$ is of the form $\sigma(a_1, a)R(a)$. It is now easy to see that

$$r^n(a_1, \ldots, a_n, a) = R(a) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma(a_i, a). \tag{4.3}$$

It turns out that N-soliton solution of the Tzitzéica equation can be expressed in terms of the function R. Obviously, the function $\tau^0 = 1$ is a

solution of (2.2) and the corresponding potential v^0 is equal to 1. In this case, the system (1.4) is of the form

$$r_{xy} = r, \quad r_{xx} = a^3 r_y, \quad r_{yy} = a^{-3} r_x. \tag{4.4}$$

The general solution of the system (4.4) is

$$r^0 = c_0 R(a) + c_1 R(a\nu) + c_2 R(a\nu^2),$$

where c_i are arbitrary constants, $\nu = -(1 + i\sqrt{3})/2$.

Now we will seek expression for $\tau^n(a_1, ..., a_n)$. Since

$$\tau_1(a_1) = r^0(a_1)\tau_0 = r^0(a_1),$$

the function $\tau^n(a_1, ..., a_n)$ takes the form

$$r^0(a_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge r^0(a_n).$$

According to the Theorem 2, the map $r^0(a_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge r^0(a_n)$ is multilinear. Therefore, it is useful to calculate the expression

$$R(a_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge R(a_n).$$

From (3.14) and (4.3) we obtain

$$R(a_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge R(a_n) = R(a_1) \cdots R(a_n) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \sigma(a_i, a_j). \tag{4.5}$$

Lemma 4. The two-soliton solution $1 - 2(\ln \tau_2)_{xy}$ of the Tzitzéica equation coincides with the potential $1 - 2(\ln \eta_2)_{xy}$, where τ_2 is given by (2.3) and

$$\eta_2 = (R(a_1) + c_1 R(a_1\nu)) \wedge (R(a_2) + c_2 R(a_2\nu)).$$

Proof. Since η_2 is a multilinear map, the relation (4.5) implies that

$$R(a_1) \wedge R(a_2) + c_1 R(a_1\nu) \wedge R(a_2) + c_2 R(a_1) \wedge R(a_2\nu) + c_1 c_2 R(a_1\nu) \wedge R(a_2\nu) =$$

$$= \sigma(a_1, a_2) R(a_1) R(a_2) + c_1 \sigma(a_1\nu, a_2) R(a_1\nu) R(a_2) +$$

$$+c_2\sigma(a_1,a_2\nu)R(a_1)R(a_2\nu)+c_1c_2\sigma(a_1\nu,a_2\nu)R(a_1\nu)R(a_2\nu)=$$

$$=\sigma(a_1,a_2)R(a_1)R(a_2)\Big(1+c_1\frac{\sigma(a_1\nu,a_2)}{\sigma(a_1,a_2)}\frac{R(a_1\nu)}{R(a_1)}\quad+$$

$$+\quad c_2\frac{\sigma(a_1,a_2\nu)}{\sigma(a_1,a_2)}\frac{R(a_2\nu)}{R(a_2)}+c_1c_2\frac{R(a_1\nu)R(a_2\nu)}{R(a_1)R(a_2)}\Big).$$

Let

$$b_1=c_1\sigma(a_1\nu,a_2)/\sigma(a_1,a_2),\quad b_2=c_2\sigma(a_1,a_2\nu)/\sigma(a_1,a_2),$$

$$A=\sigma(a_1,a_2)R(a_1)R(a_2),$$

then η_2 is of the form

$$A\Big(1+b_1\frac{R(a_1\nu)}{R(a_1)}+b_2\frac{R(a_2\nu)}{R(a_2)}+b_1b_2\frac{\sigma(a_1,a_2)\sigma(a_1\nu,a_2\nu)R(a_1\nu)R(a_2\nu)}{\sigma(a_1,\nu a_2)\sigma(\nu a_1,a_2)R(a_1)R(a_2)}\Big).$$

Setting

$$a_i=k_i/(\nu-1),\quad i=1,2,$$

it is easy to check that

$$p_{12}=\frac{\sigma(a_1,a_2)\sigma(a_1\nu,a_2\nu)}{\sigma(a_1,\nu a_2)\sigma(\nu a_1,a_2)},$$

where p_{12} is given by (2.5). Thus, $\eta_2=A\tau_2$. It remains to note that $(\ln A)_{xy}=0$.

Remark: It is easy to see that one-soliton solution

$$v_1=1-2(\ln(1+c_1\exp(kx+3y/k))_{xy}$$

coincides with the function $1-2(\ln\eta_1)_{xy}$, where $\eta_1=R(k/(\nu-1))+c_1R(k\nu/(\nu-1))$.

Let us consider the function

$$\eta_n=\Big(R(a_1)+c_1R(a_1\nu)\Big)\wedge\cdots\wedge\Big(R(a_n)+c_nR(a_n\nu)\Big),\qquad(4.6)$$

where c_i are arbitrary constants. It turns out that the η_n and τ_n (given by (2.6)) differ only by a multiplicative exponential function. The proof of this statement can be found below.

Let $A_0(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ denote the product $\prod\limits_{1\le i<j\le n} \sigma(a_i, a_j)$, $A_0(a_I) = A_{i_1\cdots i_m}$, where $a_I = (a_1, \cdots, a_{i_1}\nu, a_{i_1+1}, \cdots, a_{i_m}\nu, \cdots, a_n)$. Denote by $R^{i_1\cdots i_m}$, $R_{i_1\cdots i_m}$ the following expressions

$$R(a_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge R(a_{i_1}) \wedge R(a_{i_1+1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge R(a_{i_m}) \cdots \wedge R(a_n),$$

$$R(a_1) \cdots R(a_{i_1}) R(a_{i_1+1}) \cdots R(a_{i_m}) \cdots R(a_n).$$

Theorem 3. The function $1 - 2(\ln \tau_n)_{xy}$ is a solution of the Tzitzéica equation.

Proof. Since η_n is a multilinear map, the relations (4.5) and (4.6) imply

$$R(a_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge R(a_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i R(a_1) \wedge \cdots R(a_i\nu) \cdots \wedge R(a_n) +$$

$$+ \sum_{m=2}^{n} \sum_{1\le i_1<\cdots<i_m\le n} c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_m} R^{i_1\cdots i_m} = A_0 R(a_1) \cdots R(a_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i A_i R_i +$$

$$+ \sum_{m=2}^{n} \sum_{1\le i_1<\cdots<i_m\le n} c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_m} A_{i_1\cdots i_m} R_{i_1\cdots i_m} = A_0 R(a_1) \cdots R(a_n) \omega_n,$$

where ω_n means

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{A_i R(a_i\nu)}{A_0 R(a_i)} + \sum_{m=2}^{n} \sum_{1\le i_1<\cdots<i_m\le n} c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_m} \frac{A_{i_1\cdots i_m} R(a_{i_1}\nu) \cdots R(a_{i_m}\nu)}{A_0 R(a_{i_1}) \cdots R(a_{i_m})}.$$

It is easy to see that $(\ln A_0 R(a_1) \cdots R(a_n))_{xy} = 0$. Thus, it suffices to prove that ω_n is equal to τ_n.

Setting

$$b_i = \frac{c_i A_i}{A_0}, \quad p_{i_1\cdots i_m} = \frac{A_0^{m-1} A_{i_1\cdots i_m}}{A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_m}},$$

we can rewrite ω_n in the form

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \frac{R(a_i\nu)}{R(a_i)} + \sum_{m=2}^{n} \sum_{1\le i_1<\cdots<i_m\le n} b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_m} p_{i_1\cdots i_m} \frac{R(a_{i_1}\nu) \cdots R(a_{i_m}\nu)}{R(a_{i_1}) \cdots R(a_{i_m})}.$$

Now it is convenient to express $A_i, A_{i_1\cdots i_m}, p_{i_1\cdots i_m}$ in terms of the function σ. According to the definition, A_{i_1} can be written as

$$\frac{\sigma(a_1,a_{i_1}\nu)\cdots\sigma(a_{i_1-1},a_{i_1}\nu)\sigma(a_{i_1}\nu,a_{i_1+1})\cdots\sigma(a_{i_1}\nu,a_n)\prod\limits_{1\le i<j\le n}\sigma(a_i,a_j)}{\sigma(a_1,a_{i_1})\cdots\sigma(a_{i_1},a_n)}=$$

$$=\Big(\prod_{l=1}^{i_1-1}\frac{\sigma(a_l,a_{i_1}\nu)}{\sigma(a_l,a_{i_1})}\prod_{l=i_1+1}^{n}\frac{\sigma(a_{i_1}\nu,a_l)}{\sigma(a_{i_1},a_l)}\Big)A_0.$$

Similarly, $A_{i_1i_2}$ and $A_{i_1\cdots i_m}$ are given by

$$A_{i_1i_2}=\frac{\sigma(a_{i_1},a_{i_2})\sigma(a_{i_1}\nu,a_{i_2}\nu)}{\sigma(a_{i_1}\nu,a_{i_2})\sigma(a_{i_1},a_{i_2}\nu)}\Big(\prod_{l=1}^{i_1-1}\frac{\sigma(a_l,a_{i_1}\nu)}{\sigma(a_l,a_{i_1})}\prod_{l=i_1+1}^{n}\frac{\sigma(a_{i_1}\nu,a_l)}{\sigma(a_{i_1},a_l)}\Big)\times$$

$$\times\Big(\prod_{l=1}^{i_2-1}\frac{\sigma(a_l,a_{i_2}\nu)}{\sigma(a_l,a_{i_2})}\prod_{l=i_2+1}^{n}\frac{\sigma(a_{i_2}\nu,a_l)}{\sigma(a_{i_2},a_l)}\Big)A_0,$$

$$A_{i_1\cdots i_m}=\prod_{1\le j<k\le m}\Big[\frac{\sigma(a_{i_j},a_{i_k})\sigma(a_{i_j}\nu,a_{i_k}\nu)}{\sigma(a_{i_j}\nu,a_{i_k})\sigma(a_{i_j},a_{i_k}\nu)}\Big(\prod_{l=1}^{i_j-1}\frac{\sigma(a_l,a_{i_j}\nu)}{\sigma(a_l,a_{i_j})}\prod_{l=i_j+1}^{n}\frac{\sigma(a_{i_j}\nu,a_l)}{\sigma(a_{i_j},a_l)}\Big)\times$$

$$\times\Big(\prod_{l=1}^{i_k-1}\frac{\sigma(a_l,a_{i_k}\nu)}{\sigma(a_l,a_{i_k})}\prod_{l=i_k+1}^{n}\frac{\sigma(a_{i_k}\nu,a_l)}{\sigma(a_{i_k},a_l)}\Big)\Big]A_0.$$

This yields

$$p_{i_1i_2}\equiv\frac{A_0A_{i_1i_2}}{A_{i_1}A_{i_2}}=\frac{\sigma(a_{i_1},a_{i_2})\sigma(a_{i_1}\nu,a_{i_2}\nu)}{\sigma(a_{i_1}\nu,a_{i_2})\sigma(a_{i_1},a_{i_2}\nu)},$$

$$p_{i_1\ldots i_m}=\prod_{1\le j<k\le m}\frac{\sigma(a_{i_j},a_{i_k})\sigma(a_{i_j}\nu,a_{i_k}\nu)}{\sigma(a_{i_j}\nu,a_{i_k})\sigma(a_{i_j},a_{i_k}\nu)}=\prod_{1\le j<k\le m}p_{i_ji_k}.$$

Setting $a_i=k_i/(\nu-1)$ and using the last representation of $p_{i_1\ldots i_m}$, we can conclude that $\omega_n=\tau_n$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Sergey P.Tsarev for useful discussions relating to this work. Besides, he acquainted us with Tzitzéica's articles [1, 2]. This work was supported in part by Russian Foundation for Basic Research through grant 96-01-00047.

References

[1] Tzitzéica G., C.R. Acad. Sci. 1910, T.150, P.955-956.

[2] Tzitzéica G., C.R. Acad. Sci. 1910, T.150, P.1227-1229.

[3] Mikhailov A.V., Physica 3D (1981), vol 1, 73.

[4] I.Yu.Cherdantzev and R.A.Sharipov Finite-gap solutions of the Bullough-Dodd-Jiber-Shabat equation. Jour. Theor. Math. Phys. (1990), vol 82, No 1, 155–160

[5] Sharipov R.A. and Yamilov R.I. Bäcklund transformation and the construction of the integrable boundary-value problem for the equation $u_{xx} - u_{tt} = e^u - e^{-2u}$. solv-int/9412001

[6] V.K.Andreev, O.V.Kaptsov, V.V.Pukhnachev and A.A.Rodionov, Applications of Group–Theoretic Methods to Hydrodynamics, Nauka, Novosibirsk, (1994).

[7] Hirota R., Direct methods in soliton theory. - In: Topics in Current Physics,17, R.Bullough and P.Caudrey, eds, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1980, P. 157-175.

[8] Grammaticos B., Ramani A., Hietarinta J., Phys. Lett. A 190 (1994) 65-70.

[9] Darboux G. Leçons sur la théorie générale des surfaces et les applications géométriques du calcul infinitésimal. Paris. T.2. 1915.

[10] Athorne C., Nimmo J.J.C. Inverse Problems. (1991). V.7. P.809-826.

[11] Kaptsov O.V. Some classes of two-dimensional vortex strucures in ideal fluid (in appear)

[12] Matveev V.B., Salle M.A. Darboux Transformations and Solitons. Springer. Berlin. 1991

[13] Bianchi L. Lezioni di geometria differenziale, 3-a ed., Volume 2, Parte 2, Bologna, 1927.